

# Abstract Algebra Homework 8

Joe Loser

April 3, 2016

This problem set includes problems 2, 24, 28, 34, and 38 from section 16.6.

2) Let  $R$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that although  $R$  is a ring that has no identity, we can find a subring  $S$  of  $R$  with an identity.

Proof: We first show that  $R$  has no identity. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $R$  has an identity which we denote  $1_R$ . From the definition of  $R$  we see that  $1_R \in R$  means there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $1_R = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Since the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$  and  $1_R$  is the identity for  $R$  we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 1_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But from the definition of multiplication in  $R$  we also have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 1_R = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we see that  $a = 1, b = 0$ . This means that

$$1_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, this element  $1_R$  is clearly not the identity for  $R$ . For instance, consider another element, say  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ . We have that

$$1_R \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Also,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 1_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Since the right hand side of both (1) and (2) do not agree, we see that  $1_R$  cannot be the identity for  $R$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $R$  is a ring without an identity element.

Even though  $R$  has no identity element, we can find a subring  $S$  of  $R$  which has an identity. We claim that  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $R$ . We will use the Subring Test to show that this is indeed a subring.

i) We first see that  $S$  is clearly nonempty since  $a$  is any real number.

ii) We now show that  $rs \in S$  for all  $r, s \in S$ . Let  $r = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $s = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} rs &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in S \end{aligned}$$

since  $ab \in \mathbb{R}$  because  $a$  and  $b$  are both in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

iii) Lastly, we show that  $r - s \in S$  for all  $r, s \in S$ . Let  $r$  and  $s$  be as above in ii). Then

$$\begin{aligned} r - s &= \begin{pmatrix} a - b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in S \end{aligned}$$

since  $a - b \in \mathbb{R}$  because both  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Thus we have shown that  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is indeed a subring of  $R$  by the Subring Test. □

24) Let  $R$  be a ring with a collection of subrings  $\{R_\alpha\}$ . Prove that  $\bigcap R_\alpha$  is a subring of  $R$ . Give an example to show that the union of two subrings need not be a subring.

Proof: Let  $S$  be the intersection of a collection of subrings of the ring  $R$ . That is,  $S = \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  where  $I$  is an indexed set and each  $S_i$  is a subring of  $R$ . We will use the Subring Test to show that  $S$  is indeed a subring of  $R$ .

We first begin with a claim and its proof to use it later on.

Claim: If  $S$  is a subring of a ring  $R$  then  $0 \in S$ .

Proof of Claim: If  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  then  $S$  is nonempty. Let  $x \in S$ . Then since  $S$  is a ring and has closure under additive inverses and addition, we have that  $x + (-x) \in S$ . By definition of additive inverses,  $x + (-x) = 0$ . Thus  $0 \in S$ .

We now check the conditions of the Subring Test hold.

i) To show that  $S$  is nonempty, just apply the result from the claim. Since  $0 \in S_i$  for each  $i \in I$  we have that  $0 \in \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$ ; i.e.  $0 \in S$ .

ii) Next we show for all  $a, b \in S, a - b \in S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$ . By definition of  $S$  we see  $a, b \in S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . By assumption that each  $S_i$  is a subring (and so  $S_i$  is a ring), we have that  $a - b \in S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then by definition of intersection, this means that  $a - b \in \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . That is,  $a - b \in S$ .

iii) Lastly we show that for all  $a, b \in S, ab \in S$ . Let  $a, b \in S$ . By definition of  $S$  we see that  $a, b \in S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . By assumption that each  $S_i$  is a subring (and so  $S_i$  is a ring), we have that  $ab \in S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then by definition of intersection, this means that  $ab \in \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . That is,  $ab \in S$ .

Thus  $S$  is a subring by the Subring Test.

To give an example to show that the union of two subrings need not be a subring, consider the following:

$$R = \mathbb{Z} \quad S = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad T = \{3n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Note that  $R$  is a ring and  $S$  and  $T$  are subrings of  $R$  (one can easily verify this – see Example 16.9 in the text). We will show that  $S \cup T$  is not a subring of  $R$ . Consider two elements:  $2 \in S, 3 \in T$ . Clearly both are in  $S \cup T$ . However  $2 + 3 = 5 \notin S \cup T$ . So  $S \cup T$  is not a ring (and hence not a subring of  $R$ ).  $\square$

28) A ring  $R$  is a Boolean ring if for every  $a \in R, a^2 = a$ . Show that every Boolean ring is a commutative ring.

Proof: We know that  $R$  is a commutative ring if  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

Let  $a, b \in R$ . Notice that since  $R$  is a Boolean ring and  $a, b \in R$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= (a + b)^2 \\ &= (a + b)(a + b) \\ &= a(a + b) + b(a + b) \\ &= a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 \\ &= a + ab + ba + b^2 \quad \because a^2 = a \quad \text{and} \quad b^2 = b. \end{aligned}$$

By subtracting  $a + b$  from both sides we have that  $0 = ab + ba$ . So  $-ab = ba$ . We are almost done since we want to show that  $ab = ba$ . To conclude, we will show that for all  $c \in R, -c = c$ . Let  $c \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} -c &= (-c)^2 \\ &= (-c)(-c) \\ &= -c(-c) \\ &= -(-c^2) \\ &= c^2 \\ &= c \quad \because R \text{ is boolean.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $-ab = ba \implies ab = ba$  since  $-c = c$  for all  $c \in R$  and both  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary elements in  $R$  as well.  $\square$

34) Let  $p$  be prime. Prove that

$$Z_{(p)} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \gcd(b, p) = 1 \right\}$$

is a ring.

Proof: To show that  $Z_{(p)}$  is a ring, we can verify directly by checking all of the properties of a ring using the definition of a ring. Or, better yet, we can show that  $Z_{(p)}$  is a subring of a known ring and hence is a ring itself. We will show the latter.

Notice that as sets,  $Z_{(p)} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a well-known ring. We will show that  $Z_{(p)}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by using the Subring Test.

i) To show that  $Z_{(p)}$  is nonempty, simply take  $a = 1, b = 1$  which is an element of  $Z_{(p)}$  since  $\gcd(b, p) = 1$  for any  $p$  prime.

ii) Next we show that for all  $r, s \in Z_{(p)}, rs \in Z_{(p)}$ . Let  $r, s \in Z_{(p)}$  So  $r = \frac{a}{b}, s = \frac{c}{d}$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gcd(b, p) = \gcd(d, p) = 1$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} rs &= \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} \\ &= \frac{ac}{bd}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $ac \in \mathbb{Z}, bd \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also  $\gcd(bd, p) = 1$  since  $\gcd(b, p) = \gcd(d, p) = 1$ . Thus  $rs = \frac{ac}{bd} \in Z_{(p)}$ .

iii) Lastly we show that for all  $r, s \in Z_{(p)}, r - s \in Z_{(p)}$ . Let  $r, s \in Z_{(p)}$  as before in ii). Then

$$\begin{aligned} r - s &= \frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} \\ &= \frac{ad - bc}{bd}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $ad - bc \in \mathbb{Z}, bd \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gcd(bd, p) = 1$  since  $\gcd(b, p) = \gcd(d, p) = 1$ . Thus  $\frac{ad - bc}{bd} \in Z_{(p)}$ .

By the Subring Test, we conclude that  $Z_{(p)}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and so  $Z_{(p)}$  is a ring. □

38) An element  $x$  in a ring is called idempotent if  $x^2 = x$ . Prove that the only idempotent in an integral domain are 0 and 1. Find a ring with an idempotent  $x$  not equal to 0 or 1.

Proof: Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $x \in R$  be an idempotent element. Then

$$x^2 = x \implies x^2 - x = 0 \implies x(x - 1) = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is an integral domain, there are no zero divisors. Thus  $x = 0$  or  $x - 1 = 0$ . So the only idempotents are 0 and 1.

To give an example of a ring with an idempotent  $x$  not equal to 0 or 1, consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Continually squaring elements in  $(\text{mod } 12)$  we have that  $0^2 \equiv 0, 1^2 \equiv 1, 2^2 \equiv 4, 3^2 \equiv 9, 4^2 \equiv 4, 5^2 \equiv 1, 6^2 \equiv 0, 7^2 \equiv 1, 8^2 \equiv 4, 9^2 \equiv 9, 10^2 \equiv 4, 11^2 \equiv 1$ . So in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  the idempotent elements are 0, 1, 4, and 9. So we have found a ring with idempotent elements other than the trivial ones of 0 and 1 so we are done. □