Abstract Algebra Homework 1

Joe Loser

January 22, 2016

This problem set includes problems from sections 1.3 and 2.3.

- 1.3: Problems 24c and 29
- 2.3: Problems 15b, 18, and 26.

24c) Let $f: X \to Y$ be a map with $A_1, A_2 \subset X$ and $B_1, B_2 \subset Y$. Prove

$$f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) = f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$$

where $f^{-1}(B) = \{ x \in X : f(x) \in B \}.$

<u>Proof</u>: First, we will show $f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$. If $x \in f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2)$, then $f(x) \in B_1 \cup B_2$. So, either $f(x) \in B_1$ or $f(x) \in B_2$. Therefore, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ or $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$. Hence, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$, i.e. $f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$.

Next, we will show that $f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2)$. Let $x \in f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ or $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$. So, either $f(x) \in f(B_1)$ or $f(x) \in f(B_2)$. Hence, $f(x) \in B_1 \cup B_2$. Therefore, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2)$.

Putting it all together, we have shown that $f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) = f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$.

29) Define a relation on $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\,(0,0)\,\}$ by letting $(x_1,y_1)\sim(x_2,y_2)$ if there exists a nonzero real number λ such that $(x_1,y_1)=(\lambda x_2,\lambda y_2)$. Prove that \sim defines an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{\,(0,0)\,\}$. What are the corresponding equivalence classes? This equivalence relation defines the projective line, which is very important in geometry.

<u>Proof</u>: In order to show \sim is an equivalence relation, we need to show it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- i) Reflexive: Since $x = 1 \cdot x$ and $y = 1 \cdot y$, $(x, y) \sim (x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.
- ii) Symmetric: Suppose $(x_1,y_1) \sim (x_2,y_2)$. Then $x_1 = \lambda x_2$ and $y_1 = \lambda y_2$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Then, $\lambda^{-1} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and $x_2 = \lambda^{-1}x_1$ and $y_2 = \lambda^{-1}y_1$. Therefore, $(x_2,y_2) \sim (x_1,y_1)$.
- iii) Transitive: Suppose $(x_1,y_1) \sim (x_2,y_2)$ and $(x_2,y_2) \sim (x_3,y_3)$. We want to show that $(x_1,y_1) \sim (x_3,y_3)$. So, we have that $x_1 = \lambda x_2, y_1 = \lambda y_2, x_2 = \alpha x_3$ and $y_2 = \alpha y_3$ for some $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Therefore, $\lambda \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and

$$x_1 = \lambda \alpha x_3$$
 and $y_1 = \lambda \alpha y_3$. Hence, $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_3, y_3)$.

Since \sim is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is an equivalence relation. The corresponding equivalence class of (x, y) is the line passing through (x, y) and the origin.

15b) For the following pair of numbers a and b, calculate gcd(a,b) and find integers r and s such that gcd(a,b)=ra+sb.

Solution: Using the Euclidean Algorithm, we have that:

$$234 = 165(1) + 69$$

$$165 = 69(2) + 27$$

$$69 = 27(2) + 15$$

$$27 = 15(1) + 12$$

$$15 = 12(1) + 3$$

$$12 = 3(4) + 0$$

Reversing our steps, 3 divides 12, 3 divides 15, 3 divides 27, 3 divides 69, 3 divides 165, and 3 divides 234. If d were another common divisor of 234 and 165, then d would also have to divide 3. Therefore, gcd(234, 165) = 3.

Working backwards through the above sequence of equations, we can find integers r and s that satisfy

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(234,165) = 3 = 234r + 165s.$$

So, we have the following:

$$\begin{split} 3 &= 15 + (-1)(12) \\ &= [69 - 2(27)] + (-1)[27 + (-1)(15)] \\ &= [69 - 2(27)] + (-1)(27) + 15 \\ &= 69 + (-3)(27) + 69 - 27(2) \\ &= 2(69) + (-5)(27) \\ &= 2[234 - 165] + (-5)[165 + (-2)(69)] \\ &= 234(2) + 165(-2) + 165(-5) + 10(69) \\ &= 234(2) + 165(-7) + 10(69) \\ &= 234(2) + 165(-7) + 10[234 + (-1)(165)] \\ &= 234(2) + 165(-7) + 234(10) + 165(-10) \\ &= 234(12) + 165(-17) \end{split}$$

Hence, r = 12, s = -17 satisfies 234r + 165s = 3.

18) Let $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that gcd(a,b)=1. Let r,s be integers such that ar+bs=1. Prove that

$$gcd(a, s) = gcd(r, b) = gcd(r, s) = 1.$$

<u>Proof</u>: Let d := gcd(a, s). We want to show that d = 1. By definition of gcd, we have that d|a and d|s. Therefore, d|(ar + bs). However, (ar + bs) = 1, so d|1. Hence, the only option is for d = 1.

A similar argument can be made for the remaining pairs of numbers: (r, b) and (r, s). It will conclude with d|1 and hence the only option is for d to be equal to 1.

26) Prove that $\gcd(a,c)=\gcd(b,c)=1$ if and only if $\gcd(ab,c)=1$ for integers a,b and c.

Proof:

 \rightarrow : First, we will show that gcd(a,c) = gcd(b,c) = 1 implies gcd(ab,c) = 1. Note that 1|ab and 1|c. Then, it suffices to show there exists integers x and y such that

$$abx + cy = 1$$

Since $\gcd(a,c)=\gcd(b,c)=1,$ by definition of gcd, there exists integers k,l,m and n such that

$$ak + cl = 1$$
 and $bm + cn = 1$

Multiplying these two equations by one another yields the following:

$$abkm + ackn + cblm + ccln = 1$$

which can be factored as such:

$$ab(km) + c(akn + blm + cln) = 1$$

Hence, x = km, y = akn + blm + cln.

 \leftarrow : Next, to prove that gcd(ab,c)=1 implies gcd(a,c)=gcd(b,c)=1, notice that by assumption, there exists integers x and y such that

$$abx + cy = 1$$

This can be written as a(bx) + cy = 1 and b(ax) + cy = 1. Hence, there exists integers k', l', m', n' such that

$$ak' + cl' = 1$$
 and $bm' + cn' = 1$

This implies that gcd(a, c) = gcd(b, c) = 1.

Therefore, we have shown that $gcd(a,c) = gcd(b,c) \iff gcd(ab,c) = 1$.