```
Sap.
 Notation:
               A(x)^{T} = [\nabla G(x), ..., \nabla Cm(x)]
               A(x) \rightarrow Jacobbi Matrix of <math>C(x) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ C_m(x) \end{pmatrix}
            \Rightarrow \begin{cases} C(x) \approx C_{k} + A_{k} P \\ f(x) \approx f_{k} + V f_{k}^{T} P + P^{T} V^{2} f_{k} P \end{cases}
\Rightarrow \beta asic Model of SQP
                                                        Penalty Method
   Origin Problem:
                         min f(x)
                                                        Augmented Lagrangian Method
                          st
                                ((x)=0
                                                      LA(x)= f(x)+ ATC(x) + IN ECETX
                     Reformulate (at XK)
                  min fx+ Ptx P+ PT P2fxP
                  s.t Ck+ Akp=0
                  min fr+ TfkTp + pT Txx Lk (Xk, Nk) P
                  St CK+ AKP=0
    Motivation: use Newton Method to solve KK7 of [0]
       1. KKT of [0] { \nabla f(x) - A(x)^T \lambda = 0
                                  C(x) = 0
                         =) \left[ \begin{cases} \sqrt{3} f(x) - A(x)^{T} \lambda \\ f(x) - A(x) \end{cases} \right] = 0 = F(x, \lambda)
```

2. Newton Method

[7 Ci --- 7 Cm]

$$F(x, \lambda) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F(x_{in}, \lambda_{kij}) \approx F(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) + F'(x_{in}, \lambda_{in}) \begin{pmatrix} Px \\ P\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ A_{in} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Px \\ P\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla d_{in}^{T} + A_{in}^{T} \lambda_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ A_{in} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Px \\ P\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla d_{in}^{T} + A_{in}^{T} \lambda_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ A_{in}^{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Px \\ A_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla d_{in}^{T} + A_{in}^{T} \lambda_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{in}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{ix}^{2}L(x_{in}, \lambda_{ki}) & -A_{in}^{T} \\ -C_{in}^{T} & -C_{i$$

مينك بطياح

Note: For a merit $f^2 \phi(c)$ for priblem: min f(x) sit c(x) = 0it needs to satisfy:

0 decrease $\beta \Rightarrow \begin{cases} less & objective value \\ more feasibility \end{cases}$ (2) minimizer of $\phi(\cdot) \Rightarrow \text{KKT of } t(\cdot) \text{ (or optimal)}$ 3 step p of SPP \Rightarrow desent direction for $p(\cdot)$ $((x)^{\tau}((x))$ Why $\beta(x,\mu) = f(x) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|c(x)\|_2^2$ not a merit $f^2 ? \sqrt{c_2}$ $\left(C_{l}(x) \leftarrow f(n(x)) = 2C_{l}(x) C_{l}(x)$ $\nabla_{x}p_{2}(x,\mu) = \nabla f(x) + \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla c(x) c(x)$ => p is a descent direction $\nabla_{x} \not \geq (x, \mu)^{T} p = p^{T} \nabla_{x} \not q_{2}$ = pT \(\frac{1}{2} \) + \(\frac{1}{2} \) pT \(\frac{1}{2} \) \(\lambda \) A(x) p=-((x) $= - p^{T} W(x) p + \chi^{T} A(x) p + \frac{1}{M} p^{T} \mathcal{D}(x) C(x)$ = - p W(x) p + A A(x) p + - I C(x) (x) $= -p^{7} \mathcal{M}(x) p - \lambda^{T}(x) - \frac{1}{m} c(x)^{7}(x)$ maximum = const + $\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{7}\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^{7}\lambda$

Fle ctcher's Augmented Lagrangian Merit Jun .

2 types

