

## Additional Problem Set for Lecture 1: Infinity

(1) Do you think the following argument is logically valid, too?

(P1) Jacques does not put forward arguments. (P2) If Jacques is a philosopher, then he puts forward arguments. (C) Jacques is not a philosopher.

(2) Show that for all positive natural numbers  $n$  (all natural numbers  $n$  greater than 0):

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

(Hint: Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n} = x$ ; then divide both sides of the equation by 2 and determine  $x$  by calculation.)

By the way, this also proves that for all positive natural numbers  $n$ :  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} < 1$ .

That is, however large the  $n$ , the sum  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n}$  is bounded by 1.

(3) Is the set  $\{9,3,1\}$  identical to the set  $\{3,9,1\}$ ?

(4) Is the set  $\{9,3,1\}$  a subset of the set  $\{3,9,1\}$ ? Is it a proper subset?

(5) Show that the set  $\{2,4,6,8,\dots\}$  of even natural numbers is infinite (in the sense of having equally many members as some of its proper subsets, or, in other words, in the sense that there is a pairing off between  $\{2,4,6,8,\dots\}$  and some of its proper subsets).

Solution:

(1) Yes, the argument is logically valid.

We need to show that if P1 and P2 are true, then necessarily also C is true.

Suppose P1 and P2 to be true. Now assume for reductio that C is false: so Jacques is a philosopher. By P2, he also puts forward arguments then. But this contradicts P1. So C must be true.

The logical rule that corresponds to this argument is called 'Modus Tollens' in the philosophical tradition.

(2)  $1/2 + 1/4 + \dots + 1/2^n = x$

By dividing both sides by 2:

$$1/4 + 1/8 + \dots + 1/2^{(n+1)} = x/2$$

Now subtract  $1/4 + 1/8 + \dots + 1/2^{(n+1)}$  from  $1/2 + 1/4 + \dots + 1/2^n$  on the left, and subtract  $x/2$  from  $x$  on the right. This leaves us with:

$$1/2 - 1/2^{(n+1)} = x/2$$

That is:

$$1 - 1/2^n = x$$

(3) Yes, as they have the same members.

(4) Yes; in fact, every set is a subset of itself. And no; no set is a proper subset of itself.

(5) For instance, the set  $\{4,8,12,16,\dots\}$  of positive natural numbers that are multiples of 4 is a proper subset of  $\{2,4,6,8,\dots\}$ , and there exists a pairing off between  $\{2,4,6,8,\dots\}$  and  $\{4,8,12,16,\dots\}$ :

$$2 \leftrightarrow 4$$

$$4 \leftrightarrow 8$$

$$6 \leftrightarrow 12$$

$$8 \leftrightarrow 16$$

and so on.

In general (for  $n$  being an arbitrary positive natural number):

$$2n \leftrightarrow 4n$$