

There are two possible *acts*:

- ▶ **Act 1:** Switch
- ▶ **Act 2:** Not-Switch

There are two possible *acts*:

- ▶ **Act 1:** Switch
- ▶ **Act 2:** Not-Switch

There are two possible *outcomes*:

- ▶ **Outcome 1:** You get a goat.
- ▶ **Outcome 2:** You get the car.

We calculate the **expected utilities** of both acts:

$$EU(\text{Switch}) = (2/3) \cdot U + (1/3) \cdot u$$

$$EU(\text{Not} - \text{Switch}) = (1/3) \cdot U + (2/3) \cdot u$$

We calculate the **expected utilities** of both acts:

$$\begin{aligned}EU(\text{Switch}) &= (2/3) \cdot U + (1/3) \cdot u \\EU(\text{Not} - \text{Switch}) &= (1/3) \cdot U + (2/3) \cdot u\end{aligned}$$

As  $U > u$ , we see that

$$EU(\text{Switch}) - EU(\text{Not} - \text{Switch}) = (1/3) \cdot (U - u) > 0.$$

## The decision problem

1. There are a number of acts  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of which we have to choose one.
2. There are a number of mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m$ , i.e. one of these outcomes occurs.
3. If we choose an act, outcome  $i$  occurs with probability  $P(O_i)$  and has a utility  $U(O_i)$ .

## The decision problem

1. There are a number of acts  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of which we have to choose one.
2. There are a number of mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_m$ , i.e. one of these outcomes occurs.
3. If we choose an act, then outcome  $i$  occurs with probability  $P(O_i)$  and has utility  $U(O_i)$ .

The expected utility of an act  $A$  is then given by

$$EU(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m P(O_i)U(O_i).$$

There are two possible acts.

- ▶ **Act 1:** We insure the car.
- ▶ **Act 2:** We do not insure the car.

There are two possible *acts*.

- ▶ **Act 1:** We insure the car.
- ▶ **Act 2:** We do not insure the car.

There are two possible *states of the world*.

- ▶ **State 1:** The car breaks in an accident.
- ▶ **State 2:** The car does not break in an accident.



The expected monetary values:

$$EV(A_1) = p(-m\$) + (1-p)(-m\$) = -m\$$$

$$EV(A_2) = p(-M\$) + (1-p)(0\$) = -pM\$$$

There is hence an expected monetary gain to buying insurance whenever  $m \leq pM$ .

The expected utilities:

$$EU(A_1) = p U(-m\$) + (1 - p) U(-m\$) = U(-m\$)$$

$$EU(A_2) = p U(-M\$) + (1 - p) U(0\$) = p U(-M\$)$$

Here we have set  $U(0\$) = 0$ .

**Dominance:** An act  $A$  dominates an act  $B$  if and only if the outcome of  $A$  will be as good as the outcome of  $B$  no matter which state of the world happens to be the true one, and strictly better under at least one state of the world.

Utility functions are only unique up to a positive linear transformation:

$$u_i \rightarrow u'_i = a \cdot u_i + b \text{ with } a > 0.$$

Utility functions are only unique up to a positive linear transformation:

$$u_i \rightarrow u'_i = a \cdot u_i + b \text{ with } a > 0.$$

$EU$  is the utility function under  $u$ , and  $EU'$  is the utility function under  $u'$ . We then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} EU'(A) &= \sum p_i u'_i = \sum p_i (a \cdot u_i + b) \\ &= a \cdot (\sum p_i u_i) + b \\ &= a \cdot EU(A) + b \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $EU'(A) > EU'(B)$  if and only if  $EU(A) > EU(B)$ .

A set of **basic prizes**  $X = \{A, B, C, \dots\}$ .

Each basic prize is a **lottery** which you may win.

Choose a lottery in which you win  $A$  with probability  $p$  and  $B$  with a probability  $1 - p$ . That is, if  $A$  and  $B$  are lotteries, then

$$pA + (1 - p)B$$

is also a lottery.

We denote the latter lottery by  $ApB$ .

- ▶  $A \succ B$ : you **strictly prefer** lottery  $A$  to lottery  $B$ .
- ▶  $A \prec B$ : you **strictly prefer** lottery  $B$  to lottery  $A$ .
- ▶  $A \sim B$ : you are **indifferent** between lotteries  $A$  and  $B$ .

## The von Neumann Morgenstern Axioms

Consider a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of lotteries.

**1. Completeness:** For all lotteries  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A \succ B$  or  $A \prec B$  or  $A \sim B$ .



## The von Neumann Morgenstern Axioms

Consider a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of lotteries.

- 1. Completeness:** For all lotteries  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A \succ B$  or  $A \prec B$  or  $A \sim B$ .
- 2. Transitivity:** For all lotteries  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , if  $A \succ B$  and  $B \succ C$ , then  $A \succ C$ .

## The von Neumann Morgenstern Axioms

Consider a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of lotteries.

- 1. Completeness:** For all lotteries  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A \succ B$  or  $A \prec B$  or  $A \sim B$ .
- 2. Transitivity:** For all lotteries  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , if  $A \succ B$  and  $B \succ C$ , then  $A \succ C$ .
- 3. Continuity:** For all lotteries  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , if  $A \succ B \succ C$ , then there are probabilities  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $ApC \succ B \succ AqC$ .

## The von Neumann Morgenstern Axioms

Consider a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of lotteries.

- 1. Completeness:** For all lotteries  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A \succ B$  or  $A \prec B$  or  $A \sim B$ .
- 2. Transitivity:** For all lotteries  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , if  $A \succ B$  and  $B \succ C$ , then  $A \succ C$ .
- 3. Continuity:** For all lotteries  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , if  $A \succ B \succ C$ , then there are probabilities  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $ApC \succ B \succ AqC$ .
- 4. Independence:** For all lotteries  $A, B, C$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A \succ B$  if and only if  $ApC \succ BpC$ .

The Neumann and Morgenstern **representation theorem**.

A preference relation  $\succ$  satisfies the axioms 1 to 4, if and only if there exists a utility function  $u$  such that

- (i) if  $A \succ B$ , then  $u(A) > u(B)$ ,
- (ii)  $u(ApB) = p u(A) + (1 - p) u(B)$ ,
- (iii) for every other function  $u'$  that satisfies (i) and (ii), there are numbers  $a > 0$  and  $b$  such that  $u' = a u + b$ .

$$u(A) - u(B) = u(C) - u(D)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$u'(A) - u'(B) = u'(C) - u'(D)$$

$O$ : optimal element in the lottery

$W$ : worst element in the lottery

We set:

$$u(O) = 1$$

$$u(W) = 0$$

$O$ : optimal element in the lottery

$W$ : worst element in the lottery

We set:

$$u(O) = 1$$

$$u(W) = 0$$

If  $OpW \sim A$ , then  $u(A) = p$ .

## The Allais Paradox

Choice 1:

A: a cheap car for sure

B: nothing (1%) or an expensive car (10%) or a cheap car (89%)



## The Allais Paradox

Choice 1:

A: a cheap car for sure

B: nothing (1%) or an expensive car (10%) or a cheap car (89%)

Choice 2:

E: a cheap car (11%) or nothing (89%)

F: an expensive car (10%) or nothing (90%)

We set:

$$u(\text{nothing}) = 0$$

$$u(\text{expensive car}) = 1$$

$$u(\text{cheap car}) = x$$

We set:

$$u(\text{nothing}) = 0,$$

$$u(\text{expensive car}) = 1$$

$$u(\text{cheap car}) = x$$

Then

$$u(A) - u(B) = x - (.1 + .89x) = .11x - .1$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(E) - u(F) &= .11x - .1 \\ &= u(A) - u(B) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if there is a utility function  $u$ , then  $A \succ B \Leftrightarrow E \succ F$ .

## The Ellsberg Paradox

An urn contains contains 90 balls. 30 of these balls are red. The remaining 60 balls are either blue or yellow.

Choice 1:

**G:** three nights in a luxury hotel in St. Petersburg if a red ball is drawn

**H:** three nights in a luxury hotel in St. Petersburg if a blue ball is drawn

## The Ellsberg Paradox

An urn contains contains 90 balls. 30 of these balls are red. The remaining 60 balls are either blue or yellow.

Choice 1:

**G:** three nights in a luxury hotel in St. Petersburg if a red ball is drawn

**H:** three nights in a luxury hotel in St. Petersburg if a blue ball is drawn

Choice 2:

**K:** three nights in a luxury hotel in St. Petersburg if a red or yellow ball is drawn

**L:** three nights in a luxury hotel in St. Petersburg if a blue or yellow ball is drawn