Joel Cheverle 1002924393

CSC 2515: Assignment 2

1. The Gaussian mixture model 1s:

P(+)= & MKN(X | MK, EK)

Let M(ZK) = P(ZK=1) P(X|ZK=1) (by Bayes Rale)

2 P(ZK=1) P(X|ZK=1)

3=1

= MKN(XIMK, SK)

KZT) N(XIM; S;)

j=1

So then, given X, we are trying to maximize the likelihood of parameters up and Ex, where Ex=5 . Tk.

So we can rewrite p(X/µK, TIK, EE) to a product of Sums of Marginals, to find the likelihood:

P(X) TIK, NK, SI)= # [E TIK N(X) NK, S)

Taking logs, we can consider the log likelihood, as this will be easier to work with.

2(X) = In (p(X/The, MR, S)) = & In (& THE N(X/MR, S))

Similarly, note that

N(X | µK, S) = (2T) 0/2 | S| 1/2 e = (X(A) - µK) TS (X(A) - µK))

First we can take the derivative of L(X) w.r.t. Mk

Delth = 3 MR & In (& TIRN (XIME, 8)) = 3 MK & M(& TK (2T) DZ ° TE) & - = 2 ((X/M)-MK) TE (X/M-MK))) (by the property of logs that log(= log(A) - log(B) and as the denominator does not depend on the index k, it can be treated as a constant and separated out of the sum) Now the second term above does not depend on MK, so it can be ignored, as the derivative wint HIK there is o Looking at the first ferm = 5 = T, == ((x(n)-y)) TE-(x(n)-y)) The== ((x(n)-h) 5-(x(n)-h)) - (=5-(x(n)-h)) Setting this to O, we can Find up. $0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}$ the derivative being zero) O- S M(ZAK) (+(M)-MK) (by definition of M(ZK) (=> , \$\langle \langle (\frac{1}{2}\langle (\f Looking next at &, , 20(4) = 32 5 h(\$ TKN(X) HK, \$))

Now \$5N(x10) [MXS) = 35 1270121 6 5 ((*M)-HK) + SI+ (*M)-HK)) = (32 JUNDIEI) e= (4(1)-ME)TET (4(11)-ME)) + (JUNDIEI) (38 e= (4(11)-ME) ET (4(11)-ME))) (by Product Rule) = 1/270 (-1/2) (181)-1/2 (8-1)7 = (410)-ME) TE-1 (410)-ME) + (5270181) = (410)-ME) . (1) where (D)= 32 (= 2 (x(n)-MK)TE" (x(n)=MK)) = 2 (E" (x(n)-MK)(x(n)-MK)TE")T So putting it together = - \frac{12}{2} (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \f =- = N(X(n) | MK, E) [(S+)] - (E+) (x(n)-MK) (x(n)-MK) (E+))] Putting this result back into (*), we have: 30(4) = 8 TEN(x(n) | MES) [-{2((5'))} - (5'(x(n)-MK)(x(n) To maximize this, set it to 0. 0=== 280(24K)[(2')]-(2')(4')-ME)(4')-ME)[Z')] == = (8") & o(=nk) [1-(8-(+(n)-MK)(+(n)-MK))) = 2 8 (ENK) - 2 8 (ENK) (5" (+(N)-MK)(+(M)-MK)))) (as the Scaling Factor - 1/2(2) can just be removed)

But as Six = E + k, we know that & is symmetric. Herico, & M(ZNE) = & M(ZNE) (x(n)-ME) (x(n)-ME) T &-1 So, $Z = Z \Upsilon(z_{nk}) (t^{(n)} - \mu_k) (t^{(n)} - \mu_k)^{T}$ $Z (\zeta(z_{nk}))$ Participations this test back and (al) app house.

Finally, to obtain TK, we can also use MLE, with a few extra tricks. 2 In(P(+1) T, m, E) with constraint & TR=1 As suggested in the tettbook, we can use a Lagrange multiplier. SIMPHIT, M, E) = 0 (as we want to find the MLE) (=> 0= 3 K & In (& TEN(+(n) | ME, EE)) + A (1- EETE) | A is the Lagrange multiplier) 0= 2 3 THE IN (& THE N(+(1)) MK, SE)) + A 3 (1- 5, The) 0 = 2 1 (2K THE N (+(1)) MK, SE) · (N (+(1)) MK, SE) + A (-1) 0 = 2 N(x(n) | HR, SE) N-1 SK(TK N(x(n) | HK, SK) - 7 0= TK. & M(x(n) | MK, SE) - J. TK (as multiplying by a constant doesn't change 1+ being 0) 0= \$ r(zak) - TE] (x) So then, one can sum over k, to yield 0= 5 2 8(21K) - A 5 TK (=> 0= N-A (as & TR=1) (=> N=A Hence by (*), we get that TK= \$ \(\times \tag{Y} \) \(\tag{Y} \)

So putting it all together, the equations which maximize the likelihood Function for all of the parameters are:

$$MK = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(z_{n}K) \chi^{(n)} , \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}(z_{n}K) (\chi^{(n)} - MK) (\chi^{(n)} - MK)^{T}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(z_{n}K) \chi^{(n)} , \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}(z_{n}K) (\chi^{(n)} - MK) (\chi^{(n)} - MK)^{T}$$