

**Appellant:** Cid de Assis Oliveira

**Appellee:** Banco Santander Brasil S/A

**Summary:**

The Appellant, Cid de Assis Oliveira, is appealing a decision by the Minas Gerais Court of Justice that upheld a lower court ruling in favor of Banco Santander Brasil S/A. The Appellant claims that the bank has improperly appropriated funds from his Fundo de Garantia do Tempo de Serviço (FGTS) account, which is a mandatory severance pay fund for workers in Brazil.

The Appellant argues that the bank's actions violate his constitutional right to property and his right to due process. He also argues that the bank's actions are contrary to Brazilian law, which prohibits the seizure of FGTS funds.

The Appellant is requesting that the Supreme Federal Court (STF) overturn the lower court rulings and order the bank to return his FGTS funds to him.

**Key Points:**

- The Appellant claims that the bank has improperly appropriated funds from his FGTS account.
- The Appellant argues that the bank's actions violate his constitutional right to property and his right to due process.
- The Appellant also argues that the bank's actions are contrary to Brazilian law, which prohibits the seizure of FGTS funds.
- The Appellant is requesting that the STF overturn the lower court rulings and order the bank to return his FGTS funds to him.

**Arguments:**

- The Appellant argues that the bank's actions violate his constitutional right to property because the FGTS is a mandatory severance pay fund that is owned by the worker.
- The Appellant argues that the bank's actions violate his right to due process because he was not given an opportunity to be heard before the bank appropriated his funds.
- The Appellant argues that the bank's actions are contrary to Brazilian law because Article 2, § 2 of Law No. 8,036/1990 prohibits the seizure of FGTS funds.

**Conclusion:**

The Appellant's case raises important legal questions about the ownership of FGTS funds and the rights of workers in Brazil. The STF's decision in this case could have a significant impact on millions of Brazilian workers.

**Additional Notes:**

- The Appellant has requested that the STF recognize the general repercussion of this case. This means that the STF's decision could apply to other cases involving similar facts and legal issues.
- The Appellant has also requested that the STF allow him to file a writ of mandamus. A writ of mandamus is a court order that compels a government official to perform a specific duty.