PS12

Joel Henriques

April 2020

1 Questions

- The results on the first graph still makes sense except for the log wages unless the units are in dollars per hour. The idea of have a child on the 75 and 100 percentile is equal to 1. Therefore, this should check out. So, at this point it is nothing too much to worry about.
- I think this is most likely MNAR, because there seems to be a range missing in the data for log wages between 1-1.5.
- The listwise delection method was the closest to the true value. The heckman selection was the furthest off whereas the imputation method was still off but did better. Therefore, I guess deleting your data works the best!(Just kidding)
- The last chart definitely seems realistic. It reports that having children takes away from the wages, which is true because you have to provide for another person which should decrease wages. Also, being married could increase wages because your significant other makes an income which should increase total revenue per person. Thus, the data seems realistic.

> stargazer(df, type = 'text')

F Statistic

Note:

Statistic	. N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	====== Max
logwage	1,545	1.652	0.688	-0.956	1.201	2.120	4.166
hgc	2,229	12.455	2.444	5	11	14	18
exper	2,229	6.435	4.867	0.000	2.452	9.778	25.000
kids	2,229	0.429	0.495	0	0	1	1

Dependent variable: logwage selection 0LS (2) 0.025 0.058*** 0.035*** hgc (0.006) (Inf.000) (0.009)0.068 0.021 0.002 (Inf.000) (0.073)(0.045)college -0.016 -0.079 -0.124** (Inf.000) (0.106)(0.048)0.011 0.016** 0.003 exper (0.004) (Inf.000)(0.006) ${\sf Constant}$ 1.366 0.891*** 1.202*** (Inf.000) (0.076)(0.112)1,545 2,229 0.032 0.016 Adjusted R2 0.029 0.014 -1,627.542 Log Likelihood -1.000 (Inf.000) rho 0.678 (df = 1540) 0.569 (df = 2224) Residual Std. Error

12.600*** (df = 4; 1540) 9.162*** (df = 4; 2224)

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Dependent variable: (1) (2) 0.474*** 0.461*** (0.117)(0.116)1.690 1.616 union (1.052)(1.050)college 12.694 12.538 (1,489.248)(1,500.565)0.606 married (0.528)-0.545 kids (0.528)-0.682 Constant -0.488 (12225) (1.163)

 Observations
 1,545
 1,545

 Log Likelihood
 -74.804
 -75.930

 Akaike Inf. Crit.
 161.608
 159.860

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Figure 1: The Tables

Note: