Panel Data Models

Panel Data

Panels are a hybrid data structure that lives between the traditional data structures of microeconomics and forecasting.

- Contain observations of multiple individuals
 - Similar to standard cross-sectional data
- Contain multiple observations of each individual
 - Makes the data a collection of [possibly multivariate] time series data

Panel Data

Forecasting algorithms like ARIMA models, VAR models, and GAMs struggle to cope with this kind of data structure

- How do we difference out a time series when we have multiple observations (of different individuals) in any given period?
- How do we control for unobservable or unmeasurable differences between individuals?

Panel Data

Panel data allows us to generalize much of what we can learn through time series analysis

- We can generalize the effect of covariates to more than one individual
- We can make forecasts for different groups simultaneously from the same model
- BUT! We must have previous observations from all individuals in all periods (called a balanced panel)

$$y_{it} = lpha_{it} + X_{it}eta + \epsilon_{it}$$

i: individual index, t: time index

We might start with the model above, but we wouldn't get far.

We have insufficient information to calculate the model!

$$\circ \ K + NT > NT$$

$$y_{it} = \alpha + X_{it}\beta + \epsilon_{it}$$

If we remove the individual-level intercepts, we can remedy our information problem.

ullet Now, so long as we choose a reasonable number of covariates, K < N, our model is feasible

$$y_{it} = \alpha + X_{it}\beta + \epsilon_{it}$$

Unfortunately, panel data means that we have correlated error terms within individuals.

There is no good reason to believe

$$corr(y_{it},y_{it+1})=0$$

 This is the same problem we saw with ARIMA models, but holds for each individual in our panel

$$y_{it} = \alpha + X_{it}\beta + \epsilon_{it}$$

We need to decompose our error terms so that

$$\epsilon_{it} = \mu_i + \nu_{it}$$

where μ_i is an individual **fixed effect**, and ν_{it} is the noise term.

$$y_{it} = \alpha + X_{it}\beta + \mu_i + \nu_{it}$$

Our model now has K+N parameters, and NT degrees of freedom.

ullet So long as K+N < NT, we can now solve our model!

$$y_{it} = \alpha + X_{it}\beta + \mu_i + \nu_{it}$$

The model can actually be solved using a modified form of OLS.

$$egin{aligned} y_{it} &= lpha + X_{it}eta + \mu_i +
u_{it} \ &\downarrow \ y_{it} - ar{y}_i &= (X_{it} - ar{X}_i)eta +
u_{it} - ar{
u}_i \ &\downarrow \ &\downarrow \ &\downarrow \ &\ddot{y}_{it} &= \ddot{X}_{it}eta + \ddot{
u}_{it} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \ddot{X}_{it}eta + \ddot{
u}_{it}$$

In effect, we difference each observation by subtracting the average values for a given individual over time, causing the intercept terms and individual fixed effects to be differenced out of the model.

$$ar{X}_i = rac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T X_{it}$$

Robust Standard Errors

When we use panel data, we must consider that the variance in predictive power will vary by individual (some are more noisy than others)

- We can't just use standard OLS error functions
- Need to correct for the differences in variance between individuals

Robust Standard Errors

$$Var(\beta) = \sigma^{2}(X'X)^{-1}(X'\Omega X)(X'X)^{-1}$$

but we can't know Ω . Instead, we need to estimate it.

- 1. Use OLS to estimate the model.
- 2. From OLS estimates, use the squared residuals to generate $\hat{\Sigma}$, an estimate of $\sigma^2\Omega$
- 3. Estimate Var(eta) as

$$(X'X)^{-1}(X'\hat{\Sigma}X)(X'X)^{-1}$$

4. In the case of clustered SE's, $\hat{\Sigma}$ is a blockwise diagonal matrix

```
# Import Libraries
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import statsmodels.api as sm
# Import Data
# Put it back on one line!
data = pd.read csv(
        'https://github.com/dustywhite7/Econ8310/raw
        /master/DataSets/firmInvestmentPanel.csv')
# Again, make the string one line...
y, x = pt.dmatrices("investment ~ market_value +
        capital + C(firm) + year + I(year**2)",
        data = data[data['year']<1954], return type='dataframe')</pre>
```

We can now explore our results, the effects of included variables, and what our forecasts might look like.

We need to determine how well we do at predicting out of sample with our current panel.

```
Mean Squared Error: 13288.423957448418

Mean Absolute Error: 77.27884184438867

Mean Absolute Percentage Error: 58.253213431705774
```

In this case, it looks like we need more information...

Lab Time!