blockDAGs

Jörn

$\mathrm{June}\ 28,\ 2021$

Contents

1	Definitions	2
2	Functions	2
3	Lemmas	2
	3.1 Tips	2
	3.2 Future Nodes	3
	3.3 Past Nodes	3
	3.4 Reduce Past	4
	3.5 Reduce Past Reflexiv	4
4	Definitions	6
5	Functions	6
6	Lemmas	6
	6.1 Genesis	7
	6.2 Tips	7
7	Future Nodes	11
	7.1 Reduce Past	11
	7.2 Reduce Past Reflexiv	13
	7.3 Genesis Graph	
8	Definitions	21
9	Functions	21

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ DAGs \\ \textbf{imports} \ Main \ Graph-Theory.Graph-Theory \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}$

1 Definitions

```
locale DAG = digraph +
assumes cycle-free: \neg(v \rightarrow^+_G v)
```

2 Functions

```
fun (in DAG) direct-past:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ set
  where direct-past a = \{b. (b \in verts \ G \land (a,b) \in arcs-ends \ G)\}
fun (in DAG) future-nodes:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set
  where future-nodes a = \{b. \ b \rightarrow^+_G a\}
fun (in DAG) past-nodes:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set
  where past-nodes a = \{b. \ a \rightarrow^+_G b\}
fun (in DAG) past-nodes-refl :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set
  where past-nodes-refl a = \{b. \ a \rightarrow^*_G b\}
fun (in DAG) reduce-past:: 'a \Rightarrow ('a,'b) pre-digraph
  reduce-past a = induce-subgraph G (past-nodes a)
fun (in DAG) reduce-past-refl:: 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pre-digraph
  where
  reduce-past-refl a = induce-subgraph G (past-nodes-refl a)
fun (in DAG) is-tip:: 'a \Rightarrow bool
  where is-tip a = ((a \in verts \ G) \land (ALL \ x. \neg x \rightarrow^+ a))
definition (in DAG) tips:: 'a set
  where tips = \{v. is-tip v\}
```

3 Lemmas

```
lemma (in DAG) unidirectional:

u \to^+_G v \longrightarrow \neg (v \to^*_G u)

using cycle-free reachable1-reachable-trans by auto
```

3.1 Tips

```
lemma (in DAG) del-tips-dag:
assumes is-tip t
shows DAG (del-vert t)
unfolding DAG-def DAG-axioms-def
proof safe
show digraph (del-vert t) using del-vert-simps DAG-axioms
digraph-def
using digraph-subgraph subgraph-del-vert
```

```
by auto
next
   \mathbf{fix} \ v
   assume v \to^+ del\text{-}vert\ t\ v
   then have v \to^+ v using subgraph-del-vert
     by (meson arcs-ends-mono trancl-mono)
   then show False
     by (simp add: cycle-free)
 \mathbf{qed}
      Future Nodes
3.2
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{DAG}) \ \mathit{future-nodes-not-refl} :
 assumes a \in verts G
 shows a \notin future-nodes a
 using cycle-free future-nodes.simps reachable-def by auto
3.3
      Past Nodes
lemma (in DAG) past-nodes-not-refl:
 assumes a \in verts G
 shows a \notin past-nodes a
 using cycle-free past-nodes.simps reachable-def by auto
lemma (in DAG) past-nodes-verts:
 shows past-nodes a \subseteq verts G
 using past-nodes.simps reachable1-in-verts by auto
lemma (in DAG) past-nodes-refl-ex:
 assumes a \in verts G
 shows a \in past-nodes-refl a
 using past-nodes-refl.simps reachable-refl assms
 by simp
lemma (in DAG) past-nodes-refl-verts:
 shows past-nodes-refl a \subseteq verts G
 using past-nodes.simps reachable-in-verts by auto
lemma (in DAG) finite-past: finite (past-nodes a)
 by (metis finite-verts rev-finite-subset past-nodes-verts)
lemma (in DAG) future-nodes-verts:
 shows future-nodes a \subseteq verts G
 using future-nodes.simps reachable1-in-verts by auto
lemma (in DAG) finite-future: finite (future-nodes a)
 by (metis finite-verts rev-finite-subset future-nodes-verts)
```

lemma (in DAG) past-future-dis[simp]: past-nodes $a \cap future$ -nodes $a = \{\}$

proof (rule ccontr)

```
assume \neg past-nodes a \cap future-nodes a = \{\}
 then show False
    {\bf using} \ past-nodes. simps \ unidirectional \ reachable 1-reachable
by blast
qed
      Reduce Past
3.4
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-arcs:
 shows arcs (reduce\text{-}past\ a) \subseteq arcs\ G
 using induce-subgraph-arcs past-nodes.simps by auto
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-arcs2:
 e \in arcs \ (reduce\text{-}past \ a) \Longrightarrow e \in arcs \ G
 using reduce-past-arcs by auto
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-induced-subgraph:
 shows induced-subgraph (reduce-past a) G
 using induced-induce past-nodes-verts by auto
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-path:
 assumes u \to^+_{reduce-past\ a} v
 shows u \to^+_G v
 using assms
proof induct
 case base then show ?case
   using dominates-induce-subgraphD r-into-trancl' reduce-past.simps
   by metis
next case (step \ u \ v) show ?case
   using dominates-induce-subgraphD reachable1-reachable-trans reachable-adjI
      reduce-past.simps\ step.hyps(2)\ step.hyps(3) by metis
qed
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-pathr:
 assumes u \rightarrow^*_{reduce\text{-}past\ a} v shows u \rightarrow^*_{G} v
 by (meson assms induced-subgraph-altdef reachable-mono reduce-past-induced-subgraph)
      Reduce Past Reflexiv
3.5
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-refl-induced-subgraph:
 shows induced-subgraph (reduce-past-refl a) G
 using induced-induce past-nodes-refl-verts by auto
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-refl-arcs2:
 e \in arcs (reduce-past-refl \ a) \Longrightarrow e \in arcs \ G
 using reduce-past-arcs by auto
```

```
lemma (in DAG) reduce-past-refl-digraph:
 assumes a \in verts G
 shows digraph (reduce-past-refl a)
 using digraphI-induced reduce-past-reft-induced-subgraph reachable-mono by simp
end
theory Digraph Utils
 imports Main Graph-Theory. Graph-Theory
begin
lemma (in digraph) del-vert-not-in-graph:
 assumes b \notin verts G
 shows (pre-digraph.del-vert G b) = G
     proof -
      have v: verts (pre\text{-}digraph.del\text{-}vert\ G\ b) = verts\ G
        using assms(1)
        by (simp add: pre-digraph.verts-del-vert)
      have \forall e \in arcs \ G. \ tail \ G \ e \neq b \land head \ G \ e \neq b  using digraph-axioms
       assms\ digraph.axioms(2)\ loopfree-digraph.axioms(1)
        by auto
      then have arcs G \subseteq arcs (pre-digraph.del-vert G b)
        using assms
        by (simp add: pre-digraph.arcs-del-vert subsetI)
      then have e: arcs G = arcs (pre-digraph.del-vert G b)
      by (simp add: pre-digraph.arcs-del-vert subset-antisym)
      then show ?thesis using v by (simp\ add:\ pre-digraph.del-vert-simps)
     qed
lemma del-arc-subgraph:
 assumes subgraph H G
 assumes digraph \ G \wedge digraph \ H
 shows subgraph (pre-digraph.del-arc H e2) (pre-digraph.del-arc G e2)
 using subgraph-def pre-digraph.del-arc-simps Diff-iff
proof -
 have f1: \forall p \ pa. \ subgraph \ p \ pa = ((verts \ p::'a \ set) \subseteq verts \ pa \land (arcs \ p::'b \ set) \subseteq
arcs pa \land
  wf-digraph pa \wedge wf-digraph p \wedge compatible pa p)
  using subgraph-def by blast
 have arcs\ H - \{e2\} \subseteq arcs\ G - \{e2\}\ using\ assms(1)
   by auto
  then show ?thesis
   unfolding \ subgraph-def
     using f1 assms(1) by (simp add: compatible-def pre-digraph.del-arc-simps
wf-digraph.wf-digraph-del-arc)
qed
```

```
lemma graph-nat-induct[consumes 0, case-names base step]:
 assumes
 cases: \bigwedge V. (digraph V \Longrightarrow card (verts V) = 0 \Longrightarrow P(V)
 \bigwedge W \ c. \ (\bigwedge V. \ (digraph \ V \Longrightarrow card \ (verts \ V) = c \Longrightarrow P \ V))
  \implies (digraph W \implies card (verts W) = (Suc c) \implies P(W)
shows \bigwedge Z. digraph Z \Longrightarrow P Z
proof -
  fix Z:: ('a,'b) pre-digraph
  assume major: digraph Z
  then show P Z
  proof (induction card (verts Z) arbitrary: Z)
   case \theta
   then show ?case
     by (simp add: local.cases(1) major)
  next
   case su: (Suc x)
   assume (\bigwedge Z. \ x = card \ (verts \ Z) \Longrightarrow digraph \ Z \Longrightarrow P \ Z)
   show ?case
     by (metis\ local.cases(2)\ su.hyps(1)\ su.hyps(2)\ su.prems)
  qed
qed
end
theory blockDAG
 imports Main Graph-Theory. Graph-Theory DAGs DigraphUtils
begin
      Definitions
4
locale blockDAG = DAG +
 assumes genesis: \exists p. (p \in verts \ G \land (\forall r. \ r \in verts \ G \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^*_G p))
 and only-new: \forall e. (u \rightarrow^*_{(del\text{-}arc\ e)} v) \longrightarrow \neg arc\ e\ (u,v)
5
      Functions
fun (in blockDAG) is-genesis-node :: 'a \Rightarrow bool where
is-genesis-node v = ((v \in verts \ G) \land (ALL \ x. \ (x \in verts \ G) \longrightarrow x \rightarrow^*_G v))
definition (in blockDAG) genesis-node:: 'a
  where genesis-node = (SOME \ x. \ is-genesis-node x)
6
     Lemmas
lemma subs:
```

assumes blockDAG G

```
shows DAG \ G \land digraph \ G \land fin-digraph \ G \land wf-digraph \ G
using assms blockDAG-def DAG-def digraph-def fin-digraph-def by blast
```

6.1 Genesis

```
lemma (in blockDAG) genesisAlt :
 (is-genesis-node a) \longleftrightarrow ((a \in verts \ G) \land (\forall r. \ (r \in verts \ G) \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^* a))
  by simp
lemma (in blockDAG) genesis-existAlt:
  \exists a. is-qenesis-node a
  using genesis genesisAlt blockDAG-axioms-def by presburger
lemma (in blockDAG) unique-genesis: is-genesis-node a \wedge is-genesis-node b \longrightarrow a
      {\bf using} \ genesis Alt \ reachable\hbox{-}trans \ cycle\hbox{-}free
             reachable	ext{-}refl reachable	ext{-}reachable	ext{1-}trans reachable	ext{-}neq	ext{-}reachable	ext{1}
      by (metis (full-types))
lemma (in blockDAG) genesis-unique-exists:
  \exists !a. is-genesis-node a
  using genesis-existAlt unique-genesis by auto
lemma (in blockDAG) genesis-in-verts:
  genesis-node \in verts G
    using is-genesis-node.simps genesis-node-def genesis-existAlt someI2-ex
    by metis
        Tips
6.2
lemma (in blockDAG) tips-exist:
\exists x. is-tip x
  unfolding is-tip.simps
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \nexists x. \ x \in verts \ G \land (\forall y. \neg y \rightarrow^+ x)
  then have contr: \forall x. \ x \in verts \ G \longrightarrow (\exists y. \ y \rightarrow^+ x)
    by auto
  have \forall x y. y \rightarrow^+ x \longrightarrow \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} \subseteq \{z. y \rightarrow^+ z\}
    using Collect-mono trancl-trans
  then have sub: \forall x y. y \rightarrow^+ x \longrightarrow \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} \subset \{z. y \rightarrow^+ z\}
    using cycle-free by auto
  have part: \forall x. \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} \subseteq verts G
    using reachable1-in-verts by auto
  then have fin: \forall x. finite \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\}
    using finite-verts finite-subset
    by metis
  then have trans: \forall x y. y \rightarrow^+ x \longrightarrow card \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} < card \{z. y \rightarrow^+ z\}
    using sub psubset-card-mono by metis
  then have inf: \forall y. \exists x. card \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} > card \{z. y \rightarrow^+ z\}
```

```
using fin contr genesis past-nodes.simps psubsetI
    psubset\text{-}card\text{-}mono\ reachable1\text{-}in\text{-}verts(1)
  by (metis Collect-mem-eq Collect-mono)
  have all: \forall k. \exists x. card \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} > k
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ k
   show \exists x. \ k < card \{z. \ x \rightarrow^+ z\}
   \mathbf{proof}(induct\ k)
     case \theta
     then show ?case
       by (metis inf neq\theta-conv)
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Suc\ k)
     then show ?case
       by (metis Suc-lessI inf)
   qed
  qed
  then have less: \exists x. card (verts G) < card \{z. x \rightarrow^+ z\} by simp
 have \forall x. \ card \ \{z. \ x \to^+ z\} \leq card \ (verts \ G)
   using fin part finite-verts not-le
   by (simp add: card-mono)
  then show False
   using less not-le by auto
qed
lemma (in blockDAG) tips-unequal-gen:
 assumes card(verts G) > 1
 shows \exists p. p \in verts \ G \land is\text{-}tip \ p \land \neg is\text{-}genesis\text{-}node \ p
proof -
 have b1: 1 < card (verts G) using assms by linarith
 obtain x where x-in: x \in (verts\ G) \land is-genesis-node\ x
   using genesis genesisAlt genesis-node-def by blast
 then have 0 < card ((verts \ G) - \{x\}) using card-Suc-Diff1 x-in finite-verts b1
 then have ((verts\ G) - \{x\}) \neq \{\} using card-gt-0-iff by blast
 then obtain y where y-def:y \in (verts \ G) - \{x\} by auto
  then have uneq: y \neq x by auto
 have y-in: y \in (verts \ G) using y-def by simp
 then have reachable1 G y x using is-genesis-node.simps x-in
     reachable-neq-reachable1 uneq by simp
  then have \neg is-tip x by auto
  then obtain z where z-def: z \in (verts \ G) - \{x\} \land is-tip \ z \ using \ tips-exist
  is-tip.simps by auto
  then have uneq: z \neq x by auto
  have z-in: z \in verts \ G  using z-def by simp
 have \neg is-genesis-node z
 proof (rule ccontr, safe)
```

```
assume is-qenesis-node z
   then have x = z using unique-genesis x-in by auto
   then show False using uneq by simp
  then show ?thesis using z-def by auto
qed
lemma (in blockDAG) del-tips-bDAG:
 assumes is-tip t
and \neg is-genesis-node t
shows blockDAG (del\text{-}vert\ t)
 unfolding blockDAG-def blockDAG-axioms-def
proof safe
 show DAG(del\text{-}vert\ t)
   using del-tips-day assms by simp
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v \ e
 assume wf-digraph.arc (del-vert t) e(u, v)
 then have arc: arc e(u,v) using del-vert-simps wf-digraph.arc-def arc-def
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq wf-digraph-del-vert)
 assume u \rightarrow^* pre\text{-}digraph.del\text{-}arc (del\text{-}vert\ t)\ e\ ^v
 then have path: u \xrightarrow{}^* del-arc e
   by (meson del-arc-subgraph subgraph-del-vert digraph-axioms
       digraph-subgraph pre-digraph.reachable-mono)
 show False using arc path only-new by simp
next
  obtain g where gen: is-genesis-node g using genesisAlt genesis by auto
  then have genp: g \in verts (del-vert t)
   using assms(2) genesis del-vert-simps by auto
 have (\forall r. \ r \in verts \ (del\text{-}vert \ t) \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^*_{del\text{-}vert \ t} g)
 {f proof}\ safe
 \mathbf{fix} \ r
 assume in-del: r \in verts (del-vert t)
 then obtain p where path: awalk r p g
   using reachable-awalk is-genesis-node.simps del-vert-simps gen by auto
 have no-head: t \notin (set (map (\lambda s. (head G s)) p))
  proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg t \notin (set (map (\lambda s. (head G s)) p))
   then have as: t \in (set \ (map \ (\lambda s. \ (head \ G \ s)) \ p))
   by auto
   then obtain e where tl: t = (head \ G \ e) \land e \in arcs \ G
     using wf-digraph-def awalk-def path by auto
   then obtain u where hd: u = (tail \ G \ e) \land u \in verts \ G
     using wf-digraph-def tl by auto
   have t \in verts G
     using assms(1) is-tip.simps by blast
   then have arc-to-ends G e = (u, t) using the
     by (simp add: arc-to-ends-def hd)
   then have reachable 1 G u t
```

```
using dominatesI tl by blast
 then show False
   using is-tip.simps assms(1) by auto
have neither: r \neq t \land g \neq t
   using del-vert-def assms(2) gen in-del by auto
have no-tail: t \notin (set (map (tail G) p))
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ ccontr)
 assume as2: \neg t \notin set (map (tail G) p)
 then have tl2: t \in set (map (tail G) p) by auto
 then have t \in set \pmod{G} p
 proof (induct rule: cas.induct)
   case (1 \ u \ v)
   then have v \notin set \ (map \ (tail \ G) \ []) by auto
   then show v \in set \ (map \ (tail \ G) \ \|) \implies v \in set \ (map \ (head \ G) \ \|)
     by auto
 next
   case (2 u e es v)
   then show ?case
     using set-awalk-verts-not-Nil-cas neither awalk-def cas.simps(2) path
     by (metis UnCI tl2 awalk-verts-conv'
        cas-simp\ list.simps(8)\ no-head\ set-ConsD)
 qed
 then show False using no-head by auto
qed
have pre-digraph.awalk (del-vert t) r p g
 unfolding pre-digraph.awalk-def
proof safe
 show r \in verts (del\text{-}vert \ t) using in-del by simp
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume as3: x \in set p
 then have ht: head G x \neq t \land tail G x \neq t
   using no-head no-tail by auto
 have x \in arcs G
   using awalk-def path subsetD as3 by auto
 then show x \in arcs (del\text{-}vert \ t) \text{ using } del\text{-}vert\text{-}simps(2) \ ht \ by \ auto
 have pre-digraph.cas G r p g using path by auto
 then show pre-digraph.cas (del-vert t) r p g
 proof(induct p arbitrary:r)
   case Nil
   then have r = g using awalk-def cas.simps by auto
   then show ?case using pre-digraph.cas.simps(1)
     by (metis)
 next
   case (Cons\ a\ p)
   assume pre: \bigwedge r. (cas r \ p \ g \Longrightarrow pre-digraph.cas (del-vert t) r \ p \ g)
   and one: cas \ r \ (a \# p) \ g
```

```
then have two: cas (head G a) p g

using awalk-def by auto

then have t: tail (del-vert t) a = r

using one cas.simps awalk-def del-vert-simps(3) by auto

then show ?case

unfolding pre-digraph.cas.simps(2) t

using pre two del-vert-simps(4) by auto

qed

qed

then show r \to^*_{del-vert \ t} g by (meson wf-digraph.reachable-awalkI

del-tips-dag assms(1) DAG-def digraph-def fin-digraph-def)

qed

then show \exists \ p. \ p \in verts \ (del-vert \ t) \land

(\forall \ r. \ r \in verts \ (del-vert \ t) \longrightarrow r \to^*_{del-vert \ t} p)

using gen genp by auto

qed
```

7 Future Nodes

```
lemma (in blockDAG) future-nodes-ex:

assumes a \in verts\ G

shows a \notin future-nodes a

using cycle-free future-nodes.simps reachable-def by auto
```

7.1 Reduce Past

```
lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-past-not-empty:
 assumes a \in verts G
 and \neg is-genesis-node a
shows (verts\ (reduce\text{-}past\ a)) \neq \{\}
proof -
 obtain q
   where gen: is-genesis-node g using genesis-existAlt by auto
 have ex: g \in verts \ (reduce-past \ a) using reduce-past.simps \ past-nodes.simps
genesisAlt\ reachable-neq-reachable1\ reachable-reachable1-trans\ gen\ assms(1)\ assms(2)
then show (verts\ (reduce-past\ a)) \neq \{\} using ex\ by\ auto
qed
lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-less:
 assumes a \in verts G
 shows card (verts (reduce-past a)) < card (verts G)
 have past-nodes a \subset verts G
   using assms(1) past-nodes-not-refl past-nodes-verts by blast
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: psubset-card-mono)
qed
```

```
lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-past-dagbased:
 assumes blockDAG G
 assumes a \in verts G
 and \neg is-genesis-node a
 shows blockDAG (reduce-past a)
 unfolding blockDAG-def DAG-def blockDAG-def
proof safe
 show digraph (reduce-past a)
   using digraphI-induced reduce-past-induced-subgraph by auto
next
 show DAG-axioms (reduce-past a)
   unfolding DAG-axioms-def
   using cycle-free reduce-past-path by metis
next
  show blockDAG-axioms (reduce-past a)
  unfolding blockDAG-axioms-def
 proof safe
   \mathbf{fix}\ u\ v\ e
   assume arc: wf-digraph.arc (reduce-past a) e(u, v)
   then show u \rightarrow^*_{pre\text{-}digraph.del\text{-}arc\ (reduce\text{-}past\ a)\ e} v \Longrightarrow False
   proof -
       assume e-in: (wf-digraph.arc\ (reduce-past\ a)\ e\ (u,\ v))
       then have (\textit{wf-digraph.arc}\ G\ e\ (\textit{u},\ \textit{v}))
         using assms reduce-past-arcs2 induced-subgraph-def arc-def
       proof -
         have wf-digraph (reduce-past a)
       using reduce-past.simps subgraph-def subgraph-refl wf-digraph.wellformed-induce-subgraph
          by metis
         then have e \in arcs (reduce-past \ a) \land tail (reduce-past \ a) \ e = u
                   \land head (reduce-past a) e = v
          using arc wf-digraph.arcE
          by metis
         then show ?thesis
          using arc-def reduce-past.simps by auto
       then have \neg u \rightarrow^*_{del\text{-}arc\ e} v
         using only-new by auto
       then show u \rightarrow^*_{pre\text{-}digraph.del\text{-}arc\ (reduce\text{-}past\ a)\ e} v \Longrightarrow \mathit{False}
         {f using}\ DAG. past-nodes-verts\ reduce-past. simps\ blockDAG-axioms\ subs
             del-arc-subgraph digraph.digraph-subgraph digraph-axioms
             pre-digraph.reachable-mono\ subgraph-induce-subgraphI
         by metis
     qed
   next
       obtain p where gen: is-genesis-node p using genesis-existAlt by auto
```

```
have pe: p \in verts \ (reduce-past \ a) \land (\forall r. \ r \in verts \ (reduce-past \ a) \longrightarrow r
\rightarrow^*_{reduce-past\ a}\ p)
        proof
      show p \in verts (reduce-past a) using genesisAlt induce-reachable-preserves-paths
        reduce-past.simps past-nodes.simps reachable 1-reachable induce-subgraph-verts
assms(2)
            assms(3) gen mem-Collect-eq reachable-neq-reachable1
            by (metis (no-types, lifting))
          show \forall r. \ r \in verts \ (reduce\text{-past } a) \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^*_{reduce\text{-past } a} \ p
          proof safe
            \mathbf{fix} \ r \ a
            assume in-past: r \in verts (reduce-past a)
            then have con: r \rightarrow^* p using gen genesisAlt past-nodes-verts by auto
            then show r \rightarrow^*_{reduce\text{-past } a} p
            proof -
            have f1: r \in verts \ G \land a \rightarrow^+ r
            using in-past past-nodes-verts by force
            obtain aaa :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ where
            f2: \forall x0 \ x1. \ (\exists \ v2. \ v2 \in x1 \land v2 \notin x0) = (aaa \ x0 \ x1 \in x1 \land aaa \ x0 \ x1 \notin x1)
x\theta)
              by moura
            have r \rightarrow^* aaa \ (past\text{-}nodes \ a) \ (Collect \ (reachable \ G \ r))
                   \longrightarrow a \rightarrow^+ aaa \ (past-nodes \ a) \ (Collect \ (reachable \ G \ r))
                using f1 by (meson reachable1-reachable-trans)
                 then have an (past-nodes a) (Collect (reachable G(r)) \notin Collect
(reachable G r)
                         \vee aaa (past-nodes a) (Collect (reachable G r)) \in past-nodes a
                by (simp add: reachable-in-verts(2))
              then have Collect (reachable G r) \subseteq past-nodes a
                using f2 by (meson subsetI)
              then show ?thesis
                      {f using} \ con \ induce-reachable-preserves-paths reachable-induce-ss
reduce	ext{-}past.simps
            by (metis (no-types))
            qed
          qed
        qed
           \exists p. \ p \in verts \ (reduce\text{-past} \ a) \land (\forall r. \ r \in verts \ (reduce\text{-past} \ a) \longrightarrow r
 \rightarrow^*_{reduce-past\ a}\ p)
          using pe by auto
      qed
    qed
```

7.2 Reduce Past Reflexiv

lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-past-refl-induced-subgraph:

```
shows induced-subgraph (reduce-past-refl a) G
  using induced-induce past-nodes-refl-verts by auto
lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-past-refl-arcs2:
  e \in arcs \ (reduce-past-refl \ a) \Longrightarrow e \in arcs \ G
 using reduce-past-arcs by auto
lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-past-refl-digraph:
 assumes a \in verts G
 \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{digraph}\ (\mathit{reduce-past-refl}\ a)
 using digraphI-induced reduce-past-refl-induced-subgraph reachable-mono by simp
lemma (in blockDAG) reduce-past-refl-dagbased:
 assumes a \in verts G
 shows blockDAG (reduce-past-refl a)
 unfolding blockDAG-def DAG-def
proof safe
 show digraph (reduce-past-refl a)
   using reduce-past-refl-digraph assms(1) by simp
  show DAG-axioms (reduce-past-refl a)
   unfolding DAG-axioms-def
   using cycle-free reduce-past-refl-induced-subgraph reachable-mono
   by (meson arcs-ends-mono induced-subgraph-altdef trancl-mono)
next
  show blockDAG-axioms (reduce-past-refl a)
   unfolding blockDAG-axioms
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v
    show \forall e. u \rightarrow^* pre-digraph.del-arc (reduce-past-refl a) e v \longrightarrow \neg wf-digraph.arc
(reduce-past-refl\ a)\ e\ (u,\ v)
   proof safe
     \mathbf{fix} \ e
     assume a: wf-digraph.arc (reduce-past-refl a) e (u, v)
     and b: u \rightarrow^* pre-digraph.del-arc (reduce-past-refl a) e^v
     have edge: wf-digraph.arc G e (u, v)
        using assms reduce-past-arcs2 induced-subgraph-def arc-def
       proof -
        have wf-digraph (reduce-past-refl a)
          using reduce-past-refl-digraph digraph-def by auto
        then have e \in arcs (reduce-past-refl a) \land tail (reduce-past-refl a) e = u
                  \land head (reduce-past-refl a) e = v
          \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wf-digraph.arcE}\ \mathit{arc-def}\ a
          by (metis (no-types))
        then show arc e(u, v)
          using arc-def reduce-past-refl.simps by auto
       qed
     have u \rightarrow^* pre-digraph.del-arc\ G\ e\ v
       using a b reduce-past-refl-digraph del-arc-subgraph digraph-axioms
```

```
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{digraphI-induced}\ \mathit{past-nodes-refl-verts}\ \mathit{reduce-past-refl.simps}
           reduce-past-refl-induced-subgraph subgraph-induce-subgraphI)
     then show False
       using edge only-new by simp
   qed
next
       obtain p where gen: is-genesis-node p using genesis-existAlt by auto
       have pe: p \in verts (reduce-past-refl a)
       {\bf using} \ genesis Alt \ induce-reachable-preserves-paths
       reduce-past.simps past-nodes.simps reachable 1-reachable induce-subgraph-verts
           gen mem-Collect-eq reachable-neq-reachable1
           assms by force
       have reaches: (\forall r. \ r \in verts \ (reduce\text{-past-refl } a) \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^*_{reduce\text{-past-refl } a})
p)
         proof safe
           \mathbf{fix} \ r
           assume in-past: r \in verts (reduce-past-refl a)
           then have con: r \to^* p using gen genesisAlt reachable-in-verts by simp
           have a \rightarrow^* r using in-past by auto
           then have reach: r \to^* G \upharpoonright \{w. \ a \to^* w\} \ p
           proof(induction)
             case base
             then show ?case
               by (simp add: con induce-reachable-preserves-paths)
           next
             case (step \ x \ y)
             then show ?case
             proof -
               have Collect (reachable G y) \subseteq Collect (reachable G x)
                 using adj-reachable-trans step.hyps(1) by force
               then show ?thesis
                 using reachable-induce-ss step.IH by blast
             qed
           qed
           show r \to^*_{reduce\text{-}past\text{-}refl\ a} p using reach reduce-past-refl.simps
           past-nodes-refl.simps by simp
      then show \exists p. p \in verts (reduce-past-refl a) \land (\forall r. r \in verts (reduce-past-refl
a)
          \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^*_{reduce\text{-}past\text{-}refl\ a} p) unfolding blockDAG-axioms-def using pe
reaches by auto
       qed
     qed
7.3
       Genesis Graph
definition (in blockDAG) gen-graph::('a,'b) pre-digraph where
gen-graph = induce-subgraph \ G \ \{blockDAG.genesis-node \ G\}
```

pre-digraph.reachable-mono

```
lemma (in blockDAG) gen-gen : verts (gen-graph) = \{genesis-node\}
 unfolding genesis-node-def gen-graph-def by simp
lemma (in blockDAG) gen-graph-digraph:
  digraph gen-graph
using digraphI-induced induced-induce gen-graph-def
      genesis-in-verts by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{blockDAG}) \ \mathit{gen-graph-empty-arcs} \colon
arcs \ gen-graph = \{\}
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\ ccontr)
    assume \neg arcs gen-graph = \{\}
    then have ex: \exists a. \ a \in (arcs \ gen-graph)
      by blast
    also have \forall a. a \in (arcs \ qen - qraph) \longrightarrow tail \ G \ a = head \ G \ a
    proof safe
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in arcs gen-graph
      then show tail G a = head G a
        using digraph-def induced-subgraph-def induce-subgraph-verts
            induced-induce gen-graph-def by simp
    qed
    then show False
       using digraph-def ex gen-graph-def gen-graph-digraph induce-subgraph-head
induce-subgraph-tail
          loop free-digraph. no-loops
      by metis
  qed
lemma (in blockDAG) gen-graph-sound:
  blockDAG (gen-graph)
 unfolding blockDAG-def DAG-def blockDAG-axioms-def
proof safe
  show digraph gen-graph using gen-graph-digraph by simp
next
  have (arcs\text{-}ends\ gen\text{-}graph)^+ = \{\}
    using trancl-empty gen-graph-empty-arcs by (simp add: arcs-ends-def)
  then show DAG-axioms gen-graph
   by (simp add: DAG-axioms.intro)
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v \ e
 have wf-digraph.arc gen-graph e(u, v) \equiv False
   {f using} \ {\it wf-digraph.arc-def gen-graph-empty-arcs}
   by (simp add: wf-digraph.arc-def wf-digraph-def)
  then show wf-digraph.arc gen-graph e(u, v) \Longrightarrow
      u \to^* pre-digraph.del-arc\ gen-graph\ e\ v \Longrightarrow False
   by simp
```

```
next
 have refl: genesis-node \rightarrow^*_{gen-graph} genesis-node
   using gen-gen rtrancl-on-refl
   by (simp add: reachable-def)
  have \forall r. \ r \in verts \ gen-graph \longrightarrow r \rightarrow^*_{gen-graph} \ genesis-node
  proof safe
   fix r
   assume r \in verts gen-graph
   then have r = genesis-node
     using gen-gen by auto
   then show r \to^*_{gen\text{-}graph} genesis-node
     by (simp add: local.refl)
  qed
  then show \exists p. p \in verts \ gen-graph \land
       (\forall \, r. \, r \in \mathit{verts} \, \mathit{gen-graph} \, \longrightarrow r \, \rightarrow^*_{\mathit{gen-graph}} \, \mathit{p})
   by (simp add: gen-gen)
qed
lemma (in blockDAG) no-empty-blockDAG:
  shows card (verts G) > \theta
proof -
 have \exists p. p \in verts G
   using genesis-in-verts by auto
  then show card (verts G) > \theta
   using card-qt-0-iff finite-verts by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma blockDAG-nat-induct[consumes 1, case-names base step]:
 assumes
 cases: \bigwedge V. (blockDAG V \Longrightarrow card (verts V) = 1 \Longrightarrow P(V)
  \bigwedge W \ c. \ (\bigwedge V. \ (blockDAG \ V \Longrightarrow card \ (verts \ V) = c \Longrightarrow P \ V))
  \implies (blockDAG W \implies card (verts W) = (Suc c) \implies P(W)
shows \bigwedge Z. blockDAG Z \Longrightarrow P Z
proof -
  fix Z:: ('a,'b) pre-digraph
 assume bD: blockDAG Z
 then have bG: card (verts Z) > 0 using blockDAG.no-empty-blockDAG by auto
 show P Z
   using bG bD
  proof (induction card (verts Z) arbitrary: Z rule: Nat.nat-induct-non-zero)
   then show ?case using cases(1) by auto
next
  case su: (Suc n)
  show ?case
   by (metis\ local.cases(2)\ su.hyps(2)\ su.hyps(3)\ su.prems)
  qed
```

```
lemma (in blockDAG) blockDAG-size-cases:
 obtains (one) card (verts G) = 1
| (more) \ card \ (verts \ G) > 1
 using no-empty-blockDAG
 by linarith
lemma (in blockDAG) blockDAG-cases-one:
 shows card (verts G) = 1 \longrightarrow (G = gen-graph)
proof (safe)
 assume one: card (verts G) = 1
 then have blockDAG.genesis-node G \in verts G
   by (simp add: genesis-in-verts)
 then have only: verts G = \{blockDAG.genesis-node G\}
   by (metis one card-1-singletonE insert-absorb singleton-insert-inj-eq')
 then have verts-equal: verts G = verts (blockDAG.gen-graph G)
   using blockDAG-axioms one blockDAG.gen-graph-def induce-subgraph-def
    induced-induce\ blockDAG.genesis-in-verts
   by (simp add: blockDAG.gen-graph-def)
 have arcs G = \{\}
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume not-empty: arcs G \neq \{\}
   then obtain z where part-of: z \in arcs G
    by auto
   then have tail: tail G z \in verts G
    using wf-digraph-def blockDAG-def DAG-def
      digraph-def\ blockDAG-axioms\ nomulti-digraph.axioms(1)
    by metis
   also have head: head G z \in verts G
      by (metis (no-types) DAG-def blockDAG-axioms blockDAG-def digraph-def
         nomulti-digraph.axioms(1) part-of wf-digraph-def)
   then have tail G z = head G z
   using tail only by simp
 then have \neg loopfree-digraph-axioms G
   {\bf unfolding}\ loop free-digraph-axioms-def
    using part-of only DAG-def digraph-def
    by auto
   then show False
    {f using}\ DAG	ext{-}def\ digraph	ext{-}def\ blockDAG	ext{-}axioms\ blockDAG	ext{-}def
      loopfree-digraph-def by metis
 qed
 then have arcs G = arcs (blockDAG.gen-graph G)
   by (simp add: blockDAG-axioms blockDAG.gen-graph-empty-arcs)
 then show G = gen\text{-}graph
   unfolding blockDAG.gen-graph-def
   using verts-equal blockDAG-axioms induce-subgraph-def
   blockDAG.gen-graph-def by fastforce
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in blockDAG) blockDAG-cases-more:
 shows card (verts G) > 1 \longleftrightarrow (\exists b \ H. (blockDAG H \land b \in verts \ G \land del-vert \ b
= H)
proof safe
 assume card (verts G) > 1
 then have b1: 1 < card (verts G) using no-empty-blockDAG by linarith
 obtain x where x-in: x \in (verts\ G) \land is-genesis-node\ x
   using genesis genesisAlt genesis-node-def by blast
 then have 0 < card ((verts G) - \{x\}) using card-Suc-Diff1 x-in finite-verts b1
 then have ((verts\ G) - \{x\}) \neq \{\} using card-gt-0-iff by blast
 then obtain y where y-def:y \in (verts \ G) - \{x\} by auto
 then have uneq: y \neq x by auto
 have y-in: y \in (verts \ G) using y-def by simp
 then have reachable 1 G y x using is-genesis-node.simps x-in
     reachable-neq-reachable1 uneq by simp
 then have \neg is-tip x by auto
 then obtain z where z-def: z \in (verts \ G) - \{x\} \land is\text{-tip } z \text{ using } tips\text{-}exist
 is-tip.simps by auto
 then have uneq: z \neq x by auto
 have z-in: z \in verts \ G  using z-def by simp
 have \neg is-genesis-node z
 proof (rule ccontr, safe)
   assume is-genesis-node z
   then have x = z using unique-genesis x-in by auto
   then show False using uneq by simp
 qed
 then have blockDAG (del-vert z) using del-tips-bDAG z-def by simp
 then show (\exists b \ H. \ blockDAG \ H \land b \in verts \ G \land del-vert \ b = H) using z-def
by auto
next
 fix b and H:('a,'b) pre-digraph
 assume bD: blockDAG (del-vert b)
 assume b-in: b \in verts G
 show card (verts G) > 1
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg 1 < card (verts G)
   then have 1 = card (verts G) using no-empty-blockDAG by linarith
 then have card (verts (del-vert b)) = \theta using b-in del-vert-def by auto
 then have \neg blockDAG (del\text{-}vert b) using bD blockDAG.no\text{-}empty\text{-}blockDAG
   by (metis less-nat-zero-code)
 then show False using bD by simp
 qed
qed
lemma (in blockDAG) blockDAG-cases:
 obtains (base) (G = gen\text{-}graph)
```

```
| (more) (\exists b \ H. (blockDAG \ H \land b \in verts \ G \land del\text{-}vert \ b = H)) |
  \mathbf{using}\ blockDAG\text{-}cases\text{-}one\ blockDAG\text{-}cases\text{-}more
   blockDAG-size-cases by auto
lemma (in blockDAG) blockDAG-induct[consumes 1, case-names base step]:
 assumes cases: \bigwedge V. blockDAG V \Longrightarrow P (blockDAG.gen-graph V)
  (\land b. blockDAG (pre-digraph.del-vert H b) \Longrightarrow b \in verts H \Longrightarrow P(pre-digraph.del-vert H b)
(H b)
  \implies (blockDAG \ H \implies P \ H)
    shows P G
\mathbf{proof}(induct\text{-}tac\ G\ rule:blockDAG\text{-}nat\text{-}induct)
 show blockDAG G using blockDAG-axioms by simp
next
 \mathbf{fix}\ V::('a,'b)\ pre-digraph
 assume bD: blockDAG\ V
 and card (verts V) = 1
 then have V = blockDAG.gen-graph V
   using blockDAG.blockDAG-cases-one equal-reft by auto
  then show P \ V \ using \ bD \ cases(1)
   by metis
\mathbf{next}
  fix c and W::('a,'b) pre-digraph
 show (\bigwedge V. blockDAG\ V \Longrightarrow card\ (verts\ V) = c \Longrightarrow P\ V) \Longrightarrow
          blockDAG \ W \Longrightarrow card \ (verts \ W) = Suc \ c \Longrightarrow P \ W
 proof -
   assume ind: \bigwedge V. (blockDAG V \Longrightarrow card (verts V) = c \Longrightarrow P(V)
   and bD: blockDAG W
   and size: card (verts W) = Suc c
   have assm2: \land b. blockDAG (pre-digraph.del-vert W b)
           \implies b \in verts \ W \implies P(pre-digraph.del-vert \ W \ b)
   proof -
     \mathbf{fix} \ b
     assume bD2: blockDAG (pre-digraph.del-vert W b)
     assume in-verts: b \in verts W
     have verts (pre-digraph.del-vert\ W\ b) = verts\ W - \{b\}
       by (simp add: pre-digraph.verts-del-vert)
     then have card (verts (pre-digraph.del-vert W b)) = c
       using in-verts fin-digraph.finite-verts bD fin-digraph-del-vert
       by (simp add: fin-digraph.finite-verts
           DAG.axioms\ blockDAG.axioms\ digraph.axioms)
     then show P (pre-digraph.del-vert W b) using ind bD2 by auto
   qed
   show ?thesis using cases(2)
     by (metis \ assm2 \ bD)
 ged
qed
```

```
theory Spectre
imports Main Graph-Theory.Graph-Theory blockDAG
begin
```

8 Definitions

```
locale tie-breakingDAG = fixes G::('a::linorder,'b) pre-digraph assumes is-blockDAG: blockDAG:
```

9 Functions

```
definition tie-break-int:: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int
  where tie-break-int a b i =
 (if i=0 then (if (a \le b) then 1 else -1) else
               (if i > 0 then 1 else -1))
fun sumlist-acc :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int \ list \Rightarrow int
  where sumlist-acc\ a\ b\ s\ []=tie-break-int\ a\ b\ s
  | sumlist-acc \ a \ b \ s \ (x\#xs) = sumlist-acc \ a \ b \ (s+x) \ xs
fun sumlist :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow int list \Rightarrow int
  where sumlist a \ b \ [] = 0
  | sumlist \ a \ b \ (x \# xs) = sumlist \ acc \ a \ b \ 0 \ (x \# xs)
function vote-Spectre :: ('a::linorder,'b) pre-digraph \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow int
  where
  vote-Spectre V \ a \ b \ c = (
  if (\neg blockDAG\ V \lor a \notin verts\ V \lor b \notin verts\ V \lor c \notin verts\ V) then 0 else
  if (b=c) then 1 else
  if ((a \rightarrow^* V b) \land \neg(a \rightarrow^+ V c)) then 1 else
  if ((a \rightarrow^* V c) \land \neg (a \rightarrow^+ V b)) then -1 else if ((a \rightarrow^+ V b) \land (a \rightarrow^+ V c)) then
   (sumlist b c (map (\lambda i).
 (vote-Spectre (DAG.reduce-past V a) i b c)) (sorted-list-of-set ((DAG.past-nodes
V(a)))))
 else
   sumlist b c (map (\lambda i).
   (vote-Spectre V i b c)) (sorted-list-of-set (DAG.future-nodes V a))))
  by auto
termination
proof
let R = measures [(\lambda(V, a, b, c), (card (verts V))), (\lambda(V, a, b, c), card \{e, e\})]
\rightarrow^* V a\})]
```

```
show wf ?R
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
  fix V::('a::linorder, 'b) pre-digraph
 \mathbf{fix} \ x \ a \ b \ c
  assume bD: \neg (\neg blockDAG\ V \lor a \notin verts\ V \lor b \notin verts\ V \lor c \notin verts\ V)
  then have a \in verts \ V by simp
  then have card (verts (DAG.reduce-past V(a)) < card (verts V)
   using bD blockDAG.reduce-less
   by metis
  then show ((DAG.reduce-past\ V\ a,\ x,\ b,\ c),\ V,\ a,\ b,\ c)
      \in \mathit{measures}
          [\lambda(V, a, b, c)]. card (verts V),
           \lambda(V, a, b, c). card \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V a\}
   by simp
next
  fix V::('a::linorder, 'b) pre-digraph
 \mathbf{fix} \ x \ a \ b \ c
  assume bD: \neg (\neg blockDAG\ V \lor a \notin verts\ V \lor b \notin verts\ V \lor c \notin verts\ V)
  then have a-in: a \in verts \ V \text{ using } bD \text{ by } simp
  assume x \in set (sorted-list-of-set (DAG.future-nodes V(a))
  then have x \in DAG.future-nodes V a using DAG.finite-future
   set-sorted-list-of-set bD subs
   by metis
 then have rr: x \to^+ V a using DAG.future-nodes.simps bD subs mem-Collect-eq
   by metis
  then have a-not: \neg a \rightarrow^* V x using bD DAG.unidirectional subs by metis
  have bD2: blockDAG\ V using bD by simp
  have \forall x. \{e. e \rightarrow^*_{V} x\} \subseteq verts \ V \text{ using } subs \ bD2 \ subsetI
     wf-digraph.reachable-in-verts(1) mem-Collect-eq
   by metis
  then have fin: \forall x. finite \{e.\ e \rightarrow^* V x\} using subs bD2 fin-digraph.finite-verts
     finite-subset
   by metis
  have x \to^* V a using rr wf-digraph.reachable 1-reachable subs bD2 by metis
  then have \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V x\} \subseteq \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V a\} using rr
      wf-digraph.reachable-trans Collect-mono subs bD2 by metis
  then have \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V x\} \subset \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V a\} using a-not
  subs bD2 a-in mem-Collect-eq psubsetI wf-digraph.reachable-refl
  then have card \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V x\} < card \{e. e \rightarrow^*_V a\} using fin
   by (simp add: psubset-card-mono)
  then show ((V, x, b, c), V, a, b, c)
      \in measures
          [\lambda(V, a, b, c). \ card \ (verts \ V), \ \lambda(V, a, b, c). \ card \ \{e. \ e \rightarrow^*_{V} a\}]
   by simp
qed
end
```