GPT: Generalized Pre-Training

GPT is a sequence of increasingly powerful (and big) models of similar architecture.

We introduced the family and the original model here (NLP_Recent.ipynb#GPT:-Generalized-Pre-Training)

The second and third generation models are 10 and 1000 times bigger (number of parameters).

GPT-2

paper (https://cdn.openai.com/better-language-models/language_models_are_unsupervised_multitask_learners.pdf)

Model card (https://github.com/openai/gpt-2/blob/master/model_card.md)

Summary (https://openai.com/blog/better-language-models/)

- 48 Transformer blocks (4 times original)
    - $n_{\text{heads}} = 16(?), d_{\text{head}} = 96(?)$
        - $d_{\text{model}} = n_{\text{heads}} * d_{\text{head}} = 1536$
        - d_{model} is the size of the state of the Transformer
- 1.5 billion weights
- Trained on
    - Trained on 40GB of data, 10 times the amount of data as original GPT
    - Sequence of 1024 tokens (2 times original)

Results: Zero shot

- Tested on 8 tasks
    - State of the art on 7 out of the 8

GPT-3

paper (https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165)

Model card (https://github.com/openai/gpt-3/blob/master/model-card.md)

Summary ()

- 96 Transformer blocks(8 times original)
    - $n_{\text{heads}} = 96, d_{\text{head}} = 128(?)$
    - $d_{\text{model}} = n_{\text{heads}} * d_{\text{head}} = 12,288$
- 175 billion weights
- Trained on
    - 570 GB of data (100 times GPT)
    - Common Crawl (https://commoncrawl.org/the-data/get-started/)
        - web crawler over multiple years
        - 570 GB (100 times GPT)
        - 410 billion tokens
    - Additional training sets, for experiments
        - Webtext2 (https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-language-models/language-models.pdf)
            - Web pages originating from highly ranked Reddit links

        - 19 billion tokens
    - Books
        - 67 billion tokens -Wikipedia
        - 3 billion tokens

- Sequence of 2048 tokens
- 190K KWh of electricity used in training
    - $ 0.22 per KW hour $\approx \$42K$ electricity used to train

You can see from the following graph how the computation times increase by orders of magnitude over the generations of GPT

- GPT-3 small $\approx$ GPT
- GPT-3 XL $\approx$ GPT-2

Compute time

Picture from: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.14165.pdf

How much would it cost you to train GPT-3 ?

- Amazon Cloud
    - G5 instance
        - NVidia A10G Tensor Core GPUs @ 250 Tflops/GPU
        - 8 GPU instance (2 Pflops) @$10/hour (with yearly contract; \$16\hour on-demand)
            - $240 per 2Pflops-day

- GPT-3 $\approx$ 3000 Pflop-days
    - $3000/2 = 1500$ days G5 instances to get 3000 Pflops-days
    - Cost = 1500 * $240/day = \$360K

WebText: a new training set

One key to the success of GPT-2 (and later generations) was a newly created training set that was scraped from the Web.

The most common web-scraped dataset is Common Crawl (https://commoncrawl.org/)

- large, diversified
- quality problems ?
    - Large set of pages pointed to are "gibberish"

The GPT team tried to create a high-quality crawl by using a curated approach to links

- Based on Reddit
- Only follow links originating from highly-ranked (high "karma") Reddit pages

The result is called WebText

- 40GB; 8MM documents
- removed any Wikipedia
    - since it is included in many of the benchmark tasks whose performance we want to measure out of sample

Multi-task learning

One area of recent interesting is *multi-task learning*

- Training a model to implement multiple tasks

A model that implements a single task computes

$$p(\text{output} \mid \text{input})$$

A model that implements several tasks computes

$$p(\text{output} \mid \text{input}, \text{task-id})$$

When training a model for multiple tasks, the training examples would look something like:

$$(\text{Translate to French}, \quad \text{English text}, \quad \text{French Text})$$
$$(\text{Answer the question}, \quad \text{document}, \quad \text{question}, \quad \text{answer})$$

Text is almost a universal encoding so NLP is a natural way of expressing multiple tasks.

So a natural extensions of a Language Model is to solve multiple tasks

- Encode your specific task as an input that can be handled by a Language Model
- That's one advantage of Byte Pair Encoding
    - No special per-task pre-processing needed for a task's training set

We will take the idea of Multi-task learning one step further

- Learning how to solve a task **without** explicitly training a model !

Learning to learn

The GPT family explores some deep questions.

We are familiar with teaching a NN a task via several approaches

- Completely Supervised Training
- Supervised Pre-Training with Fine-Tuning

But can a NN learn to solve a task *without having seen training examples for the task* ?

This question can be framed as follows

- Given a trained Language Model LM
- Can LM model be *used* for a new target task T, with examples $(\mathbf{x}^{(\mathbf{i})}, \mathbf{y}^{(\mathbf{i})})$
    - By giving LM a set E consisting of k examples for task T

Notice the word *used* rather than *trained*

- the weights of LM are **not** changed
- the examples in E are only used to "prime" LM to understand the new task T

There are variations of the question dependent on the size k of examples

- **Few shot learning**: $10 \leq k \leq 100$ typically
- **One shot learning**: $k = 1$
- **Zero shot learning** $k = 0$

A picture will help

Picture from: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.14165.pdf

The input to zero/one/few shot learning is called a *prompt* which consists of several parts

- A task description (first line)
- Zero or more examples of the task
    - Format: `question ==> answer`
- A particular "question" for which we want an answer
    - the part of the final line preceding the ==>
- The "answer" is what will be generated (predict the next words) after the final ==>

The purpose of the prompt is to "describe" a new (test-time) task.

- These examples are analogous to training examples
- But are submitted at inference time
    - and thus the model weights **cannot** be modified

Is this even possible ?!

Let's look at the reported results from the third generation GPT-3 model.

Few/One/Zero shot learning

Picture from: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.14165.pdf

How is that possible ? Some theories

Theory 1

- The training set contains explicit instances of these out of sample tasks

Theory 2

- The super-large training sets contain *implicit* instances of these out of sample tasks
    - For example: an English-language article quoting a French speaker in French with English translation

One thing that jumps out from the graph:

- Bigger models are more likely to exhibit meta-learning

Theory 3

The training sets are so big that the model "learns" to create groups of examples with a common theme

- Even with the large number of parameters, the model capacity does not suffice for example memorization

Another thing to consider

- The behavior of an RNN depends on *all* previous inputs
    - It has memory (latent state, etc.)

So Few Shot Learning may work by "priming" the memory with parameters for a specific task

Social concerns

The team behind GPT is very concerned about potential misuse of Language Models.

To illustrate, they conducted an experiment in having a Language Model construct news articles

- Select title/subtitle of a genuine news article
- Have the Language Model complete the article from the title/subtitle
- Show humans the genuine and generated articles and ask them to judge whether the article was written by a human

Human accuracy in detecting model generated news articles

Picture from: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.14165.pdf

The bars show the range of accuracy across the 80 human judges.

- 86% accuracy detecting articles created by a really bad model (the control)
- 50% accuracy detecting articles created by the biggest models

It seems that humans might have difficulty distinguishing between genuine and generated articles.

The fear is that Language Models can be used

- to mislead
- to create offensive speech

In [1]:
```
print("Done")
```

```
Done
```