

The plan:

It's basically Elo; we're using the Gaussian rather than Logistic version for convenience.

In the Elo system, the “performance” of players is modelled as a random variable with mean  $s_i$  (their skills) and fixed variance:

$$X_i \sim N(s_i, \sigma^2).$$

Then, the probability that player 1 wins is the probability that their performance exceeds that of the other player

$$E_1 = P(X_1 > X_2) = P\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma}(X_1 - X_2 - (s_1 - s_2))}_{\sim N(0,1)} > -\frac{1}{\sigma}(s_1 - s_2)\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{s_2 - s_1}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$E_2 = P(X_2 > X_1) = \varphi\left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{\sigma}\right) = 1 - E_1,$$

and then (supposing player 1 wins) skills are updated:

$$s_1 \leftarrow s_1 + k(1 - E_A)$$

$$s_2 \leftarrow s_2 + k(\underbrace{0 - E_B}_{\text{actual performance} - \text{expected performance}}),$$

where  $k$  is some magic constant. A draw is treated as actual performance =  $\frac{1}{2}$  and therefore a draw between two equally matched people (so  $E_A = \frac{1}{2}$ ) results in no change in scores.

We need to modify this to handle multiple teams, take into account the margin by which each team won. The key points are that:

- We can calculate a “probability of winning something” from the “skills”.
- The size of the score update is proportional to the probability of the result not occurring.

The Setup:

Teams	$I, J$ (index sets)
Skills	$s_i, s_j$ ( $i \in I, j \in J$ )
Player performance	$U_i \sim N(s_i, \sigma^2)$ $V_i \sim N(s_j, \sigma^2)$
Team performance	$X_I = \frac{1}{ I } \sum_{i \in I} U_i + K_{ I } \sim N\left(\frac{1}{ I } \sum_{i \in I} s_i + K_{ I }, \frac{1}{ I } \sigma^2\right)$ $X_J = \frac{1}{ J } \sum_{i \in J} V_i + K_{ J } \sim N\left(\frac{1}{ J } \sum_{j \in J} s_j + K_{ J }, \frac{1}{ J } \sigma^2\right)$

where  $K_{\{1,2,3,4\}}$  is a magic constant.

The magic constant  $K$  is to handle the case where the teams are unbalanced.

$$K_1 = -0.6\sigma \quad K_2 = 0 \quad K_3 = 0.2\sigma \quad K_4 = -0.1\sigma$$

If the teams are the same size, the presence of  $K$  has no effect on  $X_I - X_J$ . However, when they are mismatched, it serves to penalise one of the teams. In words, the above choices assert that given people of equal skill, a three player team is best, followed by two, then four, then one. As an example, those numbers chosen correspond (roughly) to expecting a 10–4 loss when a three player team plays someone on their own.

So, the probability that team  $I$  wins any point is:

$$E = P(X_I > X_J) = P\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{\varphi}(X_I - X_J - \tau)}_{\sim N(0,1)} > \frac{-\tau}{\varphi}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{\varphi}\right)$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{i \in I} s_i - \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} s_j + K_{|I|} - K_{|J|}$$

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{|I|} + \frac{1}{|J|} \right)}$$

We reward team  $I$  with  $(1 - E)m$  points every time they score (and take the same amount from team  $J$ ;  $Em$  points change hands when team  $J$  scores (where  $m$  is some magic constant).

So if the score were  $a$  (team  $I$ ) :  $b$  (team  $J$ ), the score updates would be

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &\leftarrow s_i + ((1 - E)a - Eb)m && \text{each } i \in I \\ s_j &\leftarrow s_j + (Eb - (1 - E)a)m && \text{each } j \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $(1 - E)a - Eb = a - (a + b)E$ , you can alternatively, and equivalently, think of this as “update is proportional to difference between actual, and expected score” (if score is  $a:b$ ,  $a + b$  points were played, and we expected  $I$  to win  $(a + b)E$  of them).

Oh, and as a bit of fun, we can also “predict” scores:

If  $E > \frac{1}{2}$  we predict a score of

$$10 : 10 \frac{1 - E}{E}$$

and if  $E < \frac{1}{2}$

$$10 \frac{E}{1 - E} : 10$$

that is, multiplying  $E : (1 - E)$  up so that the larger one is equal to ten.