Object-oriented design: Interfaces, Subtying and Polymorphism

CS 115

Dr. Joseph Eremondi, adapted from Dr. Shakil Khan, Dr. Philip Fong, and Dr. Howard Hamilton

Last updated: February 6, 2025

Polymorphism, dynamic binding,

hidden functions & operators

• Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?
 - o via a common interface

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?
 - o via a common interface
- Key idea:

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?
 - via a common interface
- Key idea:
 - introduce abstract interface (the base class)

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?
 - via a common interface
- Key idea:
 - introduce abstract interface (the base class)
 - o write the function in terms of this interface

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?
 - via a common interface
- Key idea:
 - introduce abstract interface (the base class)
 - write the function in terms of this interface
 - develop 3 derived classes that extend this base class and implements (virtual) functions of the base class

- Rather than reusing implementation, reuse interface!
 - o program to an interface, not an implementation
- Say we want to develop 3 similar functions; how to rather implement one?
 - via a common interface
- Key idea:
 - introduce abstract interface (the base class)
 - write the function in terms of this interface
 - develop 3 derived classes that extend this base class and implements (virtual) functions of the base class
 - C++ compiler will do the rest via dynamic binding

Example: Summing All Elements in a List-like structure

• Array version:

Example: Summing All Elements in a List-like structure

• Array version:

Example: Summing All Elements in a List-like structure

Array version:

```
int sumArray(const int A[], unsigned int n) {
   int sum = 0;
   unsigned int i = 0;
   while (i < n) {
      sum += A[i];
      i++;
   }
   return sum;
}</pre>
```

ManagedArray Version

ManagedArray Version

```
int sumManagedArray(const ManagedArray &A) {
  int sum = 0;
  unsigned i = 0;
  while (i < A.length()) {
    sum += A.retrieve(i);
    i++;
  }
  return sum;
}</pre>
```

Standard Input Stream Version

Standard Input Stream Version

```
int sumStandardInputStream() {
  int sum = 0;
  int next;
  cin >> next;
  while (cin) {
    sum += next;
    cin >> next;
  }
  return sum;
}
```

• All the examples were doing essentially the same thing

- All the examples were doing essentially the same thing
- In Pseudocode:

- All the examples were doing essentially the same thing
- In Pseudocode:

- · All the examples were doing essentially the same thing
- In Pseudocode:

```
int sumDataSource(a data source) {
  int sum = 0;
  while (data source has not been exhausted) {
    sum += next entry in the data source;
    exclude the retrieved entry from future consideration;
  }
  return sum;
}
```

• How can we make this concrete code?

• When we declare a method as virtual, it *must be overridden* in some child class

- When we declare a method as virtual, it *must be overridden* in some child class
 - Give a dummy value of 0

- When we declare a method as virtual, it must be overridden in some child class
 - Give a dummy value of 0
- An abstract class has at least one virtual method

- When we declare a method as virtual, it must be overridden in some child class
 - Give a dummy value of 0
- An abstract class has at least one virtual method
 - o Gives interface without an implementation

- When we declare a method as virtual, it must be overridden in some child class
 - Give a dummy value of 0
- An abstract class has at least one virtual method
 - o Gives interface without an implementation

- When we declare a method as virtual, it must be overridden in some child class
 - Give a dummy value of 0
- An abstract class has at least one virtual method
 - o Gives interface without an implementation

```
class DataSource {
public:
    // exhausted
    virtual bool exhausted() const = 0; // pure virtual function
    // next
    virtual int next() = 0; // pure virtual function
};
```

 Abstract class can't be instantiated (but can be referenced or extended)

Generic Code via Abstract Classes

Generic Code via Abstract Classes

```
int sumDataSource(DataSource &ds) {
  int sum = 0;
  while (! ds.exhausted()) {
    sum += ds.next();
  }
  return sum;
}
```

 What's new: can be applied to instances of any derived class of DataSource

Generic Code via Abstract Classes

```
int sumDataSource(DataSource &ds) {
  int sum = 0;
  while (! ds.exhausted()) {
    sum += ds.next();
  }
  return sum;
}
```

- What's new: can be applied to <u>instances of any derived</u> class of DataSource
- Called a polymorphic function

• Array Version

- Array Version
 - o Has the same methods as DataSource

- Array Version
 - Has the same methods as DataSource
 - o BUT we specify the concrete representation

- Array Version
 - Has the same methods as DataSource
 - o BUT we specify the concrete representation
 - private fields

- Array Version
 - Has the same methods as DataSource
 - o BUT we specify the concrete representation
 - private fields

Extending the Interface

- Array Version
 - Has the same methods as DataSource
 - BUT we specify the concrete representation
 - private fields

```
const unsigned ARRAY DATA SOURCE CAPACITY = 1000;
class ArrayDataSource : public DataSource {
public:
 ArrayDataSource(const int A[], unsigned int n);
 virtual bool exhausted() const;
 virtual int next();
private:
 int data[ARRAY DATA SOURCE CAPACITY];
 unsigned length;
 unsigned i;
```

Implementing the Interface

 Must give implementation of each unspecified method from DataSource

Implementing the Interface

 Must give implementation of each unspecified method from DataSource

Implementing the Interface

 Must give implementation of each unspecified method from DataSource

```
ArrayDataSource::ArrayDataSource(const int A[], unsigned int n) {
 assert(n < ARRAY DATA SOURCE CAPACITY);</pre>
 for (unsigned int k = 0; k < n; k++)
    data[k] = A[k];
 length = n;
 i = 0;
bool ArrayDataSource::exhausted() const {
 return i == length;
int ArrayDataSource::next() {
 assert(! exhausted());
 i++:
 return data[i - 1];
```

```
// set up and initialize managed array data source
int A[ ] = { 1, 3, 9, -2 };
ArrayDataSource ads(A, 4);

// call sumDataSouce to add up entries
int sum = sumDataSource(ads);
```

Which version of exhausted() and next() to use in sumDataSource(ads)?

```
// set up and initialize managed array data source
int A[ ] = { 1, 3, 9, -2 };
ArrayDataSource ads(A, 4);

// call sumDataSouce to add up entries
int sum = sumDataSource(ads);
```

- Which version of exhausted() and next() to use in sumDataSource(ads)?
 - o determined at runtime

```
// set up and initialize managed array data source
int A[ ] = { 1, 3, 9, -2 };
ArrayDataSource ads(A, 4);

// call sumDataSource to add up entries
int sum = sumDataSource(ads);
```

- Which version of exhausted() and next() to use in sumDataSource(ads)?
 - o determined at runtime
 - depends on the exact type of object ads is bound to

```
// set up and initialize managed array data source
int A[ ] = { 1, 3, 9, -2 };
ArrayDataSource ads(A, 4);

// call sumDataSouce to add up entries
int sum = sumDataSource(ads);
```

- Which version of exhausted() and next() to use in sumDataSource(ads)?
 - o determined at runtime
 - depends on the exact type of object ads is bound to
 - In this case, ArrayDataSource

A Different Instance

• For ManagedArray

A Different Instance

• For ManagedArray

A Different Instance

For ManagedArray

```
class ManagedArrayDataSource : public DataSource {
public:
    ManagedArrayDataSource(const ManagedArray &A);
    virtual bool exhausted() const;
    virtual int next();
private:
    ManagedArray array;
    unsigned int i;
};
```

Implementation

Implementation

```
ManagedArrayDataSource::ManagedArrayDataSource(const ManagedArray& A)
: array(A.length()) {
  for (unsigned int k = 0; k < A.length(); k++)</pre>
    array.store(k, A.retrieve(k));
 i = 0:
bool ManagedArrayDataSource::exhausted() const {
  return i == array.length();
int ManagedArrayDataSource::next() {
  assert(! exhausted());
 i++:
  return array.retrieve(i - 1);
```

Dynamic Binding for the Managed Array Version

Dynamic Binding for the Managed Array Version

```
// set up and initialize managed array data source
int A[] = { 1, 3, 9, -2 };
ManagedArray ma;
for (unsigned int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
   ma.store(i, A[i]);
ManagedArrayDataSource mads(ma);

// call sumDataSouce to add up entries
int sum = sumDataSource(mads);</pre>
```

The code of sumDataSource has not changed!

Dynamic Binding for the Managed Array Version

```
// set up and initialize managed array data source
int A[] = { 1, 3, 9, -2 };
ManagedArray ma;
for (unsigned int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
   ma.store(i, A[i]);
ManagedArrayDataSource mads(ma);

// call sumDataSouce to add up entries
int sum = sumDataSource(mads);</pre>
```

- The code of sumDataSource has not changed!
 - But next and exhausted functions it was provided have

• Static means "at compile time"

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - $\circ \ \ \text{Not true in other languages (Java, Python)}$

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - $\circ \ \ \text{Not true in other languages (Java, Python)}$

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - $\circ \ \ \text{Not true in other languages (Java, Python)}$

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - $\circ \ \ \text{Not true in other languages (Java, Python)}$

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - o Not true in other languages (Java, Python)

```
class C {
public:
    void f() { /* impl 1*/}
    ...
};

class D : public C {
public:
    void f() { /* impl 2*/}
    ...
};
```

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - o Not true in other languages (Java, Python)

```
class C {
public:
    void f() { /* impl 1*/}
    ...
};

class D : public C {
public:
    void f() { /* impl 2*/}
    ...
};
```

- Static means "at compile time"
- C++ uses static by default
 - Not true in other languages (Java, Python)

```
class C {
public:
    void f() { /* impl 1*/}
    ...
};

class D : public C {
public:
    void f() { /* impl 2*/}
    ...
};
```

```
void g(C &c) {
  c.f();
int main() {
  D d:
 // static binding: impl.2 invoked
  d.f();
 // static binding: impl.1 invoked
  g(d);
  return 0;
```

• Dynamic means "at run time"

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - o virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

```
class C {
public:
  virtual void f() { /* impl 1*/}
  . . .
};
class D : public C {
public:
 // implictly virtual
 void f() { /* impl 2*/}
  . . .
};
```

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - o virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

```
class C {
public:
  virtual void f() { /* impl 1*/}
  . . .
};
class D : public C {
public:
 // implictly virtual
 void f() { /* impl 2*/}
  . . .
};
```

- Dynamic means "at run time"
 - virtual keyword tells C++ to use dynamic binding

```
class C {
public:
  virtual void f() { /* impl 1*/}
  . . .
};
class D : public C {
public:
 // implictly virtual
  void f() { /* impl 2*/}
  . . .
};
```

```
void g(C &c) {
 c.f():
int main() {
  D d;
 // static binding:
  // impl.2 invoked
  d.f():
 // dynamic binding: impl.2 invoke
  g(d);
  return 0;
```

Overloading is Static

• Overloading, not overriding

Overloading is Static

• Overloading, not overriding

Overloading is Static

• Overloading, not overriding

```
class E : public C {
public:
 // This does not override f() in class C
 // so it is not implicitly virtual
 void f(int i) { /* implementation 3 */ }
  . . .
};
int main() {
 Ee;
 e.f(); // static binding: impl.1 invoked
 e.f(4); // static binding: impl.3 invoked
 return ⊙;
```

• From the Greek

- From the Greek
 - ∘ *Poly* : many

- From the Greek
 - ∘ *Poly*: many
 - o Morphos: forms

- From the Greek
 - *Poly*: many
 - Morphos: forms
- OOP lets us write one function that works on many types

- From the Greek
 - o Poly: many
 - Morphos: forms
- OOP lets us write one function that works on many types
- This is subtyping polymorphism

- From the Greek
 - o Poly: many
 - Morphos: forms
- OOP lets us write one function that works on many types
- This is subtyping polymorphism
 - We'll see another kind later

- From the Greek
 - o Poly: many
 - Morphos: forms
- OOP lets us write one function that works on many types
- This is subtyping polymorphism
 - We'll see another kind later
 - If A extends B, you can use a B object anywhere an A is expected

- From the Greek
 - Poly: many
 - Morphos: forms
- OOP lets us write one function that works on many types
- This is subtyping polymorphism
 - We'll see another kind later
 - If A extends B, you can use a B object anywhere an A is expected
 - If A extends B, we say A is a subtype of B

• We can finally say what an object is:

- We can finally say what an object is:
 - o An instance of a class

- We can finally say what an object is:
 - An instance of a class
 - o Containing a value for each field of that class

- We can finally say what an object is:
 - An instance of a class
 - Containing a value for each field of that class
 - and containing a pointer to the implementation of each virtual method of that class

- We can finally say what an object is:
 - An instance of a class
 - Containing a value for each field of that class
 - and containing a pointer to the implementation of each virtual method of that class
- · Objects package data and operations together

Subyping as a Safer Alternative to Unions

• Recall our library code

Subyping as a Safer Alternative to Unions

• Recall our library code

Subyping as a Safer Alternative to Unions

Recall our library code

```
struct CatalogEntry {
 string title;
 string author;
 string publisher;
 unsigned int publishingYear;
 string callNumber;
 CatalogEntryType tag;
 union {
    struct { unsigned int pages; } book;
    struct { unsigned int discs, minutes; } dvd;
 } variant;
```

Can do better with OOP

CatalogEntry Abstract Class

• Base class with all of the shared fields

CatalogEntry Abstract Class

• Base class with all of the shared fields

CatalogEntry Abstract Class

Base class with all of the shared fields

```
class CatalogEntry {
public:
 // e.g. an operation we want to do for any entry
 virtual void printInfo() = 0;
protected:
 string title:
 string author;
 string publisher;
 unsigned int publishingYear;
 string callNumber;
 // No tag or variant-specific info
```

A Book Subtype

A Book Subtype

```
//Book.h
class BookEntry : public CatalogEntry {
public:
void printInfo();
private:
  int pages;
// Book.cpp
void BookEntry::printInfo(){
 // Have all CatalogEntry fields
 // plus pages
 cout << title << author ...
     << pages;
```

A DVD Subtype

A DVD Subtype

```
//Book.h
class DVDEntry : public CatalogEntry {
public:
void printInfo();
private:
  int discs;
 int minutes;
// Book.cpp
void DVDEntry::printInfo(){
 // Have all CatalogEntry fields
 // plus pages
  cout << title << author ...
     << discs << minutes;
```

 A function or operator in the base class with the same name and parameters as a function in the derived class

 A function or operator in the base class with the same name and parameters as a function in the derived class
 can access using the base-class type qualifier

 A function or operator in the base class with the same name and parameters as a function in the derived class
 can access using the base-class type qualifier

 A function or operator in the base class with the same name and parameters as a function in the derived class
 can access using the base-class type qualifier

```
void Derived1::func() {
 // func() is defined in both
 // the base and the child class Derived1
 Base1::func();
 // ...
// same for operators
Derived1 &Derived1::operator=(const Derived1 &original) {
  if (this != &original) {
    // = is defined in both the base and the child class
    Base1::operator=(original);
    field1 = original.field1;
  return *this;
```

Leave
 CatalogEntry::printInfo()
 as virtual, but give it an
 implementation

```
void CatalogEntry::printInfo(){
cout << title << author <<
    ... << callNumber;
}</pre>
```

 BookEntry and DVDEntry then only need to deal with their specific fields

```
void CatalogEntry::printInfo(){
cout << title << author <<
    ... << callNumber;
}</pre>
```

- BookEntry and DVDEntry then only need to deal with their specific fields
 - Can call the base type version for the rest;

```
void CatalogEntry::printInfo(){
cout << title << author <<
    ... << callNumber;
}</pre>
```

- BookEntry and DVDEntry then only need to deal with their specific fields
 - Can call the base type version for the rest;

```
void CatalogEntry::printInfo(){
cout << title << author <<
    ... << callNumber;
}</pre>
```

- BookEntry and DVDEntry then only need to deal with their specific fields
 - Can call the base type version for the rest;

```
void CatalogEntry::printInfo(){
cout << title << author <<
    ... << callNumber;
}</pre>
```

- BookEntry and DVDEntry then only need to deal with their specific fields
 - Can call the base type version for the rest;

```
void BookEntry::printInfo() {
 // Call the base class version
 // to print the shared fields
 CatalogEntry::printInfo();
 // Print our specifc fields
 cout << pages;
void DVDEntry::printInfo() {
 CatalogEntry::printInfo();
 cout << discs << minutes;</pre>
```