Arrays

CS 115

Dr. Joseph Eremondi, adapted from Dr. Shakil Khan, Dr. Philip Fong, and Dr. Howard Hamilton

Last updated: January 7, 2025

One, two, and multi-dimensional

arrays

Motivation

• Print 1000 numbers in reverse order

1

Motivation

• Print 1000 numbers in reverse order

1

Motivation

• Print 1000 numbers in reverse order

```
int valueo:
int value1;
int value2;
// ...
int value9999:
cin >> valueo:
cin >> value1;
// ...
cin >> value999;
cout << value999 << endl;</pre>
cout << value998 << endl;</pre>
// ...
cout << valueo << endl;</pre>
```

1

• How about 1000000 numbers?

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type
 - homogenous components

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type
 - homogenous components
 - indexing support

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type
 - homogenous components
 - indexing support
 - o constant time access

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type
 - homogenous components
 - indexing support
 - o constant time access
 - o random access

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type
 - homogenous components
 - indexing support
 - o constant time access
 - o random access

- How about 1000000 numbers?
- Tedious, not scalable, and error prone
- Solution: use aggregate data type
 - homogenous components
 - indexing support
 - o constant time access
 - random access

```
int a[120000];  // Array declaration

for (int i = 0; i < 120000; i++)
    cin >> a[i];  // Array access

for (int i = 119999; i >= 0; i--)
    cout << a[i] << endl;</pre>
```

Array Operations

• Call the things we store in the array *elements*

Array Operations

- Call the things we store in the array *elements*
- Get the ith element's value: array[i]

Array Operations

- Call the things we store in the array *elements*
- Get the ith element's value: array[i]
- Set the ith element: array[i] = someValue;

Simple arrays

Simple arrays

Array size must be a constant expression

Simple arrays

- Array size must be a constant expression
- Easy to change size: just update N (the rest of the program remains intact)

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n) // Array argument
  int sum = \odot:
  for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    sum += a[i];
  return sum;
int main()
  // Array initialization
  int a[] = { 3, 24, -88, 17, -1 };
  cout << sumArrav(a, 5) << endl:</pre>
```

• Array size can be left unspecified in array initialization syntax

• Array arguments are always automatically passed by reference

- Array arguments are always automatically passed by reference
- no special notation is require

- Array arguments are always automatically passed by reference
- no special notation is require

- Array arguments are always automatically passed by reference
- no special notation is require

```
// int sumArray(int& a[], unsigned int n) - INCORRECT
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n) // CORRECT
{
   ...
   }
```

Works for arrays of all sizes (size is passed as a separate argument)

- Array arguments are always automatically passed by reference
- no special notation is require

```
// int sumArray(int& a[], unsigned int n) - INCORRECT
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n) // CORRECT
{
    ...
    }
```

- Works for arrays of all sizes (size is passed as a separate argument)
- Interface not safe: can modify the content of A

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n)
// not safe, sumArray can modify A!
```

• Use the following instead:

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n)
// not safe, sumArray can modify A!
```

• Use the following instead:

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n)
// not safe, sumArray can modify A!
```

• Use the following instead:

```
int sumArray(const int a[], unsigned int n)
```

 How to figure out array size when passing n if the size was left unspecified when declaring it?

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n)
// not safe, sumArray can modify A!
```

Use the following instead:

```
int sumArray(const int a[], unsigned int n)
```

- How to figure out array size when passing n if the size was left unspecified when declaring it?
- use sizeof function:

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n)
// not safe, sumArray can modify A!
```

Use the following instead:

```
int sumArray(const int a[], unsigned int n)
```

- How to figure out array size when passing n if the size was left unspecified when declaring it?
- use sizeof function:

```
int sumArray(int a[], unsigned int n)
// not safe, sumArray can modify A!
```

• Use the following instead:

```
int sumArray(const int a[], unsigned int n)
```

- How to figure out array size when passing n if the size was left unspecified when declaring it?
- use sizeof function:

```
int a[] = {1,2,6,3,8};
int x = sumArray(a, sizeof(a) / sizeof(int));
```

Play time

• Check if integer array sorted

Play time

• Check if integer array sorted

Check if integer array sorted

```
bool arrayIsSorted(const int a[], unsigned int n){
    for (int i = 0; i < n-1; i++){
        if (a[i] > a[i+1])
            return false;
    }
    return true;
}
```

• Reversing items in integer array

Q

• Reversing items in integer array

Q

Reversing items in integer array

```
void swap(int &a, int &b) {
  int tmp = a;
  a = b;
  b = tmp;
}
// below a[] is not a constant as want to produce side-effect
void reverseArray(int a[], unsigned int n) {
  for (int i = 0; i < n/2; i++)
    swap(a[i], a[n - i - 1]);
}</pre>
```

• Compute the sum of an array segment

• Compute the sum of an array segment

· Compute the sum of an array segment

```
// pos : index of the first component in the subarray
// count: total number of components in the subarray
int sumSubarray(const int a[].
                unsigned int pos,
                unsigned int count){
  int sum = \odot;
  for (int i = pos; i < pos + count; i++)</pre>
    sum += a[i]:
  return sum:
```

• Another way to do the same thing

• Another way to do the same thing

Another way to do the same thing

```
// begin: index of first component in the subarray
// end : index of the last component in the subarray
int sumSubarray(const int a[],
                unsigned int begin,
                unsigned int end){
  assert(begin <= end);</pre>
  int sum = \odot;
  for (int i = begin; i <= end; i++)
    sum += a[i]:
  return sum:
```

• C++ does not check if array indices are within bound

- C++ does not check if array indices are within bound
- it's your responsibility

- C++ does not check if array indices are within bound
- it's your responsibility
- Array Copying

- C++ does not check if array indices are within bound
- it's your responsibility
- Array Copying

- C++ does not check if array indices are within bound
- it's your responsibility
- Array Copying

a = b // invalid

• copy cell by cell:

- C++ does not check if array indices are within bound
- it's your responsibility
- Array Copying

a = b // invalid

• copy cell by cell:

- C++ does not check if array indices are within bound
- it's your responsibility
- Array Copying

```
a = b // invalid
```

• copy cell by cell:

• Array Comparison

• Array Comparison

Array Comparison

• compare each pair of cells at a time

Array Comparison

```
if(a == b) // invalid
```

- compare each pair of cells at a time
- No need to return array as function output, uses call by reference anyway!

• C++ arrays are unsafe

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is *terrible* language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is *terrible* language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds
 - Most checks can be optimized out by the compiler

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - o Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds
 - Most checks can be optimized out by the compiler
- C++ will never change

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - o Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds
 - Most checks can be optimized out by the compiler
- C++ will never change
 - Backwards compatibility

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds
 - Most checks can be optimized out by the compiler
- C++ will never change
 - Backwards compatibility
 - std::array is safe but isn't the default

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds
 - Most checks can be optimized out by the compiler
- C++ will never change
 - Backwards compatibility
 - std::array is safe but isn't the default
- Languages like Rust make sure that these errors are impossible

- C++ arrays are unsafe
- This is terrible language design
 - Billions of dollars and many security incidents caused by unsafe memory access
 - o Error cost outweighs performance cost of checking array bounds
 - Most checks can be optimized out by the compiler
- C++ will never change
 - Backwards compatibility
 - std::array is safe but isn't the default
- Languages like Rust make sure that these errors are impossible
 - Unless you explicitly disable safety

Example

Example

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int main(){
 char passwd[8] = "secret";
 char username[8] = "bob101";
 string toPrint = "";
 // Oops reading past end of array!
  for (int i = 0; i < 16; i++){
    toPrint += username[i]:
 cout << toPrint << endl;</pre>
```

Example

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int main(){
 char passwd[8] = "secret";
 char username[8] = "bob101";
 string toPrint = "";
 // Oops reading past end of array!
  for (int i = 0; i < 16; i++){
    toPrint += username[i]:
 cout << toPrint << endl;</pre>
```

bob101secret

Two Dimensional Arrays

Motivation

• Want to store quantity of different products sold in a store

- Want to store quantity of different products sold in a store
- but for multiple locations/regions

- Want to store quantity of different products sold in a store
- but for multiple locations/regions
- Conceptually can store as a matrix, where rows represent different locations and columns represent different products

- Want to store quantity of different products sold in a store
- but for multiple locations/regions
- Conceptually can store as a matrix, where rows represent different locations and columns represent different products
- sales[2][1] are the total number of items sold for location 2 and product 1

- Want to store quantity of different products sold in a store
- but for multiple locations/regions
- Conceptually can store as a matrix, where rows represent different locations and columns represent different products
- sales[2][1] are the total number of items sold for location 2 and product 1
- recall item n is the (n+1)-th item

- Want to store quantity of different products sold in a store
- but for multiple locations/regions
- Conceptually can store as a matrix, where rows represent different locations and columns represent different products
- sales[2][1] are the total number of items sold for location 2 and product 1
- recall item n is the (n+1)-th item
 - o index starts from 0!

```
const unsigned int NUM_OF_REGIONS = 4;
const unsigned int NUM_OF_PRODUCTS = 3;
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

• To access sales figure for first product in second region, use:

```
const unsigned int NUM_OF_REGIONS = 4;
const unsigned int NUM_OF_PRODUCTS = 3;
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

• To access sales figure for first product in second region, use:

```
const unsigned int NUM_OF_REGIONS = 4;
const unsigned int NUM_OF_PRODUCTS = 3;
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

• To access sales figure for first product in second region, use:

```
sales[1][0] // recall, indices start from 0
```

• e.g., want to set sales figure for first product in second region to 500

```
const unsigned int NUM_OF_REGIONS = 4;
const unsigned int NUM_OF_PRODUCTS = 3;
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

• To access sales figure for first product in second region, use:

```
sales[1][0] // recall, indices start from 0
```

• e.g., want to set sales figure for first product in second region to 500

```
const unsigned int NUM_OF_REGIONS = 4;
const unsigned int NUM_OF_PRODUCTS = 3;
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

• To access sales figure for first product in second region, use:

```
sales[1][0] // recall, indices start from 0
```

• e.g., want to set sales figure for first product in second region to 500

```
sales[1][0] = 500;
```

Populating and Accessing

Populating and Accessing

```
// Read input stream
for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM OF REGIONS; region++)</pre>
  for (unsigned int product = 0; product < NUM OF PRODUCTS: product++)</pre>
    cin >> sales[region][product]:
// total sales for a particular product (product o)
unsigned int total sales = 0:
for (unsigned int region = 0: region < NUM OF REGIONS: region++)</pre>
  // add up sales from all regions for product o
  total sales += sales[region][0]:
```

Can you compute total sales from region 1?

```
unsigned int sumProductSales(
          unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS],
          unsigned int product)
{
   unsigned int total_sales = 0;
   for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM_OF_REGIONS; region++)
        total_sales += sales[region][product];
   return total_sales;
}</pre>
```

• Can you implement a safer interface?

```
unsigned int sumProductSales(
          unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS],
          unsigned int product)
{
   unsigned int total_sales = 0;
   for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM_OF_REGIONS; region++)
        total_sales += sales[region][product];
   return total_sales;
}</pre>
```

- Can you implement a safer interface?
- As usual, can leave size of first dimension unspecified, e.g. int F(int arr[][SIZE])

```
unsigned int sumProductSales(
          unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS],
          unsigned int product)
{
    unsigned int total_sales = 0;
    for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM_OF_REGIONS; region++)
        total_sales += sales[region][product];

    return total_sales;
}</pre>
```

- Can you implement a safer interface?
- As usual, can leave size of first dimension unspecified, e.g. int F(int arr[][SIZE])
- but not the second one (why?)

Making things more modular

• So we can change internal representation without changing interface

Making things more modular

• So we can change internal representation without changing interface

Making things more modular

• So we can change internal representation without changing interface

```
// Implement a function that returns
// the value of one element from the sales array
unsigned int getSales(
        const unsigned int sales[NUM OF REGIONS][NUM OF PRODUCTS],
        unsigned int r, unsigned int p){
  return sales[r][p]:
// Implement a function that sets the value
// of one element from the sales array
void setSales(unsigned int sales[NUM OF REGIONS][NUM OF PRODUCTS],
              unsigned int r, unsigned int p, unsigned int v){
  sales[r][p] = v:
```

Using typedef

Using typedef

```
// too lazy to write long types? Use typedef instead!

typedef unsigned int Sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];

unsigned int sumSales(const Sales sales){
    ...
    }
```

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

versus

```
unsigned int _sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

• Issue: how to map between these two?

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int _sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

- Issue: how to map between these two?
 - o row-major vs. column-major order

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int _sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

- Issue: how to map between these two?
 - o row-major vs. column-major order
 - ∘ e.g. sales[i][j]

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int _sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

- Issue: how to map between these two?
 - o row-major vs. column-major order
 - ∘ e.g. sales[i][j]
 - same as _sales[i * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS + j] in row-major

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int _sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

- Issue: how to map between these two?
 - o row-major vs. column-major order
 - ∘ e.g. sales[i][j]
 - same as _sales[i * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS + j] in row-major
- Now you know why the size of the 2nd dimension can't be left unspecified!

```
unsigned int sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS][NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

```
unsigned int _sales[NUM_OF_REGIONS * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS];
```

- Issue: how to map between these two?
 - o row-major vs. column-major order
 - ∘ e.g. sales[i][j]
 - same as _sales[i * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS + j] in row-major
- Now you know why the size of the 2nd dimension can't be left unspecified!
 - Can you write the formula for column-major order?

Using Row-Major Order

Using Row-Major Order

```
unsigned int totalSales = 0;

for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM_OF_REGIONS; region++)
   for (unsigned int product = 0;
        product < NUM_OF_PRODUCTS;
        product++){
      totalSales += _sales[region * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS + product];
   }</pre>
```

• This is why we need to know the size of the second dimension

Using Row-Major Order

```
unsigned int totalSales = 0;

for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM_OF_REGIONS; region++)
   for (unsigned int product = 0;
        product < NUM_OF_PRODUCTS;
        product++){
        totalSales += _sales[region * NUM_OF_PRODUCTS + product];
    }</pre>
```

- This is why we need to know the size of the second dimension
 - To calculate offset

```
const unsigned int NUM YEARS = 2;
const unsigned int NUM REGIONS = 4:
const unsigned int NUM PRODUCTS = 3;
typedef unsigned int Sales[NUM YEARS][NUM REGIONS][NUM PRODUCTS];
unsigned int total sales = 0:
for (unsigned int vear = 0: vear < NUM YEARS: vear++)</pre>
  for (unsigned int region = 0; region < NUM_REGIONS; region++)</pre>
    for (unsigned int product = 0; product < NUM_PRODUCTS; product++)</pre>
      total sales += sales[vear][region][product];
```

Simulating 3d with 1d

• Sales[year][region][product]

Simulating 3d with 1d

- Sales[year][region][product]
- vs_Sales[(year * NUM_REGS * NUM_PRODS) + (region * NUM_OF_PRODS) + product]

• In general for a d-dimensional array with dimensions S_1, S_2, ..., S_d, the element at $Item[n_1][n_2]...[n_d]$ can be represented as a single dimensional array with the following index

• In general for a d-dimensional array with dimensions S_1, S_2, ..., S_d, the element at $Item[n_1][n_2]...[n_d]$ can be represented as a single dimensional array with the following index

• In general for a d-dimensional array with dimensions S_1, S_2, ..., S_d, the element at Item[n_1][n_2]...[n_d] can be represented as a single dimensional array with the following index