

Review

CS 115

Dr. Joseph Eremondi, adapted from Dr. Shakil Khan, Dr. Philip Fong, and Dr. Howard Hamilton

Last updated: January 7, 2025

Basic program structure, local/global variables, value passing semantics, strings, program dev. process

Hello world!

Hello world!

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main( ){
    cout << "Hello, World!" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

- 4 types of control structures:

Hello world!

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main( ){
    cout << "Hello, World!" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

- 4 types of control structures:
 - sequences (see above)

Hello world!

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main( ){
    cout << "Hello, World!" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

- 4 types of control structures:
 - sequences (see above)
 - conditionals

Hello world!

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main( ){
    cout << "Hello, World!" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

- 4 types of control structures:
 - sequences (see above)
 - conditionals
 - loops

Hello world!

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main( ){
    cout << "Hello, World!" << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

- 4 types of control structures:
 - sequences (see above)
 - conditionals
 - loops
 - function invocations

Functional abstraction

Functional abstraction

Functional abstraction

Functional abstraction

```
// Declaration of the triple function
```

```
int triple(int x);
```

```
int main( ){
```

```
    int answer;
```

```
    answer = triple(5);
```

```
    cout << answer << endl;
```

```
    cout << triple(2) << endl;
```

```
    return 0;
```

```
}
```

```
// Definition of the triple function
```

```
int triple(int x) {
```

```
    return 3 * x;
```

```
}
```

Functional abstraction

```
// Declaration of the triple function
int triple(int x);

int main( ){
    int answer;
    answer = triple(5);
    cout << answer << endl;
    cout << triple(2) << endl;
    return 0;
}

// Definition of the triple function
int triple(int x) {
    return 3 * x;
}
```

- Must declare functions before referencing them

Functional abstraction

```
// Declaration of the triple function
int triple(int x);

int main( ){
    int answer;
    answer = triple(5);
    cout << answer << endl;
    cout << triple(2) << endl;
    return 0;
}

// Definition of the triple function
int triple(int x) {
    return 3 * x;
}
```

- Must declare functions before referencing them
- use function prototype /header

Functional abstraction

```
// Declaration of the triple function
int triple(int x);

int main( ){
    int answer;
    answer = triple(5);
    cout << answer << endl;
    cout << triple(2) << endl;
    return 0;
}

// Definition of the triple function
int triple(int x) {
    return 3 * x;
}
```

- Must declare functions before referencing them
- use function prototype /header
- OR declare before 1st use

Functional abstraction

```
// Declaration of the triple function
int triple(int x);

int main( ){
    int answer;
    answer = triple(5);
    cout << answer << endl;
    cout << triple(2) << endl;
    return 0;
}

// Definition of the triple function
int triple(int x) {
    return 3 * x;
}
```

- Must declare functions before referencing them
- use function prototype /header
- OR declare before 1st use
- Scope of a function = file scope

Functional abstraction

```
// Declaration of the triple function
int triple(int x);

int main( ){
    int answer;
    answer = triple(5);
    cout << answer << endl;
    cout << triple(2) << endl;
    return 0;
}

// Definition of the triple function
int triple(int x) {
    return 3 * x;
}
```

- Must declare functions before referencing them
- use function prototype /header
- OR declare before 1st use
- Scope of a function = file scope
- Can a function call itself?!

Local and global variables and constants

Local and global variables and constants

Local and global variables and constants

Local and global variables and constants

```
// Declaration of a global variable
```

```
int g;
```

```
// Declaration of a global constant
```

```
const int THREE = 3;
```

```
int main( ){
```

```
    const int LOC = 29;
```

```
    int loc = LOC;
```

```
    g = 42;
```

```
    cout << g << endl;
```

```
    tripleGlobal();
```

```
    cout << g << endl;
```

```
    return 0;
```

```
}
```

Local and global variables and constants

```
// Declaration of a global variable
```

```
int g;
```

```
// Declaration of a global constant
```

```
const int THREE = 3;
```

```
int main( ){
```

```
    const int LOC = 29;
```

```
    int loc = LOC;
```

```
    g = 42;
```

```
    cout << g << endl;
```

```
    tripleGlobal();
```

```
    cout << g << endl;
```

```
    return 0;
```

```
}
```

Local and global variables and constants

```
// Declaration of a global variable
int g;

// Declaration of a global constant
const int THREE = 3;

int main( ){
    const int LOC = 29;
    int loc = LOC;
    g = 42;
    cout << g << endl;
    tripleGlobal();
    cout << g << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

```
void tripleGlobal( ){
    // The local var loc is not acc.
    // The global var g is accessible
    g = THREE * g;
}
```

- Use “extern” to access global variables declared in other files

Conditionals (if-then-else branching)

Conditionals (if-then-else branching)

```
int max(int a, int b){  
    if (a >= b)  
        return a;  
    else  
        return b;  
}
```

```
int main( ){  
    cout << max(-1, 2) << endl;  
    cout << max(1, -2) << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```

Conditionals (ternary operator `cond ? b1 : b2`)

- Compare the following:

Conditionals (ternary operator `cond ? b1 : b2`)

- Compare the following:

Conditionals (ternary operator cond ? b1 : b2)

- Compare the following:

```
int max(int a, int b){  
    if (a >= b)  
        return a;  
    else  
        return b;  
}
```

```
int max(int a, int b) {  
    return (a >= b) ? a : b;  
}
```

Conditionals (nesting)

- Can be nested:

Conditionals (nesting)

- Can be nested:

Conditionals (nesting)

- Can be nested:

```
int inRange(int num, int low, int high) {  
    if(num>=low)  
        if(num<=high)  
            return 1;  
    return 0;  
}
```

- Note: could have used a compound conditional statement instead

Conditionals (else-if and switch cases)

- Can have multiple branches:

Conditionals (else-if and switch cases)

- Can have multiple branches:

Conditionals (else-if and switch cases)

- Can have multiple branches:

```
int sign(int a){  
    if (a > 0)  
        return 1;  
    else if (a < 0)  
        return -1;  
    else  
        return 0;  
}
```

Conditionals (else-if and switch cases)

- Switch cases?

Conditionals (else-if and switch cases)

- Switch cases?

Conditionals (else-if and switch cases)

- Switch cases?

```
switch (month){  
  case 1: case 2: case 3: case 4:  
    cout << "Winter";  
    break;  
  case 5: case 6: case 7: case 8:  
    cout << "Spring";  
    break;  
  case 9: case 10: case 11: case 12:  
    cout << "Fall";  
    break;  
  default:  
    cout << "Error, universe broken";  
}
```

Repetition structures (loops)

- Want to compute:

Repetition structures (loops)

- Want to compute:
- $f(n) = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n$

Repetition structures (loops)

- Want to compute:
- $f(n) = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n$

Repetition structures (loops)

- Want to compute:
- $f(n) = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n$

```
unsigned int triangular(unsigned int n){  
    unsigned int result = 0;  
    for (unsigned int i = 1; i <= n; i++){  
        result += i;  
    }  
    return result;  
}
```

- Order of execution?

Repetition structures (loops)

- Want to compute:
- $f(n) = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n$

```
unsigned int triangular(unsigned int n){  
    unsigned int result = 0;  
    for (unsigned int i = 1; i <= n; i++){  
        result += i;  
    }  
    return result;  
}
```

- Order of execution?
- Can have an empty body!

Repetition structures (loops)

Repetition structures (loops)

```
const unsigned int BASE = 10;

unsigned int sumOfDigits(unsigned int m){
    unsigned int sum = 0;
    while (m != 0) {
        unsigned int digit;
        digit = m % BASE;
        sum = sum + digit;
        m = m / BASE;
    }
    return sum;
}
```

- More explicit than for loops

Repetition structures (loops)

```
const unsigned int BASE = 10;

unsigned int sumOfDigits(unsigned int m){
    unsigned int sum = 0;
    while (m != 0) {
        unsigned int digit;
        digit = m % BASE;
        sum = sum + digit;
        m = m / BASE;
    }
    return sum;
}
```

- More explicit than for loops
- Do-while: like while, but executes at least once

Repetition structures (loops)

```
const unsigned int BASE = 10;

unsigned int sumOfDigits(unsigned int m){
    unsigned int sum = 0;
    while (m != 0) {
        unsigned int digit;
        digit = m % BASE;
        sum = sum + digit;
        m = m / BASE;
    }
    return sum;
}
```

- More explicit than for loops
- Do-while: like while, but executes at least once
- Loops can be nested

Value passing semantics

- Call by value (arguments evaluated)

Value passing semantics

- Call by value (arguments evaluated)

Value passing semantics

- Call by value (arguments evaluated)

```
void doubleV(int a){  
    a = a*2;  
}  
  
int main( ){  
    int a = 2;  
    doubleV(a+a);  
    cout << a << endl;  
  
    return 0;  
}
```

Value passing semantics

- Call by reference (can only send vars)

Value passing semantics

- Call by reference (can only send vars)

Value passing semantics

- Call by reference (can only send vars)

```
void doubleR(int &a){  
    a = a*2;  
}  
  
int main() {  
    int a = 4;  
    doubleR(a);  
    cout << a << endl;  
  
    return 0;  
}
```

Value passing semantics

- Call by address (arguments evaluated)

Value passing semantics

- Call by address (arguments evaluated)
 - We'll see more of this later

Value passing semantics

- Call by address (arguments evaluated)
 - We'll see more of this later
 - Have to explicitly get dereference

Value passing semantics

- Call by address (arguments evaluated)
 - We'll see more of this later
 - Have to explicitly get dereference
 - i.e. get value from the address

Value passing semantics

- Call by address (arguments evaluated)
 - We'll see more of this later
 - Have to explicitly get dereference
 - i.e. get value from the address

Value passing semantics

- Call by address (arguments evaluated)
 - We'll see more of this later
 - Have to explicitly get dereference
 - i.e. get value from the address

```
void doubleP(int *a){
    *a = (*a)*2;
}

int main( ){
    int a = 4;
    doubleP(&a);
    cout << a << endl;

    return 0;
}
```

Side effects

- Effects of a function other than the generation of a value to be returned

Side effects

- Effects of a function other than the generation of a value to be returned
 - those that persist

Side effects

- Effects of a function other than the generation of a value to be returned
 - those that persist
- e.g., printing stuff using `cout`, changing a global variable, changing a local variable via call by reference/pointer, etc.

Strings

- Overloading + and [] operators

Strings

- Overloading + and [] operators
 - C++ libraries provide string facilities

Strings

- Overloading + and [] operators
 - C++ libraries provide string facilities

Strings

- Overloading + and [] operators
 - C++ libraries provide string facilities

```
#include <string>

int main( ){
    string h = "hello";
    string w = "world";
    string msg = h + ' ' + w;
    cout << msg << endl;
    return 0;
}

string s = "hello world";
for (int i = 0; i < s.length(); i++)
    cout << s[i] << endl;
```

Strings

- Characters are integer values

Strings

- Characters are integer values

Strings

- Characters are integer values

```
char charToUpper(char c){  
    if ('a' <= c && c <= 'z')  
        return c - 'a' + 'A';  
    else  
        return c;  
}
```

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings
- Not safe: modifying the passed string also modifies the original one

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings
- Not safe: modifying the passed string also modifies the original one
- Solution: pass by constant reference

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings
- Not safe: modifying the passed string also modifies the original one
- Solution: pass by constant reference

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings
- Not safe: modifying the passed string also modifies the original one
- Solution: pass by constant reference

```
string capitalize(const string &s);
```

- Occasionally, you may want to return a value by constant reference (meh!)

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings
- Not safe: modifying the passed string also modifies the original one
- Solution: pass by constant reference

```
string capitalize(const string &s);
```

- Occasionally, you may want to return a value by constant reference (meh!)

Strings

- Passing by reference: faster than pass-by-value for large strings
- Not safe: modifying the passed string also modifies the original one
- Solution: pass by constant reference

```
string capitalize(const string &s);
```

- Occasionally, you may want to return a value by constant reference (meh!)

```
const string &chooseFirst(const string &s1, const string &s2) {  
    if (s1 < s2)  
        return s1;  
    else  
        return s2;  
}
```

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language
 - We can do *less* things with a const reference

Code as Communication

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language
 - We can do *less* things with a const reference
- This is **good**

Code as Communication

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language
 - We can do *less* things with a const reference
- This is **good**
- Code communicates an intention

Code as Communication

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language
 - We can do *less* things with a const reference
- This is **good**
- Code communicates an intention
 - “This function shouldn't change this string”

Code as Communication

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language
 - We can do *less* things with a const reference
- This is **good**
- Code communicates an intention
 - “This function shouldn't change this string”
- Compiler *checks* this intention

Code as Communication

- Passing by constant reference doesn't add any power to the language
 - We can do *less* things with a const reference
- This is **good**
- Code communicates an intention
 - “This function shouldn't change this string”
- Compiler *checks* this intention
 - Gives you an error if you violate it

Strings

Strings

Strings

- Function returning with non-constant reference

Strings

- Function returning with non-constant reference

Strings

- Function returning with non-constant reference

```
string &chooseFirst(string &s1, string &s2)
{
    if (s1 < s2)
        return s1;
    else
        return s2;
}

int main(){
    string s1 ; "ABC";
    string s2 = "XYZ";
    chooseFirst(s1,s2) = "PQR"
    cout << s1;
    return 0;
}
```

Strings

- Function returning with non-constant reference

```
string &chooseFirst(string &s1, string &s2)
{
    if (s1 < s2)
        return s1;
    else
        return s2;
}

int main(){
    string s1 ; "ABC";
    string s2 = "XYZ";
    chooseFirst(s1,s2) = "PQR"
    cout << s1;
    return 0;
}
```

- chooseFirst() returns reference to lexicographically smaller string

Strings

- Function returning with non-constant reference

```
string &chooseFirst(string &s1, string &s2)
{
    if (s1 < s2)
        return s1;
    else
        return s2;
}
int main(){
    string s1 ; "ABC";
    string s2 = "XYZ";
    chooseFirst(s1,s2) = "PQR"
    cout << s1;
    return 0;
}
```

- chooseFirst() returns reference to lexicographically smaller string
- main() prints PQR! since s1=PQR!

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem
- Reuse

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem
- Reuse
 - reduces the overhead of solving a problem over and over again,

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem
- Reuse
 - reduces the overhead of solving a problem over and over again,
 - saves us from redoing testing and documentation for similar code

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem
- Reuse
 - reduces the overhead of solving a problem over and over again,
 - saves us from redoing testing and documentation for similar code
 - Easier to understand code

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem
- Reuse
 - reduces the overhead of solving a problem over and over again,
 - saves us from redoing testing and documentation for similar code
 - Easier to understand code
 - Code structured into modules

Modular vs. Application programs (115 vs. 110)

- Top-down design
 - repeatedly decomposing a complicated problem into smaller, easier subproblems
 - each can be implemented independently
 - e.g., decomposing a function into many smaller ones
- Alternative is bottom-up approach
 - building reusable tools
 - then using those tools to build even powerful tools
 - eventually solve original problem
- Reuse
 - reduces the overhead of solving a problem over and over again,
 - saves us from redoing testing and documentation for similar code
 - Easier to understand code
 - Code structured into modules
 - separates interface from implementation

Standard input and output

- Can redirect standard input and output from and to files resp.

Standard input and output

- Can redirect standard input and output from and to files resp.
- `myProg < inFile > outFile`

Standard input and output

- Can redirect standard input and output from and to files resp.
- `myProg < inFile > outFile`
- Can pipe the standard output of a program to the standard input of another

Standard input and output

- Can redirect standard input and output from and to files resp.
- `myProg < inFile > outFile`
- Can pipe the standard output of a program to the standard input of another
- `myProg1 | myProg2`

Standard input and output

- Can redirect standard input and output from and to files resp.
- `myProg < inFile > outFile`
- Can pipe the standard output of a program to the standard input of another
- `myProg1 | myProg2`
- See notes for how

Standard input and output

- Can redirect standard input and output from and to files resp.
- `myProg < inFile > outFile`
- Can pipe the standard output of a program to the standard input of another
- `myProg1 | myProg2`
- See notes for how
- `getline(cin, <string>)` and `cin.get(<char>)` can be used to read input from a file

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using `-c` command, and link together

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using `-c` command, and link together
 - `g++ -c main.cpp`

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using `-c` command, and link together
 - `g++ -c main.cpp`
 - `g++ -c my_util.cpp`

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using `-c` command, and link together
 - `g++ -c main.cpp`
 - `g++ -c my_util.cpp`
 - `g++ -o prog.out main.o my_util.o`

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using `-c` command, and link together
 - `g++ -c main.cpp`
 - `g++ -c my_util.cpp`
 - `g++ -o prog.out main.o my_util.o`
- Collect all function prototypes together in a header file and include it in `main.cpp`

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using `-c` command, and link together
 - `g++ -c main.cpp`
 - `g++ -c my_util.cpp`
 - `g++ -o prog.out main.o my_util.o`
- Collect all function prototypes together in a header file and include it in `main.cpp`

- Separate (unrelated) functions in different files; compile separately using -c command, and link together
 - `g++ -c main.cpp`
 - `g++ -c my_util.cpp`
 - `g++ -o prog.out main.o my_util.o`
- Collect all function prototypes together in a header file and include it in main.cpp

```
#include "my_util.h"  
#pragma once preprocessor
```

- Assertions (debugging aid)

- Assertions (debugging aid)

- Assertions (debugging aid)

```
#include <cassert>
...
assert (n>0); //prog. Terminates if not
```