Implementing Lambdas with Substitution and Dynamic Typing

CS 350

Dr. Joseph Eremondi

Last updated: July 18, 2024

Broad Strategy

• In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions

- In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions
 - We can get rid of the whole list-of-definitions

- In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions
 - We can get rid of the whole list-of-definitions
 - Functions as a value: function carries a parameter name and a variable body

- In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions
 - We can get rid of the whole list-of-definitions
 - Functions as a value: function carries a parameter name and a variable body
- Non-trivial parts:

- In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions
 - We can get rid of the whole list-of-definitions
 - Functions as a value: function carries a parameter name and a variable body
- Non-trivial parts:
 - Now we have two possible kinds of values

- In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions
 - We can get rid of the whole list-of-definitions
 - Functions as a value: function carries a parameter name and a variable body
- Non-trivial parts:
 - Now we have two possible kinds of values
 - Functions are not numbers

- In some ways, it's *easier* to implement first-class functions with substitution than top-level functions
 - We can get rid of the whole list-of-definitions
 - Functions as a value: function carries a parameter name and a variable body
- Non-trivial parts:
 - Now we have two possible kinds of values
 - Functions are not numbers
 - Need to implement dynamic typing

• {fun {SYMBOL} <expr>}

- {fun {SYMBOL} <expr>}
 - \circ {fun {x} body} is anonymous function with argument x and body body

- {fun {SYMBOL} <expr>}
 - {fun {x} body} is anonymous function with argument x and body body
 - o fun instead of lambda to distinguish Curly vs Plait

- {fun {SYMBOL} <expr>}
 - {fun {x} body} is anonymous function with argument x and body body
 - o fun instead of lambda to distinguish Curly vs Plait

- {fun {SYMBOL} <expr>}
 - {fun {x} body} is anonymous function with argument x and body body
 - o fun instead of lambda to distinguish Curly vs Plait

Parsing Calls

 Same as before, but now we need to allow any expression in function position, not just symbols

Parsing Calls

 Same as before, but now we need to allow any expression in function position, not just symbols

Parsing Calls

 Same as before, but now we need to allow any expression in function position, not just symbols

```
(define-type Value
  (NumV [num : Number])
  (FunV [arg : Symbol]
      [body : Expr]))
```

• The result of interpretation is called a value

```
(define-type Value
  (NumV [num : Number])
  (FunV [arg : Symbol]
      [body : Expr]))
```

- The result of interpretation is called a value
 - Number, or a function

```
(define-type Value
  (NumV [num : Number])
  (FunV [arg : Symbol]
      [body : Expr]))
```

- The result of interpretation is called a value
 - Number, or a function
 - o Function stores the info we need to call it

```
(define-type Expr
....
(Fun [arg : Symbol]
    [body : Expr]))
```

• Similar for SurfExpr

```
(define-type Expr
....
(Fun [arg : Symbol]
    [body : Expr]))
```

- Similar for SurfExpr
- Notice that Fun and FunV have the exact same fields

```
(define-type Expr
....
(Fun [arg : Symbol]
    [body : Expr]))
```

- Similar for SurfExpr
- Notice that Fun and FunV have the exact same fields
 - Functions are values

```
(define-type Expr
....
(Fun [arg : Symbol]
    [body : Expr]))
```

- Similar for SurfExpr
- Notice that Fun and FunV have the exact same fields
 - Functions are values
 - A function is saying "here's a computation to do later", so once we've got a Lambda, there's no more evaluation to do

```
(define-type Expr
....
(Fun [arg : Symbol]
    [body : Expr]))
```

- Similar for SurfExpr
- Notice that Fun and FunV have the exact same fields
 - Functions are values
 - A function is saying "here's a computation to do later", so once we've got a Lambda, there's no more evaluation to do
 - We'll see more of this for interp

• We're going to need to substitute values into expressions

- We're going to need to substitute values into expressions
 - $\circ~$ But subst works on expressions, not values

- We're going to need to substitute values into expressions
 - $\circ~$ But subst works on expressions, not values

- We're going to need to substitute values into expressions
 - But subst works on expressions, not values

```
(define (value->expr [v : Value]) : Expr
  (type-case Value v
       [(NumV v) (NumLit v)]
       [(FunV x body) (Fun x body)]))
```

• Value is embedded in Expr

- We're going to need to substitute values into expressions
 - But subst works on expressions, not values

```
(define (value->expr [v : Value]) : Expr
  (type-case Value v
       [(NumV v) (NumLit v)]
       [(FunV x body) (Fun x body)]))
```

- Value is embedded in Expr
 - Want (interp (value->expr v)) to produce v

- We're going to need to substitute values into expressions
 - But subst works on expressions, not values

```
(define (value->expr [v : Value]) : Expr
  (type-case Value v
        [(NumV v) (NumLit v)]
        [(FunV x body) (Fun x body)]))
```

- Value is embedded in Expr
 - Want (interp (value->expr v)) to produce v
- Not actually doing any computation, just changing the constructors so the type checker is happy

- We're going to need to substitute values into expressions
 - But subst works on expressions, not values

```
(define (value->expr [v : Value]) : Expr
  (type-case Value v
        [(NumV v) (NumLit v)]
        [(FunV x body) (Fun x body)]))
```

- Value is embedded in Expr
 - Want (interp (value->expr v)) to produce v
- Not actually doing any computation, just changing the constructors so the type checker is happy
- In a more sophisticated implementation language, we could make values a subtype of expressions, but that's beyond this course

Substitution for Calls

• Needs to substitute in function and body

Substitution for Lambda

• Fun binds its variable

- Fun binds its variable
 - Similar to LetVar

- Fun binds its variable
 - Similar to LetVar
- Don't substitute in a Lambda body if the variable we're replacing matches the function variable

- Fun binds its variable
 - Similar to LetVar
- Don't substitute in a Lambda body if the variable we're replacing matches the function variable
 - Ensures that the function variable shadows any previous declarations

- Fun binds its variable
 - Similar to LetVar
- Don't substitute in a Lambda body if the variable we're replacing matches the function variable
 - Ensures that the function variable shadows any previous declarations

- Fun binds its variable
 - Similar to LetVar
- Don't substitute in a Lambda body if the variable we're replacing matches the function variable
 - Ensures that the function variable shadows any previous declarations

• We want interp to produce a Value

- We want interp to produce a Value
 - So that we can produce functions as the result of expressions

- We want interp to produce a Value
 - So that we can produce functions as the result of expressions
- Our previous interpreter assumed that interp always returned a number

- We want interp to produce a Value
 - So that we can produce functions as the result of expressions
- Our previous interpreter assumed that interp always returned a number
- We need to introduce dynamic type checking in Curly-Fun

We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number
- The type-checker sees an error

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number
- The type-checker sees an error
- Repeat until there are no type errors:

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number
- The type-checker sees an error
- Repeat until there are no type errors:
 - Go to the first type error

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number
- The type-checker sees an error
- Repeat until there are no type errors:
 - Go to the first type error
 - Change the code to have the right type

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number
- The type-checker sees an error
- Repeat until there are no type errors:
 - Go to the first type error
 - Change the code to have the right type
 - Wrap numeric results in NumV

- We can use the plait type inference to help us write our implementation
- Change interp to produce Value instead of Number
- The type-checker sees an error
- Repeat until there are no type errors:
 - Go to the first type error
 - Change the code to have the right type
 - Wrap numeric results in NumV
 - Perform dynamic type checks to extract fields

Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible
- Dynamic type checking checks that the inputs to an operation are valid before running that operation

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible
- Dynamic type checking checks that the inputs to an operation are valid before running that operation
 - Make sure that Plus and Times are only given numbers

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible
- Dynamic type checking checks that the inputs to an operation are valid before running that operation
 - Make sure that Plus and Times are only given numbers
 - o Make sure that the condition of if0 is a number

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible
- Dynamic type checking checks that the inputs to an operation are valid before running that operation
 - Make sure that Plus and Times are only given numbers
 - Make sure that the condition of ifo is a number
 - Make sure the thing in a Call is actually a function

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible
- Dynamic type checking checks that the inputs to an operation are valid before running that operation
 - o Make sure that Plus and Times are only given numbers
 - Make sure that the condition of ifo is a number
 - o Make sure the thing in a Call is actually a function
- Dynamic because we check while the program is running

- Curly now has two different types of things, FunV and NumV
- It's possible
- Dynamic type checking checks that the inputs to an operation are valid before running that operation
 - Make sure that Plus and Times are only given numbers
 - Make sure that the condition of ifo is a number
 - o Make sure the thing in a Call is actually a function
- Dynamic because we check while the program is running
 - If we checked before it ran, it would be static type checking

• Racket is pretty safe

- Racket is pretty safe
 - o Can't write to arbitrary memory

- Racket is pretty safe
 - o Can't write to arbitrary memory
- In other languages like C++, type errors (e.g. improper casts) can lead to safety issues, security bugs, etc.

- Racket is pretty safe
 - Can't write to arbitrary memory
- In other languages like C++, type errors (e.g. improper casts) can lead to safety issues, security bugs, etc.
- Curly is safe because Racket is, but we'll define our own notion of "type safety":

- Racket is pretty safe
 - Can't write to arbitrary memory
- In other languages like C++, type errors (e.g. improper casts) can lead to safety issues, security bugs, etc.
- Curly is safe because Racket is, but we'll define our own notion of "type safety":
 - Want to raise an error with an informative message when a Curly program performs a type-unsafe operation, instead of a generic Racket error message

- · Racket is pretty safe
 - Can't write to arbitrary memory
- In other languages like C++, type errors (e.g. improper casts) can lead to safety issues, security bugs, etc.
- Curly is safe because Racket is, but we'll define our own notion of "type safety":
 - Want to raise an error with an informative message when a Curly program performs a type-unsafe operation, instead of a generic Racket error message
 - e.g. Dynamic type checks make sure that our interpreter, rather than Racket's built in functions, discover the error

- · Racket is pretty safe
 - Can't write to arbitrary memory
- In other languages like C++, type errors (e.g. improper casts) can lead to safety issues, security bugs, etc.
- Curly is safe because Racket is, but we'll define our own notion of "type safety":
 - Want to raise an error with an informative message when a Curly program performs a type-unsafe operation, instead of a generic Racket error message
 - e.g. Dynamic type checks make sure that our interpreter, rather than Racket's built in functions, discover the error
 - Good practice for programming in less safe languages

Defining some helper functions

Defining some helper functions

```
(define (checkAndGetNum [v : Value]) : Number
 (type-case Value v
     [(NumV n) n]
     [else
     (error 'curlyTypeError
             (string-append "Expected Number, got function:"
                             (to-string v)))]))
(define (checkAndGetFun [v : Value]) : (Symbol * Expr)
 (type-case Value v
     [(FunV x body)
     (pair x body)]
     [else
     (error 'curlyTypeError
             (string-append "Expected Function, got number:"
                             (to-string v)))]))
```

Lets us turn a Value into Number/Function

Defining some helper functions

```
(define (checkAndGetNum [v : Value]) : Number
 (type-case Value v
     [(NumV n) n]
     [else
     (error 'curlyTypeError
             (string-append "Expected Number, got function:"
                             (to-string v)))]))
(define (checkAndGetFun [v : Value]) : (Symbol * Expr)
 (type-case Value v
     [(FunV x body)
     (pair x body)]
     [else
     (error 'curlyTypeError
             (string-append "Expected Function, got number:"
                             (to-string v)))]))
```

- Lets us turn a Value into Number/Function
 - Better error-message than e.g. NumV-num gives

Evaluating Functions

• Interp no longer needs a list of function definitions

- Interp no longer needs a list of function definitions
 - Can use let + lambda for the same effect

- Interp no longer needs a list of function definitions
 - Can use let + lambda for the same effect
- Nothing to do to turn function into a value

- Interp no longer needs a list of function definitions
 - Can use let + lambda for the same effect
- Nothing to do to turn function into a value
 - o Just package up the data in the Value type

Calls

Calls

• Mostly the same as for Curly-Fundef

- Mostly the same as for Curly-Fundef
 - Except don't have to look up the function body + param

- Mostly the same as for Curly-Fundef
 - Except don't have to look up the function body + param
- The thing we're calling might not be a Lambda yet

- Mostly the same as for Curly-Fundef
 - Except don't have to look up the function body + param
- The thing we're calling might not be a Lambda yet
 - So we evaluate it recursively

- Mostly the same as for Curly-Fundef
 - Except don't have to look up the function body + param
- The thing we're calling might not be a Lambda yet
 - So we evaluate it recursively
 - Do a dynamic type check to make sure the result is a function, not a number

• Functions might contain free variables

- Functions might contain free variables
 - o Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself

- Functions might contain free variables
 - o Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst *will* replace those variables when concrete values are given

- Functions might contain free variables
 - o Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst *will* replace those variables when concrete values are given

- Functions might contain *free variables*
 - o Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

 For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x

- Functions might contain *free variables*
 - Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

- For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x
- o x is free in {fun {y} {+ x y}}

- Functions might contain free variables
 - Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

- For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x
- o x is free in {fun {y} {+ x y}}
- o Interp replaces f with the fun

- Functions might contain *free variables*
 - Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

- For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x
- o x is free in {fun {y} {+ x y}}
- o Interp replaces f with the fun
- o Calling f with 3 replaces x with 3

- Functions might contain free variables
 - Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

- For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x
- o x is free in {fun {y} {+ x y}}
- o Interp replaces f with the fun
- o Calling f with 3 replaces x with 3
 - Result: {fun {y} {+ 3 y}}

- Functions might contain free variables
 - Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

- For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x
- o x is free in {fun {y} {+ x y}}
- o Interp replaces f with the fun
- o Calling f with 3 replaces x with 3
 - Result: {fun {y} {+ 3 y}}
 - . e.g. The function that adds 3 to its argument

- Functions might contain free variables
 - Variables that are not bound/defined by the function itself
- Subst will replace those variables when concrete values are given

```
{letvar
f {fun {x} {fun {y} {+ x y}}}
{f 3}}
```

- For any x, f produces another function that adds its argument to x
- x is free in {fun {y} {+ x y}}
- o Interp replaces f with the fun
- o Calling f with 3 replaces x with 3
 - Result: {fun {y} {+ 3 y}}
 - e.g. The function that adds 3 to its argument
- Substitution lets us build new functions at run time based on values to other functions

Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number

- Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number
 - Mostly just adding calls to checkAndGetNum

- Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number
 - Mostly just adding calls to checkAndGetNum
 - See in-class file / Curly-Lambda for full details

- Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number
 - Mostly just adding calls to checkAndGetNum
 - o See in-class file / Curly-Lambda for full details
- Don't need to change If0 branches or Let, since they don't do numeric operations

- Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number
 - Mostly just adding calls to checkAndGetNum
 - See in-class file / Curly-Lambda for full details
- Don't need to change If0 branches or Let, since they don't do numeric operations
 - Can have an if that produces a function

- Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number
 - Mostly just adding calls to checkAndGetNum
 - See in-class file / Curly-Lambda for full details
- Don't need to change If0 branches or Let, since they don't do numeric operations
 - Can have an if that produces a function

- Need to change operations to use Value instead of Number
 - Mostly just adding calls to checkAndGetNum
 - o See in-class file / Curly-Lambda for full details
- Don't need to change If0 branches or Let, since they don't do numeric operations
 - Can have an if that produces a function

Then can write:

Then can write:

• Then can write:

```
(define (interp expr)
  (type-case Expr expr
      [(Plus l r)
          (liftVal2 + (interp l) (interp r))]
  [(Times l r)
          (liftVal2 * (interp l) (interp r))]))
```

Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - o Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct
- We can now write Curly-Lambda programs that run forever

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct
- We can now write Curly-Lambda programs that run forever

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - o Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct
- We can now write Curly-Lambda programs that run forever

```
{letvar f {fun x \{x x\}}}
{f f}}
```

• Replaces f with $\{\{\text{fun }\{x\}\ \{x\ x\}\}\ \{\text{fun }x\ \{x\ x\}\}\}$ calling itself

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct
- We can now write Curly-Lambda programs that run forever

```
{letvar f {fun x {x x}}
{f f}}
```

- Replaces f with $\{\{\text{fun }\{x\}\ \{x\ x\}\}\ \{\text{fun }x\ \{x\ x\}\}\}$ calling itself
- Function call: takes body {x x}, replaces x with argument {fun {x} {x x}}

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct
- We can now write Curly-Lambda programs that run forever

```
{letvar f {fun x {x x}}
{f f}}
```

- Replaces f with {{fun {x} {x x}} {fun x {x x}}}
 calling itself
- Function call: takes body {x x}, replaces x with argument {fun {x} {x x}}
- Result is {{fun {x} {x x}} {fun {x} {x x}}},
 exactly what we started with!

- Curly-Lambda can simulate every computer program ever written
 - Not counting syscalls, IO, networking, effects, etc.
- Just because we can write an equivalent Curly-Lambda program, doesn't mean it's easy/succinct
- We can now write Curly-Lambda programs that run forever

```
{letvar f {fun x {x x}}
{f f}}
```

- Replaces f with $\{\{\text{fun }\{x\}\ \{x\ x\}\}\ \{\text{fun }x\ \{x\ x\}\}\}$ calling itself
- Function call: takes body {x x}, replaces x with argument {fun {x} {x x}}
- Result is {{fun {x} {x x}} {fun {x} {x x}}},
 exactly what we started with!
- interp runs forever

• How we implement if o really matters now

- \bullet How we implement if 0 really matters now
 - o Code might run forever

- How we implement ifo really matters now
 - o Code might run forever
 - o Don't want to run code in the branch we don't take

- How we implement ifo really matters now
 - o Code might run forever
 - o Don't want to run code in the branch we don't take
- What if we did this?

- How we implement ifo really matters now
 - o Code might run forever
 - o Don't want to run code in the branch we don't take
- What if we did this?

- How we implement ifo really matters now
 - Code might run forever
 - o Don't want to run code in the branch we don't take
- What if we did this?

```
:: BAD! Don't do this
(define (interp expr
  (type-case Expr expr
      [(Ifo test thn els)
      (let ([thenVal (interp thn)]
            [elseVal (interl els)])
        (if (= 0 (checkAndGetNum (interp test)))
               thenVal
               elseVal))))))
(run `{ifo o
           {+ 1 1}
           \{letvar f \{fun x \{x x\}\} \{f f\}\}\}
```

Loops because it evaluates the untaken branch