Abstract Syntax and Parsing

CS 350

Dr. Joseph Eremondi

Last updated: July 7, 2024

The Big Picture

Life of a program

• The Language Pipeline:

Source code	parsing	Abstract syntax tree	$\xrightarrow{translation}$	Core Syntax	evaluation →	Result
text file	lexing / tokenizing first	data structure	desugaring / compilation	simpler AST / machine code	interpreter, execute on CPU	value, side effects

1

Can have many syntaxes that parse to the same abstract syntax

- Can have many syntaxes that parse to the same abstract syntax
 - o Different keywords

- Can have many syntaxes that parse to the same abstract syntax
 - Different keywords
 - Different operator names

- Can have many syntaxes that parse to the same abstract syntax
 - o Different keywords
 - Different operator names
 - o Different order of expressions

- Can have many syntaxes that parse to the same abstract syntax
 - o Different keywords
 - Different operator names
 - Different order of expressions
- E.g. plait vs shplait

Describing Syntax

• Extended Backus-Naur form

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - $\circ\;$ Named after scientists who worked on Algol

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - Named after scientists who worked on Algol
- Notation for Context Free Grammars

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - Named after scientists who worked on Algol
- Notation for Context Free Grammars
 - o See CS 411

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - Named after scientists who worked on Algol
- Notation for Context Free Grammars
 - See CS 411
- Describes which strings are valid expressions/statements/etc. in a language

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - Named after scientists who worked on Algol
- Notation for Context Free Grammars
 - o See CS 411
- Describes which strings are valid expressions/statements/etc. in a language
- Generative

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - Named after scientists who worked on Algol
- Notation for Context Free Grammars
 - See CS 411
- Describes which strings are valid expressions/statements/etc. in a language
- Generative
 - o Gives a process for generating valid strings in the language

- Extended Backus-Naur form
 - Named after scientists who worked on Algol
- Notation for Context Free Grammars
 - See CS 411
- Describes which strings are valid expressions/statements/etc. in a language
- Generative
 - Gives a process for generating valid strings in the language
 - String is valid if and only if it's generated by the grammar

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

<expr> is a nonterminal

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

- <expr> is a nonterminal
 - A symbolic variable that doesn't show up in the final string, but is replaced using a rule

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

- <expr> is a nonterminal
 - A symbolic variable that doesn't show up in the final string, but is replaced using a rule
- ::= means can be one of

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

- <expr> is a nonterminal
 - A symbolic variable that doesn't show up in the final string, but is replaced using a rule
- ::= means can be one of
- separates the possibilities

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

- <expr> is a nonterminal
 - A symbolic variable that doesn't show up in the final string, but is replaced using a rule
- ::= means can be one of
- separates the possibilities
- · Literal strings are in quotation marks

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

- <expr> is a nonterminal
 - A symbolic variable that doesn't show up in the final string, but is replaced using a rule
- ::= means can be one of
- separates the possibilities
- Literal strings are in quotation marks
 - Usually for keywords, operators or parentheses

```
<expr> ::=
    "{" "+" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | "{" "*" <expr> <expr> "}"
    | number
```

- <expr> is a nonterminal
 - A symbolic variable that doesn't show up in the final string, but is replaced using a rule
- ::= means can be one of
- separates the possibilities
- Literal strings are in quotation marks
 - Usually for keywords, operators or parentheses
- number is a literal number e.g. some sequence of digits

• Start with a single non-terminal

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - $\circ~$ e.g. <expr>> for an expression

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - $\circ\;$ Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:
 - o <expr> -> 3

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

```
o <expr> -> 3
o <expr> -> {+ <expr> <expr>} -> {+ 2 <expr>}
-> {+ 2 5}
```

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

```
o <expr> -> 3
o <expr> -> {+ <expr> <expr>} -> {+ 2 <expr>}
  -> {+ 2 5}
o <expr>
```

5

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

Generating a string

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

Generating a string

- Start with a single non-terminal
 - o e.g. <expr> for an expression
- Until you have a string with no non-terminals, repeatedly:
 - Replace a non-terminal with one of its variants
 - i.e. one of the things on the right of ::=
- Examples:

Parsing and Abstract Syntax

Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - Can effectively replace them in parallel

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - o Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure
 - o Non-terminal is a node

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - o Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure
 - Non-terminal is a node
 - o Terminal is a leaf

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - o Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure
 - Non-terminal is a node
 - o Terminal is a leaf
 - Edge is application of rule from grammar

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - o Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure
 - Non-terminal is a node
 - Terminal is a leaf
 - Edge is application of rule from grammar
- Can make a datatype representing these trees

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - o Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure
 - Non-terminal is a node
 - Terminal is a leaf
 - Edge is application of rule from grammar
- Can make a datatype representing these trees

- Notice that the different replacements didn't affect each other
 - Can effectively replace them in parallel
- Tree structure
 - Non-terminal is a node
 - Terminal is a leaf
 - Edge is application of rule from grammar
- Can make a datatype representing these trees

```
(define-type Expr
  (NumLit [n : Number])
  (Plus [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr])
  (Times [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr])
```

```
(define-type Expr
  (NumLit [n : Number])
  (Plus [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr])
  (Times [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr]))
```

 This is called the abstract syntax for the programming language

```
(define-type Expr
  (NumLit [n : Number])
  (Plus [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr])
  (Times [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr]))
```

- This is called the abstract syntax for the programming language
- A value of this type is called an abstract syntax tree

```
(define-type Expr
  (NumLit [n : Number])
  (Plus [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr])
  (Times [left : Expr]
        [right : Expr]))
```

- This is called the abstract syntax for the programming language
- A value of this type is called an abstract syntax tree
 - AST for short

• The process of turning source code (linear string) into abstract syntax (tree)

- The process of turning source code (linear string) into abstract syntax (tree)
 - o Turns the program into a thing we process recursively

- The process of turning source code (linear string) into abstract syntax (tree)
 - o Turns the program into a thing we process recursively
 - o Tree structure mirrors structure of the program

- The process of turning source code (linear string) into abstract syntax (tree)
 - Turns the program into a thing we process recursively
 - o Tree structure mirrors structure of the program
- Can fail

- The process of turning source code (linear string) into abstract syntax (tree)
 - o Turns the program into a thing we process recursively
 - o Tree structure mirrors structure of the program
- Can fail
 - What if the string isn't generated by the grammar?

• Parsing is an interesting problem

- Parsing is an interesting problem
- But it's not an interesting programming languages problem

- Parsing is an interesting problem
- But it's not an interesting programming languages problem
- We will use Racket/plait features to do most of the parsing for us

- Parsing is an interesting problem
- But it's not an interesting programming languages problem
- We will use Racket/plait features to do most of the parsing for us
 - Use quoting to write s-expressions directly

- Parsing is an interesting problem
- But it's not an interesting programming languages problem
- We will use Racket/plait features to do most of the parsing for us
 - Use quoting to write s-expressions directly
 - Does the hard work of figuring out nested brackets

• Symbolic expressions

- Symbolic expressions
 - $\circ~$ Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT $\,$

- Symbolic expressions
 - o Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - $\circ \ \ \text{s-exp for short}$

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either

- Symbolic expressions
 - $\circ~$ Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT $\,$
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - $\circ \ \, \text{A symbol}$

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - o A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - o s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)
 - A bracketed list of S-expressions

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)
 - A bracketed list of S-expressions
- The backtick ' in Racket says "interpret the next thing as an s-exp"

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)
 - A bracketed list of S-expressions
- The backtick ' in Racket says "interpret the next thing as an s-exp"
 - Single-quote ' does the same but doesn't handle literals, just symbols

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)
 - A bracketed list of S-expressions
- The backtick ' in Racket says "interpret the next thing as an s-exp"
 - Single-quote ' does the same but doesn't handle literals, just symbols

S-expressions

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)
 - A bracketed list of S-expressions
- The backtick ' in Racket says "interpret the next thing as an s-exp"
 - Single-quote ' does the same but doesn't handle literals, just symbols

```
`a
`(+ 2 3)
`(a b c d (+ 2 3) #t (#f #f))
```

We'll use backtick as the first half of our parser

S-expressions

- Symbolic expressions
 - Goes back to John McCarthy, LISP, early days of AI at MIT
 - s-exp for short
- An S-expression is either
 - o A symbol
 - A literal (number, boolean, string, etc.)
 - A bracketed list of S-expressions
- The backtick ' in Racket says "interpret the next thing as an s-exp"
 - Single-quote ' does the same but doesn't handle literals, just symbols

```
`a
`(+ 2 3)
`(a b c d (+ 2 3) #t (#f #f))
```

- We'll use backtick as the first half of our parser
 - Easier to deal with s-expressions than strings

• S-expression is a tree

- S-expression is a tree
 - $\circ\;$ Might not be a tree representing anything in our language

- S-expression is a tree
 - o Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal

- S-expression is a tree
 - o Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - $\circ\;$ Generate the literal in our AST

- S-expression is a tree
 - o Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - o Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported

- S-expression is a tree
 - o Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - o Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list

- S-expression is a tree
 - o Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - o Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list
 - $\circ\,$ Check the first thing in the list

- S-expression is a tree
 - o Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list
 - Check the first thing in the list
 - If it's an operation, check that we have the right number of arguments

- S-expression is a tree
 - Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list
 - Check the first thing in the list
 - If it's an operation, check that we have the right number of arguments
 - o If we do, (try to) parse each argument

- S-expression is a tree
 - Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list
 - Check the first thing in the list
 - If it's an operation, check that we have the right number of arguments
 - o If we do, (try to) parse each argument
- Otherwise, fail

- S-expression is a tree
 - Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list
 - Check the first thing in the list
 - If it's an operation, check that we have the right number of arguments
 - o If we do, (try to) parse each argument
- Otherwise, fail
- Uses the s-exp-match? function

- S-expression is a tree
 - Might not be a tree representing anything in our language
- If s-exp is literal
 - Generate the literal in our AST
 - Error if it's unsupported
- If it's a list
 - Check the first thing in the list
 - If it's an operation, check that we have the right number of arguments
 - If we do, (try to) parse each argument
- · Otherwise, fail
- Uses the s-exp-match? function
 - Don't need to memorize how it works, we'll give you the parsers for the most part