

# Currying and the Lambda Calculus

CS 350

---

Dr. Joseph Eremondi

Last updated: July 30, 2024

# Overview

---

# Objectives

- Learning Goals

# Objectives

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions

# Objectives

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - Curly-Curry

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - Curly-Curry
  - To see that *everything* can be desugared into single-argument functions

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - Curly-Curry
  - To see that *everything* can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - by learning about the Lambda Calculus

# Objectives

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - Curly-Curry
  - To see that *everything* can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - by learning about the Lambda Calculus
- Core Concepts



# Objectives

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - Curly-Curry
  - To see that *everything* can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - by learning about the Lambda Calculus
- Core Concepts
  - Currying

# Objectives

- Learning Goals
  - To learn how multi-argument functions can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - Curly-Curry
  - To see that *everything* can be desugared into single-argument functions
    - by learning about the Lambda Calculus
- Core Concepts
  - Currying
  - Lambda Calculus

# Currying

---

## Executing a multiple-argument function

- Say we allow  $\{\text{lambda } \{x \ y \ z\} \{+ \ x \ \{* \ y \ z\}\}\}$  in Curly

## Executing a multiple-argument function

- Say we allow  $\{\text{lambda } \{x \ y \ z\} \{+ \ x \ \{* \ y \ z\}\}\}$  in Curly
- How can we interpret a call to this function?

## Executing a multiple-argument function

- Say we allow  $\{\text{lambda } \{x \ y \ z\} \{+ \ x \ \{* \ y \ z\}\}\}$  in Curly
- How can we interpret a call to this function?
  - Evaluate the body with either

# Executing a multiple-argument function

- Say we allow  $\{\text{lambda } \{x \ y \ z\} \{+ \ x \ \{* \ y \ z\}\}\}$  in Curly
- How can we interpret a call to this function?
  - Evaluate the body with either
    - $x, y, z$  replaced by concrete argument values (substitution)

# Executing a multiple-argument function

- Say we allow  $\{\text{lambda } \{x \ y \ z\} \{+ \ x \ \{* \ y \ z\}\}\}$  in Curly
- How can we interpret a call to this function?
  - Evaluate the body with either
    - $x, y, z$  replaced by concrete argument values (substitution)
    - $x, y, z$  bound to concrete values in an environment



## Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

## Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

## Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

## Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

## Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

```
{{{f 1} 2} 3}
```

- Step by step:

# Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

```
{{{f 1} 2} 3}
```

- Step by step:
  - `f 1` produces `{fun {y} {fun {z} {+ 1 {* y z}}}}`

# Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

```
{{{f 1} 2} 3}
```

- Step by step:
  - `f 1` produces `{fun {y} {fun {z} {+ 1 {* y z}}}}`
  - Calling that on 2 produces `{fun {z} {+ 1 {* 2 z}}}`

# Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

```
{{{f 1} 2} 3}
```

- Step by step:
  - `f 1` produces `{fun {y} {fun {z} {+ 1 {* y z}}}}`
  - Calling that on 2 produces `{fun {z} {+ 1 {* 2 z}}}`
  - Calling that on 3 produces `{+ 1 {* 2 3}}`



# Achieving this: Currying

- We can achieve this with nested lambda expressions

```
{let f {fun {x} {fun {y} {fun {z} {+ x {* y z}}}}}
....}
```

- To call, we do nested calls

```
{{{f 1} 2} 3}
```

- Step by step:
  - `f 1` produces `{fun {y} {fun {z} {+ 1 {* y z}}}}`
  - Calling that on 2 produces `{fun {z} {+ 1 {* 2 z}}}`
  - Calling that on 3 produces `{+ 1 {* 2 3}}`
    - Exactly what we want for `{f 1 2 3}`

- The approach of simulating multiple-argument functions with nested single-argument functions is called **Currying**

- The approach of simulating multiple-argument functions with nested single-argument functions is called **Currying**
  - Named after Haskell Curry, and American logician

- The approach of simulating multiple-argument functions with nested single-argument functions is called **Currying**
  - Named after Haskell Curry, and American logician
    - Also the namesake of the Haskell programming language

- The approach of simulating multiple-argument functions with nested single-argument functions is called **Currying**
  - Named after Haskell Curry, and American logician
    - Also the namesake of the Haskell programming language
- A function written in this style is *curried*

# Desugaring with Currying

- We can add multiple-argument functions to our Surface Language *without* changing the core language

- We can add multiple-argument functions to our Surface Language *without* changing the core language
  - Handle in `e\lab`

# Desugaring with Currying

- We can add multiple-argument functions to our Surface Language *without* changing the core language
  - Handle in `e\lab`
  - Doesn't matter if do substitution or environment-based version, translation is the exact same



# AST for Multi-Argument Functions

# AST for Multi-Argument Functions

```
(define-type SurfExpr
  ....
  (SurfFun [xs : (Listof Symbol)]
           [body : SurfExpr]))
(SurfCall [fun : SurfExpr]
          [args : (Listof SurfExpr)])
```

- Functions now take a list of variables

# AST for Multi-Argument Functions

```
(define-type SurfExpr
  ....
  (SurfFun [xs : (Listof Symbol)]
           [body : SurfExpr]))
  (SurfCall [fun : SurfExpr]
            [args : (Listof SurfExpr)])
```

- Functions now take a list of variables
- Calls now take a list of arguments

## Elaborating Multi-Argument Functions

- Use recursion to iterate through the list

## Elaborating Multi-Argument Functions

- Use recursion to iterate through the list

# Elaborating Multi-Argument Functions

- Use recursion to iterate through the list

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfFun xs body)  
     (type-case (Listof Symbol) xs  
       [empty  
        (elab body)]  
       [(cons x rest)  
        ;; Could also do with a helper fn  
        (Fun x (elab (SurfFun rest body)))]))]))
```

- No arguments: just produce the body

# Elaborating Multi-Argument Functions

- Use recursion to iterate through the list

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfFun xs body)  
     (type-case (Listof Symbol) xs  
       [empty  
        (elab body)]  
       [(cons x rest)  
        ;; Could also do with a helper fn  
        (Fun x (elab (SurfFun rest body)))]))]))
```

- No arguments: just produce the body
- At least one argument: curry the rest of the arguments, and wrap the result in a lambda

## Multi-Argument Calls with Tail Recursion



# Multi-Argument Calls with Tail Recursion

```
(define (callHelper [args : (Listof SurfExpr)]  
          [accum : Expr]) : Expr  
  (type-case (Listof SurfExpr) args  
    [empty accum]  
    [(cons arg rest)  
     ;; tail recursion  
     (callHelper rest (Call accum (elab arg)) )]))
```

- Given a list of argument to apply, build up one giant expression with nested calls

# Building The Entire Call

# Building The Entire Call

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfCall funExpr args)  
     (callHelper args (elab funExpr))]))
```

## A Word of Warning

- Plait does *not* use currying by default

# A Word of Warning

- Plait does *not* use currying by default
  - Multiple argument functions are *not* desugared into single-argument ones

## A Word of Warning

- Plait does *not* use currying by default
  - Multiple argument functions are *not* desugared into single-argument ones
- We can convert between curried and uncurried functions with combinators

## A Word of Warning

- Plait does *not* use currying by default
  - Multiple argument functions are *not* desugared into single-argument ones
- We can convert between curried and uncurried functions with combinators
  - See lecture on Higher Order Functions

## A Word of Warning

- Plait does *not* use currying by default
  - Multiple argument functions are *not* desugared into single-argument ones
- We can convert between curried and uncurried functions with combinators
  - See lecture on Higher Order Functions
- A 0-argument (`lambda () e`) is *NOT* the same as `e` in Plait



## A Word of Warning

- Plait does *not* use currying by default
  - Multiple argument functions are *not* desugared into single-argument ones
- We can convert between curried and uncurried functions with combinators
  - See lecture on Higher Order Functions
- A 0-argument (`lambda () e`) is *NOT* the same as `e` in Plait
  - But it is in Curly, if we use this desugaring

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression
- We can make `let var` syntactic sugar using `lambda`

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression
- We can make `let var` syntactic sugar using `lambda`

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression
- We can make `let var` syntactic sugar using lambda

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfLetVar x xExpr body)  
     (Call (Fun x (elab body))  
           (elab xExpr))]))
```

- Define a function whose body is the body of the `let var`

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression
- We can make `let var` syntactic sugar using `lambda`

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfLetVar x xExpr body)  
     (Call (Fun x (elab body))  
            (elab xExpr))]))
```

- Define a function whose body is the body of the `let var`
- Immediately call it with the value we're giving to the defined variable

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression
- We can make `let var` syntactic sugar using lambda

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfLetVar x xExpr body)  
     (Call (Fun x (elab body))  
            (elab xExpr))]))
```

- Define a function whose body is the body of the `let var`
- Immediately call it with the value we're giving to the defined variable
- Letvar: executes the body in the environment extended with the variable's value

## Another Desugaring: Let

- Recall that `let var` let us define a local variable to have the value of some expression, that we could then use to build another expression
- We can make `let var` syntactic sugar using lambda

```
(define (elab [surfExpr : SurfExpr])  
  (type-case SurfExpr surfExpr  
    ....  
    [(SurfLetVar x xExpr body)  
     (Call (Fun x (elab body))  
            (elab xExpr))]))
```

- Define a function whose body is the body of the `let var`
- Immediately call it with the value we're giving to the defined variable
- Letvar: executes the body in the environment extended with the variable's value
  - This does the exact same thing



# **Lambda The Ultimate**

---

# Functions As Sugar

- So far we've seen that single argument functions can simulate

- So far we've seen that single argument functions can simulate
  - Multi-argument functions

- So far we've seen that single argument functions can simulate
  - Multi-argument functions
  - Local variable definitions

# Functions As Sugar

- So far we've seen that single argument functions can simulate
  - Multi-argument functions
  - Local variable definitions
- What else can se simulate?

# Functions As Sugar

- So far we've seen that single argument functions can simulate
  - Multi-argument functions
  - Local variable definitions
- What else can se simulate?
- **NOTE** I won't ask about the following desugarings on an exam

- So far we've seen that single argument functions can simulate
  - Multi-argument functions
  - Local variable definitions
- What else can se simulate?
- **NOTE** I won't ask about the following desugarings on an exam
  - But they're an important introduction to the “science” of computer science and the “mathematics” of informatics

# The Smallest Language We Can Imagine



# The Smallest Language We Can Imagine

```
(define-type UTLC
  ;; A variable
  (Var [x : Symbol])
  ;; Function application (call)
  (App [fun : UTLC]
       [arg : UTLC])
  ;; Anonymous function (lambda)
  (Lam [param : Symbol]
       [body : UTCL]))
```

- Stands for “Un-Typed Lambda Calculus”

# The Smallest Language We Can Imagine

```
(define-type UTLC
  ;; A variable
  (Var [x : Symbol])
  ;; Function application (call)
  (App [fun : UTLC]
       [arg : UTLC])
  ;; Anonymous function (lambda)
  (Lam [param : Symbol]
       [body : UTCL]))
```

- Stands for “Un-Typed Lambda Calculus”
- All you can do is define an anonymous function (lambda) or call a function (application)

# The Smallest Language We Can Imagine

```
(define-type UTLC
  ;; A variable
  (Var [x : Symbol])
  ;; Function application (call)
  (App [fun : UTLC]
       [arg : UTLC])
  ;; Anonymous function (lambda)
  (Lam [param : Symbol]
       [body : UTCL]))
```

- Stands for “Un-Typed Lambda Calculus”
- All you can do is define an anonymous function (lambda) or call a function (application)
- **The Untyped Lambda Calculus is Turing Complete**

# The Smallest Language We Can Imagine

```
(define-type UTLC
  ;; A variable
  (Var [x : Symbol])
  ;; Function application (call)
  (App [fun : UTLC]
       [arg : UTLC])
  ;; Anonymous function (lambda)
  (Lam [param : Symbol]
       [body : UTCL]))
```

- Stands for “Un-Typed Lambda Calculus”
- All you can do is define an anonymous function (lambda) or call a function (application)
- **The Untyped Lambda Calculus is Turing Complete**
  - Any program you can write, you can write an equivalent UTLC Program

## Interpreting the UTLC

- Works just like we've seen so far

# Interpreting the UTLC

- Works just like we've seen so far
  - Lam is like Fun

# Interpreting the UTLC

- Works just like we've seen so far
  - Lam is like Fun
  - App is like Call

## Interpreting the UTLC

- Works just like we've seen so far
  - Lam is like Fun
  - App is like Call
- Substitution version



## Interpreting the UTLC

- Works just like we've seen so far
  - Lam is like Fun
  - App is like Call
- Substitution version

# Interpreting the UTLC

- Works just like we've seen so far
  - Lam is like Fun
  - App is like Call
- Substitution version

```
(define (interpUTLC [e : UTLC] : UTLC)
  (type-case UTLC e
    [(App fun arg)
     (type-case (interpUTLC fun)
       [(Lam x body)
        (interpUTLC (subst x arg body))]
       [else (error 'x "Undefined variable")])])
    ;; Function and variables don't do any computation,
    ;; they just return themselves
    ;; Could have variables return an error,
    [else e]))
```

- Can also do with environments, like Curly-Lambda-Env

- Note: I'll write the desugarings as functions, rather than with `elab`, just to keep things self contained

# Booleans

- Note: I'll write the desugarings as functions, rather than with `e\lab`, just to keep things self contained
- You can imagine these functions as constructors we'd use for the surface syntax, that produce UTLC directly

# Booleans

- Note: I'll write the desugarings as functions, rather than with `e\lab`, just to keep things self contained
- You can imagine these functions as constructors we'd use for the surface syntax, that produce UTLC directly

# Booleans

- Note: I'll write the desugarings as functions, rather than with `eLab`, just to keep things self contained
- You can imagine these functions as constructors we'd use for the surface syntax, that produce UTLC directly

```
(define True
  (Lam 'x (Lam 'y (Var 'x))))
(define False
  (Lam 'x (Lam 'y (Var 'y))))
;; curried (test thenCase elseCase)
(define (If test thenCase elseCase)
  (App (App test thenCase) elseCase))
```

- If we give `If` the boolean `True` it produces the then-case

# Booleans

- Note: I'll write the desugarings as functions, rather than with `eLab`, just to keep things self contained
- You can imagine these functions as constructors we'd use for the surface syntax, that produce UTLC directly

```
(define True
  (Lam 'x (Lam 'y (Var 'x))))
(define False
  (Lam 'x (Lam 'y (Var 'y))))
;; curried (test thenCase elseCase)
(define (If test thenCase elseCase)
  (App (App test thenCase) elseCase))
```

- If we give `If` the boolean `True` it produces the then-case
- If we give `If` the boolean `False` it produces the else-case

- Define  $n$  to be the function that takes in another function and an argument, and applies it  $n$  times



- Define  $n$  to be the function that takes in another function and an argument, and applies it  $n$  times
  - $\lambda f \lambda x. f(f(f \dots (fx)))$

- Define  $n$  to be the function that takes in another function and an argument, and applies it  $n$  times
  - $\lambda f \lambda x. f(f(f \dots (fx)))$

# Numbers

- Define  $n$  to be the function that takes in another function and an argument, and applies it  $n$  times
  - $\lambda f \lambda x. f(f \dots (fx))$

```
(define Zero
  (Lam 'f (Lam 'x (Var 'x)))) ;; Function that returns its argument
;; Add one to a number
(define (Add1 n)
  (Lam 'f (Lam 'x) (App (Var 'f) (App (App n f) x))))
(define (Plus m n)
  (Lam 'f (Lam 'x) (App m (App (App n f) x))))
```

- You can view a pair as a function that takes in a boolean and returns either the first or second value depending on that boolean

- You can view a pair as a function that takes in a boolean and returns either the first or second value depending on that boolean

- You can view a pair as a function that takes in a boolean and returns either the first or second value depending on that boolean

```
(define (Pair x y)
  (Lam 'z (If (Var 'z) x y)))
(define (Fst pr)
  (App pr True))
(define (Snd pr)
  (App pr False))
```

## Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder

## Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder
- $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$



## Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder
- $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$

# Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder
- $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$

```
(define Y  
  (Fun 'f (App (Fun 'x (App f (App x x)))  
               (Fun 'x (App f (App x x))))))
```

- Takes a function `f` with an extra parameter `self`

# Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder
- $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$

```
(define Y  
  (Fun 'f (App (Fun 'x (App f (App x x)))  
               (Fun 'x (App f (App x x))))))
```

- Takes a function `f` with an extra parameter `self`
- Makes a new function where each call to `self` is replaced by a call to `f`

## Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder
- $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$

```
(define Y  
  (Fun 'f (App (Fun 'x (App f (App x x)))  
               (Fun 'x (App f (App x x))))))
```

- Takes a function `f` with an extra parameter `self`
- Makes a new function where each call to `self` is replaced by a call to `f`
- You don't need to know the details of how this work, just that it's possible to do recursion in the UTLC

## Recursion: The Y Combinator

- Not the startup funder
- $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$

```
(define Y
  (Fun 'f (App (Fun 'x (App f (App x x)))
               (Fun 'x (App f (App x x))))))
```

- Takes a function `f` with an extra parameter `self`
- Makes a new function where each call to `self` is replaced by a call to `f`
- You don't need to know the details of how this work, just that it's possible to do recursion in the UTLC
- Once we have recursion, we have loops