Implementing Recursion via State

CS 350

Dr. Joseph Eremondi

Last updated: August 4, 2024

Recursion via State

Goals

Goals

 To see how to implement an interpreter for a language with recursion

Goals

- To see how to implement an interpreter for a language with recursion
- To see how recursion interacts with environments and stores

Goals

- To see how to implement an interpreter for a language with recursion
- To see how recursion interacts with environments and stores
- Key Concepts

Goals

- To see how to implement an interpreter for a language with recursion
- To see how recursion interacts with environments and stores
- Key Concepts
 - Landin's Knot

• When we are allowed to refer to x while defining the value that is assigned to x

- When we are allowed to refer to x while defining the value that is assigned to x
- We'll do this with a special let form

- When we are allowed to refer to x while defining the value that is assigned to x
- We'll do this with a special let form
 - o {letrec x <expr> <expr>}

- When we are allowed to refer to x while defining the value that is assigned to x
- We'll do this with a special let form
 - o {letrec x <expr> <expr>}
 - Gives {letrec x e1 e2} gives x the value e1 then evaluates e2 with x in scope

- When we are allowed to refer to x while defining the value that is assigned to x
- We'll do this with a special let form
 - o {letrec x <expr> <expr>}
 - Gives {letrec x e1 e2} gives X the value e1 then evaluates e2 with X in scope
 - Exactly like letvar, except **x** is also in scope in e1

• We can't give x a value if we need to evaluate x to get that value

- We can't give x a value if we need to evaluate x to get that value
- E.g.

- We can't give x a value if we need to evaluate x to get that value
- E.g.
 {letrec x {+ x 1}}

- We can't give x a value if we need to evaluate x to get that value
- E.g.
 - ∘ {letrec x {+ x 1}}
 - Should raise an error or loop forever

- We can't give x a value if we need to evaluate x to get that value
- E.g.
 - ∘ {letrec x {+ x 1}}
 - Should raise an error or loop forever
 - o In a later lecture we'll see a way to give this semantics

• What can we define with recursion?

- What can we define with recursion?
 - o Definitions that refer to x but don't try to evaluate it

- What can we define with recursion?
 - Definitions that refer to x but don't try to evaluate it
 - Recursive occurrences of the variable must be in the body of a lambda

- What can we define with recursion?
 - o Definitions that refer to x but don't try to evaluate it
 - Recursive occurrences of the variable must be in the body of a lambda
 - Lambda bodies aren't evaluated until call time

• To interpret {letrec x e1 e2} recursively

- To interpret {letrec x e1 e2} recursively
 - o Need x in scope when interpreting e1

- To interpret {letrec x e1 e2} recursively
 - Need x in scope when interpreting e1
 - Can't put e1's value because we haven't computed it yet

- To interpret {letrec x e1 e2} recursively
 - Need x in scope when interpreting e1
 - Can't put e1's value because we haven't computed it yet
 - Can't compute e1's value because we need something for

• With Mutable Variables, the environment stores *locations*, not values

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores *locations*, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - o Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined
 - Put a dummy value at it

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - o Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined
 - · Put a dummy value at it
 - o Interpret e1 in the environment extended with x's location

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - o Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined
 - Put a dummy value at it
 - o Interpret e1 in the environment extended with x's location
 - If ever fetch from that location, get the dummy value and raise an error

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined
 - Put a dummy value at it
 - o Interpret e1 in the environment extended with x's location
 - If ever fetch from that location, get the dummy value and raise an error
 - Shouldn't ever fetch if self-references are in the bodies of functions

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined
 - Put a dummy value at it
 - o Interpret e1 in the environment extended with x's location
 - If ever fetch from that location, get the dummy value and raise an error
 - Shouldn't ever fetch if self-references are in the bodies of functions
 - Then, update the store to contain the value of e1 at the location of x

- With Mutable Variables, the environment stores locations, not values
- For {letrec x e1 e2}
 - Generate a new location for the recursive x being defined
 - Put a dummy value at it
 - Interpret e1 in the environment extended with x's location
 - If ever fetch from that location, get the dummy value and raise an error
 - Shouldn't ever fetch if self-references are in the bodies of functions
 - Then, update the store to contain the value of e1 at the location of x
 - o Then, interpret the body e2 with this updated store

Interpreting Recursion: Value

Interpreting Recursion: Value

Interpreting Recursion: Code

Interpreting Recursion: Code

```
(define (interp [env : Env]
                [e : Expr]
                [sto : Store]) : Result
  (type-case Expr e
(define (interp [env : Env]
                [e : Expr]
                [sto: Store]): Result
  (type-case Expr e
   [(LetRec x xexpr body)
     (let* ([x-loc (new-loc sto)] ;; Location for x
            [dummy-sto ;; Put a dummy value at x's location
               (override-store (cell x-loc
                                     (DummyV)))])
        (with ([x-val x-sto]
               ;; Interpret xexpr in env with x's location
                 (interp (extendEnv (bind x x-loc) env)
                          xexpr
                          dummy-sto))
         ;; Interpret body in env with x's location
         ;; and store with x's newly computed value
```

• To evaluate letrec we:

- To evaluate letrec we:
 - Make a new location o for fact

- To evaluate letrec we:
 - Make a new location o for fact
 - Evaluate the value for fact

- To evaluate letrec we:
 - Make a new location o for fact
 - Evaluate the value for fact
 - Env: fact := 0

- To evaluate letrec we:
 - Make a new location o for fact
 - Evaluate the value for fact
 - Env: fact := 0
 - Store: 0 => (DummyV) ~~

• Evaluating function produces closure:

• Evaluating function produces closure:

Evaluating function produces closure:

Never fetch from location 0

Evaluating function produces closure:

- Never fetch from location 0
 - Interp of function doesn't interp body of function

Evaluating function produces closure:

- Never fetch from location 0
 - o Interp of function doesn't interp body of function
- Closure captures environment with fact := 0

Evaluating function produces closure:

- Never fetch from location 0
 - o Interp of function doesn't interp body of function
- Closure captures environment with fact := 0
 - Only captures environment, not store

• Then tie the knot

• Then tie the knot

```
∘ Env: fact := ⊙
```

• Then tie the knot

```
o Env: fact := 0
o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x ....) (fact := 0))
```

• Then tie the knot

```
o Env: fact := 0
o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x ....) (fact := 0))
```

• Updated with value for fact

- Then tie the knot
 - o Env: fact := 0
 o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x) (fact := 0))
 - Updated with value for fact
- Cyclic data structure:

- Then tie the knot
 - o Env: fact := 0
 o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x) (fact := 0))
 - Updated with value for fact
- Cyclic data structure:
 - Store contains closure at location 0

- Then tie the knot
 - o Env: fact := 0
 o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x) (fact := 0))
 - Updated with value for fact
- Cyclic data structure:
 - Store contains closure at location 0
 - Closure stores environment (fact := 0)

- Then tie the knot
 - o Env: fact := 0
 o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x) (fact := 0))
 - Updated with value for fact
- · Cyclic data structure:
 - Store contains closure at location 0
 - o Closure stores environment (fact := 0)
 - That environment points to 0 in store

- Then tie the knot
 - o Env: fact := 0
 o Store: 0 ==> (ClosureV (Fun 'x) (fact := 0))
 - Updated with value for fact
- · Cyclic data structure:
 - Store contains closure at location 0
 - Closure stores environment (fact := 0)
 - That environment points to 0 in store
 - Store contains closure at location 0

• Finally evaluate body in updated store

Finally evaluate body in updated store

```
o Env: fact := 0
```

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - ∘ Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - o Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- Call evaluates closure body

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - o Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- Call evaluates closure body
 - o Environment x := 1, fact := 0

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - o Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- Call evaluates closure body
 - o Environment x := 1, fact := 0
 - o Store 0 ==> (ClosureV), 1 ==> (NumV 3)

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - o Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- Call evaluates closure body
 - o Environment x := 1, fact := 0
 - o Store 0 ==> (ClosureV), 1 ==> (NumV 3)
- If o in closure body goes to branch with call

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - o Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- · Call evaluates closure body
 - o Environment x := 1, fact := 0
 - o Store 0 ==> (ClosureV), 1 ==> (NumV 3)
- Ifo in closure body goes to branch with call
- fact in call evaluates to the same closure at location 0

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - ∘ Env: fact := ⊙
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- Call evaluates closure body
 - o Environment x := 1, fact := 0
 - o Store 0 ==> (ClosureV), 1 ==> (NumV 3)
- If o in closure body goes to branch with call
- fact in call evaluates to the same closure at location 0
 - Evaluation repeats, but with x bound to location with NumV

- Finally evaluate body in updated store
 - o Env: fact := 0
- 3 evaluates to (NumLit 3), fact evaluates to closure from location 0
- Call evaluates closure body
 - o Environment x := 1, fact := 0
 - o Store 0 ==> (ClosureV), 1 ==> (NumV 3)
- If o in closure body goes to branch with call
- fact in call evaluates to the same closure at location 0
 - Evaluation repeats, but with x bound to location with NumV
 - Etc. until we reach 0 and don't have a recursive call

• This trick is generally applicable

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot

- This trick is generally applicable
 - Known as Landin's Knot
 - o British Computer Scientist Peter Landin

- This trick is generally applicable
 - Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming

- This trick is generally applicable
 - Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar
 - Saw the connection between lambda calculus and programming

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar
 - Saw the connection between lambda calculus and programming
 - Early version of algebraic datatypes

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar
 - Saw the connection between lambda calculus and programming
 - · Early version of algebraic datatypes
- You can simulate recursion in any language with

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar
 - Saw the connection between lambda calculus and programming
 - · Early version of algebraic datatypes
- You can simulate recursion in any language with
 - First-class functions/closures

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar
 - Saw the connection between lambda calculus and programming
 - · Early version of algebraic datatypes
- You can simulate recursion in any language with
 - First-class functions/closures
 - Mutable references/variables

- This trick is generally applicable
 - o Known as Landin's Knot
 - British Computer Scientist Peter Landin
 - Pioneer of functional programming
 - Inventor of offside rule for whitespace-sensitive languages
 - Invented the term syntactic sugar
 - Saw the connection between lambda calculus and programming
 - · Early version of algebraic datatypes
- You can simulate recursion in any language with
 - First-class functions/closures
 - Mutable references/variables
- Useful in typed languages that can't give the Y-combinator a type