Functional Programming 1: Recursion and Immutable Data

CS 350

Dr. Joseph Eremondi

Last updated: June 19, 2024

Overview



Programming in CS 350

All coding for this class uses:

• The Racket Programming Language

1

All coding for this class uses:

- The Racket Programming Language
- The plait library for Racket

1

All coding for this class uses:

- The Racket Programming Language
- The plait library for Racket
- The Dr. Racket editor

Racket

What is Racket?

• Lisp-style language

What is Racket?

- Lisp-style language

What is Racket?

- Lisp-style language((((((((Parentheses)))))))))
- Language for making languages

IDE for Racket

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - o Other useful features

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - o Other useful features
- Read-Eval-Print-Loop (REPL)

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - Other useful features
- Read-Eval-Print-Loop (REPL)
 - Feedback when writing code

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - Other useful features
- Read-Eval-Print-Loop (REPL)
 - Feedback when writing code
 - o Can evaluate expressions while you're writing your code

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - Other useful features
- Read-Eval-Print-Loop (REPL)
 - Feedback when writing code
 - Can evaluate expressions while you're writing your code
- Other editors are possible

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - Other useful features
- Read-Eval-Print-Loop (REPL)
 - Feedback when writing code
 - Can evaluate expressions while you're writing your code
- Other editors are possible
 - o ... but you're on your own if you have problems

- IDE for Racket
 - Syntax highlighting
 - Other useful features
- Read-Eval-Print-Loop (REPL)
 - Feedback when writing code
 - Can evaluate expressions while you're writing your code
- Other editors are possible
 - o ... but you're on your own if you have problems
 - see https://docs.racket-lang.org/guide/ other-editors.html

Plait

"PLAI-typed"

"PLAI-typed"

"PLAI-typed"

Language defined in Racket

• Racket functions you can call

2

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket
 - Declaring and pattern matching on data types

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket
 - Declaring and pattern matching on data types
 - Type annotations for functions

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket
 - Declaring and pattern matching on data types
 - Type annotations for functions
- Minimal

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket
 - Declaring and pattern matching on data types
 - Type annotations for functions
- Minimal
 - o Has what you need to write programming languages

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket
 - Declaring and pattern matching on data types
 - Type annotations for functions
- Minimal
 - Has what you need to write programming languages
 - Not much else

"PLAI-typed"

- Racket functions you can call
- Adds syntax to Racket
 - Declaring and pattern matching on data types
 - Type annotations for functions
- Minimal
 - Has what you need to write programming languages
 - Not much else
 - You can do a lot with very little

• Type inference

- Type inference
 - o Every expression is typed

- Type inference
 - o Every expression is typed
 - o Don't have to write down the types

- Type inference
 - o Every expression is typed
 - o Don't have to write down the types
- Algebraic Data Types

Parentheses

• Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"

- Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"
- Parentheses give this tree structure

- Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"
- Parentheses give this tree structure
- Default: parentheses mean function call

- Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"
- Parentheses give this tree structure
- Default: parentheses mean function call
 - Racket writes (f x), not f(x)

- Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"
- Parentheses give this tree structure
- Default: parentheses mean function call
 - Racket writes (f x), not f(x)
- x is not the same as (x)

- Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"
- Parentheses give this tree structure
- Default: parentheses mean function call
 - Racket writes (f x), not f(x)
- x is not the same as (x)
 - o x gets the value of the variable x

- Racket programs are trees called "S-expressions"
- Parentheses give this tree structure
- Default: parentheses mean function call
 - Racket writes (f x), not f(x)
- x is not the same as (x)
 - x gets the value of the variable x
 - o (x) is calling a function named x with zero arguments



9

9

```
9
9.5
2/9
```

```
9
9.5
2/9
1e-12
```

```
9
9.5
2/9
1e-12
```

```
9
9.5
2/9
1e-12
20
```

```
(+ 2 7)

(- 10 0.5)

(* 1/3 2/3)

(/ 1 10000000000000000000)

(max 10 20)

(modulo 10 3)
```

```
9
9.5
2/9
1e-12
20
```

```
(+ 2 7)
(- 10 0.5)
(* 1/3 2/3)
(/ 1 100000000000000000000)
(max 10 20)
(modulo 10 3)
```

```
9

9.5

2/9

1e-12

20

1
```

Booleans

```
(= (+ 2 3) 5)
(> (/ 0 1) 1)
(zero? (- (+ 1 2) (+ 3 0)))
(and (< 1 2) (> 1 0))
(or (zero? 1) (even? 3))
```

```
#t
#f
#t
#t
#f
```

Conditionals

• Conditionals are expressions, not statements

```
(if (< 2 3) "hello" "goodbye")
(+ 3
  (if (= 2 (+ 1 1))
     3
     40))</pre>
```

```
"hello"
6
```

Conditionals

- Conditionals are **expressions**, not statements
- Boolean changes what the expression is, not what it does

```
(if (< 2 3) "hello" "goodbye")
(+ 3
  (if (= 2 (+ 1 1))
     3
     40))</pre>
```

```
"hello"
6
```

Functions

Calling a function replaces variable with concrete argument

```
(define (addOne [x : Number]) : Number
  (+ \times 1)
(add0ne 10)
(define (isRemainder [x : Number]
                      [v : Number]
                      [remainder : Number])
        : Boolean
  (= remainder (modulo x y)))
(isRemainder 10 3 1)
(isRemainder 10 4 1)
```

11

Functions (ctd.)

General form:

Functions (ctd.)

· General form:

 Later in the course we'll see another way of defining functions

Functional Thinking: Lists And

Recursion

• Functions in our program correspond to functions in math

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do
- Instead of changing variable values

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do
- Instead of changing variable values
 - We call functions with different arguments

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do
- Instead of changing variable values
 - We call functions with different arguments
- Instead of changing data structures

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do
- Instead of changing variable values
 - We call functions with different arguments
- Instead of changing data structures
 - We decompose them, copy the parts, and reassemble them in new ways

What Is Functional Programming?

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do
- Instead of changing variable values
 - We call functions with different arguments
- Instead of changing data structures
 - We decompose them, copy the parts, and reassemble them in new ways
 - Copying is implemented with pointers

What Is Functional Programming?

- Functions in our program correspond to functions in math
 - Mapping from inputs to outputs
 - Same inputs always produce the same outputs
- Talk about what programs are, not what programs do
- Instead of changing variable values
 - We call functions with different arguments
- Instead of changing data structures
 - We decompose them, copy the parts, and reassemble them in new ways
 - Copying is implemented with pointers
 - · Fast, memory efficient

• All program state is **explicit**

- All program state is **explicit**
 - $\circ\;$ Easy to tell exactly what a function can change

- All program state is **explicit**
 - $\circ\;$ Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing

- All program state is explicit
 - o Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading

- All program state is explicit
 - o Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - $\circ\;$ Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning
 - In imperative languages, equals sign = is a LIE

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning
 - In imperative languages, equals sign = is a LIE
 - Can write x = 3; x = 4;, but 3 != 4

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning
 - In imperative languages, equals sign = is a LIE
 - Can write x = 3; x = 4; but 3 != 4
 - If have (define (f x) body), then for all y, (f y) and body are interchangable

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning
 - In imperative languages, equals sign = is a LIE
 - Can write x = 3; x = 4; but 3 != 4
 - If have (define (f x) body), then for all y, (f y) and body are interchangable
 - after replace x with y in body

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning
 - In imperative languages, equals sign = is a LIE
 - Can write x = 3; x = 4;, but 3 != 4
 - If have (define (f x) body), then for all y, (f y) and body are interchangable
 - after replace x with y in body
 - Easier to tell if your program is correct

- All program state is explicit
 - Easy to tell exactly what a function can change
 - No shared state between components
 - Other function can't change value without realizing
 - No data races for threading
- Programming is declarative
 - Structure of the problem guides structure of the solution
- Equational reasoning
 - In imperative languages, equals sign = is a LIE
 - Can write x = 3; x = 4;, but 3 != 4
 - If have (define (f x) body), then for all y, (f y) and body are interchangable
 - after replace x with y in body
 - Easier to tell if your program is correct
 - Some optimizations easier

• None?

- None?
- Sometimes slower

- None?
- Sometimes slower
 - Very hard to do without Garbage Collection

- None?
- Sometimes slower
 - Very hard to do without Garbage Collection
 - e.g. see Closures in Rust

- None?
- Sometimes slower
 - Very hard to do without Garbage Collection
 - e.g. see Closures in Rust
 - Sometimes faster because you need fewer safety checks in your code

- None?
- Sometimes slower
 - Very hard to do without Garbage Collection
 - e.g. see Closures in Rust
 - Sometimes faster because you need fewer safety checks in your code
- Farther from what the CPU is actually doing

- None?
- Sometimes slower
 - Very hard to do without Garbage Collection
 - e.g. see Closures in Rust
 - Sometimes faster because you need fewer safety checks in your code
- Farther from what the CPU is actually doing
- Some algorithms are more concise with mutation

- None?
- Sometimes slower
 - Very hard to do without Garbage Collection
 - e.g. see Closures in Rust
 - Sometimes faster because you need fewer safety checks in your code
- Farther from what the CPU is actually doing
- Some algorithms are more concise with mutation
 - o But lots aren't

5 Step method:

1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a **template** of the function

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a **template** of the function
 - o Depends on input/output types

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a **template** of the function
 - Depends on input/output types
 - o Covers all cases

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a template of the function
 - Depends on input/output types
 - Covers all cases
 - o Possibly extracts fields, recursive calls, etc.

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a template of the function
 - Depends on input/output types
 - Covers all cases
 - Possibly extracts fields, recursive calls, etc.
- 4. Fill in the holes in the template

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a template of the function
 - Depends on input/output types
 - Covers all cases
 - Possibly extracts fields, recursive calls, etc.
- 4. Fill in the holes in the template
- 5. Run tests

5 Step method:

- 1. Determine the representation of inputs and outputs
- 2. Write examples/tests
- 3. Create a template of the function
 - Depends on input/output types
 - Covers all cases
 - o Possibly extracts fields, recursive calls, etc.
- 4. Fill in the holes in the template
- 5. Run tests

Further reference:

http://htdp.org, Matthew Flatt's Notes (URCourses)