# **Objects and OOP**

CS 350

Dr. Joseph Eremondi

Last updated: August 7, 2024

# Concepts of Objects

Objectives

- Objectives
  - To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses

#### Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen

#### Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
  - Closures

#### Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
  - Closures
  - Environments

#### Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
  - Closures
  - Environments
  - · Locations and Stores

- Objectives
  - To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
  - To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
    - Closures
    - Environments
    - · Locations and Stores
- Key Concepts

# Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
  - Closures
  - Environments
  - · Locations and Stores
- Key Concepts
  - Members

#### Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
  - Closures
  - Environments
  - · Locations and Stores
- Key Concepts
  - Members
  - Methods

#### Objectives

- To see how OOP and datatype-oriented programming are dual/inverses
- To see how objects can be implemented using concepts we've already seen
  - Closures
  - Environments
  - · Locations and Stores

#### Key Concepts

- Members
- Methods
- Encapsulation

 Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype

- Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype
- The definition of the type carried with it a finite list of possibilities

- Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype
- The definition of the type carried with it a finite list of possibilities
- To define a new operation taking some datatype T as input we:

- Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype
- The definition of the type carried with it a finite list of possibilities
- To define a new operation taking some datatype T as input we:
  - o Pattern matched on the value of type T

- Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype
- The definition of the type carried with it a finite list of possibilities
- To define a new operation taking some datatype T as input we:
  - Pattern matched on the value of type T
  - Produced a result for each value

- Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype
- The definition of the type carried with it a finite list of possibilities
- To define a new operation taking some datatype T as input we:
  - Pattern matched on the value of type T
  - Produced a result for each value
- This is a local change: we can add a new operation without needing to change any other code in the codebase

- Recall that a datatype is defined by specifying a list of variants e.g. different ways of constructing the datatype
- The definition of the type carried with it a finite list of possibilities
- To define a new operation taking some datatype T as input we:
  - Pattern matched on the value of type T
  - o Produced a result for each value
- This is a *local change*: we can add a new operation without needing to change any other code in the codebase
- To add a new variant, we needed to refactor every single definition that uses type-case to have a new case for the new variant

 An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an *interface*

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an interface
  - For us, just an informal description

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an interface
  - For us, just an informal description
  - Some languages let you specify interfaces as types

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an interface
  - o For us, just an informal description
  - Some languages let you specify interfaces as types
- Every time we define a new object with its members and methods, we define a new variant satisfying that interface

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an interface
  - For us, just an informal description
  - Some languages let you specify interfaces as types
- Every time we define a new object with its members and methods, we define a new variant satisfying that interface
  - Local change: define the object in one place and you have a new variant

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an interface
  - o For us, just an informal description
  - Some languages let you specify interfaces as types
- Every time we define a new object with its members and methods, we define a new variant satisfying that interface
  - Local change: define the object in one place and you have a new variant
- Adding a new operation to an interface requires refactoring every object implementing that interface

- An object consists of some data, bundled with a fixed set of operations on that data
- The operations available (and their types, when relevant) define an interface
  - o For us, just an informal description
  - Some languages let you specify interfaces as types
- Every time we define a new object with its members and methods, we define a new variant satisfying that interface
  - Local change: define the object in one place and you have a new variant
- Adding a new operation to an interface requires refactoring every object implementing that interface
  - Since you have to add the new method

# **OOP vs Algebraic Datatypes**

	Add new variant	Add new operation
Datatypes	Needs global refactoring	Local additions only
Objects	Local additions only	Needs global refactoring

# Curly-Obj-Immut

• We'll add **immutable objects** to Curly

- We'll add immutable objects to Curly
  - $\circ\;$  No way to mutate field values

- We'll add immutable objects to Curly
  - No way to mutate field values
  - Copying the object makes a new copy

- We'll add immutable objects to Curly
  - No way to mutate field values
  - Copying the object makes a new copy
- Like Tuples in Python

# **Object Operations**

Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }

# **Object Operations**

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body

# **Object Operations**

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - · Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object
- Method calling {send <expr> SYMBOL <expr> }

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - · Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object
- Method calling {send <expr> SYMBOL <expr> }
  - Call the given object's method (with the given name), with the given argument

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - · Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object
- Method calling {send <expr> SYMBOL <expr> }
  - Call the given object's method (with the given name), with the given argument
  - o Name comes from Smalltalk, history of OOP

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object
- Method calling {send <expr> SYMBOL <expr> }
  - Call the given object's method (with the given name), with the given argument
  - o Name comes from Smalltalk, history of OOP
- Self-reference: this

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object
- Method calling {send <expr> SYMBOL <expr> }
  - Call the given object's method (with the given name), with the given argument
  - Name comes from Smalltalk, history of OOP
- Self-reference: this
  - Inside an object's methods, there is a special variable called this

- Object creation {object {SYMBOL <expr>}\* {SYMBOL {SYMBOL} <expr>} }
  - Create an object with 0 or more fields, whose names are given by the given symbols, and 0 or more methods, with the given name, parameter name, and body
  - Simplest version of an (OOP-style) constructor, just takes values for all the fiels and methods
    - Not to be confused with constructor for a datatype
- Field getting {get <expr> SYMBOL}
  - Gets the value of the field with the given name from the given object
- Method calling {send <expr> SYMBOL <expr> }
  - Call the given object's method (with the given name), with the given argument
  - Name comes from Smalltalk, history of OOP
- Self-reference: this
  - Inside an object's methods, there is a special variable called this
  - Refers to the object that the method is being called on, so we can get e.g. field values from methods

# **Example**

#### **Example**

• Produces 3.14

**Implementing Objects** 

• For the most part, nothing complicated

- For the most part, nothing complicated
  - Just make new Expr and Value variants with data for object-fields and methods

- For the most part, nothing complicated
  - Just make new Expr and Value variants with data for object-fields and methods
  - Store fields as values

- For the most part, nothing complicated
  - Just make new Expr and Value variants with data for object-fields and methods
  - Store fields as values
  - Store methods as closures

- For the most part, nothing complicated
  - Just make new Expr and Value variants with data for object-fields and methods
  - Store fields as values
  - Store methods as closures
- Tricky bit: making sure there's a value for this in scope for method calls

- For the most part, nothing complicated
  - Just make new Expr and Value variants with data for object-fields and methods
  - Store fields as values
  - Store methods as closures
- Tricky bit: making sure there's a value for this in scope for method calls
  - Making sure it's the right value

 Nothing fancy, just the literal tree representation of the previous syntax

- Nothing fancy, just the literal tree representation of the previous syntax
  - Object is list of field-name field-expression pairs, and list of method-name, method-param, method-body triples

- Nothing fancy, just the literal tree representation of the previous syntax
  - Object is list of field-name field-expression pairs, and list of method-name, method-param, method-body triples
  - Get has expression for the object whose field we're getting, and a symbol for the field name

- Nothing fancy, just the literal tree representation of the previous syntax
  - Object is list of field-name field-expression pairs, and list of method-name, method-param, method-body triples
  - Get has expression for the object whose field we're getting, and a symbol for the field name
  - Send has expression for the object whose method we're calling, the name of the method, and an expression for the argument

```
(define-type Value
....
(ObjV [fields : (Listof (Symbol * Value))]
        [methods : (Listof (Symbol * Value))]))
```

• Value version of object

```
(define-type Value
....
(ObjV [fields : (Listof (Symbol * Value))]
        [methods : (Listof (Symbol * Value))]))
```

- Value version of object
  - $\circ\,$  Fields: just like in Expr, except each name has a value, not an expression

```
(define-type Value
....
(ObjV [fields : (Listof (Symbol * Value))]
        [methods : (Listof (Symbol * Value))]))
```

- Value version of object
  - Fields: just like in Expr, except each name has a value, not an expression
  - Methods: list of name-value pairs, where each value is assumed to be a closure

• We'll add objects onto Curly-Lambda

- We'll add objects onto Curly-Lambda
  - o No stores, just environments

- We'll add objects onto Curly-Lambda
  - No stores, just environments
- Assignment 6 will be integrating Objects and Stores

- We'll add objects onto Curly-Lambda
  - No stores, just environments
- Assignment 6 will be integrating Objects and Stores
  - Building a small language like Python or JavaScript

# **Interpreting Object Creation**

# **Interpreting Object Creation**

# A Helper Function for List of Pairs

# A Helper Function for List of Pairs

The same lookup~/~fetch code we've written a bunch

#### A Helper Function for List of Pairs

```
(define (find [l : (Listof (Symbol * 'a))] [name : Symbol]) : 'a
  (type-case (Listof (Symbol * 'a)) l
    [empty
      (error 'find (string-append "not found: " (symbol->string name))
      [(cons p rst-l)
      (if (symbol=? (fst p) name)
            (snd p)
            (find rst-l name))]))
```

- The same lookup~/~fetch code we've written a bunch
  - Works for pairs, not custom datatype

### A Helper Function for List of Pairs

- The same lookup~/~fetch code we've written a bunch
  - Works for pairs, not custom datatype
  - Polymorphic in type of second thing in pair

### A Helper Function for List of Pairs

```
(define (find [l : (Listof (Symbol * 'a))] [name : Symbol]) : 'a
  (type-case (Listof (Symbol * 'a)) l
    [empty
      (error 'find (string-append "not found: " (symbol->string name))
      [(cons p rst-l)
      (if (symbol=? (fst p) name)
            (snd p)
            (find rst-l name))]))
```

- The same lookup~/~fetch code we've written a bunch
  - Works for pairs, not custom datatype
  - Polymorphic in type of second thing in pair
    - · Works for fields and methods

# **Interpreting Field Lookup**

## **Interpreting Field Lookup**

```
[(getE obj-expr field-name)
  (type-case Value (interp env obj-expr)
    [(ObjV fields methods)
        (find fields field-name)]
        [else (error 'interp "not an object")])]
```

Interpret the object and argument to values

- Interpret the object and argument to values
- Lookup the method by name in the object

- Interpret the object and argument to values
- Lookup the method by name in the object
  - Gives a symbol-expr pair for parameter and body

- Interpret the object and argument to values
- Lookup the method by name in the object
  - Gives a symbol-expr pair for parameter and body
- Interpret the body in an environment extended with

- Interpret the object and argument to values
- Lookup the method by name in the object
  - Gives a symbol-expr pair for parameter and body
- Interpret the body in an environment extended with
  - The argument bound to the parameter

- Interpret the object and argument to values
- Lookup the method by name in the object
  - Gives a symbol-expr pair for parameter and body
- Interpret the body in an environment extended with
  - The argument bound to the parameter
  - The entire object's value bound to 'this

• Object can refer to itself in the method

- Object can refer to itself in the method
  - Access its fields

- Object can refer to itself in the method
  - Access its fields
  - o Call other methods

- Object can refer to itself in the method
  - o Access its fields
  - Call other methods
  - Pass itself as an argument

- Object can refer to itself in the method
  - Access its fields
  - Call other methods
  - o Pass itself as an argument
- Like self in Python, this in Java/C++/JS

 Instead of having a type with two variants, each list carries its own information

- Instead of having a type with two variants, each list carries its own information
  - o Its length (e.g. whether empty or not)

- Instead of having a type with two variants, each list carries its own information
  - o Its length (e.g. whether empty or not)
  - Its head (errors if empty)

- Instead of having a type with two variants, each list carries its own information
  - o Its length (e.g. whether empty or not)
  - Its head (errors if empty)
  - Its tail (empty if empty)

- Instead of having a type with two variants, each list carries its own information
  - Its length (e.g. whether empty or not)
  - Its head (errors if empty)
  - Its tail (empty if empty)
- Can do {get someList length} or {send someList tail o} on empty or cons, and will work in either case

- Instead of having a type with two variants, each list carries its own information
  - Its length (e.g. whether empty or not)
  - Its head (errors if empty)
  - Its tail (empty if empty)
- Can do {get someList length} or {send someList tail o} on empty or cons, and will work in either case
  - o Return of methods carried around with the list

```
{object {} {call {x} body}}
```

• Object with a single "call" method

```
{object {} {call {x} body}}
```

- Object with a single "call" method
  - $\circ\;$  Equivalent to a first-class function

```
{object {} {call {x} body}}
```

- Object with a single "call" method
  - $\circ\;$  Equivalent to a first-class function

```
{object {} {call {x} body}}
```

Object with a single "call" method
 Equivalent to a first-class function

```
{fun {x} body}
```

Can even do recursion

```
{object {} {call {x} body}}
```

Object with a single "call" method
 Equivalent to a first-class function

```
{fun {x} body}
```

Can even do recursion

```
{object {} {call {x} body}}
```

Object with a single "call" method
 Equivalent to a first-class function

```
{fun {x} body}
```

Can even do recursion