- 1 Source Language Syntax
- 2 Source Language Statics and Dynamics
- 3 The Target Language
- 4 The Translation
- 4.1 Translating Evidence

4.1.1 Helper Functions

With our evidence represented as tuples with integer tags, we must represent the partial functions on types in our target language. The implementation is given in Figure 7. Doing this is straightforward: if one argument is [[?]], then we return the other argument. Otherwise, we check if we have simple or complex types. For simple types, either [[Bool/Bool====Bool]], [[Nat/Nat====Nat]]. For complex types, we check that the tags agree, then recursively compute the meets of the sub-components. If neither argument is [[?]], and there is a tag mismatch, then we must raise an exception, retuning the [[error]] continuation.

For the partial functions decomposing types, we first check if the input is [[?]], in which case we return [[?]]. Otherwise, we check the tag, and if it is correct, we return the relevant subcomponent of the type. In all other cases, we throw an error. We give an example implementation for **dom** in Figure 8: either we are given [[?]] and return [[?]], we are given [[T1->T2]] and we return [[T1]], or we raise an exception. We omit **cod**, **proj**₁ and **proj**₂, but they are implemented similarly.

5 Correctness

Lemma 5.1 (Correctness of Evidence Translation). *Consider evidence* [[ep]], [[ep']]. *Then, for any* [[k]]:

- [[MEET[[|ep|],[|ep'|],k]-->*k[[|ep/|ep'|]]]] if [[ep/|ep']] is defined.
- If $[[ep/\ ep']]$ is undefined, then [[MEET[[|ep|],[|ep'|]] --> *error]].

The same property holds for [[domep]], [[codep]], and [[Proj@iep]].

Lemma 5.2 (Canonical Forms for Translated Values). For an irreducible [[v]], [[[v]] = = = ([ep], u)] for some evidence [[ep]] and CPS-value [[u]]. Moreover, if [[v]] is a raw irreducible, then [[ep]] = [[<<?>>]].

Proof. By inversion on the definition of [[|v|]].

```
[[n]] \in \mathbb{Z}, [[b]] \in \mathbb{B}
          ::=
                                                     Variables
                  \boldsymbol{x}
                                                     Booleans
                                                     Natural Numbers
                  n
                  \lambda x:T.e
                                                     Functions
                                                     Function Application
                  e_1 e_2
                  e_1 + e_2
                                                     Addition
                 e_1 \stackrel{?}{=} e_2
                                                     Number Equality Test
                  if e_1 then e_2 else e_3
                                                     Conditionals
                                                     Tuples
                  \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle
                                                     Tuple First Projection
                  \pi_1 e
                                                     Tuple Second Projection
                  \pi_2 e
                                                     Evidence Ascription
                  \varepsilon e
                                                     Runtime Type Error
                  error
  O
                           Observable values
                  b
  v
                                    Irreducable (closed) terms
                 \varepsilon r
                  b
                  \lambda x : T. e
                                       Functions
                  \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle
                                    Raw Irreducable (closed) terms (i.e. not ascribed with)
                 \lambda x:T.e
                                       Functions
```

Figure 1: Source Language Syntax: Terms

Figure 2: Source Language Syntax: Types

Figure 3: Source Language: Type Rules

Figure 4: Source Language: Type Consistency and Precision

Figure 5: Source Language: Small-Step Operational Semantics

```
u, k ::=
                         \boldsymbol{x}
                         n
                         b
                         \mathbf{fix}\ x\ u
                         \lambda x_1 \dots x_i \cdot t
\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle
d
          ::=
                    x := u
                    x := \pi_1 u
                    x := \pi_2 u
                    x := u_1 + u_2
                    x:=u_1\stackrel{?}{=}u_2
t
         ::=
                   v
                   let d in t
                   u(arg) if u then t_1 else t_2
                   \mathbf{halt}\left[u\right]
                   error
```

Figure 6: Target Language: Syntax

```
[[MEET]] = [[fixself\ ty1ty2c.lettaq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi2ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=DYNinletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi2ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi2ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi2ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi2ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi2ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletsub1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1inletisDyn1:=taq1:=pi1ty1:=taq1:=pi1ty1:=taq1:=pi1ty1:=taq1:=pi1ty1:=taq1:=pi1ty1:=taq1:=pi1ty1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=taq1:=t
]]
                                                                       [[ifisDyn1c[ty2]]
                                                                       [[lettag2 := pi1ty2inletsub2 := pi2ty2inletisDyn2 := tag2 == DYNin]
]]
]]
                                                                       [[ifisDyn2c[ty1]]
]]
                                                                       [[letisNat1 := tag1 == NATinletisNat2 := tag2 == NATin]
]]
                                                                       [[ifisNat1(ifisNat2k[NAT]error)]
]]
                                                                       [[letisBool1 := tag1 == BOOLinletisBool2 := tag2 == BOOLin]
                                                                       [[ifisBool1(ifisBool2k[BOOL]error)]
]]
]]
                                                                       [[letisArrow1 := tag1 == ARRinletisArrow2 := tag2 == ARRin]
]]
                                                                       [[iif is Arrow1]
]]
                                                                       [[-letdom1 := pi1sub1inletcod1 := pi2sub1in]
]]
                                                                       [[--iifisArrow2]
]]
                                                                       [[---letdom2 := pi1sub2inletcod2 := pi2sub2in
                                                                       [[---self[dom1, dom2, (meet1.self[cod1, cod2, (meet2.k[(ARR, (meet1, meet2))])])]]]
]]
                                                                       [[--elseerror]]
                                                                       [[letisProduct1 := tag1 == PRODinletisProduct2 := tag2 == tag
]]
                                                                       [[iif is Product 1]]
]]
                                                                       [[--letlhs1 := pi1sub1inletrhs1 := pi2sub1in]
]]
                                                                       [[--iifisProduct2]]
]]
                                                                       [[---letlhs2:=pi1sub2inletrhs2:=pi2sub2in]
                                                                       [[---self[lhs1, lhs2, (meet1.self[rhs1, rhs2, (meet2.k[(PROD, (meet1, meet2))])])]]]
]]
                                                                       [[--elseerror]]
                                                                       [[elseerror]]
```

Figure 7: CPS implementation of meet

Figure 8: CPS implementation of domain

$$[e]k = t$$

(CPS Translation of Expressions)

TransformVar

TransformNum

$$[x]k = k(x)$$

$$\llbracket b \rrbracket k = k(\langle \mathtt{DYN}, b \rangle)$$

TransformFun

TRANSFORMAPP

$$k_1 := (\lambda x_2. \operatorname{let} y_1 := \pi_1 x_1 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{let} z_1 := \pi_2 x_1 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{let} y_2 := \pi_1 x_2 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{let} z_2 := \pi_2 x_2 \operatorname{in} t_1)$$

$$t_1 := \operatorname{DOM}(y_1, \lambda y_1''. \operatorname{COD}(y_1, \lambda y_1''. \operatorname{MEET}(y_1', y_2, (\lambda y_3. z_1 (\langle y_3, z_2 \rangle, (\lambda z_3. \operatorname{let} z_3' := \pi_1 z_3 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{let} z_3'' := \pi_2 z_3 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{MEET}(y_1'', z_3', (x_3 \cdot x_2) \cdot (x_3 \cdot x_2) \cdot (x_3 \cdot x_3 \cdot$$

TRANSFORMPLUS

$$k_1 := (\lambda x_2. \mathbf{let} \ z_1 := \pi_2 x_1 \mathbf{in} \ \mathbf{let} \ z_2 := \pi_2 x_2 \mathbf{in} \ \mathbf{let} \ z_3 := z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{in} \ k(z_3))$$

$$[e_2] k_1 = t'$$

$$[e_1] (\lambda x_1. \ t') = t$$

$$[e_1 + e_2] k = t$$

TRANSFORMEQ

$$k_1 := (\lambda x_2. \mathbf{let} \ z_1 := \pi_2 x_1 \mathbf{in} \ \mathbf{let} \ z_2 := \pi_2 x_2 \mathbf{in} \ \mathbf{let} \ z_3 := z_1 \stackrel{?}{=} z_2 \mathbf{in} \ k(z_3))$$

$$[e_2] k_1 = t'$$

$$[e_1] (\lambda x_1. \ t') = t$$

$$[e_1 + e_2] k = t$$

TRANSFORMPAIR

$$\frac{\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket (\lambda x_2. \, k(\langle \mathtt{DYN}, \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \rangle)) = t'}{\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket (\lambda x_1. \, t') = t}$$
$$\frac{\llbracket \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \rrbracket k = t}{\llbracket \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \rrbracket k = t}$$

TRANSFORMIF

TransformProj

$$\frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket(\lambda x. \operatorname{let} x' := \pi \otimes i x \operatorname{in} k(x')) = t}{\llbracket \pi_i e \rrbracket k = t}$$

TransformEv

$$\frac{\llbracket e \rrbracket(\lambda x. \operatorname{\mathbf{let}} x_1 := \pi_1 x \operatorname{\mathbf{in}} \operatorname{\mathbf{let}} x_2 := \pi_2 x \operatorname{\mathbf{in}} \operatorname{MEET}(\llbracket \varepsilon \rrbracket, x_1, (\lambda y. \, k(\langle y, x_2 \rangle)))) = t}{\llbracket \varepsilon \, e \rrbracket k = t}$$

TransformErr

$$[\![\mathbf{error}]\!] \mathit{k}_8 \!\!= \mathbf{error}$$

Translation: Terms and Programs

$$\llbracket \varepsilon \rrbracket = u$$

()

EvTransformBool

EvTransformNat

EvTransformDyn

$$\overline{[\![\{\mathsf{Bool}\}]\!] = \langle \mathtt{BOOL}, 0 \rangle}$$

$$\boxed{ \llbracket \{ \mathsf{Nat} \} \rrbracket = \langle \mathtt{NAT}, 0 \rangle }$$

$$\boxed{ [\{?\}] = \langle \mathtt{DYN}, 0 \rangle }$$

EvTransformArr

$$\overline{[\![\{T_1 \to T_2\}]\!] = \langle \mathsf{ARROW}, \langle [\![\{T_1\}]\!], [\![\{T_2\}]\!] \rangle\rangle}$$

EvTransformProd

$$\overline{[\![\{T_1 \to T_2\}]\!] = \langle \mathtt{PRODUCT}, \langle [\![\{T_1\}]\!], [\![\{T_2\}]\!] \rangle \rangle}$$

Translation: Evidence

$$\llbracket v \rrbracket = u$$

(CPS Translation of Closed Values)

VALTRANSFORMBOOL

VALTRANSFORMNUM

$$\overline{[\![b]\!]} = \langle \mathtt{DYN}, b \rangle$$

$$\boxed{\llbracket n \rrbracket = \langle \mathtt{DYN}, n \rangle}$$

VALTRANSFORMFUN

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket c = t$$

$$\boxed{ \llbracket \lambda x : T. \, e \rrbracket = \langle \mathtt{DYN}, \lambda x \, c. \, t \rangle }$$

VALTRANSFORMPAIR

$$\overline{[\![\langle v_1,v_2\rangle]\!]}=\langle \mathtt{DYN},\langle [\![v_1]\!],[\![v_2]\!]\rangle\rangle$$

ValTransformEv

$$||r|| = \langle \text{DYN}, u \rangle$$

$$\frac{[\![r]\!] = \langle \mathtt{DYN}, u \rangle}{[\![\varepsilon\, r]\!] = \langle [\![\varepsilon]\!], u \rangle}$$

Translation: Evidence

Lemma 5.3 (Value and Expression Translations Match). Let [[v]] be an irreducible term. Then, for any [[k]], [[v]], [[[v]], [[[v]], [[v]].

Proof. By induction on [[v]].

- Case [[v]] = [[b]], [[v]] = [[n]], or [[v]] = [[x : T.e]]: trivial.
- Case [[v]] = [[(v1, v2)]]. So [[[|(v1, v2)|]k ==== [|v1|](x1.[|v2|](x2.k[(DYN, (x1, x2))]))]], which, by our hypothesis, reduces to [[t1-->*(x1.(x2.k[(DYN, (x1, x2))])[[|v2|]])[[|v1|]]]], which we can then reduce to [[k[(DYN, ([|v1|], [|v2|]))]]].
- Case [[v]] = [[epr]]. Since all raw irreducibles are themselves irreducible, our inductive hypothesis gives that [[[|r|](x.letx1 := pi1xinletx2 := pi2xinMEET[[|ep|],x1,(.k[(y,x2)])])] steps to [[(x.letx1 := pi1xinletx2 := pi2xinMEET[[|ep|],x1,(.k[(y,x2)]))][[|r|]]]. By Lemma 5.2, [[[|r|]]] is of the form [[(DYN,u)]] for some [[u]]. So we can then β -reduce and apply the let-substitutions to reach [[MEET[[|ep|],DYN,u]]]. By Lemma 5.1, this steps to [[([|ep|],u)]]. By the rule TransformEV, this means that [[[|epr|]]] also steps to this value. TODO

Lemma 5.4 (Translation Commutes With Substitution). [[[|[x| => v]e|]k - -> *[x| => |[v|]][|e|]k]].

Proof. Follows from straightforward induction on [[e]], combined with Lemma 5.3 for the case where [[e]] = [[x]].

Theorem 5.1 (Weak Simulation). If [[e1 - - > e2]], then for all [[k]], $[[[e1|]k]] \equiv [[[e2|]k]]$.

Proof. We perform induction on the derivation tree of [e1 - - > e2].

• RedIfTrue: then [[e1]] = [[iftruethene2elsee3]]. The translation [[[|true|]k']] = = k'[(DYN, true)]] for any [[k']], so [[[|iftruethene2elsee3]]k]] is [[(x0.letx := pi2x0inifx([|e2|]k)([|e3|]k))]. We can β -reduce to get [[letx := pi2(DYN, true)inifx[|e2|]k[|e3|]k]], and we can substitute [[true]] for [[x]] and reduce the if to get [[[|e2|]k]].

- RedIfFalse: symmetric to RedIfTrue
- RedIfev: [[e1]] = [[ifepbthene2'elsee3']]. We know that [[[|b|]k' ==== k'[(DYN,b)]]], so [[[|epb|]k'' ==== (.letx1 := pi1xinletx2 := pi2xinMEET[[|ep|], x1, (.k''[(y,x2)])])[(DYN,b)]]]. We can β -reduce, and substitute with the let-expressions, to get [[(.MEET[[|ep|], DYN, (.k''[(y,b)])])]]. However, [[ep/ <<? >>]] = [[<<? >>]], so by Lemma 5.1 this steps to [[k''[([|ep|],b)]]]. Since the translation of if ignores any evidence in the condition, we can use the same reasoning from RedIfTrue to show that it steps to [[e2]] if [[b]] is true, and [[e3]] if [[b]] is false.

```
• Redapp: then [[e1]] = [[(x:T.e')v]] and [[e2]] = [[[x| => v]e']]. Let [[([ep]],u)]] = [[[|v|]]] (by Lemma 5.2). If we apply Lemma 5.3, we can see that [[[(x:T.e')v]]k]] steps to [[(x1x2.lety1 := pi1x1in, , ,)[(DYN, (xc.[|e'|]c)), ([|ep|], u)]]]. We can \beta-reduce and apply the let-substitutions to then step to [[DOM[DYN, 1'.COD[DYN, 1''.MEET[y1', [|ep|], (y3.(xc.[|e'|]c)[(y3,u), (3.letz3' := pi1z3inletz3'' := pi2z3inMEET[y1'', z3', (4.k[(z4, z3'')])])]]]]]]. By applying Lemma 5.1 for [[DOM]], [[COD]] and [[MEET]] of [[?]] respectively, we can step to [[(xc.[|e'|]c)[([|ep|],u), (3.letz3' := pi1z3inletz3'' := pi2z3inMEET[DYN, z3', (4.k[(z4, z3'')])])]]. This then \beta-reduces to [[[x| => ([|ep|],u)][|e'|](3.letz3' := pi1z3inletz3'' := pi2z3inMEET[DYN, z3', (4.k[(z4, z3'')])])]]. But, then, by Lemma 5.1 and \eta-equivalence, this is equivalent to [[[x| => ([|ep|],u)][|e'|]k]]. But we know that this is [[[x| => [|v|]][|e'|]k]] Finally, Lemma 5.4 gives us that this is equivalent to [[[|[x| => v]e']|k]].
```

```
• RedAppe v: then [[e1]] = [[ep1(x:T.e')ep2v]] and [[e2]] = [[codep1([x| => (domep1/ep2)v]e')]]. Let [[([lep2]], u)]] = [[[lv]]] (by Lemma 5.2). If we apply Lemma 5.3, we can see that [[[lep1(x:T.e')ep2v]]k]] steps to [[(x1x2.lety1:=pi1x1in,,,)[([lep1]],(xc.[le'|]c)),([lep2]],u)]]]. We can \beta-reduce and apply the let-substitutions to then step to [[DOM[[lep1]],1'.COD[[lep1]],1''.MEET[y1',[lep2]],(y3.(xc.[le'|]c)[(y3,u),(3.letz3':=pi1z3inletz3'':=pi2z3inMEET[y1'',z3',(4.k[(z4,z3'')])])]]]]]. By applying Lemma 5.1 for [[DOM]],[[COD]] and [[MEET]] of [[?]] respectively, we can step to [[(xc.[le']]c)[([ldomep1/2]],u),(3.letz3':=pi1z3inletz3'':=pi2z3inMEET[[lcodep1]],z3',(4.k[(z4,z3'')]). This then \beta-reduces to [[[x]] => ([ldomep1/2]],u)[[le']](3.letz3':=pi1z3inletz3'':=pi2z3inMEET[[lcodep1]],z3',(4.k[(z4,z3'')])
```

 $[[[x|=>([|domep1/2|],u)][|e'|](3.letz3':=pi1z3inletz3'':=pi2z3inMEET[[|codep1|],z3',(4.k[(z4,z3'')])]] \\ \text{But, by the rule TransformEv, this is α-equivalent to } [[[|codep1([x|=>(domep1/ep2)v]e')|]k]], \\ \text{giving us our result.}$

6 Incorrectness