# Problem Set #4;

# Maxwell Petersen

December 3, 2015

# Problem 1

Let  $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$  and  $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma_2, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ . Such that  $L(M_1) = A$  and  $L(M_2) = B$ . Build  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  such that L(M) = f(A, B).

$$Q = Q_1 \times Q_2 \times \{1, 2\}$$

$$\delta(q, t) = \delta_1(q, t) \to q \times t \times 1 \qquad \forall q \in Q_1, \forall t \in \Sigma$$

$$\delta(q, t) = \delta_2(q, t) \to q \times t \times 2 \qquad \forall q \in Q_2, \forall t \in \Sigma$$

$$q_0 = q_1$$

$$F = F_1 \times F_2$$

This works for any state in f(A, B) because for any state in  $Q_2$  a  $\Sigma$  symbol can be applied specifically to  $q \in Q_1$  to progress the sub DFA A and the inverse is true for the DFA for B.

# Problem 2

Let  $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$  and  $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma_2, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ . Such that  $L(M_1) = A$  and  $L(M_2) = B$ . Build  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  such that L(M) = f(A, B).

$$\begin{split} Q &= Q_1 \times Q_2 \times \{1,2\} \\ \delta(q,t) &= \delta_1(q,t) \to q \times t \times 1 \\ \delta(q,t) &= \delta_2(q,t) \to q \times t \times 2 \\ \delta(q,\epsilon) &= q \\ q_0 : initial state \\ F &= F_1 \times F_2 \end{split} \qquad \forall q \in Q_1, \forall t \in \Sigma$$

This works for any state in f(A, B) because for any state in  $Q_2$  a  $\Sigma$  symbol can be applied specifically to  $q \in Q_1$  to progress the sub DFA A and the inverse is true for the DFA for B. Also for any  $\epsilon$  symbol the sub DFAs don't progress.

## Problem 3

Let  $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$  and  $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma_2, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ . Such that  $L(M_1) = A$  and  $L(M_2) = B$ . Build  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$  such that L(M) = f(A, B).

$$Q = Q_1 \times Q_2 \times \{1, 2\}$$

$$\Gamma = \{X\}$$

$$\delta(q_0, \epsilon, \$) = \{(q_1, \$)\}$$

$$\delta(q, t, \epsilon) = q \times t \times 1 \to X$$

$$\delta(q, t, X) = q \times t \times 2 \to \epsilon$$

$$q_0 : initial state$$

$$F = F_1 \times F_2$$

$$\forall q \in Q_1, t \in \Sigma^*$$

This will keep track of the length of each input string by placing a symbol on the stack and popping it off as the two sub routines run to their final states.

# Problem 4

To show that  $B \setminus A$  is decidable with A being a CFL and B being a regular language we make a decider D in the following form:

D = "Given input < A, B, w > .

- 1. Convert A to CNF.
- 2. Simulate A on w for 2n-1 steps, n being the amount of rules in A.
- $3. Simulate\ B\ on\ w.$
- 4. Accept if B accepts and A rejects, otherwise reject."

## Problem 5

The language is defined in the following manner:

 $A = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \text{ given a representation of a program } M \text{ accept when } M \text{ crashes} \}$ 

 $A_{TM} \leq_m A$  using the mapping function  $f(< M, w >) \mapsto < M >$ . Assuming that A is RE then  $A_{TM}$  would also be RE which has been proven otherwise creating a contradiction. Meaning A is not RE.

## Problem 6

By definition of  $ALL_{TM}$  any input TM is seen to accept  $\Sigma^*$  meaning that  $ALL_{TM}$  is not decidable because for some input TMs will loop while running. Let A be a TM that runs  $ALL_{TM}$  to prove that it is RE. A is defined in the following manner:

$$A = "On input < M > .$$

$$1.Run \ EQ_{TM} < M, C > with \ C \ defined \ as \ so:$$

$$C = 'Accept \ all \ strings.'$$

$$2.If \ EQ_{TM} \ accepts, \ accept, \ otherwise \ reject."$$

We have proven that  $EQ_{TM}$  is RE then by having a TM for  $ALL_{TM}$  the compliment of  $ALL_{TM}$  is not RE because for the compliment to be RE the TM would have to be a decider which it is not.

#### Problem 7

Assume for contradiction that there a procedure P that on input  $\langle G \rangle$  that will return  $\langle G_{normal} \rangle$  such that if G and G' are CFG's such that L(G) = L(G') then  $G_{normal} = G'_{normal}$  and if  $L(G) \neq L(G')$  then  $G_{normal} \neq G'_{normal}$ . Let  $A = \{a^nb^m|n, m \geq 0\}$ . Let A' = A applying P to A and A' will yelid us with  $G_{normal}$  and  $G'_{normal}$  respectively and to show that the results are not unique:

$$G_{normal} = S \to aSbT|a$$

$$T \to bT|b$$

$$G'_{normal} = S \rightarrow aTbS|b$$

$$T \rightarrow aT|a$$

Both of these CFGs produce A and with no smaller possible CFG we show the contradiction with  $G_{normal} \neq G'_{normal}$  with G = G'.