

# Calculate the CMB power spectrum: Cosmology II

Johan Mylius Kroken<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics (ITA), University of Oslo, Norway

<sup>2</sup> Center for Computing in Science Education (CCSE), University of Oslo, Norway

March 2, 2023    GitHub repo link: <https://github.com/Johanmkr/AST5220/tree/main/project>

## ABSTRACT

SOME ABSTRACT

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>2</b>	<b>Milestone I - Background Cosmology</b>	
2.1	Theory . . . . .	
2.1.1	Fundamentals . . . . .	
2.1.2	Measure of time and space . . . . .	
2.1.3	$\Lambda$ CDM-model . . . . .	
2.1.4	Analytical solutions and sanity checks	
2.2	Methods . . . . .	
2.2.1	Initial equation . . . . .	
2.2.2	ODEs . . . . .	
2.3	Results . . . . .	
2.3.1	Tests . . . . .	
2.3.2	Analysis . . . . .	
<b>3</b>	<b>Milestone II</b>	
3.1	Theory . . . . .	
3.2	Methods . . . . .	
3.3	Results . . . . .	
<b>4</b>	<b>Milestone III</b>	
4.1	Theory . . . . .	
4.2	Methods . . . . .	
4.3	Results . . . . .	
<b>5</b>	<b>Milestone IV</b>	
5.1	Theory . . . . .	
5.2	Methods . . . . .	
5.3	Results . . . . .	
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	
<b>A</b>	<b>Useful derivations</b>	
A.1	Angular diameter distance . . . . .	
A.2	Luminosity distance . . . . .	
A.3	Differential equations . . . . .	
<b>B</b>	<b>Sanity checks</b>	

## Nomenclature

<b>1</b>	<b>Constants of nature</b>	
	$G$ - Gravitational constant.	
	$G = 6.6743 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$ .	
	$k_B$ - Boltzmann constant.	
	$k_B = 1.3806 \times 10^{-23} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$ .	
	$\hbar$ - Reduced Planck constant.	
	$\hbar = 1.0546 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}^{-1}$ .	
	$c$ - Speed of light in vacuum.	
	$c = 2.9979 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .	
<b>4</b>	<b>Cosmological parameters</b>	
	$H$ - Hubble parameter.	
	$H_0$ - Hubble constant <b>fill in stuff</b> .	
	$e^x \mathcal{H}$ - Scaled Hubble parameter.	
	$T_{\text{CMB}0}$ - Temperature of CMB today.	
	$T_{\text{CMB}0} = 2.7255 \text{ K}$ .	
	$\eta$ - Conformal time.	
	$\chi$ - Co-moving distance.	
<b>5</b>	<b>Density parameters</b>	
	Density parameter $\Omega_X = \rho_X / \rho_c$ where $\rho_X$ is the density	
	and $\rho_c = 8\pi G / 3H^2$ the critical density. $X$ can take the	
	following values:	
	$b$ - Baryons.	
	$\Lambda$ CDM - Cold dark matter.	
	$\gamma$ - Electromagnetic radiation.	
	$\nu$ - Neutrinos.	
	$k$ - Spatial curvature.	
	$\Lambda$ - Cosmological constant.	
	A 0 in the subscript indicates the present day value.	
<b>6</b>	<b>1. Introduction</b>	
	Some citation Dodelson & Schmidt (2020) and Weinberg (2008)	
	Also write about the following:	
	– Cosmological principle	



**Fig. 1.** Penguin making sure that you do all the work necessary!

- Einstein field equation
- Homogeneity and isotropy
- FLRW metric

In order to explain the connection between spacetime itself and the energy distribution within it we must solve the Einstein equation:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the Einstein tensor describing the geometry of spacetime,  $G$  is the gravitational constant and  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is the energy and momentum tensor.

## 2. Milestone I - Background Cosmology

Some introduction to milestone 1

### 2.1. Theory

#### 2.1.1. Fundamentals

If we assume the universe to be homogeneous and isotropic, the line elements  $ds$  is given by the FLRW-metric as follows (in polar coordinates) (Weinberg 2008, eq. 1.1.11):

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2x} \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced  $x(t) = \ln(a(t))$ , the logarithm of the scale factor  $a(t)$  **include more (about k)** as our first measure of time.

We further model all forms of energy in the universe as perfect fluids, only characterised by their rest frame density  $\rho$  and isotropic pressure  $p$ , and an equation of state relating the two:

$$\omega = \frac{\rho}{p}. \quad (3)$$

By conservation of energy and momentum we must satisfy  $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , which results in the following differential equations for the density **include more here?** of each fluid  $\rho_i$ :

$$\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} + 3H\rho_i(1 + \omega_i) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where we have introduced the Hubble parameter  $H \equiv \dot{a}/a = dx/dt$ . The solution to eq. 4 is of the form:

$$\rho_i \propto e^{-3(1+\omega_i)x}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega_M = 0$  (matter),  $\omega_{\text{rad}} = 1/3$  (radiation),  $\omega_\Lambda = -1$  (cosmological constant) and  $\omega_k = -1/3$  (curvature).

With these assumptions, the solution to the Einstein equations (eq. 1) are the Friedmann equations, the first of which describes the expansion rate of the universe:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_i \rho_i - kc^2 e^{-2x} \quad (6)$$

and the second describe how this expansion rate changes over time:

$$\frac{dH}{dt} + H^2 = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \sum_i \left( \rho + \frac{3p}{c^2} \right). \quad (7)$$

As of now, we are primarily interested in the first Friedmann equation. By introducing the critical density,  $\rho_c \equiv 2H^2/(8\pi G)$ , we define the density parameters  $\Omega_i \equiv \rho_i/\rho_c$ . We further define the density of the curvature  $\rho_k \equiv -3kc^2 e^{-2x}/(8\pi G)$ , which enables us to write eq. 6 as simply:

$$1 = \sum_i \Omega_i, \quad (8)$$

where the curvature density  $\Omega_k$  is included in the sum. From Eq. (5) we know the evolution of the densities in time, and if we assume the density values today,  $\Omega_{i0}$ , are known (or are free parameters), then eq. 6 may also be written as:

$$H = H_0 \sqrt{\sum_i \Omega_{i0} e^{-3(1+\omega_i)x}}, \quad (9)$$

which is the Hubble equation we will use further. **FIXME: references - use cref**

#### 2.1.2. Measure of time and space

The main measure of time is usually the scale factor  $a$ , or its logarithm  $x$ . We then have the *cosmic time*  $t$  defined as:

$$t = \int_0^a \frac{da}{aH} = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{dx}{H}. \quad (10)$$

Another temporal measure is the *conformal time*  $\eta$  defined as  $cdt = e^x d\eta$  yielding:

$$\eta = \int_0^a \frac{cda}{a^2 H} = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{cdx}{e^x H} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{cdx}{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathcal{H} = e^x H$  is defined as the *conformal Hubble parameter*. We may also choose to measure time in terms of the *redshift*  $z$ , where  $1 + z = 1/a = e^{-x}$ .

The comoving distance is defined as follows:

$$\chi = \int_1^a \frac{cda}{a^2 H} = \int_0^x \frac{cdx}{\mathcal{H}} = \eta_0 - \eta \quad (12)$$

The radial distance is given in terms of the comoving distance and the curvature density today  $\Omega_{k0}$  as:

$$r = \begin{cases} \chi \cdot \frac{\sin(\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|} H_0 \chi / c)}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|} H_0 \chi / c} & \Omega_{k0} < 0 \\ \chi & \Omega_{k0} = 0 \\ \chi \cdot \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|} H_0 \chi / c)}{\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|} H_0 \chi / c} & \Omega_{k0} > 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

It is then straightforward to define the angular diameter distance:

$$d_A = e^x r, \quad (14)$$

and the luminosity distance:

$$d_L = e^{-x} r. \quad (15)$$

**TODO: derive the above?**

We also have the following useful relations:

$$\frac{d\eta}{dx} = \frac{c}{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{1}{H}. \quad (17)$$

**TODO: explain further above**

### 2.1.3. $\Lambda$ CDM-model

In the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, the universe consists of matter in terms of baryonic matter ( $b$ ) and cold dark matter (CDM), radiation in terms of photons ( $\gamma$ ) and neutrinos ( $\nu$ ) and dark energy in terms of a cosmological constant ( $\Lambda$ ). In addition, we must allow for some curvature ( $k$ ). As a result, the parameters of the model will be the present values of the Hubble rate,  $H_0$ , the baryon density  $\Omega_{b0}$ , the cold dark matter density  $\Omega_{\text{CDM}0}$ , photon density  $\Omega_{\gamma 0}$ , neutrino density  $\Omega_{\nu 0}$ , dark energy density  $\Omega_{\Lambda 0}$ , and the curvature density  $\Omega_{k0}$ . The present temperature of the cosmic microwave background radiation  $T_{\text{CMB}0}$  fixes the radiation density today through:

$$\Omega_{\gamma 0} = \frac{16\pi^3 G}{90} \cdot \frac{(k_b T_{\text{CMB}0})^4}{\hbar^3 c^5 H_0^2},$$

$$\Omega_{\nu 0} = N_{\text{eff}} \cdot \frac{7}{8} \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{4/3} \cdot \Omega_{\gamma 0}. \quad (18)$$

The total radiation density is  $\Omega_{\text{rad}} = \Omega_{\gamma} + \Omega_{\nu}$  and the total matter density is  $\Omega_{\text{M}} = \Omega_b + \Omega_{\text{CDM}}$ . We are thus left with three fixed parameters.

The Hubble equation from Eq. (9) may be redefined in terms of the conformal Hubble parameter  $\mathcal{H}$  as:

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0 \sqrt{U}$$

$$U \equiv \sum_i \Omega_{i0} e^{-\alpha_i x}, \quad (19)$$

where we have defined  $\alpha_i \equiv (1+3\omega_i)$  and  $i \in \{\text{M, rad, } \Lambda, k\}$ . Since we know the values of the various  $\omega_i$  it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{M}} &= 1 \\ \alpha_{\text{rad}} &= 2 \\ \alpha_k &= 0 \\ \alpha_{\Lambda} &= -2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

### 2.1.4. Analytical solutions and sanity checks

There are several ways we may check that both our workings and numerical implementations are indeed correct. The simplest way is to always ensure that the sum of all density parameters add up to 1, for all times:  $\sum_i \Omega_i = 1$ .

If we only consider the most dominant density parameter, that is  $\Omega_i \gg \sum_{j \neq i} \Omega_j$ , we end up with the following analytical expressions for different temporal regimes:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dx} \approx -\frac{\alpha_i}{2} = \begin{cases} -1 & \alpha_{\text{rad}} = 2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \alpha_{\text{M}} = 1 \\ 1 & \alpha_{\Lambda} = -2 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{H}}{dx^2} \approx \frac{\alpha_i^2}{4} = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha_{\text{rad}} = 2 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \alpha_{\text{M}} = 1 \\ 1 & \alpha_{\Lambda} = -2 \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\eta \mathcal{H}}{c} = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha_{\text{rad}} = 2 \\ 2 & \alpha_{\text{M}} = 1 \\ \infty & \alpha_{\Lambda} = -2 \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

These equations will be useful when making sure that the implementations are correct. For a thorough derivation, see Appendix B.

**TODO: find place for the below:**

$$\chi^2(h, \Omega_{m0}, \Omega_{k0}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(d_L(z, \Omega_{m0}, \Omega_{k0}) - d_L^{\text{obs}}(z_i))^2}{\sigma_i^2} \quad (24)$$

## 2.2. Methods







### 2.2.1. Initial equation

We have to consider the time evolution of the density parameters, given some present value, as function of our chosen time parameter, here  $x$ . We have the following equation:

$$\Omega_n = e^{-\alpha_n x} \Omega_{n0} \mathcal{H}_{\text{rat}}^2 \quad (25)$$

where we have defined the ratio  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rat}} \equiv H_0/\mathcal{H}$ , and the new index  $n$  are all the densities:  $n \in \{b, \text{CDM}, \gamma, \nu, \Lambda, k\}$ .

We also implement functions to solve for the luminosity distance (Eq. (15)), angular distance (Eq. (14)), and the conformal distance (Eq. (12)).


**Fig. 2.** Omega tests

**Fig. 5.** Conformal Hubble factor.

**Fig. 3.** Eta tests

**Fig. 6.** cosmic time.

**Fig. 4.** HP tests

**Fig. 7.** Supernova data fitted

## 2.2.2. ODEs

We solve the differential equation for  $\eta(x)$ , eq. ?? using an ordinary differential equation solver, with Runge-Kutta 4 RK4? as the advancement method. The initial condition is given by  $\eta(x_{\text{start}}) = c/\mathcal{H}(x_{\text{start}})$

## 2.3. Results


### 2.3.1. Tests


### 2.3.2. Analysis

## 3. Milestone II

Some introduction to milestone 2

Article number, page 4 of 6


**Fig. 8.** one sigma confidence plot



**Fig. 9.** posterior pdf.

### 3.1. Theory

Some theory

### 3.2. Methods

some methods

### 3.3. Results

## 4. Milestone III

Some introduction to milestone 3

### 4.1. Theory

Some theory

### 4.2. Methods

some methods

### 4.3. Results

## 5. Milestone IV

Some introduction to milestone 4

### 5.1. Theory

Some theory

### 5.2. Methods

some methods

### 5.3. Results

## 6. Conclusion

Some overall conclusion

## References

- Dodelson, S. & Schmidt, F. 2020, Modern Cosmology (Elsevier Science)  
 Weinberg, S. 2008, Cosmology, Cosmology (OUP Oxford)

## Appendix A: Useful derivations

### A.1. Angular diameter distance

This is related to the physical distance of say, an object, whose extent is small compared to the distance at which we observe it. If the extension of the object is  $\Delta s$ , and we measure an angular size of  $\Delta\theta$ , then the angular distance to the object is:

$$d_A = \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta\theta} = \frac{ds}{d\theta} = \sqrt{e^{2x} r^2} = e^x r, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where we inserted for the line element  $ds$  as given in equation Eq. (2), and used the fact that  $dt/d\theta = dr/d\theta = d\phi/d\theta = 0$  in polar coordinates.

### A.2. Luminosity distance

If the intrinsic luminosity,  $L$  of an object is known, we can calculate the flux as:  $F = L/(4\pi d_L^2)$ , where  $d_L$  is the luminosity distance. It is a measure of how much the light has dimmed when travelling from the source to the observer. For further analysis we observe that the luminosity of objects moving away from us is changing by a factor  $a^{-4}$  due to the energy loss of electromagnetic radiation, and the observed flux is changed by a factor  $1/(4\pi d_A^2)$ . From this we draw the conclusion that the luminosity distance may be written as:

$$d_L = \sqrt{\frac{L}{4\pi F}} = \sqrt{\frac{d_A^2}{a^4}} = e^{-x} r \quad (\text{A.2})$$

### A.3. Differential equations

From the definition of  $e^x d\eta = c dt$  we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta}{dt} &= \frac{d\eta}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{d\eta}{dx} H = e^{-x} c \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d\eta}{dx} &= \frac{c}{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Likewise, for  $t$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta}{dt} &= \frac{d\eta}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{c}{H} = e^{-x} c \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dt}{dx} &= \frac{e^x}{H} = \frac{1}{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

## Appendix B: Sanity checks

We start with the Hubble equation from Eq. (19) and realize that we may write any derivative of  $U$  as

$$\frac{d^n U}{dx^n} = \sum_i (-\alpha_i)^n \Omega_{i0} e^{-\alpha_i x}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

We further have:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dx} = \frac{H_0}{2} U^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dU}{dx}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{H}}{dx^2} &= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dx} \\ &= \frac{H_0}{2} \left[ \frac{dU}{dx} \left( \frac{d}{dx} U^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + U^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \frac{dU}{dx} \right) \right] \\ &= H_0 \left[ \frac{1}{2U^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{d^2 U}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{4U^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left( \frac{dU}{dx} \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

TODO: include derivations of sanity checks