

Mid term

Criterion	%	0 marks	1 mark	2 marks	3 marks	4 marks	5 marks	6 marks
Is the project sufficiently complex to meet the criteria of the assignment?	20	No changes have been planned to the template.	Superficial changes specified. Not enough to demonstrate the range of skills learnt in the module.	Some non-trivial changes specified, sufficient to score adequately on the final project but may not utilise the full range of programming techniques.	Excellent range of extensions and modifications specified. Changes are reflective of the final mark scheme.	Very complex features. Maybe more advanced than the required standard. See marker notes below.		
Have you made satisfactory progress on the project so far?	30	No changes have been made to the template.	Some simple changes have been made. Progress is slow and will need to be speeded up to achieve a satisfactory project.	Adequate progress. Although this pace will need to be raised to complete a very good project.	Good changes so far. On or close to target for completing the planned changes and extensions.	Very good progress. Plan to completed within time and to a high standard.	Excellent progress. By maintaining this pace the project will be completed on time with head room for testing and evaluation.	Outstanding level of progress. This project would score well in its current state if submitted to the final submission.
Have you provided a detailed plan of how you are going to complete your project	20	No plan has been submitted.	A set of tasks has been submitted probably in the form of a list. No associated timings or consideration of the work required to achieve goals.	A Gantt chart or similar has been provided. Task difficulty may not have been as well considered as required for this plan to be effective.	A careful plan in the form of a Gantt chart or similar. Along with discussion on how the plan is to be achieved in the time remaining.	A rigorous and thoughtful plan, that has considered the complexity of the tasks to undertake and how they will be achieved.		
Does the design work you have produced (notes, interface designs, code design drawings) effectively detail the development process you are undertaking?	15	No design work or evidence of feature planning has been submitted.	Superficial ideas. Possibly presented as a list. No evidence of iteration or refinement.	Good detailed ideas with consideration of technical implementation. Designs have been iterated and considered.	Excellent design work that shows detailed thought and development. Technical challenge is considered and choices are thoughtful.			
Has your report been well enough written and accurately communicates your work at the required level of detail this includes spelling and grammar.	15	Report is lacking in quantity. Very poor spelling and grammar. One or more report sections is over word limit.	Writing is mostly difficult to interpret, however, some insight into the students plan and progress are relayed.	Mistakes make understanding the report difficult in places. Word choice is poor or incorrect.	A very well written report. It is easy to read and understand the students achievements.			