

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JE: Conception, manuscript preparation, simulation, artwork and revision. TWLS: Conception, manuscript preparation and revision. JMB: Manuscript preparation and revision. STV: Conception, manuscript preparation, simulation, artwork and revision.

## ORCID

Johannes Enevoldsen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9190-6566
Thomas W. L. Scheeren https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9184-4190
Jonas M. Berg https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9056-7470
Simon T. Vistisen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1297-1459

## REFERENCES

- Muller L, Toumi M, Bousquet P-J, et al. An increase in aortic blood flow after an infusion of 100 ml colloid over 1 minute can predict fluid responsiveness: the mini-fluid challenge study. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;115:541-547.
- 2. Vincent JL. "Let's Give Some Fluid and See What Happens" versus the "Mini-fluid Challenge". *Anesthesiology*. 2011;115 455-456.
- Cecconi M, Hofer C, Teboul JL, et al. Fluid challenges in intensive care: the FENICE study: a global inception cohort study. *Intensive* Care Med. 2015;41:1529-1537.
- Smorenberg A, Cherpanath TGV, Geerts BF, et al. A mini-fluid challenge of 150mL predicts fluid responsiveness using Modelflow (R) pulse contour cardiac output directly after cardiac surgery. J Clin Anesth. 2018:46:17-22.
- Guinot P-G, Bernard E, Defrancq F, et al. Mini-fluid challenge predicts fluid responsiveness during spontaneous breathing under spinal anaesthesia: an observational study. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2015;32:645-649.
- Biais M, de Courson H, Lanchon R, et al. Mini-fluid challenge of 100 ml of crystalloid predicts fluid responsiveness in the operating room. Anesthesiology. 2017;127:450-456.
- Xiao-ting W, Hua Z, Da-wei L, et al. Changes in end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> could predict fluid responsiveness in the passive leg raising test but not in the mini-fluid challenge test: a prospective and observational study. J Crit Care. 2015;30:1061-1066.
- Mallat J, Meddour M, Durville E, et al. Decrease in pulse pressure and stroke volume variations after mini-fluid challenge accurately predicts fluid responsiveness. Br J Anaesth. 2015;115:449-456.
- Wu Y, Zhou S, Zhou Z, Liu B. A 10-second fluid challenge guided by transthoracic echocardiography can predict fluid responsiveness. *Crit Care*. 2014;18:R108.
- Messina A, Dell'Anna A, Baggiani M, et al. Functional hemodynamic tests: a systematic review and a metanalysis on the reliability of the end-expiratory occlusion test and of the mini-fluid challenge in predicting fluid responsiveness. Crit Care. 2019;23:264.
- Ali A, Dorman Y, Abdullah T, et al. Ability of mini-fluid challenge to predict fluid responsiveness in obese patients undergoing surgery in the prone position. *Minerva Anestesiol*. 2019;85:981-988.
- Mukhtar A, Awad M, Elayashy M, et al. Validity of mini-fluid challenge for predicting fluid responsiveness following liver transplantation. BMC Anesthesiol. 2019;19:56.
- Lee C-T, Lee T-S, Chiu C-T, Teng H-C, Cheng H-L, Wu C-Y. Minifluid challenge test predicts stroke volume and arterial pressure fluid responsiveness during spine surgery in prone position: A STARD-compliant diagnostic accuracy study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020;99:e19031.
- Fot EV, Izotova NN, Smetkin AA, Kuzkov VV, Kirov MY. Dynamic tests to predict fluid responsiveness after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2020;34:926-931.
- Messina A, Lionetti G, Foti L, et al. Mini fluid chAllenge aNd Endexpiratory occlusion test to assess flUid responsiVEness in the

- opeRating room (MANEUVER study): a multicentre cohort study. Eur J Anaesthesiol EJA. 2021;38:422-431.
- Vistisen ST, Scheeren TWL. Challenge of the mini-fluid challenge: filling twice without creating a self-fulfilling prophecy design. Anesthesiology. 2018;128:1043-1044.
- 17. Squara P, Scheeren TWL, Aya HD, et al. Metrology part 1: definition of quality criteria. *J Clin Monit Comput*. 2021;35:17-25.
- Squara P, Scheeren TWL, Aya HD, et al. Metrology part 2: procedures for the validation of major measurement quality criteria and measuring instrument properties. J Clin Monit Comput. 2021;35:27-37.
- 19. Fuguitt GV, Lieberson S. Correlation of ratios or difference scores having common terms. *Sociol Methodol*. 1973;5:128.
- Archie J. Mathematic coupling of data: a common source of error. Ann Surg. 1981;193:296-303.
- Stratton HH, Feustel PJ, Newell JC. Regression of calculated variables in the presence of shared measurement error. J Appl Physiol. 1987:62:2083-2093.
- 22. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. 2021 Available from: https://www.r-project.org/
- 23. Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, et al. pROC: an open-source package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2011;12:77.
- 24. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al. Welcome to the Tidyverse. J Open Source Softw. 2019;4:1686.
- Jozwiak M, Mercado P, Teboul J-L, et al. What is the lowest change in cardiac output that transthoracic echocardiography can detect? Crit Care. 2019;23:116.
- Haller M, Zollner C, Briegel J, Forst H. Evaluation of a new continuous thermodilution cardiac output monitor in critically ill patients: a prospective criterion standard study. *Crit Care Med.* 1995;23:860-866.
- Bootsma IT, Boerma EC, Scheeren TWL, de Lange F. The contemporary pulmonary artery catheter. Part 2: measurements, limitations, and clinical applications. J Clin Monit Comput. 2021;1-15.
- Prather J, Taylor A, Guyton A. Effect of blood volume, mean circulatory pressure, and stress relaxation on cardiac output. Am J Physiol-Leg Content. 1969;216:467-472.
- Aya HD, Ster IC, Fletcher N, Grounds RM, Rhodes A, Cecconi M. Pharmacodynamic analysis of a fluid challenge. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44:880-891.
- 30. Hahn RG, Lyons G. The half-life of infusion fluids: an educational review. Eur J Anaesthesiol EJA. 2016;33:475-482.
- 31. Hahn RG. Understanding volume kinetics. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2020;64:570-578.
- Dawson NV, Weiss R. Dichotomizing continuous variables in statistical analysis: a practice to avoid. Med Decis Making. 2012;32:225-226.
- Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. BMJ. 2006;332:1080.
- 34. Fedorov V, Mannino F, Zhang R. Consequences of dichotomization. *Pharm Stat.* 2009;8:50-61.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Enevoldsen J, Scheeren TWL, Berg JM, Vistisen ST. Existing fluid responsiveness studies using the mini-fluid challenge may be misleading: Methodological considerations and simulations. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2021;00:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.13965