

- mono tool. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol*. 2013;29:423–4. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9185.117096>.
13. Michard F. Changes in arterial pressure during mechanical ventilation. *Anesthesiology*. 2005;103:419–28. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200508000-00026>.
 14. Aboy M, McNamers J, Thong T, Phillips CR, Ellenby MS, Goldstein B. A novel algorithm to estimate the pulse pressure variation index/spl Delta/PP. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. 2004;51:2198–203. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2004.834295>.
 15. Cannesson M, Sliker J, Desebbe O, Bauer C, Chiari P, Hénaine R, et al. The ability of a novel algorithm for automatic estimation of the respiratory variations in arterial pulse pressure to monitor fluid responsiveness in the operating room. *Anesth Analg*. 2008;106:1195–200. <https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ane.0000297291.01615.5c>.
 16. Vistisen ST, Struijk JJ, Larsson A. Automated pre-ejection period variation indexed to tidal volume predicts fluid responsiveness after cardiac surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2009;53:534–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2008.01893.x>.
 17. De Backer D, Ph D, Taccone FS, Holsten R, Ibrahim F, Vincent J, et al. Influence of respiratory rate on stroke volume variation in mechanically ventilated patients. *Anesthesiology*. 2009;110:1092–7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e31819db2a1>.
 18. Mackenzie J. The interpretation of the pulsations in the jugular veins. *Am J Med Sci*. 1907;134:12–34. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000441-190707000-00002>.
 19. Constant J. The X prime descent in jugular contour nomenclature and recognition. *Am Heart J*. 1974;88:372–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-8703\(74\)90474-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-8703(74)90474-8).
 20. Simpson GL. Modelling palaeoecological time series using generalised additive models. *Front Ecol Evol*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00149>.
 21. van Rij J, Hendriks P, van Rijn H, Baayen RH, Wood SN. Analyzing the time course of pupillometric data. *Trends Hear*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2331216519832483>.
 22. Fasiolo M, Wood SN, Zaffran M, Nedellec R, Goude Y. Fast calibrated additive quantile regression. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2020.1725521>.
 23. Raut MS, Maheshwari A. “x” descent of CVP: an indirect measure of RV dysfunction ? *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;30:430–1. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9185.137289>.
 24. Magder S, Erice F, Lagonidis D. Determinants of the Y descent and its usefulness as a predictor of ventricular filling. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2000;15:262–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/088506660001500505>.
 25. de Keijzer IN, Scheeren TWL. Perioperative hemodynamic monitoring: an overview of current methods. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2021;39:441–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2021.03.007>.
 26. Meijls LPB, van Houte J, Conjaerts BCM, Bindels AJGH, Bouwman A, Houterman S, et al. Clinical validation of a computerized algorithm to determine mean systemic filling pressure. *J Clin Monit Comput*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-020-00636-2>.
 27. Vistisen ST, Krog MB, Elkmann T, Vallentin MF, Scheeren TWL, Sølling C. Extrasystoles for fluid responsiveness prediction in critically ill patients. *J Intensive Care*. 2018;6:52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-018-0324-6>.
 28. Vistisen ST. Using extra systoles to predict fluid responsiveness in cardiothoracic critical care patients. *J Clin Monit Comput*. 2017;31:693–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-016-9907-8>.
 29. Wood SN. Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. London: Chapman and Hall; 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315370279>.
 30. Pedersen EJ, Miller DL, Simpson GL, Ross N. Hierarchical generalized additive models in ecology: an introduction with mgcv. *PeerJ*. 2019;7:e6876. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6876>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.