Human Capital Investments and Expectations about Career and Family

by Matthew Wiswall and Basit Zafar

Sebastian Valet, Johannes Walter

ZEW Summercourse Revealed Preferences

July 7, 2020

Summary I

Research questions and design

- What do students believe about the consequences of their educational choices?
- How do students sort into majors?
- Novel: what role do family variables play in such choices?
- Survey with undergraduate students at NYU on perceptions about consequences of educational choices
- Specifically: choice of a major
- Follow-up survey after six years

Summary II

Results

- Students believe in the importance of consequences for own earnings and family life
- Particularly for females, major choice corresponds to different rates and timing of marriage and fertility
- Belief about marriage market "return" to higher earning majors
- Ex-ante beliefs are systematically related to educational choices and ex-post realized outcomes

Model I

Human capital investment under uncertainty

• Expected utility for human capital choice at time τ :

$$E_{i,\tau}(V_k) = \sum_{t=\tau+1}^T \beta^{t-\tau} \int u_t(X) \ dG_{i,\tau}(X|k,t)$$

- with discount rate β and outcome X for all subsequent periods given a human capital investment k
- $G_{i,\tau}(X|k,t)$ is the belief distribution given human capital investments k

Model II

Belief distribution $G_{i,\tau}(X|k,t)$

- Survey design elicits beliefs $G_{i,\tau}(X|k,t)$ about the choice of a major
- Belief distrubtions have four characteristics:
 - reflect individual uncertainty
 - are heterogenous
 - can be incorrect
 - can evolve over time due to learning
- Natural limitation: elicitation of degree of uncertainty

Model III

Different effects of human capital choices

Ex-ante individual differences in beliefs

$$\Delta_{G,i}(k,k') = G_{i,\tau}(X|k,t) - G_{i,\tau}(X|k',t)$$

Ex-post individual differences in potential outcomes

$$\Delta_{F,i}(k,k') = F_i(X|k,t) - F_i(X|k',t)$$

Ex-post individual differences realized outcomes

$$\Delta_H(k,k') = H(X|k,t) - H(X|k',t)$$

with
$$H(X|k,t) = \frac{1}{M_k} \sum_{t \in \Omega_k} F_i(k=k^*,t)$$

Data

- Survey among NYU undergraduate students in 2010
- Beliefs about earnings, earnings growth, earnings uncertainty, marriage, spousal earnings, fertility and labor supply
- Questions conditioned on ages 23, 30 and 45
- Sample consists of 493 individuals
- Main sources of variation in the data: gender, major choice, age
- Follow-up survey 6 years later

Current Population Characteristics

- Earnings, employment, and marriage data for the US population using the 2009 ACS data
- Not suited for causal inference; needs not reflect the student's beliefs
- Data from older cohort; includes not only high-ability participants
- But data is suited to document that career and family outcomes differ by educational choices in observational data

Earnings Beliefs

Earnings Levels

- Male students believe to earn more than female students at each age
- Perceived gender gap is largest in science/business and at later stages
- Higher expected earnings for a science/business degree (\$54.000) than for humanities degree (\$40.000) at age 23
- Are these beliefs accurate and reasonable? Expectations and realizations are positively correlated
- Expected earnings are much higher than what ACS data suggests

Earnings Beliefs

Earnings Returns, Growth, Uncertanties

- Both female and male students perceive an approximately 30% higher return to completing a degree in science/busniess relative to humanities and an approximately 60% higher return to graduating relative to no degree
- Expected returns grow over time, with higher expected returns for male students at the later ages
- There is considerable variation in the expected returns
- Students believe to see larger earning growth in the early parts of their careers
- Earings growth is believed to be higher for science/business relativee to humanities
- Uncertainty in earnings is much higher in the no degree scenario



Beliefs about Marriage and Spousal Characteristics

- Recent theory predicts that investment in education generates returns in the marriage market
- Probabilities:
 - Women believe they are slightly more likely to be married at younger ages, but no difference at age 45
 - All students believe they are less likely to be married without a degree
- Potential spouse's earnings
 - Men expect lower, women expect higher earnings for their potential spouse
 - Students believe graduating in science or business relative to humanities or no degree will result in a higher earning spouse
 - There is evidence for assortative mating by education

Beliefs about Fertility

- Conditioned on ages 30 and 45
- Men and women believe that completing a science or business degree rather than a degree in the humanities would reduce their expected number of children at age 30
- In contrast, completing a degree relative to no degree doubles expected number of children
- Students believe major choice has a larger effect on the timing of fertility rather than on the level

Beliefs about Future Labor Supply

- Students believe their human capital choice will substantially affect their future employment
- Belief about working full-time is higher for males and higher for science/business degree relative to a degree in humanities
- Students' beliefs about their age 30 labor supply conditional on future expected marital status
- Male students beliefs about future labor supply vary little by marital status, female students believe to work less when married

- Until now: evidence that students believe in the importance of educational choices for career and family outcomes
- Natural next question: Does this translate to intended and actual educational decisions?
- Intended major and actual major are now the outcome variables in the analysis with career and family variables as explanatory variables

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | | Intende | d Major | | Actual | Major |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| | 0 | OLS | | LAD | | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel A: Females | | | | | | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.146*** | 0.099** | 0.230*** | 0.183** | 0.084*** | 0.037 |
| 8 (1 / / | (0.047) | (0.048) | (0.065) | (0.078) | (0.019) | (0.026) |
| Ability Rank | 0.029*** | 0.029*** | 0.035*** | 0.039*** | 0.021*** | 0.022*** |
| ř | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | -0.251 | | -0.171 | | 1.444** |
| | | (0.706) | | (0.713) | | (0.690) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.087*** | | 0.083 | | 0.110*** |
| | | (0.028) | | (0.059) | | (0.036) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.306* | | 0.603*** | | 0.575*** |
| | | (0.188) | | (0.202) | | (0.143) |
| Constant | -1.473*** | -1.266*** | -1.445*** | -0.878*** | | |
| | (0.206) | (0.250) | (0.188) | (0.262) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.0124 | | 0.0088 | | 0.000 |
| Number of Individuals | 317 | 317 | 317 | 317 | 185 | 185 |
| Observations | 634 | 634 | 634 | 634 | 555 | 555 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.192 | 0.219 | 0.1323 | 0.1484 | 0.157 | 0.2399 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major.

Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| <u> </u> | | Intende | d Major | | Actua | Major |
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| | 0 | OLS | | LAD | | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel A: Females | $\overline{}$ | | | | | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.146*** | 0.099** | 0.230*** | 0.183** | 0.084*** | 0.037 |
| | (0.047) | (0.048) | (0.065) | (0.078) | (0.019) | (0.026) |
| Ability Rank | 0.029*** | 0.029*** | 0.035*** | 0.039*** | 0.021*** | 0.022*** |
| ř | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | -0.251 | | -0.171 | | 1.444** |
| | | (0.706) | | (0.713) | | (0.690) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.087*** | | 0.083 | | 0.110*** |
| | | (0.028) | | (0.059) | | (0.036) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.306* | | 0.603*** | | 0.575*** |
| | | (0.188) | | (0.202) | | (0.143) |
| Constant | -1.473*** | -1.266*** | -1.445*** | -0.878*** | | |
| | (0.206) | (0.250) | (0.188) | (0.262) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.0124 | | 0.0088 | | 0.000 |
| Number of Individuals | 317 | 317 | 317 | 317 | 185 | 185 |
| Observations | 634 | 634 | 634 | 634 | 555 | 555 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.192 | 0.219 | 0.1323 | 0.1484 | 0.157 | 0.2399 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major.

Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | | Intende | d Major | | Actual | Major |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| | 0 | LS | L | AD | Multinon | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel A: Females | | | | | | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.146*** | 0.099** | 0.230*** | 0.183** | 0.084*** | 0.037 |
| | (0.047) | (0.048) | (0.065) | (0.078) | (0.019) | (0.026) |
| Ability Rank | 0.029*** | 0.029*** | 0.035*** | 0.039*** | 0.021*** | 0.022*** |
| • | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | -0.251 | | -0.171 | | 1.444** |
| | | (0.706) | | (0.713) | | (0.690) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.087*** | | 0.083 | | 0.110*** |
| | | (0.028) | | (0.059) | | (0.036) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.306* | | 0.603*** | | 0.575*** |
| | | (0.188) | | (0.202) | | (0.143) |
| Constant | -1.473*** | -1.266*** | -1.445*** | -0.878*** | | |
| | (0.206) | (0.250) | (0.188) | (0.262) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.0124 | | 0.0088 | | 0.000 |
| Number of Individuals | 317 | 317 | 317 | 317 | 185 | 185 |
| Observations | 634 | 634 | 634 | 634 | 555 | 555 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.192 | 0.219 | 0.1323 | 0.1484 | 0.157 | 0.2399 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major.

Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | - | Intende | d Major | | | Major |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| | O | LS | LA | AD | Multinor | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel A: Females | | | | | | $\overline{}$ |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.146*** | 0.099** | 0.230*** | 0.183** | 0.084*** | 0.037 |
| | (0.047) | (0.048) | (0.065) | (0.078) | (0.019) | (0.026) |
| Ability Rank | 0.029*** | 0.029*** | 0.035*** | 0.039*** | 0.021*** | 0.022*** |
| · | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.004) | (0.004) | (0.004) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | -0.251 | | -0.171 | | 1.444** |
| 0,0 | | (0.706) | | (0.713) | | (0.690) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.087*** | | 0.083 | | 0.110*** |
| | | (0.028) | | (0.059) | | (0.036) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.306* | | 0.603*** | | 0.575*** |
| 1 | | (0.188) | | (0.202) | l | (0.143) |
| Constant | -1.473*** | -1.266*** | -1.445*** | -0.878*** | | |
| | (0.206) | (0.250) | (0.188) | (0.262) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.0124 | | 0.0088 | | 0.000 |
| Number of Individuals | 317 | 317 | 317 | 317 | 185 | 185 |
| Observations | 634 | 634 | 634 | 634 | 555 | 555 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.192 | 0.219 | 0.1323 | 0.1484 | 0.157 | 0.2399 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major.

Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | - | Intende | d Major | | Actual | Major |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | 0 | LS | LA | AD | Multinon | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel B: Males | | | | | | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.095*** | 0.093*** | 0.105** | 0.102** | 0.407*** | 0.410*** |
| | (0.026) | (0.026) | (0.047) | (0.051) | (0.074) | (0.080) |
| Ability Rank | 0.024*** | 0.025*** | 0.018*** | 0.018*** | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| • | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.007) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | 0.704 | | 1.513 | | 0.569 |
| | | (1.049) | | (1.517) | | (1.383) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.029 | | 0.018 | | -0.010 |
| | | (0.029) | | (0.081) | | (0.046) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.202 | | 0.242 | | 0.211 |
| | | (0.234) | | (0.225) | | (0.234) |
| Constant | -0.423* | -0.243 | -0.178 | -0.018 | | |
| | (0.235) | (0.290) | (0.138) | (0.223) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.5248 | | 0.6978 | | 0.8005 |
| Number of Individuals | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 88 | 88 |
| Observations | 352 | 352 | 352 | 352 | 264 | 264 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.159 | 0.167 | 0.0744 | 0.0803 | 0.39 | 0.3953 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major. Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent variable is the actual major at graduation.

Robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. * p<0.05, * p<0.1. * p<0.05, * p<0.1. of children are jointly zero.

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | | Intende | Actual | Major | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | OLS | | LAD | | Multinon | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel B: Males | | | | | | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.095*** | 0.093*** | 0.105** | 0.102** | 0.407*** | 0.410*** |
| | (0.026) | (0.026) | (0.047) | (0.051) | (0.074) | (0.080) |
| Ability Rank | 0.024*** | 0.025*** | 0.018*** | 0.018*** | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.007) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | 0.704 | | 1.515 | | 0.569 |
| 8 7 8 | | (1.049) | | (1.517) | | (1.383) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.029 | | 0.018 | | -0.010 |
| -1 | | (0.029) | | (0.081) | | (0.046) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.202 | | 0.242 | | 0.211 |
| and them of simulation of co | | (0.234) | | (0.225) | | (0.234) |
| Constant | -0.423* | -0.243 | -0.178 | -0.018 | | (|
| | (0.235) | (0.290) | (0.138) | (0.223) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.5248 | | 0.6978 | | 0.8005 |
| Number of Individuals | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 88 | 88 |
| Observations | 352 | 352 | 352 | 352 | 264 | 264 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.159 | 0.167 | 0.0744 | 0.0803 | 0.39 | 0.3953 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major. Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent variable is the actual major at graduation.

Robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. * p<0.05, * p<0.1. * p<0.05, * p<0.1. of children are jointly zero.

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | | Intende | d Major | | Actual | Major |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | 0. | LS | | AD | Multinon | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel B: Males | | | | | | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.095*** | 0.093*** | 0.105** | 0.102** | 0.407*** | 0.410*** |
| | (0.026) | (0.026) | (0.047) | (0.051) | (0.074) | (0.080) |
| Ability Rank | 0.024*** | 0.025*** | 0.018*** | 0.018*** | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| • | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.007) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | 0.704 | | 1.513 | | 0.569 |
| | | (1.049) | | (1.517) | | (1.383) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.029 | | 0.018 | | -0.010 |
| | | (0.029) | | (0.081) | | (0.046) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.202 | | 0.242 | | 0.211 |
| | | (0.234) | | (0.225) | | (0.234) |
| Constant | -0.423* | -0.243 | -0.178 | -0.018 | | |
| | (0.235) | (0.290) | (0.138) | (0.223) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.5248 | | 0.6978 | | 0.8005 |
| Number of Individuals | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 88 | 88 |
| Observations | 352 | 352 | 352 | 352 | 264 | 264 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.159 | 0.167 | 0.0744 | 0.0803 | 0.39 | 0.3953 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major.

Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent variable is the actual major at graduation.

Robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. * p<0.05, * p<0.1. * p<0.05, * p<0.1. of children are jointly zero.

Table 14: (Intended and Actual) Major Choice and Expectations about Career and Family

| | | Intende | Actual | Actual Major | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|------------|
| | OLS | | LAD | | Multinon | nial Logit |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Panel B: Males | | | | | _ | |
| Age 30 Earnings (\$10,000s) | 0.095*** | 0.093*** | 0.105** | 0.102** | 0.407*** | 0.410*** |
| | (0.026) | (0.026) | (0.047) | (0.051) | (0.074) | (0.080) |
| Ability Rank | 0.024*** | 0.025*** | 0.018*** | 0.018*** | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| ř | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.007) |
| Prob Marriage by Age 30 | | 0.704 | | 1.513 | | 0.569 |
| 0,0 | | (1.049) | | (1.517) | | (1.383) |
| Spousal Earnings (\$10,000s) | | 0.029 | | 0.018 | | -0.010 |
| | | (0.029) | | (0.081) | | (0.046) |
| Exp num of children by 30 | | 0.202 | | 0.242 | | 0.211 |
| | | (0.234) | | (0.225) | | (0.234) |
| Constant | -0.423* | -0.243 | -0.178 | -0.018 | | |
| | (0.235) | (0.290) | (0.138) | (0.223) | | |
| Pvalue (Family variables) ^a | | 0.5248 | | 0.6978 | | 0.8005 |
| Number of Individuals | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 88 | 88 |
| Observations | 352 | 352 | 352 | 352 | 264 | 264 |
| (Pseudo) R-squared | 0.159 | 0.167 | 0.0744 | 0.0803 | 0.39 | 0.3953 |

Cols (1)-(2) show OLS estimates. Cols (3)-(4) show Least Absolute Deviation estimates. The

dep. variable is the intended likelihood of choosing a major.

Cols (5)-(6) show estimates from a multinomial logit regression, where the dependent variable is the actual major at graduation.

Robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

^a P-value of a F-test that coefficients on prob of marriage, spousal earnings, and exp number of children are jointly zero.

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes I

Follow-up survey

- Follow-up survey six years after the initial survey
- 274 out of the initial 493 respondents participated
- Average age of respondent is 25
- Provides some evidence for the "quality" of the expectations data
- Respondents are not reminded of their initial answers

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes II

Population descriptive statistics

- No statistically significant differences for earnings: expected \$73.500 vs \$75.000
- Working part-time: 18% of females expected to work part-time, but only 9% in reality
- Large significant differences for likelihood of marriage

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes III

Individual-level relationship - career variables

Table 16: The Link between Expectations and Outcomes

| Table 16. The Ellik between Expectations and Outcomes | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|----------|--|--|--|
| | All | Males | Females | | | |
| Panel A, dependent variable: Log (current earnings Log(Exp Earnings, Age Weighted) | 0.386*** | 0.167 | 0.521*** | | | |
| | (0.131) | (0.207) | (0.125) | | | |
| Observations \mathbb{R}^2 Mean of Dependent Variable | 201 | 64 | 137 | | | |
| | 0.092 | 0.018 | 0.153 | | | |
| | 10.99 | 11.18 | 10.90 | | | |
| Panel B, dependent variable: Employed Full-time Expected Prob of full-time emp at 30 | 0.165 | -0.189 | 0.358* | | | |
| | (0.148) | (0.220) | (0.187) | | | |
| Observations R^2 Mean of Dependent Variable | 273 | 88 | 185 | | | |
| | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.023 | | | |
| | 0.740 | 0.740 | 0.740 | | | |
| Panel C, dependent variable: Employed Part-time Expected Prob of part-time Emp at 30 | 0.272* | 0.0203 | 0.392** | | | |
| | (0.161) | (0.263) | (0.196) | | | |
| Observations R^2 Mean of Dependent Variable | 273 | 88 | 185 | | | |
| | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.032 | | | |
| | 0.0900 | 0.0900 | 0.0900 | | | |

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes III

Individual-level relationship - career variables

Table 16: The Link between Expectations and Outcomes

| Table 10. The Link between Expectations and Outcomes | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | All | Males | Females | | | | |
| Panel A, dependent variable: Log (current earnings | () | | | | | | |
| Log(Exp Earnings, Age Weighted) | 0.386*** | 0.167 | 0.521*** | | | | |
| | (0.131) | (0.207) | (0.125) | | | | |
| Observations | 201 | 64 | 137 | | | | |
| R^2 | 0.092 | 0.018 | 0.153 | | | | |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 10.99 | 11.18 | 10.90 | | | | |
| Paral Paral and a standard Paral Control of Paral Control | | | | | | | |
| Panel B, dependent variable: Employed Full-time | 0.165 | 0.100 | 0.2504 | | | | |
| Expected Prob of full-time emp at 30 | (0.148) | -0.189 (0.220) | 0.358* (0.187) | | | | |
| | (0.148) | (0.220) | (0.187) | | | | |
| Observations | 273 | 88 | 185 | | | | |
| R^2 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.023 | | | | |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.740 | 0.740 | 0.740 | | | | |
| P. 16.1. 1.4. III. F. 1. IP.46 | | | | | | | |
| Panel C, dependent variable: Employed Part-time | 0.272* | 0.0203 | 0.392** | | | | |
| Expected Prob of part-time Emp at 30 | (0.161) | (0.263) | (0.196) | | | | |
| | (0.101) | (0.265) | (0.190) | | | | |
| Observations | 273 | 88 | 185 | | | | |
| R^2 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.032 | | | | |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.0900 | 0.0900 | 0.0900 | | | | |

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes IV

Individual-level relationship - family variables

- Marriage variable is distorted due to young age of respondents
- Significant if approximated by actual outcome "in a relationship"
- Beliefs about spousal income are predictive for actual spousal income
- Overall, beliefs compare favorably to actual outcomes
- Indication that students can anticipate career and family outcomes of their educational choices to some degree

Policy Implications

- Beliefs about earnings and family variables matter and play an important role in degree choice
- Male students believe family variables to influence their career less than female students
- Suggests that society-wide belief systems might be relevant for students' beliefs and could explain, for example, the gender pay gap and gender gap in major choices
- Students who choose a humanities degree still expect higher returns to a science/business degree

Limitations

- Representativeness of sample
- Timing of follow-up survey
- Due to time restrictions, the authors did not ask about reasons for participant's beliefs
- General survey limitations

Future Research

- Choice of participants casts doubt on external validity: extend the sample to elicit possible heterogeneity
- Run follow-up surveys when students realize outcomes at ages 30 and 45
- Ask for reasons for beliefs
- Stated beliefs are not consequential
- Experimental intervention necessary to uncover causal link between family variables and major choice

Appendix

Current Population Characteristics

| Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of 2009 ACS Data | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--|
| | | ge 23 | | ge 30 | | Age 45 | |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| | Trittie | 1 chiare | Mille | 1 cintaic | Mille | Temate | |
| Earnings (in \$10,000s) | | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | 3.33 | 3.22 | 6.74 | 5.48+++ | 11.61 | 7.46+++ | |
| | (2.15) | (2.19) | (4.81) | (3.15) | (9.79) | (6.49) | |
| Humanities | 2.51 | 2.57 | 5.40 | 4.47+++ | 9.07 | 5.93+++ | |
| | (1.33) | (1.88) | (4.20) | (2.71) | (8.48) | (5.67) | |
| No Degree | 2.54 | 2.15+++ | 4.21 | 3.08+++ | 5.70 | 3.88+++ | |
| | (1.52) | (1.41) | (2.50) | (1.59) | (4.13) | (2.57) | |
| p-value ^a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Spousal Earnings (in \$10 | ,000s) | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | 3.41 | 4.75+++ | 5.26 | 8.25+++ | 7.44 | 12.68+++ | |
| | (2.09) | (3.11) | (3.44) | (5.79) | (6.69) | (10.15) | |
| Humanities | 2.27 | 3.49+++ | 4.30 | 6.66+++ | 5.71 | 9.85+++ | |
| | (1.33) | (1.93) | (2.61) | (5.64) | (4.72) | (9.42) | |
| No Degree | 2.21 | 3.50+++ | 3.24 | 4.82+++ | 3.76 | 6.36+++ | |
| | (1.13) | (1.93) | (1.86) | (2.92) | (2.59) | (4.81) | |
| p-value | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Full-time Employed (%) | | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | 38.5 | 42.4+++ | 80.86 | 64.40+++ | 82.68 | 58.42+++ | |
| Humanities | 30.9 | 36.2+++ | 72.96 | 57.92+++ | 75.86 | 52.07+++ | |
| No Degree | 40.1 | 34.4+++ | 66.53 | 46.51+++ | 67.88 | 52.44+++ | |
| p-value | 0.1 | 0 | 00.55 | 0.51777 | 07.00 | 0 | |
| p-varue | 0 | Ü | 0 | · · | 0 | · · | |
| Married (%) | | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | 8.2 | 15.9+++ | 61.72 | 67.49+++ | 80.79 | 76.14+++ | |
| Humanities | 11.5 | 15.3+++ | 55.7 | 64.94+++ | 76.58 | 74.51+ | |
| No Degree | 15.2 | 26.4+++ | 54.86 | 59.29+++ | 69.3 | 69.65 | |
| n volue | Ω | 0 | Λ | 0 | Λ | 0 | |

Earnings and spousal earnings shown in \$10,000s.

Mean (standard deviation) shown for the continuous outcomes.

^{+++, ++, +} gender differences statistically significant at the 1, 5, and 10% levels,

respectively. Symbols denoted on female column.

^a p-value of a F-test of the joint equality of means across majors. p-value of zero implies p-value < 0.001.

Earnings Beliefs: Earnings Levels

Table 3: Self Earnings

| | Ag | e 23 | Age 30 | | Ag | ge 45 | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|--|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| Panel A: Levels (in 10,000s of do | llars) | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | 5.93 | 5.39 | 13.74 | 10.86++ | 19.00 | 13.81+++ | |
| | (7.32) | (4.66) | (16.61) | (9.31) | (22.38) | (14.12) | |
| Humanities | 4.71 | 3.94 | 6.87 | 6.86 | 11.03 | 9.60 | |
| | (7.38) | (3.51) | (5.51) | (7.4) | (13.53) | (11.75) | |
| No Degree | 3.50 | 2.45++ | 5.07 | 3.27++ | 8.97 | 5.86+++ | |
| | (7.54) | (1.16) | (11.0) | (4.56) | (15.95) | (10.22) | |
| Overall | 5.60 | 4.68+ | 12.95 | 9.21+++ | 18.44 | 12.33+++ | |
| | (7.36) | (3.81) | (16.35) | (8.45) | (22.52) | (13.90) | |
| Panel B: Individual Log Difference | ces | | | | | | |
| Sci/Business versus. Humanities | .267*** | .304*** | .523*** | .425***++ | .446*** | .347***+ | |
| | (.019) | (.017) | (.048) | (.025) | (.051) | (.026) | |
| Graduate versus. No Degree | .594*** | .642*** | 1.022*** | 1.038*** | .829*** | .833*** | |

(.026)Panel A shows the mean and standard deviations of expected earnings (in \$10,000s). +++, ++, + denote gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. Panel B shows the avg. log differences and standard deviations in parentheses. ***, **, * denote the means are statistically different from zero at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. +++, ++, + (shown on the female column) denote gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

(.055)

(.037)

(.033)

(.038)

Earnings Growth

| Earnings | |
|------------------------------|--|
| | |

| | Ag | e 23-30 | Age | 30-45 | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | |
| Panel A: Levels (in %) | | | | | |
| Science/Business | .67 | .63 | .25 | .19 | |
| Humanities | (.72) .41 | (.65) .51+ | (.47) | (.54) | |
| | (.56) | (.53) | (.45) | (.52) | |
| No Degree | .23 (.78) | .21 (.55) | .47 (.74) | .43 (.58) | |
| Overall | .66 | .6 | .29 | .23 | |
| | (.73) | (.58) | (.48) | (.52) | |
| Panel B: Individual differences | | | | | |
| Sci/Business versus. Humanities | .26*** | .12***+++ | 08* | 08*** | |
| Graduate versus. No Degree | (.05) .42*** | (.03) .39*** | (.04) 19*** | (.03) 2*** | |
| | | | | | |

Panel A shows the mean and standard dev of beliefs about earnings growth (in %). ++++, ++, ehonte gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

Panel B shows average log differences and standard deviations in parentheses.

*** * denote means are statistically different from zero at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. +++, ++, + (shown on the female column) denote gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

Earnings Uncertainty

Table 5: Age 30 Earnings Uncertainty - Std deviations from fitting a Beta Distribution

| • | Male | Female |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Panel A: Levels (in \$10,000) | | |
| Science/Business | 9.17 | 9.49 |
| | (1.44) | (2.48) |
| Humanities | 10.34 | 10.01 |
| N- D | (27.44) 14.73 | (2.32) 15.27 |
| No Degree | | (7.53) |
| Overall | (7.34) 9.71 | 9.68 |
| | (2.02) | (2.01) |
| Panel B: Individual differences | | |
| Sci/Business versus. Humanities | 11*** | 057***+++ |
| | (014) | (012) |

Panel A shows the mean and std dev of age 30 earnings uncertainty beliefs (in \$10,000). Uncertainty is the standard deviation of the individual-specific (beta-) fitted earnings distribution. ++++, ++, + denote gender differences statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

-.305***

(.052)

-.335***

Graduate versus. No Degree

Panel B shows average log differences and standard deviations in parentheses. ***, ***, ** denote means are statistically diff from 0 at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. +++, ++, + (shown on female column) denote gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

Beliefs about Marriage

| Table | 6: | Beliefs | about | Ν | larriage |
|-------|----|---------|-------|---|----------|
| | | | | | |

| 1444 | JIE 01 DE | neis about i | ge | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Prob Marriage: | A | ge 23 | A | Age 30 | | e 45 |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Panel A: Levels (0-1 scale) | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | .148 | .167 | .593 | .594 | .804 | .778 |
| | (.207) | (.214) | (.286) | (.271) | (.248) | (.253) |
| Humanities | .152 | .182 | .601 | .66++ | .797 | .800 |
| | (.214) | (.229) | (.291) | (.268) | (.253) | (.246) |
| No Degree | .153 | .221+++ | .535 | .605++ | `.727 | .743 |
| ē. | (.219) | (.26) | (.329) | (.29) | (.302) | (.287) |
| Overall | .149 | .179 | .589 | .634+ | .797 | .793 |
| | (.213) | (.225) | (.288) | (.266) | (.25) | (.242) |
| Panel B: Individual Log Differences | | | | | | |
| Sci/Business versus. Humanities | 008 | 096* | 024 | 147***++ | .013 | 020 |
| | (.046) | (.053) | (.042) | (.039) | (.014) | (.024) |
| Graduate versus. No Degree | .075 | 192**+ | .354*** | .127**++ | .317*** | .161*** |

(.099) (.091) (.11)(.054)Panel A shows the mean and standard deviations of marriage beliefs. +++, ++, + denote gender diffs are

(.054)

statistically significant at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

Panel B shows the average log differences and standard deviations in parentheses.

***, **, * denote the means are statistically different from zero at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. +++, ++, + (shown on the female column) denote gender differences are statistically

significant at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

Beliefs about Potential Spousal Earnings

Table 7: Beliefs about Potential Spousal Earnings, Conditional on Own Major (and Own Age)

| | Age | e 23 | Ag | Age 30 | | ge 45 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Denot A. Lavala (in 10 000s of do | Home) | | | | | |
| Panel A: Levels (in 10,000s of do | | | | | | |
| Science/Business | 5.06 | 5.74+ | 9.00 | 10.76++ | 11.29 | 13.68+ |
| | (4.12) | (3.92) | (7.72) | (9.14) | (13.25) | (13.67) |
| Humanities | 4.52 | 4.75 | 7.05 | 7.86 | 8.02 | 11.07+++ |
| | (7.35) | (3.75) | (8.93) | (7.69) | (7.95) | (12.90) |
| No Degree | 4.58 | 3.46 | 4.57 | 5.54 | 6.25 | 7.76 |
| _ | (11.99) | (2.26) | (5.56) | (9.11) | (9.89) | (12.03) |
| Overall | 5.02 | 5.30 | 8.42 | 9.74+ | 10.77 | 12.73 |
| | (5.90) | (3.88) | (7.60) | (8.91) | (13.20) | (13.61) |
| D1 D- I1 I D:66 | | | | | | |
| Panel B: Individual Log Difference | | | | | | |
| Sci/Business versus. Humanities | .185*** | .198*** | .282*** | .292*** | .241*** | .221*** |
| | (.019) | (.015) | (.044) | (.024) | (.04) | (.026) |
| Graduate versus. No Degree | .432*** | .481*** | .687*** | .741*** | .587*** | .632*** |
| | (.048) | (.028) | (.05) | (.041) | (.054) | (.039) |
| D 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | |

Panel A shows the mean and standard dev of beliefs about spouse's expected earnings (in \$10,000s) conditional on own major.

+++, ++, + denote gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. Panel B shows avg. log differences and standard deviations in parentheses. **** ** denote means are statistically different from zero at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively. +++, ++, +, +(shown on the female column) denote gender differences are statistically different at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes

Population descriptive statistics - career variables

Table 15: Descriptive Statistics - Expectations (Weighted by Major Probs) and Outcomes

| | Expe | ectations in | 2010 | Real | izations in | 2016 | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | All | Males | Females | A11 | Males | Females | | |
| Panel A: Earnings Full-time (age-weighted expectation) | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 7.35 | 9.90 | 6.16 | 7.49 | 10.18 | 6.24 | | |
| SD | (8.19) | (13.52) | (3.06) | (7.74) | (12.39) | (3.46) | | |
| N | 201 | 64 | 137 | 201 | 64 | 137 | | |
| Panel I Mean SD N | B: Likelihoo 77.61 (19.15) 273 | d of full-tin 82.28 (19.51) 88 | ne employme 75.38 (18.61) 185 | nt (age 30 e 73.99 (43.95) 273 | 73.86 (44.19) 88 | 74.05 (43.95) 185 | | |
| Panel C: Likelihood of part-time employment (age 30 expectation) Mean 16.02*** 11.71 18.08*** 9.16 9.09 9.19 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| SD | (13.1) | (12.02) | (13.12) | (28.9) | (28.91) | (28.97) 185 | | |
| N | 273 | 88 | 185 | 273 | 88 | 183 | | |

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes

Population descriptive statistics - family variables

Table 15: Descriptive Statistics - Expectations (Weighted by Major Probs) and Outcomes

| | Expe | ectations in 2 | 2010 | Realizations in 2016 | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | All | Males | Females | A11 | Males | Females | | | |
| Panel I | Panel D: Likelihood of Marriage | | | | | | | | |
| Using | expectation | for 1-yr afte | r graduation 17.16*** | (and marria | age for out | tcomes) | | | |
| Mean | 16.04*** | 13.62* | 17.16*** | 5.56 | 8.14 | 4.35 | | | |
| SD | (21.62) | (19.83) | (22.37) | (22.95) | (27.5) | (20.45) | | | |
| N | 270 | 86 | 184 | 270 | 86 | 184 | | | |
| Heina | oe-weighte | d expectatio | n (and marri | age ± cohal | o for outc | omes) | | | |
| Mean | 34 36*** | 31 35*** | on (and marri 35.77*** | 48 15 | 45 35 | 49.46 | | | |
| SD | (21.08) | (21.97) | (20.56) | (50.06) | (50.08) | (50.13) | | | |
| N | 270 | 86 | 184 | 270 | 86 | 184 | | | |
| Danel F | : Likelihoo | d of partner | working full | time (age | 30 evnects | tion) | | | |
| Mean | 73.91 | 62.28 | 78.89 | 76.15 | 69.23 | 79.12 | | | |
| SD | (21.19) | (23.28) | (18.2) | (42.78) | | (40.87) | | | |
| N | 130 | 39 | 91 | 130 | 39 | 91 | | | |
| D 1 E | Panel F: Partner's Earnings (age-weighted expectation) Mean 6.52* 6.84 6.4** 7.73 5.68 8.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Maan | . Parmer s 1 | Earnings (ag | ge-weighted e | expectation, | 5 60 | 0.5 | | | |
| | | | | | 5.68 (3.53) | 8.5 | | | |
| SD N | (2.84) 99 | (3.24) 27 | (2.69) 72 | (6.14) 99 | (3.33) | (6.73) 72 | | | |
| IN | 99 | 21 | 12 | 99 | 21 | 12 | | | |

Beliefs and Realized Outcomes

Individual-level relationship - family variables

| Table 10. The Ellik between Expects | tions and O | utcomes | |
|--|--------------|----------|----------|
| | All | Males | Females |
| Panel D, dependent variable: Married | | | |
| Age-Weighted Exp Probability of Being Married | 0.217** | 0.378* | 0.147 |
| rige weighted Exp Probability of Being Married | (0.100) | (0.217) | (0.0936) |
| | (/ | (=====) | (5.5525) |
| Observations | 270 | 86 | 184 |
| R^2 | 0.040 | 0.091 | 0.022 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.0600 | 0.0800 | 0.0400 |
| D 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| Panel E, dependent variable: In Any relationship | 0.503*** | 0.606*** | 0.441*** |
| Age-Weighted Exp Probability of Being Married | | (0,209) | |
| | (0.127) | (0.209) | (0.161) |
| Observations | 270 | 86 | 184 |
| R^2 | 0.045 | 0.071 | 0.033 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.480 | 0.450 | 0.490 |
| • | | | 01130 |
| Panel F, dependent variable: Spouse/Partner Workin | ng Full-time | | |
| Expected Prob of Spouse full-time Emp at 30 | 0.415** | 0.458 | 0.339 |
| | (0.183) | (0.298) | (0.253) |
| Observations | 130 | 39 | 91 |
| R^2 | 0.042 | 0.052 | 0.023 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.760 | 0.032 | 0.023 |
| Mean of Dependent variable | 0.760 | 0.090 | 0.790 |
| Panel G, dependent variable: Log(Spouse/Partner E | (arnings) | | |
| Log(Age-Weighted Expected Earnings of Spouse) | 0.400** | 0.598** | 0.344* |
| 2.50 | (0.173) | (0.233) | (0.206) |
| | , , | | , , |
| Observations | 112 | 31 | 81 |
| R^2 | 0.054 | 0.119 | 0.042 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 1.690 | 1.420 | 1.790 |