Assignment 3

Johannes Hedström

Prerequisites and facts:

- You will travel from City A to Airport B for catching a flight on a specific day

- You need to be at Airport B at latest 9:30 a.m. to not miss the flight

- You can choose between taking the train or going by car (no other means of conveyance)

- The train journey takes 3 hours according to the schedule. It departs from City A at 6 a.m. (and arrives at Airport B at 9 a.m. if on schedule)

- Going by car takes approximately 2 hours (assume it to be exactly 2 hours for simplicity), but you need to add 15 minutes for parking your car. The car is available from 6 a.m.

- The train ticket costs 50 €

- The total cost for using the car (parking included) is 70 €

Potential obstacles and costs; assumptions and assigned prior probabilities:

- The probability that the train is delayed by x minutes is $(45 - x)*0.001$

- It can be assumed that the train will not derail or break down

- The additional cost from missing your flight is 300 €

- The probability of encountering an unexpected traffic incident/jam that delays your journey by y minutes is $(90 - y)\,0.0002$ conditional on that the car is not involved in an accident

- It can be assumed that the car will not break down

- The probability of the car (and you) being involved in an accident is 0.01

- If the car is involved in an accident you will not catch your flight

Questions to be answered and dwelled on:

- Which are the actions, states of nature and consequences?

- Is it possible to view (model) this as one single decision problem? Why (not)?

- What decision problem could you define for which it is possible to use the EU-criterion (maximising the expected utility or minimising the expected loss)? This could be a subordinate decision problem.

1 Answers

1.1 Which are the actions, states of nature and consequences?

Actions

The two things you are suppose to decide on are:

A1:Travel by car (denoted as C, cost of 70€)

A2:Travel by train (denoted as T, cost of 50€)

States of nature

S1: Catch the flight(arrival at latest 9:30 am)

S2: Miss the flight due to delay(arrival after 9:30 am)

S3: Miss the flight due to accident

S4: Miss the flight due to accident(injured)

S5: Miss the flight due to accident(hospitalized/dead)

What affects the states for the different actions

T1: Train on time

T2: Train delayed by x minutes(depending on the amount of delay)

```r
# prob of delay
x <- 1:45
x2 <- (45-x)*0.001

plot(x,x2, type='l', main='Probability of x minutes of train delay(red line is missing the flight)',
ylab='Probability', xlab='Minutes')
abline(v = 31, col = 'red', lty = 2)
```

Probability of x minutes of train delay(red line is missing the flight)

```r
paste0('Probability of the delay being over 30 minutes is ',sum(x2[31:length(x2)])*100, '%')
```

```
## [1] "Probability of the delay being over 30 minutes is 10.5%"
```

Car:

C1: Car journey without traffic incident

C2: Journey delayed by y minutes(depending on amount of delay)

C3: Involved in a car accident (P = 0.5%, assuming that an accident is independent of delay)

C4: Involved in a car accident and injured (P = 0.4%, assuming that an accident is independent of delay)

C5: Involved in a car accident and hospitalized (P = 0.1%, assuming that an accident is independent of delay)

```r
y <- 1:90

y2 <- (90-y)*0.0002


plot(y,y2, type='l', main='Probability of y minutes of traffic delay(red line is missing the flight)',
ylab='Probability', xlab='Minutes')

abline(v = 76, col = 'red', lty = 2)
```

Probability of y minutes of traffic delay(red line is missing the flight)


```r
paste0('Probability of the delay being over 75 minutes is ',sum(y2[76:length(y2)])*100, '%')
```

```
## [1] "Probability of the delay being over 75 minutes is 2.1%"
```

```
paste0('Probability of the delay being at max 75 minutes and no car accident is  ', (((1 - sum(y2[76:len
```

```
## [1] "Probability of the delay being at max 75 minutes and no car accident is  96.9%"
```

Consequences

For both train and car journey without a delay or with a minimal delay the consequence is:

Catch the flight, so the cost is then 50€ by train and 70€ by car.

$$Cost1(T, \text{catch flight}) = 50$$

$$Cost1(C, \text{catch flight}) = 70$$

When the train is delayed for more than 30 minutes, if the car is stuck in traffic jam for more than 60 minutes or if you're involved in a car accident the consequence is:

Miss the flight, the costs here are then 50/70€ plus additional 300€ for missing the flight.

$$\text{Cost2(T, miss flight due to delay)} = 350$$

$$\text{Cost2(C, miss flight due to delay)} = 370$$

If you're involved in a car accident you could get injured or die which add more costs, and as I'm a student, my car would be cheap, lets say 1000 euros, but my insurance covers cost over 300 euros so the cost of an action without hospitalization is then an added 300 euros. I also assume that when involved in a car accident, no other car is involved as that would increase or decrease the cost depending of what/who is responsible of the accident.

If i get injured and need medical care it will add another 20 euros to the cost(its cheap in Sweden), but the cost of getting hospitalized or dead is harder to set a number on. But if you consider the cost only in money, for me to die would cost me 0, but to get hospitalized for a long time would cost 13 euros per day, lets say the mean time in the hospital after a car accident is 10 days, so then that would add 130 additional euros(this is only for simplicity).

Now we need to use the probabilities for each one of these scenarios to get the cost for a car accident.

Crashed car = 300€

Medical care = 20€

Hospitalized = 130€

$$\text{Cost3(T, miss flight due to accident)} = 0$$

$$\text{Cost3(C, miss flight due to accident)} = 370 + 318 = 670$$

4

$$\text{Cost4(T, miss flight due to accident and injured)} = 0$$

$$\text{Cost4(C, miss flight due to accident and injured)} = 370 + 320 = 690$$

$$\text{Cost5(T, miss flight due to accident and hospitalized/dead)} = 0$$

$$\text{Cost5(C, miss flight due to accident and hospitalized/dead)} = 370 + 430 = 800$$

```r
# Create the decision matrix
decision_matrix <- data.frame(
  Action = c("Train (A1)", "Car (A2)"),
  'Catch the Flight (S1)' = c(50, 70),
  'Miss Flight (Delay, S2)' = c(350, 370),
  'Miss Flight (Accident, S3)' = c(0, 670),
  'Miss Flight (Accident(injured), S4)' = c(0, 690),
  'Miss Flight (Accident(hospital), S5)' = c(0, 800)
)

# Print the decision matrix in a readable format
knitr::kable(
  decision_matrix,
  caption = "Decision Matrix: Costs for Each Action and State of Nature",
  col.names = c("Action", "Catch the Flight (S1)",
                "Miss Flight (Delay, S2)",
                "Miss Flight (Accident, S3)",
                'Miss Flight (Accident(injured), S4)',
                'Miss Flight (Accident(hospital), S5)')
)
```

Table 1: Decision Matrix: Costs for Each Action and State of Nature

Action	Catch the Flight (S1)	Miss Flight (Delay, S2)	Miss Flight (Accident, S3)	Miss Flight (Accident(injured), S4)	Miss Flight (Accident(hospital), S5)
Train (A1)	50	350	0	0	0
Car (A2)	70	370	670	690	800

```r
# Define probabilities for train and car
P_train_catch <- 1 - sum(x2[31:length(x2)]) # P(S1 | Train)
P_train_miss_delay <- sum(x2[31:length(x2)])
```

```r
P_car_catch <- (1 - sum(y2[76:length(y2)])) - 0.01 # P(S1 | Car)
P_car_miss_delay <- sum(y2[76:length(y2)])
P_car_miss_accident <- 0.005
P_car_miss_accident_inj <-0.004
P_car_miss_accident_hos <- 0.001

# Define costs for each state and action
cost_train <- c(50, 350)
cost_car <- c(70, 370, 670,690,800)

# Expected costs for each action
expected_cost_train <- c(P_train_catch * cost_train[1] ,
                         P_train_miss_delay * cost_train[2] ,
                         0,0,0)

expected_cost_car <- c(P_car_catch * cost_car[1] ,
                       P_car_miss_delay * cost_car[2] ,
                       P_car_miss_accident * cost_car[3],
                       P_car_miss_accident_inj* cost_car[4],
                       P_car_miss_accident_hos*cost_car[5])


df <- rbind(expected_cost_train,expected_cost_car)

knitr::kable(
  df,
  caption = "Decision Matrix: Expected costs for Each Action and State of Nature",
  col.names = c("Action", "Catch the Flight (S1)",
                "Miss Flight (Delay, S2)",
                "Miss Flight (Accident, S3)",
               'Miss Flight (Accident(injured), S4)',
                'Miss Flight (Accident(hospital), S5)')
)
```

Table 2: Decision Matrix: Expected costs for Each Action and State of Nature

Action	Catch the Flight (S1)	Miss Flight (Delay, S2)	Miss Flight (Accident, S3)	Miss Flight (Accident(injured), S4)	Miss Flight (Accident(hospital), S5)
expected_cost_train	44.75	36.75	0.00	0.00	0.0
expected_cost_car	67.83	7.77	3.35	2.76	0.8

1.2   Is it possible to view (model) this as one single decision problem? Why (not)?

This is how I think everyone reason for this problem in real life, and only focus on the delay and cost of car/train ticket:

If you consider yourself a good driver(which most of us do) and ignore the probability of being in a car accident then this is possible to model.

The problem contains:

- All actions and outcomes are measurable (monetary costs).
- States of nature are probabilistic, with known probabilities.
- The utility (or loss) is additive and calculable.

And as there is no dominating action with lowest cost in all states.

But as I added the car accident as a state, we need to add more costs(which I just made up), it is also possible that you want to split being hospitalized and dying with different probabilities which I didn't consider, and what is really the cost of dying?

According to me you cant really set the cost of dying in the same category as money(the cost used in the problem), and therefore my problem, when not simplified is not a single decision problem. You first have to decide if it is worth the risk of dying when taking the car, then you can consider the decision problem with money as the cost.

1.3 What decision problem could you define for which it is possible to use the EU-criterion (maximising the expected utility or minimising the expected loss)? This could be a subordinate decision problem.

So for the subordinate problem when not considering the occurrence of an accident, the expected loss is

$$EL(Action_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \cdot L_{ij}$$

$$EL(Train) = 50 \cdot 0.895 + 350 \cdot 0.105$$
$$EL(Car) = 70 \cdot 0.979 + 370 \cdot 0.021$$

```
paste('Expected loss for train is =',sum(expected_cost_train[1:2]))
```

```
## [1] "Expected loss for train is = 81.5"
```

```
paste('Expected loss for car is =',70 * (1- 0.021) + 370 *  0.021)
```

```
## [1] "Expected loss for car is = 76.3"
```

When using the EU-criterion and minimizing the expected loss, the decision is to take the car if we're not considering the accident.

But for the problem I set up in question 1 with the added states and costs the expected loss is then:

```r
paste('Expected loss for train is =',sum(expected_cost_train))
```

```
## [1] "Expected loss for train is = 81.5"
```

```r
paste('Expected loss for car is =',sum(expected_cost_car))
```

```
## [1] "Expected loss for car is = 82.51"
```

And when considering the accident and with the costs I've set, the minimal loss according to the EU-criterion is then to take the train.