# Project Presentation Peer Review

# Your Names: John Neis & Sam Dressler

# Total Rating for each group:

# Please use the following table to evaluate each group (e.g. G). Use the criterion on a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 is the weakest and 10 is the strongest.

# Additional Comments:

| Criteria | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | G5 | G6 | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization**   * Is the presentation logically sequenced (introduction, body, conclusion)? * Are the main points outlined? * Does the presentation have a clear message? * Is the presentation focused on the main arguments? | 10 | 10 | X | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Presentation**   * Does the speaker effectively manage the time constraint? | 8 | 8 | X | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Originality**   * Are the main points supported? * Is some critical evaluation such as limitations, issues, assumptions, significance, contribution, or validity included? | 9 | 8 | X | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Visual quality**   * Are the letters and numbers large enough to be readable in the video? * Are the graphs, photographs, tables, and figures clear and understandable? * Is color purposely and appropriately used? * Does the speaker make effective use of the visual aids?   Is there creativity and personal style in the slides? | 8 | 9 | X | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Oral expression**   * Does the speaker maintain audience interest? * Does the speaker use a clear voice with good pacing? * Is the volume of their voice adequate?   Does the speaker convey a positive attitude? | 8 | 9 | X | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |