1)

a) induction on upper bound of summation

Basis: when $n=1, \sum_{i=1}^n i^3=1, (\sum_{i=1}^n i)^2=1$ **Inductive Hypothesis:** $\forall n \geq 1, \sum_{i=1}^n i^3=(\sum_{i=1}^n i)^2$ **Inductive Step:**

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i^3 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i\right)^2$$

$$(n+1)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^n i^3 = (n+1+\sum_{i=1}^n i)(n+1+\sum_{i=1}^n i)$$

$$(n+1)^3 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\right)^2 = (n+1)^2 + 2(n+1)\sum_{i=1}^n i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\right)^2$$

$$(n+1)^3 = (n+1)^2 + 2(n+1)\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)$$

$$(n+1)(n+1)^2 = (n+1)^2 + n(n+1)^2$$

$$n+1 = 1+n$$

proving $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^3 = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} i)^2, \forall n \geq 1$ through mathematical induction

b) induction on the term n

Basis: when $n = 4, 2^n = 16, n! = 24$ **Inductive Hypothesis:** $\forall n \geq 4, 2^n < n!$ **Inductive Step:**

$$2^{n+1} < (n+1)!$$

$$2 * 2^n < n! * (n+1)$$

$$2 * 2^n < n! * (n+1)$$

since 2^n is always less than n! by the Inductive Hypothesis the equation only depends on the following

$$2 \le (n+1)$$
$$1 \le n$$

since n was defined as being greater than equal to 4, n will always be greater than 1 so this proves the claim by induction

a)

Claim: For every Full b-tree $T, n(T) \ge h(T)$.

Base Case: The b-tree with only one node has n(T) = 1 and h(T) = 1 so the claim holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: assume X,Y are two B-Trees such that $n(X) \ge h(X), n(X) \ge n(Y), h(X) \ge h(Y)$

Inductive Step: by the definition of a full b-tree we can create a new full b-tree Z by adding a new node, and making X the right child of this node and Y the left child of this node. this would make n(Z) = 1 + n(X) + n(Y), h(Z) = 1 + h(X), and since $n(X) \ge h(X), n(Y) \ge h(X)$ this would make n(Z) > h(Z) this proves the claim by structural induction.

b)

Claim: For every Full Binary Tree $T, i(T) \ge h(T) - 1$

Base Case: consider the B-Tree T with one node i(T) = 0, h(T) = 1, i(T) = h(T) - 1

Inductive Hypothesis: assume X,Y are two B-Trees such that $i(X) \ge h(X) - 1, i(Y) \ge h(Y) - 1, h(X) \ge h(Y)$

Inductive Step: a new full b-tree Z can be constructed by adding a new node and make X the right child of this node, and Y the left child of this node. h(Z) = h(X) + 1, i(Z) = i(X) + i(Y) + 1, since $i(X) \ge h(X) - 1$ $i(X) \ge H(X) - 1$

this proves the claim by structural induction

c)

 ${\it Claim:}\,$ For every Full Binary Tree $T,\ell(T)=(n(T)+1)/2$

Base Case: consider the B-Tree T with one node $\ell(T) = 1, \frac{n(T)+1}{2} = 1$ **Inductive Hypothesis:** assume X, Y are two B-Trees such that $\ell(X) = (n(X) + 1)/2, \ell(Y) = (n(Y) + 1)/2$

Inductive Step: a b-tree Z can be constructed with one new node that has X as the right child and Y as the left child.

$$\ell(Z) = \frac{n(Z) + 1}{2}$$

$$\ell(X) + \ell(Y) = \frac{n(X) + n(Y) + 2}{2}$$

$$\ell(X) + \ell(Y) = \frac{n(X) + 1}{2} + \frac{n(Y) + 1}{2}$$

$$\ell(X) + \ell(Y) = \ell(X) + \ell(Y)$$

proving the claim by structural induction