# **Q1: Determine whether the data provided is appropriate for the test(s) available and that any analysis is achievable. GSR**

A group of 50 males and 50 females due for dental surgery were measured for Anxiety (GSR/Galvanic Skin Response) and Perceived pain (VNRS/verbal numerical Rating Scale), both on a scale of 0 to 10 respectively. We note that there is random sampling used. We have a control group (no meditation) and meditation (treatment) group. This means that there is an experiment, and that we can move forward to set up a Null Hypothesis.

We will look at the data made available to determine whether the results are appropriate to create a test and consider if any analysis is possible. We will look at GSR first then do the same procedures for VNRS.

* We note that there is random sampling used.
* We have a control group (no meditation) and meditation (treatment) group.
* Both groups are independent from each other.
* The sample size is n > 10 so our Normality check is met.
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Conclusion from first 2 graphs:

First one could be argued to be normal, but the second graph is not.
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**Q2. Formulate a hypothesis test**

**Null hypothesis:** There is no difference in the average of the two methods (Meditation & Control), which indicate the Mean difference between the two methods is equal to zero or there is no difference in means.

**Alternative Hypothesis:** There is a difference in average for the two methods (Meditation & Control), therefore the mean difference is not equal to zero or the two means are not equal.

Let’s write our Hypothesis as follow:

H0: μMeditationGSR = μControlGSR

HA: μMeditationGSR ≠μControlGSR

**Q3. Analyse the data to provide the hypothesis testing conclusion.**

We separate our testing into Male and Female and focus on Male GSR difference in mean. We consider what if any differences there are between the Control and Meditation groups. We gather details on mean (x̄), standard deviation (s) and the sample number(n).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Male Meditation GSR** | **Male Control GSR** |
| **x̄ (mean)** | 6.826 | 7.052 |
| **s(standard Deviation)** | 0.869 | 1.003 |
| **n (sample number)** | 23 | 23 |

*Difference between the 2 means of Meditation and Control for GSR*

**Point Estimate (PE) =** x̄1 - x̄2 = 6.826 -7.0521 = -0.226

*Standard Error is calculated from the standard deviation of the 2 groups.*

**Standard Error (SE) =** **≈** = 0.2767≈ 0.28

*This allows us to work out the Test Statistic.*

**Test Statistic (T) =** = = -0.8167

We then get our degrees of freedom *(df)*

**Degree of Freedom (*df*) =** min (*n1 -1, n2-1*) = min (*23 -1, 23-1*) = min (*22, 22*) = 22

Using T and our (df) we calculate our P value

**P value:** 2 x [Pt(q = -0.8167, df = 22)] = 2 x 0.211428 = 0.41833 ≈ 0.419

We look up our critical value from T - Tables

**Critical Value:** qt(p = 0.95, df = 22) = 1.71744 ≈ 1.72

**Margin of Error:** t\* x SE = 1.96 x 0.28 = 0.5488 ≈ 0.55

We can then get our 95% confidence interval

**95% Confidence Interval:** PE ± (t\* x SE)

= -0.226 ± (1.96 x 0.28)

= -0.226 ± 0.55

= (-0.78, 0.32)

**4. Provide descriptive statistics (graphs and tables) of the data (GSR).**

*Initial Conclusion: Since t is in the critical region, we do not accept H0.*

*Final Conclusion: We accept the claim that the average of people using meditation is not the same as people using control.*

# **Q1: Determine whether the data provided is appropriate for the test(s) available and that any analysis is achievable.**

* We note that there is random sampling used.
* We have a control group (no meditation) and meditation (treatment) group.
* Both group are independent from each other.
* The sample size is n > 10 so our Normality check is met.
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Conclusion from first 2 graphs:

First one could be argued to be normal, but the second graph is not.
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**Q2. Formulate a hypothesis test**

H0: μMeditationVNRS = μControlVNRS

HA: μMeditationVNRS ≠μControlVNRS

**Q3. Analyse the data to provide the hypothesis testing conclusion.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Male Meditation VNRS** | **Male Control VNRS** |
| **x̄ (mean)** | 8.04 | 8.26 |
| **s(standard Deviation)** | 0.56 | 0.69 |
| **n (sample number)** | 23 | 23 |

**Point Estimate(PE) =** x̄1 - x̄2 = 8.04 – 8.26= -0.22

**Standard Error(SE) =** **≈** = 0.19

**Test Statistic(T) =** = = -1.16

**Degree of Freedom(*df*) =** min (*n1 -1, n2-1*) = min (*23 -1, 23-1*) = min (*22, 22*) = 22

**P value:** 2 x [pt(q = -1.16, df = 22)] = 2 x 0.13 = 0.26

**Critical Value:** qt(p = 0.95, df = 22) = 1.71744 ≈ 1.72

**Margin of Error:** t\* x SE = 1.96 x 0.19 = 0.3724 ≈ 0.37

**95% Confidence Interval:** PE ± (t\* x SE)

= -0.22 ± (1.96 x 0.19)

= -0.22 ± 0.37

= (-0.59, 0.15)

**4. Provide descriptive statistics (graphs and tables) of the data(VNRS).**

Initial Conclusion: Since t is in the critical region, we do not accept H0.

Final Conclusion: We accept the claim that the average of people using meditation is not the same as people using control.