

CH10_009

ANSWER

TORQUE MATH #3 MATRICES

1 Constructing Matrices

Finished Code: (Your code may not look exactly like this, but should be fairly similar.)

```
ConsoleFunction(ch10_exer_009b, void, 1, 1, ")
{
    MatrixF tMatrix; // Translation Matrix
    MatrixF rMatrix; // Rotation Matrix
    MatrixF sMatrix; // Scaling Matrix

    tMatrix.identity();
    rMatrix.identity();
    sMatrix.identity();

    Point3F tVec( 3.0f , 4.0f, 5.0f );           // Translation vector
    Point3F rVec( 0.0f, 0.0f, mDegToRad( 32.1f ) ); // Rotation vector
    Point3F sVec( 3.0f , 4.0f, 5.0f );           // Scaling vector

    // Set up translation matrix manually
    tMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 3 , 0 )] = tVec.x;
    tMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 3 , 1 )] = tVec.y;
    tMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 3 , 2 )] = tVec.z;

    // Set up rotation matrix manually
    // Temporary X-Axis rotation matrix
    MatrixF xMatrix;
    xMatrix.identity();
    xMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 1 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 70.0f ) );
    xMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 2 )] = -mSin( mDegToRad( 70.0f ) );
    xMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 2 , 1 )] = mSin( mDegToRad( 70.0f ) );
    xMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 2 , 2 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 70.0f ) );
```

TORQUE MATH #3 MATRICES

```
// Temporary Z-Axis rotation matrix
MatrixF zMatrix;
zMatrix.identity();
zMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 0 , 0 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 32.1f ) );
zMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 0 , 1 )] = -mSin( mDegToRad( 32.1f ) );
zMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 0 )] = mSin( mDegToRad( 32.1f ) );
zMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 1 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 32.1f ) );

// Combine Rotation
rMatrix.mul( xMatrix );
rMatrix.mul( zMatrix );

// Set up scaling matrix manually
sMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 0 , 0 )] = sVec.x;
sMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 1 )] = sVec.y;
sMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 2 , 2 )] = sVec.z;

dumpMatrix( "Translation Matrix", tMatrix );
dumpMatrix( "    Rotation Matrix", rMatrix );
dumpMatrix( "    Scaling Matrix", sMatrix );
}
```

It is worth mentioning that the rotation calculation I created by combining two matrices via matrix multiplication is not the best way to make rotation matrices. In fact, if I changed the combination of steps to this order, my results would no longer match those from `ch10_exer_009a()`.

```
// Combine Rotation
rMatrix.mul( zMatrix );
rMatrix.mul( xMatrix );
```

This is because most matrix multiplication is non-commutative. That is $AB \neq BA$, except in special cases. Thus, it is much better to use the Euler rotation (`set()`) method supplied by the engine).

However, if both rotations had used the same theta, say 45.0 degrees, I could have hand written the rotation matrix like this.

```
// Always start with an identity matrix.
rMatrix.identity();
```

TORQUE MATH #3 MATRICES

```
// Set up rotation matrix manually
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 0 , 0 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 0 , 1 )] = -mSin( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 0 )] = mSin( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 1 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 1 , 2 )] = -mSin( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 2 , 1 )] = mSin( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
rMatrix[MatrixF::idx( 2 , 2 )] = mCos( mDegToRad( 45.0f ) );
```

2 Multiplication Order

Answers:

1. No, they do not.

- As I mentioned above, matrix multiplications are not commutative. So, we have to think about what we're trying to do and be sure to apply multiplications in the right order.

2. <3 4 5> and <9 16 25>

3. Series D, since it is a scale multiplied by a translation. (Remember, these operations are non-commutative.)

4. Are these affine matrices? Yes, but if you doubt it you could write this code.

```
if( !tMatrix.isAffine() )
{
    Con::printf("The translation matrix is not affine!");
}

// ... same for rMatrix and sMatrix
```