elcome to apology alive we're a former Christian takes a look at the claims of

Christians live here from Paul Gia studios in Eastern Canada Nova Scotia

where it is a starting to be finally a lovely day and where it is early in the day and my

dog has yet to be walked so we will see how she does throughout this stream that may be the deciding factor but as you guys know normally I look at the claims of Christians and that usually means video clips or articles and that kind of

things but today we have an actual Christian to join us we have

my friend and uh well sort of the original

inspiration for this channel so it's great to have you here Eric hoband welcome hey buddy Paul great to be with you

today man thanks for letting me hang out and enjoy a good conversation with you I always enjoy our conversations and our

texts and being able to bounce ideas off each other so thank you great and uh

so today we are here not to debate really anything we are here to have a

conversation Eric and I came into each other's spheres around around young

Earth creationism that's not what we're here to talk about today neither our scientists so we would get actual scientists to come in and do that if

that's what we wanted to do uh also weirdly it's Easter week and I didn't plan this very well we should have had a

resurrection conversation but we'll do that another time uh but what has been on my heart in my old uh vernacular has

been morality and how we talk about morality when I was a Christian I feel

like my uh I didn't need I didn't feel like I needed anything more to know that God

 $was going \ to \ tell \ me \ what \ was \ moral \ and \ what \ was \ not \ and \ I \ so \ I \ didn't \ give \ it \ any \ more \ thought \ and \ more \ particularly$

anymore uh didn't put words to to it or think deeply about it and even after I left

Christianity it took me a while to sort of get there so now I'm mired in what I think are fascinating conversations

about morality and I know my good friend Eric has thought deeply about this for a

long time and so we're gonna see if we are on the same page at all and kind of

roll it around um we will be allowing towards the end of the conversation if anyone wants to

submit a Super Chat we will be answering questions either for Eric or for myself as long as they are respectful and we

won't be asking any answering questions today about either our extended families so you know within those parameters we'd

love to to hear what you guys have to say but Eric morality

so I know I warned you in advance that one of the things I was just going to ask you was

how do you see it and I think that you've actually prepared a little bit of something for us to come to talk through

are you comfortable how about you layout for us how you see Morality In A Brief sense

and then we'll use that as a springboard does that sound good yeah I'd I'd love to to take one step

back even from that and to have one different conversation first and then $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

jump into morality if that's okay let's do it actually can I can we do two little subjects first uh because I feel

like you know that I you know we've had this conversation I disagree every time

I hear you say on your channel a former Christian examining the claims of Christians sure and that's my first

every time you say that I'm like oh I just I cringe and uh I go you know that

according to Christianity Christianity is a relationship with Jesus Christ and now you would say I thought I had one

but I didn't have one so it's not like somebody can say I used to be a Christian they can only say I thought I

was a Christian and so it's really and and just like we're gonna have a conversation on morality and these these details kind of matter I go that detail

actually matters because you're you're you're you're not being honest you're

being immoral when you if I can start off right in that sure when you present the idea I used to be a Christian and

 $now \ l'm \ not \ and \ l'm \ examining \ the \ claims \ of \ Christians \ so \ automatically \ l \ go \ man \ l \ you're \ my \ friend \ l've \ told \ you \ this$

offline talk about it online uh somebody who's a Christian is somebody who has a

 $relationship\ with\ Jesus\ Christ\ who\ has\ made\ God\ the\ Lord\ of\ their\ reasoning\ and\ so\ for\ you\ to\ now\ reason\ that\ he\ is\ not$

you can't logically say that you used to be a Christian logically all you can say is I used to be deluded into thinking I

was and now I'm no longer in your mind deluded I'm not a Christian does that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

well this means up our whole conversation because that means you and I are no longer defining Christianity

the same way right and so it does make it does make weirdly sense that it's your label to adopt and so you should be

able to Define it the trouble is I talk to so many Christians and everyone defines Christian Christianity

differently so for me Christianity I believed I was a Christian but right

now I think if the definition of Christianity is someone in relationship with a risen Jesus then I don't think

anyone is a Christian right because I don't I think you are deluding yourself

into that as well I agree but you would also have to say if that is what a Christian is you can only say you were

deluded you cannot say that you are a Christian right that is well

uh yes that that would be correct that I was deluded so I I held I have thought about it's a little wordy it's not good

for Branding but I have thought about using a former a former person who used the label of Christian because that's

what I did I used the label of Christian right now if anyone comes to me with a label of Christian

I now no longer know true Scotsman that right like when I was a Christian I would have said like a lot of Catholics

I know aren't Christians and definitely JW's aren't Christians and even you know a lot of very liberal people they they

say they're Christians but I wouldn't have agreed so on on this side of the fence now I just like if you use the label you use

the label um so do you think how how would I best clarify myself in a

way that would be palpable to someone like you if when I say that I

formally use the label of Christian would that is that more palpable or the question is do you want to be consistent I mean and if we're standing again we're going to literally be talking about two different World Views here we're going to be talking about a Biblical worldview and we're going to be talking about a non-biblical worldview and I go if you want to stand on the non-biblical worldview you don't even have to be consistent there's no there's no reason there's no there's nothing holding you

to consistency just like we're going to talk about morality and there's nothing on this worldview there's nothing holding you to morality if we're standing on the biblical review where we do want to be consistent and we do want to have truth I go yeah it would make sense to borrow the Christian worldview and put it over here it would make sense to be consistent and the only way to be consistent is to claim I used to be deluded into thinking I had a relationship with Christ now I know I do not because I don't believe that he is the savior or the Messiah or risen and so I'm now no longer deluded that's the only consistent way and you can try to try to flower that up to make it more palatable but that's the only consistent thing I feel like that uh that may if you heard someone say that though you that that's kind of insulting into Christianity and I l of course just like you want to be welcoming to

that that's kind of insulting into Christianity and I I of course just like you want to be welcoming to non-believers or do you think that is a welcoming statement for me to say I think it's a blessing I mean how many pastors have gone from preaching in the Pulpit to now no longer preaching in the pulpit and I go wow Paul I'm thankful that you now know that you do not have a relationship with God I'm thankful that you understand this truth so and God has given you more time so that you still can come to that knowledge right

I've taken off my sheep's clothing as it were I'm no longer a wolf in sheep's clothing and as the Bible used to talk about I'm now just the wolf well that's a that's a good way to put

it and even Matthew 7 21 the scariest verse in the Bible many are going to come to me and say didn't I do all these things and he's going to stay depart

from me I never knew you so exactly I think there's many in the church that are deluded into thinking they are Christian that's the way I was I was raised in a Christian home I mean raised by two amazing parents that loved me that loved God that that wanted the best for my life that instilled a lot of Truth in me and I started questioning things at 18 years old and started going on this journey to to know is this is this actually true is what I'm being taught true is is there another way that's true and uh I go if I would have died at 18 I don't think I would have been a Believer I didn't get saved until watching hell's best kept secret by Ray comfort and realizing the modern gospel come to Jesus because he wants to give you a good life and the and the biblical gospel man we are all sinners who need a savior I I my I need saved from my bad works and I need saved from my good

works because my my good works are not good compared to the Holiness of God so it really

um and again I know we're we're kind of we're trying to get some morality but that's right that distinction I guess yeah it's your show we can go wherever we want right um that distinction of I used to be a Christian to me that's the real slap in the face to real Christians because you cannot say I used to be a Christian

because a Christian is somebody who has a relationship with Jesus Christ so that to me the slap on the face and I get it it's good for Branding it sounds great it it might um be more persuasive to

people if you will to say I used to be where you're at now I know better it's kind of what it sounds like because there you know some people use the opposite I used to be an atheist and now I'm no longer an atheist so I think there's there's um yeah it works well for least Roble and Jay Werner Wallace yeah and so I

don't know if you make fun of them maybe you ought to make fun of yourself too oh I I'm all for that so I'm over there and actually yeah and I'm not I hope I'm not being I when when presented with that I I I'll

take that on board absolutely I you know I was deluded then and I think you are too so uh so yeah so all good um that was topic one you wanted to cover apparently I've given you pain Point number two let's cover that what is that that's it pain Point number two

well that is just the the Common Ground what common ground are we going to use

to even have this conversation and uh to get to Common Ground you and I have talked about this briefly over the years one time a little bit in depth several years ago but that gets down to how do we know anything to be real and I have broken it down into like a simple three-step process and I thought man it takes like three or four minutes to hit this three-step process you already know it so you kind of know what that three-step process is oh I don't need those yet so okay um no worries um but in that three-step process I think it lays it I should have

I actually have slides for this I should have made those but um in the three-step process it really

helps us understand what Foundation what do we need to have this Common Ground so you've heard the argument but let's just walk through it for people that haven't heard it if you could be wrong about something can you claim that you know that it's true is the first thought experiment we do so if I said the speed limit's 35 miles an hour on a road over here but I could be wrong if I say I could be wrong do I know what the speed limit actually is the answer is well uh so you and I

let's not just give me we were going to do a long fight about this and maybe we can if you want to but I think knowledge is Justified true belief and I think you can actually know something and still be entirely uncertain about it so um yeah I don't want to stop on your

steps unless you want to pause there and talk about that I think that comes down to the foundation of the issue of can we have any absolute certainty yeah and I I I don't think absolute certainty is uh

necessary or achievable and that very claim is an absolute claim

uh yeah not because I'm not absolutely certain about it that's an absolute it's

an absolute claim but if you said Paul are you absolutely certain about that I've said no because I don't

feel absolutely so I'm I'm open to being wrong about that right but the the logical fallacy that

we undergo because if we can't get down to it we can actually have truth we can have we can have real truth real

you know true belief we can have knowledge and we don't have a basis for knowledge well then

sitting here and talking about morality is if we don't ground it in something we're

 $gonna\ we're\ talking\ we're\ talking\ which\ candy\ bar\ do\ you\ like\ the\ best\ which\ is\ the\ best$

flavor of ice cream or what's our favorite colors it just turns into this

it turns into this personal preference thing if we don't find a way to ground it

does that make sense uh it does make sense and I actually do think that morality is closer to the candy bar

thing sorry about my puppy it's just the way people are walking their dogs right now she's crazy

um I do think morality is closer to the candy bar than the than the absolute

certain knowledge but um let's let's get in some definitions so that we are actually on the same page uh react truth is what truth is that which conforms to the mind of God

all right and so I think truth is that which conforms to reality so you're not we're

what is reality reality who's who's reality uh

there is only one reality is your reality God doesn't exist uh

right and so one of us is wrong about that for sure one of us is really wrong I would go with you there like one of us absolutely so um the way we just we just said absolutely

see this is the problem just hit the issue right right there

yeah absolutely somebody's writing somebody's wrong that right there undermines your very

claim that we can't know absolute right and wrong no that that just means that we live in a world

where I have presupposed laws of non-contradiction is that absolutely true

no I presupposed it I've presupposed because I have yet absolutely true that you are presupposing it

no you could convince so I I didn't used to think that I was presupposing it and the philosopher actually convinced me

that I was and I'm I'm sure probably could be convinced otherwise that I'm not presupposing it but as to

the best information that I've got I'm presupposing yeah yeah and that's that's again where this

problem comes down because we're going to be talking in terms of absolute while you're denying absolute so we're we're going to be constantly having this illogical conversation and going back and forth between these two World Views and it ends up creating lots and lots of confusion let me um

yeah that's what I wanted you to do without my weird interruptions

so if I claim I could be wrong about something I can't claim that I know that it's true

because if I could if I claim I could be wrong about something I cannot claim that I know that it's true so kind of

that's a that's a simple thought process um so the the next thought on this

is out of all the knowledge in the universe I mean out of all chemistry and astronomy and uh physics and you know computer science women's Brains men's Brains your dog's brain where every nut is buried from every squirrel in history throughout all time like out of everything there is to know how much knowledge do we actually have and we would say very very little let's pretend it's one percent

let's pretend we think we've got one percent is it possible out of the 99 of

knowledge that we don't have that something could contradict or prove wrong the one percent that we think that we do have well the answer would to be yes it could therefore we could be wrong about the

one percent of knowledge that we think we have and if we could be wrong can we claim that we know that it's true no we can't now here's the problem logically we've come to the conclusion we could be wrong about the one percent if we could be wrong about the one percent that means we don't even know the one percent well that would mean we don't know anything at all the problem is that's an absurdity that leaves us in absurdity world and

philosophers throughout time have only discovered two ways to get out of absurdity World Way number one you can have all knowledge and if you had all knowledge you could never be wrong about something because you know it all and the only way to know something and not be wrong about it in other words have absolute certainty is to know everything or you have to know someone who knows everything and the one who knows everything can reveal knowledge to you so the Christian claim is obviously we don't know everything the only way actually this would be

Christian or non-Christian the only way to have knowledge is if it's revealed by the one who knows

everything and cannot be wrong now that brings up multiple questions that goes along a whole line of thought

that I know we've kind of gone down before but the foundation the grounding

for any knowledge claim has to start with the one who knows

everything revealing truth to us so if we don't start there now now we're in absurdity world of I'm

absolutely sure that I don't have any absolutes I'm I I know that I don't know

anything for sure we end up in absurdity world and the only way out of absurdity world is

start with the one who knows everything revealing truth to us so that's my

Foundation is we have a foundation of I have to start with the one who knows everything revealing truth to us so that we can know things otherwise and we all know

things you do know things Paul you know we're talking right now it's not a you're not wondering that you're not wondering if you're a brain and

you know you're here so I'd say everybody has knowledge and

um everybody knows uh things and that's impossible without the one who knows

everything revealing truth to us so that's my little argument so that actually is going to illustrate where I

hope we can get to morality maybe we'll never get there who knows um so you defined for me

uh what knowledge is and that is conforming or something conforming to the mind of

God something along that line right truth is so

um do you know this isn't meant to be like begging the question

is when is a logical fallacy when you assume the

conclusion in a premise right the problem is

the impossibility of the contrary if I don't start with God

now I'm in this weird world absurdity world of I know that I don't know

anything I'm sure that I'm not sure so say it again so let's just stick with

truth right now so you're you know again you're appealing to a lot of people's intuitions about knowledge and

intuitions about these kind of things but again they haven't most people including myself

haven't thought deeply about these things but when you think deeply about truth and you say well truth instead of I usually used to would have held the truth is that which conforms the mind of God but if instead you define it as

truth is that which conforms to reality that is more that is an equal

intuition when you when you ask people about to Define reality they'll nod

their head at that as oh yeah intuitively I think that's what I'm talking about when I say truth when they

haven't thought about it I can I can kind of use that definition truth there is that which conforms to

reality to reality but you can't stop there as God sees it so just add as God sees

it because then you're still begging the question no I'm showing it's not begging the question to say that it's actually the impossibility of the contrary because the argument I just gave so if you don't start with the one who knows everything you can't have any knowledge no but you are begging the question because you are

if you want to test is does God exist you cannot start with the premise that includes God so I think you would agree that if God is real the question does God exist is and turns

into very quickly an absurdity when you realize that without the one who knows everything I.E God you can't know anything all right so so we need to shift knowledge it makes sense because I I understand you are using different definitions than me but appealing to the same intuition that I have and the same intuition that people are listening to you have and that's their intuition about reality intuition about knowledge knowledge

again if you're basing it on a definition that includes God then you then you have to say it your way however if you present people as that knowledge is Justified true belief and that's what most of philosophy goes with that if you explain that to people that also matches their intuition and then your but your conversation

about knowledge no longer holds up because in a Justified true belief situation my dog can know that there is food in her dish but she's not necessarily confident

about that she's not necessarily have any epistemic um justification for it because

and you and then you throw in can you be wrong about anything you know and in terms justify true belief I can't be wrong about anything I actually know because even if I have like only one percent confidence in it it's still actually correct so there can't under under Justified true belief definition

nothing out in the universe can contradict something I know it can only contradict something I think I know which is actually what you conflated you kind of did a bait and switch there you said can you be wrong with anything you think you know and absolutely you can so that's so now you got an absolute so

it's absolutely true that you can be wrong about what you think you know so again the the problem is like knowledge justifies under under just by true belief definition but you have to you have to Define true and Truth is that which conforms to reality as God sees it well but then you've included if if God is real you don't actually need that part right if God is real my definition of Truth

doesn't need that but again the idea that God is not the idea that the one who knows everything does not exist leaves us in absurdity world so the very positing of the

question if God is real if the one who knows everything really does exist it's

absurd deposit that he doesn't exist because now we're stuck in the Absurd world if I don't know anything but that's all fine because it's still a premise we can test if God is real we can still test it even if asking the question is absurd well I think there's there's

this argument shows us that if you do not start with God all you can do is absurd talk all you have is absurdity I know that I don't know uh I'm I can only have one percent uh certainty but I can

still be certain that's not certainty that's that's not what I said I can still know

it because knowledge is Justified true belief certainty doesn't come into the equation about knowledge that's where that's where the difference lies so if you could be wrong about if you

could be wrong about something can you claim that you know that it's true can you call it knowledge if it could be wrong you're saying yes I could be I the I'm gonna make a claim the moon classic

example the moon is made of green cheese but I could be wrong therefore do I know that the Moon is great made of green cheese no because if you could be wrong

you don't know it that that's only that's that's your definition of knowledge but under Justified true

belief the knowledge is based on whether the claim is is true or not

and what is true and there's a there's another layer and what is what conforms to reality so is the moon made of cheese

does that conform to reality or not and a person could say no it's not made

of cheese and be be right and know that without justification and without

confidence they can still know it so you well the trouble is the only

difference you want to make this line but you want

to conflate thinking you know something and knowing something and those so can we can we know anything

Paul can we know anything to be absolutely certain because earlier you said no

I certainty this is where certainty and knowledge are not related

certainty and knowledge are not related in in in can I be can I be certain that

Elvis Presley is the current president of the United States can I be certain of that you could be very certain about that you

could be have confidence about that Galore is is can it can can my uh

is it true that Elvis Presley is the current president of the United States

it is not and you're absolutely sure of that you're certain of that no because

certainty doesn't play into it so you're not certain that Elvis Presley is not the current

president of the United States I am confident that Elvis Presley is not the president United States and I

believe that I know that but belief that I know that is not the same as knowing it

right so can we have certainty in anything no are you certain of that

no there's the problem we keep going down

I don't value certainty anymore you you value certainty in a way that I do not

but it and it's because and that is because honestly and I'm I'm we're friends so we're I'm I'm this

isn't like I gotcha thing that reveals foolishness it is like if you if I if I

took that clip out just now and put that up on Instagram as a real Christians would love it you know are you certain

of anything no you know can you have certainly you said no are you certain of that you're like no it's like

it's it I I don't know I I wish you could see the absurdity of that view of

that of that thinking because to and you have to do that and I get you because to deny the one who knows everything

you are left in foolish thinking that's all that's that's the only thing that's left is

foolishness at that point so I think what we've demonstrated though and this is where I hope to get with morality is

that we are because we are not using the same definitions for words like I will never use as my definition

of reality that which conforms to the mind of God as long as I don't believe in God

like I so as long as we will talk in circles this way and feel like the other person is being ridiculous

because we're not using the same definitions

that we think we are we intuitively understand and that's why the conversation has to

start with how do we know anything can we know anything can we have certainty is there any absolute truth is there

anything that is absolutely true there there is but we will but that's

unrelated to personal certainty and we know anything that is absolutely

true we we can but that is again we can't be

certain we might be so give me a give me a

what is something that is absolutely true

what is something that's absolutely true that I just that thought just ran through my head

is a thing that I can is that is absolutely true because the only according to Justified

Justified true belief I'm justified in thinking that because I the only thing I

can really be certain of is the content of my own thoughts but again you can have certainty now

about your own thoughts the that's the thing I can be most certain about when you're let's say it

that way yeah that sort of falls apart again like you you know can you be certain of anything you said no and now

you're arguing I can be you know you made the claim I could be certain about my own thoughts but then that goes

against your earlier claim so now you have to go well I can be mostly certain well this is part of the problem with the language about this is that we we

use these colloquial phrases and that's how it's easy to trip people up in this

they do think about they do think about these their own maximum certainty as absolutely even if

there is a degree of certainty you know above that also people tend to

be overly certain about things like they can be 100 convinced of a thing

um well I I feel like you are using certainty and confidence uh as synonyms

no can we have absolute certainty and you would say no and I would ask are

you absolutely certain of that and you would have to say no and that means we can have absolute certainty that's a contradiction oh but I said no we can't have certainty

right and then I said are you certain that we cannot have certainty and you're going to say no I'm not certain of that that means therefore it is possible that we could have certainty it is possible absolutely and you could convince me you could convince me that there's such a thing I would say a very statement we cannot have certainty

is in you're not you're having to dismiss it because it's it's

um it's an absurdity because to to stay consistent to hold your feet to stand on

your own foundation and be consistent you'd have to say I'm certain that I'm not certain of anything I know that I

don't have certainty and I at the same time I don't know it just it leaves you

in this absurdity world it leaves you in this in this world it doesn't make any sense it leads me in a world that is honest

and consistent with my definitions of these words and with philosopher's definitions these words that's why I'm going we keep pumping heads because

consistent those those words have meaning that that

mean the exact opposite of what you're what you're claiming I can't have certain certainty honest consistent

those are those are based on like a having certainty and you're claiming assistance consistency does nothing to a

certain consistency is whether act whether proposition a and proposition B

are compatible that's that's consistency and honesty is about whether I am saying

the same things that I hold to be true like those are those are so those are not conflating things those are

different words of different I mean it's not it is not consistent to

say we cannot have certainty and I'm not certain of that

that's not that's that's not consistent

okay so intellectually honest is is different than honest that those are that's I'm just saying I think that when people posit that I think I think either they are deluded into

into trying to hold these two views that don't go together in their mind uh I think it could be uh sometimes I think

it's it's self-delusion they don't want these things to be true so I think it could be a variety of things there but

anyway it that's where to have a conversation on morality we gotta we have to we have our conversation

based on can we find this can can we know what it is can we be certain about what these are or is this again just

flavors of ice cream and favorite candy bar and you're gonna tell me why you think kitkat's good and I'm gonna be

like no Reese's is good think about this flavor and it's like who cares then everybody gets to pick their own candy $\frac{1}{2}$

bar let everybody be happy so in a way I'm actually with you on that

but uh well I'm not saying that that's yeah I'm saying that's your claim that's not mine no I understand no so but you

actually would want you're you're disparaging that view all right

and and you're like I have no problem with that view like you're you're so you and I would have very different characterizations of whether that view is a good one or not and because the definition of good is where

we we need to go eventually so let alone well that's where we have to found have a foundation of what truth

is so we it's it's hard to have even have this conversation and you've heard this before it's like okay Paul to have

this conversation of morality I'm gonna let you stand on the Bible and have this conversation and and just for sake of

the the show we'll move on but I'm going to let you stand here that we that there is certainty that there is even such a

thing as morality to have this conversation I mean the conversation assumes the morality exists

on on who can account for Morality where we're assuming something what is what is

morality then you know is anything absolutely right or wrong oh so I don't think so this is what this is your task

to convince me that something is wrong no put that on me man all right not

something that I'm responsible for and uh you know I I know that you I know you've done this before you

know you uh the Holy Spirit would be the eventually the person who would eventually if I become convinced would be the person

that does that I understand that's not your time I can yeah I cannot open the eyes of the blind no exactly so I so I

don't yeah that would be unfair to put that on you however yeah um

again I I feel like and this is where I was hoping that we could discover the fact that we disagree with morality

and yet we share some common intuition about morality is because we don't Define morality the same way

do do you have a definition of morality that you operate under

yeah I would say morality is um the nature and character of God

so when you whenever you talk about morality in all contexts what you are talking about is the the nature of God

the nature and the character of God yeah so to give an example why is lying

wrong God did not arbitrarily say you know I got an idea to make humans uh flourish

on Earth because I'm I'm giving them the command to to fill the Earth in order to do this you know what

I can see down the road if they lie to each other that's going to cause mistrust and boy that could man things

could go wrong if they do that so I'm gonna I'm gonna go ahead and start off by saying somewhere back here you know a couple thousand years after I start

Humanity hey let's let's not do the lying thing that's not why God didn't decide to make lying wrong lying is

wrong is because God is not a liar stealing is wrong not because God said

oh well I want personal property rights and I want stealing is wrong because God is not a thief adultery he didn't look

down through the Echoes of time and go you know what ah if if they start you know enjoying each other's uh wives and

even people that aren't their wives and they they start having sex outside of marriage and stuff you know that could cause some relational conflict no

adultery is wrong because God is perfectly faithful so there's a

grounding to why we have good and bad and that is in the nature and the character of God himself so we have to

ground things morally and it like for example if I if I took your answer to to

my to the question is anything absolutely right or wrong or wrong and you said no I'm like the conversation's

really over at that point isn't it I mean there's if there's there is no morality then

um if nothing is absolutely right or wrong well that's what but there is

but you would you would agree and you would appeal to normally that people have intuitions about what they think morality is right like that's that's I would say that God has written his law

on every man's heart on every man's conscience yes a Biblical principle is God has written his law on every man's

heart everybody Romans chapter everybody knows right and wrong even those that didn't have the law all the way back through time they they knew they understood uh uh morality because it's written on their heart yeah right yeah

so that's that's a way you can convince someone like me perhaps like that's an

appeal but we might I can't convince you of anything no no but but when you're if you're wanting to appeal to me to bring me closer to your side you'd say hey Paul we we both share at least this

intuition about what's what we think is right and wrong right like that's a that's a bit of a common ground that you

might sometimes appeal to or so you would agree you would agree with me that

written on man's heart his his not not his there's not a physical writing on my

physical heart in here that we have a a somehow a non-material intuition not

made of the material world of things that are right and wrong you would agree with that

so yes I agree that we have an intuition about what's right and wrong and that is not Material in nature

well I feel like it comes from our thoughts and and thoughts are merely events and and so like they're based in

material so let's like let's not get there that's where it goes man you know

it this is this no but but the trouble is my intuition so but then I that's when I start to think to myself Paul

what do you mean when you Intuit that something is right and wrong

what and so for you right it sounds like right would be conforming to the Mind character of God

and something wrong would be something that is in conflict with the character of God is that fair

that'd be a simple way to yeah I don't even mind you can go to the dictionary I even brought and now the dictionary has changed uh the meanings of different words over time I brought my uh 1891 dictionary here what they what they uh they used to say but uh but yeah I mean right is that which uh comports with the character of God wrong is that which goes against the character of God I mean I don't even mind dictionary definitions you know of morally good because again we're getting down to what is morality Justified what is acceptable what is morally correct or honorable those those

ideas still stem from a an absolute SIM from a something that is not subjective

it's not flavors of candy bars it's not um it's not flavors of ice cream it's not favorite colors it's some kind of

standard yeah do humans have intuitions about non-moral things

such as I don't know do we have any like do we have intuitions that we should eat

food do we have intuitions that were that we should drink water do we have intuitions

about anything um would you call those intuitions about

eating food and drinking water I don't know if I'd call those intuitions um well that's why I didn't want to like

do you think there's anything in the same class of our intuition is about morality about us

that is that is written on our heart I'd say it's written on our heart that God exists we know that

um all we can do is suppress that truth I'd say it's written on our again the the okay

love your enemy and said hey hate your enemy would be a you know an intuition that's written or excuse me uh um love people would be something that we know we should do we don't always do it we disobey our own conscience I think that's a category of morality so I'll I guess abandon that so when I'm healing when

I'm feeling well I think those other things are intuitions right they're things that we innately

do and we do with shorthands we do with heuristics we're not thinking about breathing we're not thinking so when

we're underwater right like we intuitively our bodies you know go for air our bodies

um and I feel like morality is in that same category because

when when I when I think about right and wrong I think about just just something harm

someone I think about the second commandment never the first I love their neighbors

yourself so I when I think of something right I think about that something that is affirming others and that is uh reducing harm and when I think about something as being bad I think about that as causing harm or or reducing uh pleasure reduce not uh you know well-being not pleasure so much but well-being

in in that Realm my instincts the the Christian has a

have Christians have appealed to with me in the past those actually those intuitions make

equal sense under that framework and under that framework

then I'm defining morality as the second commandment essentially that

which doesn't you know that which uh helps my fellow human flourish and which

reduces harm and increases well-being I know that we are where the imperfection

comes obviously is our ability to predict how those things are and the competition and you would call this sin

nature but I would just call it you know our self-preservation versus group preservation

um if we're I don't need you to greet that definition at all but I guess I'm just

wondering is it does it make any sense to you that that for

it is a world view that is coherent

uh and consistent with these intuitions or do you think or you

think no I even made a sign for this Paul cool

says who who had the meeting that decided this

when was the meeting and why wasn't I invited like said you know what so when you think about whether something when you think about whether something's right or wrong and when I think about whether something's right or wrong you have in your head a bit of the notion of this like something that the Bible wouldn't speak on directly so you know is Al good or bad what's that it gave us all the principles that we need biblically it

did and God wrote that on our heart yeah ABS absolutely okay it's hard for me I can't even get off

that because deposit anything other than that is we get into this absurdity world now where it's it's arbitrary I mean like like again I just I would hold this up and say so it's like that's arbitrary who who cares what you think morality is

Paul well because if if the appeal if the appeal is and maybe you're not making

this appeal but people try to convert me and others by

appeal by appealing to our common Instinct about what morality is and what

you what they would tell me is what's written on my heart and if if you're trying to and they're

trying to say that is written in your heart is evidence of a god right

um or at least I shouldn't ask you to confirm what other people tell me because that's not fair but when people

are trying to convert me and convince me that they use a moral argument for God

if there are other things that explain my intuitions in an equal way

it no longer is a argument for God and so I guess maybe you don't make this

argument for God yeah you can't even say equal way because you're dealing with a complete

you're dealing with a complete again it's an arbitrary it's an absurdity it's

a flavor versus an absolute so you can't say it's equal then nothing equals that

that's the problem there is no equal to the absolute the one who knows everything you can't you can't get above that you can't get around that you can't get by that you can't there's no way to go I'm going to take my arbitrary opinion and I'm just going to sit here and go says who who cares I mean I it doesn't matter what you think it's it's

irrelevant if you don't have an objective standard if you don't if you're not basing it on an absolute

nothing is equal to an absolute but so if I had thought to make a sign

my sign would say who cares if there's I almost played that one for you because I

made I made one that says why as well because that's the other question that keeps coming up well why why why is that the standard why why did you pick that

as the standard why why why and then the next question was says who well and then that is who cares

um like I am I am because I am unconvinced that there is an absolute

and I what I need to do is someone who attempts to be intellectually honest and

this is what I'm trying to have this conversation to to get some pushback is am I am I missing something am I am I doing

this wrong are there facts about are there facts about the universe that I can test

with using predictive power that would inform me that absolute morality is anything

different than just intuition and yeah and a desire for it to be that way you're denying the creator of it all

you're denying the one who made it all you're denying and and that's where I'd say you know you you really

is it denying or is it testing is it is it denying or is it just seeking affirmation of

no you you are actively denying I mean watch your videos go back and watch them you're actively denying God you're not

just contesting it you're not just saying I'm not sure you're actively denying him and and it makes sense

because those who are not regenerated are the Bible says at enmity with God it's not just that you're wondering if

God exists it's like God says no you're actively fighting against me and I'd say anybody that watches your channel would

say boy it sure seems like Paul is actively fighting against God this is not a I'm in a search to find seems like

no this is an act of fighting against and that's again what scripture says those are not for me or against me it's not like there is no neutral ground

there's no there's no I'm going to be I'm neutral on this one is there such a thing is there such a thing as a

hypothetical person whose faith is in God and yet still wants to maybe test whether these things are true or or the

second you start to test it you fall a note of that category well again I what does scriptures say

lean not on your own understanding and all your ways acknowledge Him and He Shall direct thy paths so I'm going to take every thought captive and make it

obedient to Christ as a Christian I'm going to do what I believe I'm gonna I'm

gonna submit my thinking I mean because this is the sin in the Garden of Eden Satan said you know God said this Satan said this and he was like let me decide and that's that's the same thing I mean you're my friend that's the same thing you're falling for Paul you're calling for the same lie of I'm going to be the Arbiter I mean and and this gets into if

I if I go okay let's not Grant the Christian worldview let's stand strictly on the materialistic atheistic worldview

now we've got a whole bunch of problems because you're right my intuitions or my thoughts are just chemical reactions those aren't right or wrong the words right and wrong don't apply to chemical

reactions you can't even they make no sense well eventually if under my definition of

right or wrong they can but that's fine so can I do this I do have a slide on a

series of slots can I so you gave your definition of morality as an atheist um and it was uh right is that which

doesn't harm um and bad is what causes harms right is uh something about helping encourages

human flourishing so yeah so yeah now there's lots of there's lots of problems with that we could say okay let's grab that let's look at the problems but let me first go okay let me pull up there's an uh a guy who was an atheist let me go

to this slide real quick um and now you'll have to forgive me this

is a long quote but let me uh let me let me read this quote okay he had just told the story of

he had just told the story of attempting to murder his father with a ball-peen hammer while his father was sleeping and

he says this did I mention that I was an atheist I understand that most of you atheists

out there live perfectly normal lives but I can never understand why you would

want to think about it we've got this massive universe and over here is a tiny little

crumb of a galaxy out of one of the Spiral arms of this galaxy is a

thoroughly unremarkable ball of hot gas circling this ball of hot gas is a

pathetic Speck of cosmic dust we call Earth and crawling all over the Earth

are these feeble selfish self-destructive lumps of cells

consistently diluting themselves into thinking that what they do is so important but the universe couldn't

conceivably care less whether you love your neighbor as yourself or tortures

them to death for fun so you might as well do whatever you feel like doing with a little bit of

time you've got and what are my atheist friends doing going to do with their 80

years or so let me guess you're going to go to school for a while then get a job work for a few decades maybe pick up a

family along the way then retire and die of old age or some illness

how original free thinkers huh believe it or not some people don't want to live

like cattle some people don't want to follow this pattern that we're all expected to mindlessly follow some would rather bash a man's head in or shoot up a theater or walk down their school hallways stabbing people and why shouldn't they because it's wrong says who your grandma

or should they try not to hurt people because people have intrinsic value here I thought human beings are nothing but machines for propagating DNA most people don't want to kill and Slaughter but for those who do our

civilization is rapidly destroying any significant reason they might have or resisting the urge to kill and Slaughter

young people are lining up to dance to the music of their DNA all you can do

now is hope that they get tackled when they stop to reload or that they make some huge blunder when their bloodbath begins I made a huge blunder when my bloodbath began I underestimated the amount of damage a human head could endure crushed skulls can apparently be pieced Back Together by doctors my dad had brain damage but he survived the attack I was taken to a mental hospital and later to jail Jail's a place to sit

back and reflect on the things you've done you've got plenty of time to sit back and think why did I get caught what steps can I take to avoid getting caught next time and without all the empty repetitive tasks that ordinarily keep you mentally sedated you've got plenty of time to figure out what's important to you the

most important thing to me was not being a slave to people from whom I had nothing but contempt but people had controlled me in various ways throughout my life and this meant that they would need to be taught a lesson I had a list of people going back to kindergarten who are going to be brutally murdered

and I go Paul why can't that be the foundation if it's just ice cream

flavors he happens to like Rocky Road yep nothing wrong with that yep so

I love David wood David wood uh he is his family and his story is very

inspiring I think you know a little bit you must know a little bit about him if you've put put that up there

um David wood as you know though has been this is not said to be disparaging

in any way because he talks about it all the time he's been diagnosed with socio sociopathy

and so his his brain chemistry is definitely

operating you know when you talk about fizzing and whatever um in a way that is

not not atypical that's the word I'm looking for so would you say not good or

maybe that's the evolution that maybe we need to allow his genes to propagate the society maybe that's actually the next evolutionary step granting the evolution there you go so that you actually are leading to exactly where I would go is that um the basis the the basis why my

definition for Morality works is that as a social species we actually do correct

for for behavior that counters against the group in the short term individuals

can and will do certain things that are counter to group well-being

but over the long term we come together

uh any social species not just Humanity comes together to to rein in and limit

um this kind of behavior like someone someone like that someone who's going to be caught

always selfish will not have as many kids uh you know you might point to well

Genghis Khan acted that way and he actually has more kids than anyone in the whole world um yes but his Reign was put to his Reign was put to an end uh and so you know I feel like the evolution that

doesn't mean what he was doing was wrong and that's called what you're doing is the naturalistic fallacy again simply hold up my sign says who who but I said

it's wrong according to um it's it's only wrong if you define

definition wrong the way I did and the only thing keeping that definition in

check is survival and we don't we can absolutely ignore it but then our

species will go extinct and any species any social species that ignores it

uh explain that to me it's uh the fallacy it's an informal logical fallacy if something is natural

it must be good and it's kind of closely related to the is ought fallacy no because I don't think that's what I was saying it ought to be the case that it survives but that's not that's not what I said I

didn't say it was I hope it's not what I said you you've now given me something to think about

um I hope that's not what I said when I said it doesn't it's not good or bad whether the species goes extinct the universe cares not whether Humanity survives or not but it's just a fact of reality that in

order to if if we want to survive that's then then this is the way we need

to go and you just did it you just did it you said what is the case and then it

it and implied that it ought to be the case that we ought to survive it is the case is ought fallacy let me let me uh

let me make sure I'm being clear so if we want to survive then we ought to do this the but there's

no obligation to want to survive so now it's arbitrary again now we don't have anything else I do think it's arbitrary

yeah and there were there definitely would have been species that didn't value survival or the hypothetically

it's very it's it's incredible entirely possible that there were species that didn't value survival and it makes

logical sense that such a species would wouldn't survive right wouldn't last

which yeah you don't get good and bad from that though you can't no you still can't use these so you still can't use the words good and bad at all let's talk about the word ought in general I don't think there can be the word

ought applies to anything without a conditional if that we agree to First and ought not

correct ought implying what you should do ought not implying what you shouldn't do right and so

so if you want to if you want to win them nothing if if you want to win the race you should run fast

but if you don't care about winning the race then there is no ought at all

and if they're okay and if they're yeah so you're making the point if there is no ultimate our species should survive

ought to survive there you cannot there is no oughts you shouldn't do these

things and there's no ought Notch you shouldn't not do these things raping and what the transgender

lady did in Tennessee of shooting and killing six people three nine-year-olds

and three adults that's just what she did I mean there's no uh she's sure there's no ought not

because that's what she wanted to do there's no Universal lot not the universe doesn't care that that happened $\frac{1}{2}$

but humans care if humans flourish so who cares humans the humans that care

will care and the humans that don't care won't care

yeah who cares who cares and who doesn't care it doesn't matter uh the universe doesn't yeah that makes

the conversation irrelevant that makes this entire conversation irrelevant because what you're doing is going how

do I get more people to care about human flourishing even though human human flourishing doesn't really matter but it matters to me therefore my DNA is going to try to make people care about human flourishing that's actually not my uh

that's not my goals is to make someone care my my question was are the

intuitions that seem to overlap among humans does that is

that only explained with God or are there other explanations that are equally plausible or at least

consistent with the evidence if maybe maybe they have you might say they have less explanatory power or there's some others uh circumstance um oops I accidentally that was

not intended there um let me let me play for you I want to

quickly Play a clip of you and just hope wondering if you how you feel about it

uh in the light of the time are you comfortable with that I have a feeling that based on the chord

I just read I'll be just fine with my uh with my statement

uh podcast with a couple atheists and they asked me they said Eric if you were not a Christian would that would that

let you just do anything you want to do I said it's not the same shirt is it you're not wearing either you've got a

new version of this shirt yeah I think that's a is that a black one but no this is a newer shirt thank you that was that was a several years ago so I have a

closet of 30 of the same shirts so I yeah you wait buddy when when you get socks that

are older than your kids you're just like wow absolutely I

absolutely no restraints at all I would do whatever I want and that's where we

get lots of you guys get lots of testimonies I'd be in jail if I wasn't a Christian I'd be breaking out of jail if

I wasn't a Christian I would have gotten to counterfeiting so I assume that's still kind of where

you sit in your life or do you or do you or would you revise that a little bit no I think what David Woods said Echoes it

as well like it doesn't make sense I mean I would try not to get caught but

if there is no standard if there's no why not defraud people why not build a

pyramid screen scheme and use my charismatic personality to try to to try to win people over and actually fleece

the money out of them and not get and try not to get caught there's no reason not to like why not

okay do you accept that there are plenty of people who don't

rely on the same God that or actively suppress God let me phrase it that way there are lots of people actively suppress God and yet don't do those things

well when you understand that morality is the character and nature of God and the part of the character and nature of God is to glorify himself nobody can be unless what we're doing is for the glory

of God it cannot be moral that's why I say sometimes not only do we need to be saved from our bad works the battle we've done we need to be saved from our good works because we think that we can be pretty good without God and God says no your good stuff is as filthy rags to me I don't care if you helped an

old woman across the street if you're not doing that for my glory it's not it's not done even in the right way so

so you can't even have morality apart from the god of the Bible

so but you do you feel like really if if You Were Somehow to become

convinced that God doesn't likely exist tomorrow

but that you would go on some kind of

stealing Killing Spree like you you honestly feel like you would be that unrestrained I don't know that killing

would be my my Mo but if my DNA you know was a serial killer DNA well then I'm

just dancing to my DNA you know if and that's why you can't like you can't you can't blame the shooters you can't blame people because they're just dancing to their DNA they're just doing what what Nature has has I can't even use the word created has has can't use the word curse nature isn't a thing it just it's it's what the material world has done there's no right and wrong so um I think I've said it before there's a

clip out there I I probably would have grown up um and I was being a little sarcastic when I said it but I would probably still be just uh trying to see how many women I can get with uh looking at lots

of porn and trying to gratify the flesh all I can because in and of me there is

are and the area of the control of the field of the field

no good thing and I I would simply I'd simply be trying to gratify My Flesh

which oh you know I'm not gonna yeah that's fine okay so that is where you stand you

would agree that that's okay right uh to the extent where you are not harming other people that is okay yeah so me me

having a bunch of wives it's not hurting them it's all consensual me having wives

of varying ages doesn't matter how old they are as long as it's consensual well

consent uh Within like there are

 $there \ are \ ages \ of \ cons \ like \ you \ you \ can't \ l \ don't \ think \ that \ they're \ and \ l \ don't \ we \ would \ equivalent \ what \ the \ don't \ loop \$

age ideas but there's a consent there is a line under which consent cannot be given so

well can so this is great so consent consent is a matter of

uh there's a power dynamic between adults and kids and and within a so I there's I would

say there's also outside of just consent is when you say freely given

means that there is not a an after a course a course of uh Power Dynamic difference

there and between adults and kids they're they're just always is and

that's arbitrary isn't it no they well why is that wrong just be I mean because

why is it wrong just because it's doing somebody the variability for me to charm a woman into going to bed with me is you

could call that power over you know to create that consensual relationship so

you can't you still can't come down with the right and wrong you can't get enough you can't say oh no I'm not you can't in

this conversation that's what I'm saying I don't think there is enough I've yet to be convinced that there is a knot other than that yeah there's not an or

not there's not a not not but if we care about if we care about the same goal then then there are odds

arbitrary goal who cares that's right but if we both end up but

in these in in Morality people tend to actually share

the goal I can't have a moral conversation with someone who doesn't care about human flourishing and who

doesn't have a more conversation period because there's no odd and ought not there is no

morality Arts you'd have to demonstrate for me that there can be odds

I that are isolated from ifs and I you haven't demonstrated that for me and no

one's demonstrated I'm trying to put that on you no one has demonstrated for me that and they got in any circumstance

can exist without an arbitrarily selected if well again now we're now we're dealing

with with how to know what truth is and how can we have truth without the one who knows everything and so we get back

to that foundational thing because because you're saying I want proof that this is true

you you've kind of already said we can't know what that is we can't

can't really have an absolute we can't so you're asking for something while denying its existence in the first place

it wouldn't even make sense for me to try to to do that so preponderance of evidence doesn't

need to land on certainty preponderance of evidence just needs to be demonstrable and and it seems like if

God was real that God could operate in those in the in that parameters of demonstrating that that he was real so

but God has absolutely demonstrated in those parameters and there's no question

about that and that very statement demonstrability you would say well I'm

not demonstrable about that I'm not certain of that I'm not it doesn't really have to be that way I'm asking

for it to be demonstrable evidence while at the same time nothing's again the language itself denies

your your foundation the language itself is what I'm

attempting to Wade through and now the this has been a helpful conversation for

me um and I think again I I think that perhaps the audience will agree and you

guys can let me know in the chat let me know in the comments have we have Derek and I butted against

definitional problems where because we couldn't agree with definitions uh we're just no we haven't been talking past

each other so yeah but it's not definitional problem Paul it's not so for somebody to say yeah it sounds like

it's just they Define things differently no it's do we have a found do we have an absolute to ground a definition in so

it's not a do we Define things differently it's is there an absolute to ground things in yeah that's a good

question I should hear from this conversation is well Paul is saying there's no absolute to

ground things in it's all vanilla and and chocolate Eric is saying there is an absolute even if you're an atheist you should say Eric is grounding it in the absolute character and nature of God the God who cannot change the god of the Bible so he has an absolute whether I agree with it or not he has an absolute the other side has no absolute that's like what we should see from this would you agree with that statement

not really uh in uh for some reasons we've gone into also uh the the word of

God is I I would agree that there if the word of God is the word of God that there is

a singular way to interpret it but there's a right way there's a right

way there's a way that if if it's the word of God there's a way that God intended people is that a good way to say it there's a way that God intended us to do and some people get it wrong yes and and that just that way out

the fact that we do need to interpret it actually should and in its own way soften some of the the absolutes that you you put on that but that's okay I feel like no because a car manufacturer cannot help if somebody decides to read it and they say put four and a half quarts of oil and they say you know I'm going to interpret that as 12. you know I that's all middle that's not on the car manufacturer right now it's not I'm not saying it's on God I'm just saying like let's say we have two people who are like disputing like they have they have the car manual right in front of them but they both interpret differently um how that is to be instructed I don't

think either of the people in that dispute should should feel incredibly certain even though there is a way that it was intended to be to be interpreted uh oh yeah I think I think if you and I

were reading and it said four chords and and very clearly it had the the word for with the parentheses four and somehow you interpret it I let's say I did I was on misinterpreting it as eight no that means that means I need I need four of these gallons and you're like no this is quartz no I'm doing four gallons it there is somebody who's absolutely right and and they could hold to it as I I could I you could claim absolutely no I am right

about that now I don't claim that about everything I think about the Bible but there are some things that we can be absolutely sure of and it sure beats the

other side which is I cannot be absolutely sure of anything um and you know what I think

I I would I would concede this point too there are certain things that the Bible like if anyone says I interpret the

Bible to say that God doesn't exist well that's just a ridiculous application that's that's a foreign

but there are there are certainly uh things in the Bible that require some interpretation but I love it when you use the word certainly yes all right do you have time to let's let's go to

some questions for the audience if you have time what have they been saying I'm kind of curious who's uh I I don't know I try not to pay attention to the chat too much because I want to be focused on the conversation but let's see what the uh the question askers have been throwing up there so Brian Stevens for uh two dollars says didn't he just say I wasn't saved until 18. so I don't 20 23.

like you you actually have a hole and I actually had prepared I had prepared a little bit of from that speech if we we're going to have time uh we don't but uh but your your consistent in saying that that you you said like me you believed you were a

Christian yeah but but you I would phrase it I was

the product of a Christian environment rather than a disciple of Jesus Christ so I had been raised in the church and I and II this is probably one of my biggest concerns with the church today is how many people are raised in the church and they have Mom and Dad's Faith they don't have their own they don't have a personal relationship with Jesus Christ that is a big big problem in the

church today and I think a lot of people that now would watch you and be like wow Paul finally I found somebody that to me makes sense even though you know um they would go I I grew up in the church very much the same and now you know now I don't believe that uh and I go um I'm I'm glad you now know you don't

have a relationship with Jesus Christ and I hope that God opens your eyes to the reality that you need one you know I I pray for you guys I say all the time how much I love atheists but I mean talking to Paul here I love Paul I genuinely love I I had lunch with him at Mensa uh the friendly atheist in Chicago I love I'm like I love you guys I it's it's genuine it's not I there's no I'm not trying to build a platform on this

I'm not doing YouTubes back and forth with you guys it's just I love you I'm glad you know where you stand before God and I'd love for you to I'd love for God to open your eyes and Grant you repentance leading to the knowledge of the truth so I'm I'm I I genuinely pray for that and yeah so that that wasn't a country

Eric wasn't contradicting himself when he said I wasn't safe to 18. um Terence Clark five dollars thank you knowledge is not an endpoint on the spectrum of confidence uh I think that would be my I would I would agree with that and

if you don't have the one who knows everything you're stuck in the Absurd position of I can't really know anything but anyway okay all right um only as if deals and absolutes well

that was that was my former boss wrote that is that absolutely true or is he being absolute about that you Sith you that's right only you're right it's true to be internally consistent only a Sith could say that there you go yeah uh Brian Stevens for two dollars I've heard the same script from Screech preachers um probably true

I 'd say man I I encourage you to not just because it's real easy most of the

times what we do is we try to defend where we're at I'm I'm going to call myself an atheist and now I got to defend that so we're looking at everything is how do I punch against it rather than taking it in and saying

wait a minute wow this this logically makes sense I have to start with absurdity

or I I have to conclude absurdity or I have to start with God so I have two choices here God the one who knows

everything so that we can have truth he's revealed it to us or this absurd position that I could be wrong about everything which means I don't know

anything that's an absurdity so it's either God or absurdity though it's interesting in telling to me when I said you know if you came to believe that God

didn't exist tomorrow and you just said that couldn't happen um yeah it's only the contrary I think

that in this way you've put yourself into a similar situation that perhaps and I'm trying to defend myself against

but maybe you're right maybe I am in this situation where I am watching you sound it's a logically sound position in

other words to deny that now I'm logically unsound so it's like it's the only option available it's not that

I'm saying I'm I'm convincing myself of this it's going if I if this isn't true if there isn't somebody who knows

everything if God who knows everything didn't reveal truth to us if Revelation Revelation is our only out of absurdity

so it's either God or it's absurdity but what you're saying Eric is that if

let's let's just hypothetically say there was a flaw in your thinking there it sounds like you are you are not open to hearing it well I would say

no I I'd I'd be open but I'd say I it has been revealed not just to me to

everybody that this is true the one of the things that he has revealed is that he exists and so this has been revealed

that it's true so it'd be it's again it's two different foundations it's two different starting points fair

enough um so I think this is a very similar message a

note from Terence knowledge is not a measure of confidence neither is Truth uh I would agree with that but Eric and

I think we both because we don't agree on what the definition of knowledge or truth are then we're not going to agree on that so

that's fair um Mark writes is the Bible moral and it's teaching about how to keep and

treat slaves or is it immoral spoiler alert all slavery well okay absolutely

all slavery is 100 immoral absolutely so uh I don't necessarily agree with this

but we're against what Paul believes yeah so what do you just why not like I

go if if this if Mark is an atheist and if he's he's certainly not holding your

position Paul because he's making an ought and ought not there ought not be

slavery and I would say well Mark do you have any credit card debt you are a

slave to that person who loaned you the money the borrower is slave is servant to the lender and I did a whole show on

uh on biblical slavery on my website if you want the full answer no absolutely

the chattel slavery that we practice here in North America which was practice way more in in European countries and

practiced by uh by the Islamic World heavily uh you I don't know what you

think about this for somebody to make a claim like that they're really ignorant of history and ignorant of the Bible so I you can look at that so this would if

this was to be a topic that that like people would sincerely discuss and and YouTube chat doesn't lend itself to it

so that it's free for you would first need to say let's define slavery let's

let's get on the same page about what slavery means because uh perhaps if you $\,$

said oh Mark only counts this activity of slavery you might agree with him but but you might say that the Bible

that's not what the Bible says when it means slavery so therefore we don't agree like it's just this is uh this

 $opens\ itself\ up\ to\ a\ huge\ definition\ and\ again\ it\ gets\ down\ to\ I\ I\ do\ I\ debate\ for\ somebody\ who\ does\ not\ agree\ with\ the$

Bible do I debate the truth of what the Bible is actually saying it's like you don't even this is irrelevant to you it

doesn't even matter right and you know you're you're standing on an absolute Foundation while I would say if

I go through my without the one who knows everything you can't know anything Revelation you're probably he's probably

Mark is probably sitting in the seat of the Absurd saying I can't really know anything and then at the same time claiming absolute certainty and stuff

like that so I think yeah I think what marker I would want to our intuition

would we would want to say we would want to debate does slavery cause harm and God doesn't even need to be involved in

a does slavery cause harm um discussion right you can you could discuss that one way or the other but

but you're conflating two different conversations so um Eric if you can only ground knowledge in the one who knows everything how do you know you're talking to the one who knows everything if he didn't reveal himself to everybody we couldn't know anything so it's again

the impossibility we call it the impossibility of the contrary because the the other option is absurdity so the

other option is an impossibility so we have to go with this and it's like it's a one-way Street and there's no way

out of it you're forced logically down this road of do you want absurdity I can't know anything or do you want the

one who knows everything is revealed true to us those are our only two options um or Can You observe where you define

absurdity as living with uncertainty it seems like but no because I live I have

lots of things that God has not revealed absolutely to me so it's not that everything I believe is absolute but

there are things that he has revealed that are absolute so you know and we

didn't even get into like I I should have made the signs about this the the very concept of laws of morality um again on the basis of they are absolute which you're not even there but on the basis of their absolute

um laws of morality laws of logic laws of math laws of science they are immaterial in nature they're not made

out of matter they are Universal they apply everywhere and they are unchanging

the laws are you can so I I don't use this to say well therefore

God exists the argument is actually backwards if the universal immaterial unchanging God of the Bible did not exist you could not have Universal immature or unchanging laws of logic science math and morality and that gets deeper but it's a it's a good way to understand I have to have the right

starting point to get what I see in the world today it has to start with God in order to get what we see today so I'm not arguing Upstream to the conclusion of God I'm saying I have to start here to get that and and yeah and very much

the opposite those things you described are properties of a universe and it makes sense that they're

Universal because that's the property of the universe and we have discovered properties about the universe when we call them laws because we haven't seen

exceptions yet so just the flip side uh Hillel writes

could Claire please explain why he thinks knowledge bracket certainty about a belief is more important than the if

whether the belief is well Justified or well supported I don't know if that certainty

is more important than if the belief is well we'll just divide and support it is

the same thing as like certainty so

could Eric explain why you think certainty more important well Justified support I mean that's

so I actually says it sounded like for me to the conversation that you treated

those differently certainty meaning your psychological state of how confident you can be

uh so I guess what you're trying to say now is those are not separate things like my confidence in a proposition

doesn't necessarily need to be related to the amount of evidence I have for it

and so it sounded it sounded sometimes at points in the conversation where you thought those were separate things how

confident you can be in it and how much evidence I have for it um

um so there are not there are many things that

I am not certain about that I could be wrong about many many things okay

lots of things um but there are things that I can be certain about

and if you don't start with the one who knows everything revealing truth to you you can't be certain about anything

and to me that's what was demonstrated is we either start with an absolute the one

who knows everything or we're standing on this world view of we could be wrong about everything there is no certainty

it doesn't matter how much well Justified well-supported information you have you cannot have any certainty and

I'm not I would reject that we cannot have certainty because that's a certainty claim

fair enough I think for Hill and others like yeah we just don't we only we don't

actually care about certainty we just care about predictive power and we're willing to completely throw out our if predictive power fails we're willing to

throw things out but that's we've been been around this horn so we'll we'll just keep moving um morality is a

conversation not a noun um uh I think people don't like it I I have

a thing that I don't like people using evil as a noun it's it bristles me

um I feel like evil is a descriptor of like you need to have a subject like the

Evil's not wandering around even if you want to say Satan is evil well that was you know that's an evil being and

there's a anyway fair enough I think they're poking fun at a thing that I bristle about

so morality is a conversation not a noun III again I don't know how you how you

bristle about it but I'm going I I evil you've heard the idea that evil is

not a thing it's the lack of something it's the lack of good just like heat is not a thing it's the lack of cold or a

either right like heat is heat is uh like heat's not a noun either per se

like in terms of it's not a thing like heat just heat is the this chemical is a

temperature change uh it you know that kind of thing anyway evil I don't know if you would think

that evil in and of itself or even good is a noun like you you would actually

agree with me like you say good is is grounded in us in God's nature like it's

just there's a subject that exists that is good and that's what makes that's how good exists

virtuous it's applying to the actions of yeah somebody that right yeah conform to

the character of God you actually agree with the good good doesn't exist without a subject I guess is uh to you and I

agree in its own way uh with plethory's 3 15 watching and double digit and double oh

so he's he's using YouTube things 315 people were watching us I guess at some point and there's only uh 99 people or

however many that clicked on the thumbs up I don't really care if you click on the thumbs up but that's great uh at least I like and if you didn't like it

click the thumbs down I'm cool with that too um and at least I like these kind of conversations educational far nicer than debates yeah I think Eric and I are both in the camp that um straight on formal debates have are more theater than truth um and that that that's not either Eric ori's strength is is formal debates and so we're better in conversational modes Eric's better than I am in conversation modes but uh because he's more practice always no I've you and I have always had great conversations oh we've had uh because you make me comfortable and actually I thank you for that but there are not everyone makes me feel

comfortable and so I'm not always as good Europe come down and hang out in Pensacola that's gonna happen what are you waiting for do I need to buy the ticket for you too no no no no no no no no do we need to do a fundraiser right here on YouTube no it's it's uh my Shannon's a silly job is his uh is you know holding us up at

the moment but no we'll get there we'll get there okay uh and actually we if it ever comes down to though that there's like Ben has talked with me about this before like if there ever is a thing like let's have Paul come down and we're going to talk in front of some schools or talk in front of this stuff like if it's a business trip we can make that happen almost any time let's figure that out well we could just have a conversation about YouTube and call it a business trip baby let's talk it out yeah yeah

that's right cool cool uh so hello writes hypothetically if God in his mysterious ways told Eric to lie and steal from his neighbor and assured him that that was an Act was consistent with his nature would Eric go with it thanks hmm how would you answer that I'm going I mean he it's he wouldn't tell me to do

something against his nature so now [1] [1] could I could see God saving

like if I was hiding Jews from during the Holocaust and God said it's okay to

lie about that I would lie about that yeah I think it would have to be if I was to answer this even in my old

Christian mode like God God would have to again I think that would be part of

assuring me that it was part of a larger plan that at this moment a piece of information needed to be hidden from an

enemy or that or that they took like uh would it be okay if someone still

if an enemy had come and taken the Ark of the Covenant were the with the Jews have been Justified to go get that back like

absolutely they would have and so um if you steal it back is it actually

stealing well and that's and that's the that's the question and so um and and I think I think justifiably so if

in the Christian worldview of God I was asking you to steal something like everything belongs to him ultimately so

that would be like to God can God steal something like that I don't think God could steal something

right because everything forgets why it belongs to him that's a great Point yeah

all right there's my Chris I need I need an actual Christian hat sometimes I can put on I

have an atheist hat so with the students up there before I have you talk to him and I always have fun

with that all right uh only someone truly insecure about his beliefs before debate makes props beforehand I don't agree with that that's why I got a question for you

I got a question for you Phil that is that it was no actually it's a demonstration of um like so I

have in the past if you think that's a primary I mean I've made slides in the past in a debate setting knowing that

someone or assuming that someone was going to go down a certain path and I had slides prepared for that I

think that's a sign is nothing different than than having an argument I disagree

all right thanks 9.99 yeah you're wrong we'll use that for uh to take Eric for

coffee when we go hang out uh we just got a couple more uh every tiny living

thing judges right from wrong for it to animals can place behaviors in those boxes social animals can judge the

behavior of others but uh but what you're probably what your behavior goes

into which box is taught hmm I don't know if I agree with that

I think I think it's it's learned a lot I think again if it's the if it's the moral nature okay again if we go on the

it's the it's the character of God you got one answer if it's it's not on the character of God it's arbitrary it's

vanilla versus chocolate it's Reese's versus KitKat well then who cares what

what box you check and what you what you decide why why not beat your dad's brains in with a ball peen hammer so I

guess it doesn't yeah if we want to be just play with this mentally if if one was to say that right and wrong is

literally just labels we give to preferences if that was the label if that's how you're defining right or wrong is

preference labels uh then he's probably correct then every every living thing has preferences

and they act toward those preferences I guess that's fair but you can't call it right or wrong well this is you can't

you cannot give the label right wrong good bad ought not none of those labels would apply to the preferences then well

that's good yeah that's a label fight and I think that people inadvertently and you probably agree people do

use right and wrong as if they are you say they wrongly do this but people

in in practice do use those words to mean preferences sometimes well and I think I think most of the

time they use those words in line with the moral nature and character of God

even though they want while denying it at the same time again it gets back to that absurd world view

uh Jeff Cochran writes your thoughts are just electrochemical events knowledge is not absolute certainty morality is a

biological predisposition I'm sorry if this upsets anyone sometimes the truth is upsetting

he said he said knowledge is not absolutely certain and then used truth is upsetting that's funny it's a

contradiction right there in the very statement itself you like you literally contradict yourself in that sentence

that's on the screen right now so that's knowledge is not ABS that that can can

we have absolute certainty the truth the truth assumes certainty truth is truth

assumes certainty if it's true it's certainly true

um so and this is where with my with my secular Brethren and I demonstrated it

several times here because we are imprecise with our language uh we open ourselves up to these kind of criticisms

so uh being more precise with our language would be helpful for myself and

for my brethren so and but go back to that one real quick morality is not

uh morality is a biological predisposition well then your net so you

cannot say to the child rapist who that is his biological predisposition that is

his morality you cannot claim that it's wrong you can say we don't like it and

therefore we're going to put you in this little bitty cage for a long time because we didn't like what you did but you can't say it was wrong

so well you can yeah so again because we're not defining right and wrong the

same way um we can't use Eric's definition of wrong for it we can use our definition of wrong for it which is subjective

which is arbitrary right but subjectively it is yeah wrong so yeah can you be certain God exists if

the only way to be certain is to ask God hmm yeah again yes you can have certainty

because the the opposite of that is absurdity yes you

can have certainty that God exists and God has revealed that to everybody

I should have shown my slides because it makes more sense when I actually when you actually I don't know see it see it

sometimes on screen sometimes that makes sense but I think you actually yeah I think that is roughly what you affirm is that uh God has revealed it in a way

that that you can be certain that everybody is certain of it yeah yeah

um House of higma writes it's interesting David was part of Eric's presentation I

think God I thank God he changed him he's still a jerk he's uh he's a

no-nonsense person uh by the way I'm having him on a stream

on the last day of Ramadan you guys are welcome to join oh you're having David wood on that's interesting that sounds

cool name um Daniel for me for me is easy not to

believe in the Christian God I will never sacrifice my kids to God okay

well then Daniel your your world you're forced into absurdity um just so you know

that's uh the only alternative but I don't know what he wants to say there

all right um and I do want you guys to know this is important to me uh this is really

critical I mean I watched a video that you and Shannon did uh and a guy called in and you could tell from his

questioning he was he was having a hard time with um

with purpose in life and it sounded to me like from his question he was

contemplating suicide and this is really serious

um because if there is no absolute

there's no you ought not commit suicide because

human you we could just say human flourishing and it's like well I'm not adding anything to the I'm not adding to

human flourishing therefore I'm going to remove myself and make it easier for people to flourish now I'm no longer

anybody who is a quote burden to society could say I'm going to take myself out so that Society could flourish and we

could talk all of society into going look this is good this is this is going to help us we're going to we're going to

do better there's going to be more food to go around because we don't have this baggage and there's no you now have no

standard to say don't take your own life this is very serious I said don't take

your own life because you're made in the image of God and it's appointed unto man wants to die and after this the judgment and you're going to face him one day and

so when you stand before him stand before him as one who's been forgiven by the blood of Jesus Christ who said I

Repent of my good works and my bad works all of it I'm sorry God I'm trusting

what you did on the cross your death and then your burial and your Resurrection the one who who did that died buried in

Rose again and for my salvation and there it's it's critically important I I don't like I said I don't

say I love you out of um uh I don't just say it it's not just a phrase to me I genuinely care I can't

wait for Paul to come down and for us to just be able to hang out I I would

welcome you because it matters it really does uh God put us on this planet for a

 $reason \ I \ just \ bought \ the \ website \ why \ did \ godmakeme.com \ and \ I'm \ still \ figuring \ out$

how I'm gonna do that website but people long to understand why did God make them

ultimately here's the answer if you want the shortcut he made you for a relationship with him because you matter

and and you can give him more Glory than the stars in the sky and the fish of the sea because you get to choose he didn't

make robots my oldest daughter Stephanie got married last year and every time she walks in the house her old home she's

now moved out she lives with her husband when she comes for a visit it is so

satisfying and so rewarding wow she wants to come hang out with Mom and Dad

instead of she has to God is inviting you saying Hey I want you I'm not going

to make you come I want you to have a relationship with me and it's a welcome invitation that you are welcome to but

he is not going to make you because he gets more Glory when you say God thank you thank you for giving me this world in the property of the

to live in thank you for creating me thank you for giving me breath in my lungs I want to praise you I want to I want a

relationship with you that's what it's really about so I need to let my audience know I'm

very sorry we have kept Eric over time and so we will not be able to get to all of the super chats uh I did actually

want to uh see if I can throw up Vice rhinos more because Vice Rhino uh his uh his channel

is actually an anagram of your name so it's actually that's kind of just uh are you serious what is it vicist rhino vist yeah Vis no v-i-s-e-d

-v-i-c-e-d pardon me v-i-c-e-d-e weissed rhino anyway uh and Godless engineer

hell so a friend of the channel hello thank you yeah he wants to see he's more moral of the Yahweh of course uh that

would depend on your definition of morality if you if you define morality the way Eric is that's actually impossible that breaks a lot of laws right there so anyway and uh and I would ask do you have a

standard for Morality what is that standard and is it absolute standard because Yahweh is absolute yeah $\frac{1}{2}$

um anyway so I do need to let Eric go so thank you so much for I all the super chats thank you perhaps I will uh

if there's any serious questions in there maybe I can forward them to Eric in case he wants to get back to anybody but um I do want to thank you for your

time I also so I didn't mention you're with creation today Ministry how do people find you online easiest way youtube.com creation today facebook.com creation today instagram.com creation today or my name Eric hovind uh for the Instagram Facebook uh creationtoday.org it's uh yeah creation today is it on there that's it right there great I got another got another problem oh was it all Paul that didn't like that got another one whoever didn't like the property anyway yeah well thank you so much for taking time out of your day I and uh hanging with us and we will you and I will have background discussions about all kinds of things God and God are not God so that's great you're very welcome thank you for being a friend buddy yeah and uh until next time later everyone bye

English (auto-generated)