## INTRODUCTION TO HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

ROBERT CARDONA, MASSY KHOSHBIN, AND SIAVASH MORTEZAVI

### 0. MATH 697 Homework Zero. Two

**AM Exercise 2.1**: Show that  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  if m and n are coprime.

Proof. Choose  $a\otimes b\in\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\otimes\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Since m and n are coprime, there exist  $s,t\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that ms+nt=1 Observe that

$$a = a \cdot 1 = a(ms + nt) = ams + ant \equiv ant \pmod{m}$$
.

Now observe that

$$a \otimes b = atn \otimes b = a \otimes nb = at \otimes 0 = 0.$$

We have shown that any simple tensor is zero, so any finite linear combination of simple tensors is zero. Conclude  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**AM Exercise 2.2**: Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R, M an R-module. Show that  $(R/I) \otimes_R M$  is isomorphic to M/IM.

*Proof.* Define  $\varphi: R/I \times M \to M/IM$  by  $\varphi(r+I,m) = rm+IM$ , which we shall henceforth write as  $\varphi(\overline{r},m) = \overline{rm}$ . Let  $(\overline{r},m) = (\overline{s},m)$ . Then  $\overline{r} = \overline{s} \implies r \in \overline{s} \implies r = s+i$ , some  $i \in I$ . Then  $\varphi(\overline{r},m) = \overline{rm} = \overline{(s+i)m} = \overline{sm+im} = \overline{sm} + \overline{im} = \overline{sm} + \overline{0} = \overline{sm} = \varphi(\overline{s},m)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is well-defined.

Observe  $\varphi(\overline{r} + \overline{s}, m) = \varphi(\overline{r+s}, m) = \overline{(r+s)m} = \overline{rm + sm} = \overline{rm} + \overline{sm} = \varphi(\overline{r}, m) + \varphi(\overline{s}, m)$ . Similarly,  $\varphi(\overline{r}, m+n) = \varphi(\overline{r}, m) + \varphi(\overline{r}, m)$ . Lastly,  $\varphi(\overline{rs}, m) = \overline{(rs)m} = \overline{r(sm)} = \varphi(\overline{r}, sm)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is R-biadditive (In fact,  $\varphi$  is R-bilinear).

Now we are guaranteed a unique R-homomorphism  $\phi: R/I \otimes_R M \to M/IM$  given by  $\phi(\overline{r} \otimes m) = \overline{rm}$ . Notice if we define  $f: M/IM \to R/I \otimes_R M$  via  $f(\overline{m}) = \overline{1} \otimes m$  then f is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homomorphism which makes  $f \circ \phi$  and  $\phi \circ f$  the identity map in  $R/I \otimes_R M$  and M/IM, respectively. So  $\phi$  has a two-sided inverse, hence a bijective function, and accordingly is an isomorphism when considered as an R-map.

**DF** §10.5 Proposition 24: (The Short Five Lemma) Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be homomorphisms of short exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow^{\alpha} \qquad \downarrow^{\beta} \qquad \downarrow^{\gamma}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow A' \xrightarrow{f'} B' \xrightarrow{g'} C' \longrightarrow 0$$

- (1) If  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are injective then so is  $\beta$ .
  - Proof. Let  $b \in B$  such that  $\beta(b) = 0$ . We want to show that b = 0. Observe that  $g'(\beta(b)) = g'(0) = 0$ . By commutativity we have  $\gamma(g(b)) = g'(\beta(b)) = g'(0) = 0$ . Since  $\gamma$  is injective we know g(b) = 0 so  $b \in \ker g$  but since we are in an exact sequence we have im  $f = \ker g$  and hence  $b \in \operatorname{im} f$ . By definition there exists  $a \in A$  with f(a) = b. Now  $f'(\alpha(a)) = \beta(f(a)) = \beta(b) = 0$ . Since f' is injective, it follows that  $\alpha(a) = (f')^{-1}(0) = 0$ . Now we have  $a = \alpha^{-1}(0)$  and so a = 0. So 0 = f(a) = b.
- (2) If  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are surjective then so is  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $b' \in B'$  then  $g'(b') \in C'$ . Since  $\gamma$  is surjective there excists  $c \in C$  such that  $\gamma(c) = g'(b')$ . Since this is an exact sequence, g is surjective so there exists  $b \in B$  such that g(b) = c. By equality we have  $\gamma(c) = \gamma(g(b)) = g'(b')$ . Now observe that

$$g'(b' - \beta(b)) = g'(b') - g'(\beta(b)) = g'(b') - \gamma(g(b)) = g'(b') - g'(b') = 0$$

So in particular  $b' - \beta(b) \in \ker g'$  but by exactness im  $f' = \ker g'$  so there exists  $a' \in A'$  such that  $f'(a') = b' - \beta(b)$ . But since  $\alpha$  is surjective, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\alpha(a) = a'$ . Now  $f'(a') = f'(\alpha(a)) = b' - \beta(b)$ . By commutativity  $f'(\alpha(a)) = \beta(f(a)) = b' - \beta(b)$  so  $\beta(f(a)) + \beta(b) = b'$  and we have  $\beta(f(a) + b) = b'$ .

(3) If  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are isomorphisms then so is  $\beta$  (and then the two sequences are isomorphism).

Date: August 16, 2013.

Proof. Follows from (1) and (2).

**R Exercise 2.14**: Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  be a sequence of module maps. Prove that gf = 0 if and only if  $\operatorname{im} f \subseteq \ker g$ . Give an example of such a sequence that is not exact.

Proof. Suppose gf=0, that is, f(g(a))=0 for all  $a\in A$ . Let  $b\in \operatorname{im} f$  then by definition there exists  $a\in A$  such that f(a)=b. But we know by hypothesis that 0=g(f(a))=g(b) so  $b\in \ker g$ . Conclude that  $\operatorname{im} f\subseteq \ker g$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\operatorname{im} f\subseteq \ker g$ . Let  $a\in A$  and observe that  $f(a)\in \operatorname{im} f$ . By hypothesis  $f(a)\in \ker g$  so g(f(a))=0. Since a was arbitrary conclude gf=0.

Consider the sequence of module maps  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  where  $f(\overline{x}) = 2\overline{x}$  and  $g(\overline{y}) = \overline{y}$ . Observe that im  $f = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ker g = \{0\}$ . Since im  $f \neq \ker g$  it follows that this is **not** an exact sequence.

### R Exercise 2.15:

(1) Prove that  $f: M \to N$  is surjective if and only if coker  $f = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f:M\to N$  is surjective then for  $n\in N$ , there exists  $m\in M$  such that f(m)=n. By definition coker  $f=M/\inf f=M/M=0$ . Conversely, suppose that coker f=0, i.e.,  $M/\inf f=0$  implying that if  $m+\inf f\in M/\inf f$  then  $m+\inf f=0$  or equivalently  $m\in \inf f$ . Since m is arbitrary, conclude  $M=\inf f$  and hence f is surjective by definition.

(2) If  $f: M \to N$  is a map, prove that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker f \to M \xrightarrow{f} N \to \operatorname{coker} f \to 0.$$

*Proof.* Define  $h: \ker f \to M$  by h(m) = m, that is, map each element to itself. It follows immediately that im  $h = \ker f$ . Define  $g: N \to \operatorname{coker} f = N/\operatorname{im} f$  by  $g(n) = n + \operatorname{im} f$ , that is, the canonical/projection mapping. Observe that  $\ker g = \operatorname{im} f$ . Conclude that the following sequence is in fact exact:

$$0 \xrightarrow{\text{identity}} \ker f \xrightarrow{h} M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} \operatorname{coker} f \xrightarrow{\operatorname{zero}} 0. \quad \Box$$

### R Exercise 2.16:

(1) If  $0 \to M \to 0$  is an exact sequence, prove that  $M = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $0 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} 0$ . Since f is surjective then for  $m \in M$  there exists  $x \in 0$  such that f(x) = m but x must be 0 so m = 0.

(2) If  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$  is an exact sequence, prove that f is surjective if and only if h is injective.

*Proof.* Suppose f is surjective. Then  $\operatorname{im} f = B = \ker g$  but this immediately implies that  $\operatorname{im} g = 0 = \ker h$  so h is injective by definition. Conversely, suppose h is injective. Then  $\ker h = 0 = \operatorname{im} g$  which immediately implies  $\ker g = B = \operatorname{im} f$ . Conclude by definition f is surjective.

(3) Let  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{\gamma} D \xrightarrow{\delta} E$  be exact. If  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  are isomorphisms, prove that  $C = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that, by previous exercise,  $\beta$  is surjective and  $\gamma$  is injective so we have im  $\beta = C$  and ker  $\gamma = 0$ . Result follows by exactness:  $C = \operatorname{im} \beta = \ker \gamma = 0$ . Conclude  $C = \{0\}$ .

**R Exercise 2.17**: If  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D \xrightarrow{k} E$  is exact, prove that there is an exact sequence  $0 \to \operatorname{coker} f \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\beta} \ker k \to 0$ , where  $\alpha : b + \operatorname{im} f \mapsto g(b)$  and  $\beta : c \mapsto h(c)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\ker \beta = \{c \in C : \beta(c) = h(c) = 0\} = \ker h = \operatorname{im} g$  by exactness and since  $\alpha$  can run through any  $b \in B$  conclude  $\operatorname{im} \alpha = \operatorname{im} g = \ker \beta$ 

**R Exercise 2.28**: Let R be a domain with  $Q = \operatorname{Frac}(R)$ , its field of fractions. If A is an R-module, prove that every element of  $Q \otimes_R A$  has the form  $q \otimes a$  for  $q \in Q$  and  $a \in A$  (i.e. every element is a simple tensor).

Proof. Let  $\sum_{1}^{n} q_i \otimes a_i \in Q \otimes_R A$ . We can write  $\sum_{1}^{n} q_i \otimes a_i = \sum_{1}^{n} \frac{r_i}{s_i} \otimes a_i$  for  $r_i, s_i \in R, s_i \neq 0$ . Write  $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$  and  $\widehat{s_i} = \frac{s}{s_i}$ . Then  $\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{r_i}{s_i} \otimes a_i = \sum_{1}^{n} (\frac{s_i}{s_i} \cdot \frac{r_i}{s_i}) \otimes a_i = \sum_{1}^{n} (\frac{s_i}{s_i} \cdot$ 

**R Exercise 2.29:(i)** Let p be a prime, and let p,q be relatively prime. Prove that if A is a p-primary group and  $a \in A$ , then there exists  $x \in A$  with qx = a.

- (ii) If D is a finite cyclic group of order m, prove that D/nD is a cyclic group of order d=(m,n).
- (iii) Let m and n be positive integers, and let d = (m, n). Prove that there is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$$
.

MATH 697 3

(iv) Let G and H be finitely generated abelian groups, so that

$$G = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$$
 and  $H = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_m$ ,

where  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  are cyclic groups. Compute  $G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H$  explicitely.

Proof. (i)  $a \in A$  so  $p^k a = 0$ , some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since p is prime,  $(q, p) = 1 \implies (q, p^k) = 1$ . So there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $qm+np^k = 1$ . Now  $a = 1 \cdot a = (qm+np^k)a = qma+np^k = q(ma)+n(p^ka) = qx+0 = qx$ . Observe  $p^k x = p^k(ma) = m(p^ka) = 0$  so  $x \in A$ .


(ii) D is cyclic, so D/nD is cyclic. If we write  $D = \langle a \rangle$ , then  $nD = \langle na \rangle$ . This is because for any  $nb \in nD$ , we can write b = ka, some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , since a generates D. Now nb = n(ka) = k(na), and we have that na generates nD.

Claim  $|na| = \frac{m}{d}$ . Observe  $\frac{m}{d}(na) = \frac{n}{d}(ma) = \frac{n}{d} \cdot 0 = 0$ , which implies |na| divides  $\frac{m}{d}$ . On the other hand, if k(na) = 0, then  $(kn)a = 0 \implies m|kn \implies \frac{m}{d}|k\frac{n}{d}$ . But  $\frac{m}{d}$  and  $\frac{n}{d}$  are relatively prime by construction, forcing  $\frac{m}{d}|k$ . In particular, we have  $\frac{m}{d}$  divides |na|. Thus |na| = d. Now  $|nD| = |\langle na \rangle| = |na| = \frac{m}{d}$ . Lagrange's theorem gives us  $|\frac{D}{nD}| = \frac{|D|}{|nD|} = \frac{m}{\frac{m}{d}} = d$ .

- (iii) By Proposition 2.68, we have that  $\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_m/n\mathbb{Z}_m$ . But by part (ii),  $\mathbb{Z}_m/n\mathbb{Z}_m$  is a cyclic group of order d = (m, n) so  $\mathbb{Z}_m/n\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$ .
- (iv) There are three cases: If both  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  are finite, then by part (iii) we have  $A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_i} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{t_j} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(s_i,t_j)}$ , where  $|A_i| = s_i$  and  $|B_j| = t_i$ . If both  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  are infinite, then  $A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_j \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$  by Proposition 2.58. If (wlog)  $A_i$  is finite and  $B_j$  is infinite, then  $A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_i} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_i}$  by Proposition 2.58. Thus we have

$$G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H = \sum_{i,j} A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B_j = \sum_{i,j:A_i \text{ and } B_j \text{ finite}} \mathbb{Z}_{(s_i,t_i)} + \sum_{i,j:A_i \text{ finite and } B_j \text{ infinite}} \mathbb{Z}_{s_i} + \sum_{i,j:A_i \text{ or } B_j \text{ infinite}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

R Exercise 2.32: (3 × 3 Lemma) Consider the following commutative diagram in R Mod having exact columns.



If the bottom two rows are exact, prove that the top row is exact; if the top two rows are exact, prove that the bottom row is exact.

Proof. We show the first part of the statement. The second part follows by a symmetric argument.

 $\alpha_1$  is injective: Let  $a' \in \ker \alpha_1$ . Then  $\alpha_1(a') = 0$ . So  $f(\alpha_1(a')) = 0$ . Now  $0 = f(\alpha_1(a')) = \beta_1(f'(a'))$  by commutativity. The injectivity of  $\beta_1$  implies f'(a') = 0 and the injectivity of f' gives us a' = 0. Thus  $\ker \alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha_1$  is injective.

 $\alpha_2$  is surjective:

im  $\alpha_1 \subseteq \ker \alpha_2$ : Let  $a \in \operatorname{im} \alpha_1$ . Then there exists  $a' \in A'$  with  $a = \alpha_1(a')$ . Observe  $f(a) = f(\alpha_1(a')) = \beta_1(f'(a'))$  by commutativity. Thus  $\beta_2(f(a)) = \beta_2(\beta_1(f'(a'))) = 0$  by exactness. Now  $0 = \beta_2(f(a)) = f''(\alpha_2(a))$  by commutativity. The injectivity of f'' gives us  $\alpha_2(a) = 0$ . Hence  $a \in \ker \alpha_2$ .

 $\ker \alpha_2 \subseteq \operatorname{im} \alpha_1$ : Let  $a \in \ker \alpha_2$ . Then  $\alpha_2(a) = 0$ . So  $f''(\alpha_2(a)) = 0$ . By commutativity,  $\beta_2(f(a)) = 0$ . Now  $f(a) \in \ker \beta_2 = \operatorname{im} \beta_1$ , so there exists  $b' \in B'$  such that  $f(a) = \beta_1(b')$ . Now g(f(a)) = 0 by exactness, so  $g(\beta_1(b')) = 0$ . By commutativity,  $\gamma_1(g'(b')) = 0$ . Since  $\gamma_1$  is injective, g'(b') = 0. Now  $b' \in \ker g' = \operatorname{im} f'$  so there exists  $a' \in A'$  such that b' = f'(a'). Thus  $f(a) = \beta_1(b') = \beta_1(f'(a'))$ . By commutativity,  $\beta_1(f'(a')) = f(\alpha_1(a'))$ . So  $f(a) = f(\alpha_1(a'))$ . Since f is injective, we have

4

 $a = \alpha_1(a')$ , and therefore  $a \in \text{im } \alpha_1$ .

# AM Proposition 2.10: (Snake Lemma) Let

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{h} M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow^{f'} \qquad \downarrow^{f} \qquad \downarrow^{f''}$$


$$0 \longrightarrow N' \xrightarrow{g'} N \xrightarrow{h'} N'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be a commutative diagram of R-modules and homomorphisms, with the rows exact. Then there exists a sequence

$$0 \to \ker(f') \xrightarrow{\overline{u}} \ker(f) \xrightarrow{\overline{v}} \ker(f'') \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{coker}(f') \xrightarrow{\overline{u}'} \operatorname{coker}(f) \xrightarrow{\overline{v}'} \operatorname{coker}(f'') \to 0$$

in which  $\overline{u}$ ,  $\overline{v}$  are restrictions of u,v, and  $\overline{u}',\overline{v}'$  are induced by u',v'.

# Proof. We consider:



By Rotman Exercise 2.32, we have that im  $\overline{u} = \ker \overline{v}$  and im  $\overline{u}' = \ker \overline{v}'$ . It is left for us to first define d and then show that im  $\overline{v} = \ker d$  and im  $d = \ker \overline{u}'$ .

 $\label{lem:department} \mbox{ Department of Mathematics, California State University Long Beach } E-mail \ address: \mbox{ mrrobertcardona@gmail.com and massy255@gmail.com and siavash.mortezavi@gmail.com } \mbox{ mrrobertcardona@gmail.com and massy255@gmail.com } \mbox{ and massy255@gmail.com } \mbox{ mrrobertcardona@gmail.com } \mbox{ mrrobertcardona@gmail.com$