

# INTRODUCTION TO CATEGORY THEORY

ROBERT CARDONA, MASSY KHOSHBIN, AND SIAVASH MORTEZAVI

ABSTRACT. Module Theory.

## 0. MATH 697 HOMEWORK ZERO

**Exercise 0.1.** Prove Theorem 4 (Isomorphism Theorems):

- (1) (*The First Isomorphism Theorem for Modules*) Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules and let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $R$ -modules homomorphism. Then  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M$  and  $M/\ker \varphi \cong \varphi(M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules and let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $R$ -modules homomorphism. Then by definition  $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$  and  $\varphi(rx) = r\varphi(x)$  for all  $x, y \in M, r \in R$ . We want to show that  $\ker \varphi = \{m \in M : \varphi(m) = 0\}$  is a submodule. Observe that since  $M$  is a module then  $M$  is an abelian group by definition so there exists  $0 \in M$  such that  $m + 0 = m$  for all  $m \in M$ . In particular  $\varphi(0) = \varphi(0 + 0) = \varphi(0) + \varphi(0)$  implying  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Conclude that  $0 \in \ker \varphi \neq \emptyset$ . Now let  $r \in R, x, y \in \ker \varphi$ . Observe that  $\varphi(x + ry) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(ry) = \varphi(x) + r\varphi(y) = 0 + r \cdot 0 = 0 + 0 = 0$ . Hence  $x + ry \in \ker \varphi$ . Conclude by the submodule criterion that  $\ker \varphi$  is in fact a submodule.

Now define  $\Phi : M/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \varphi(M)$  by  $\Phi(m + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(m)$ . We want to show that this mapping is a well-defined bijective homomorphism. We first show well-definedness. Suppose  $m + \ker \varphi = m' + \ker \varphi$  it follows by property of cosets that  $m - m' \in \ker \varphi$ , in particular  $\varphi(m - m') = \varphi(m) - \varphi(m') = 0$  and hence  $\varphi(m) = \varphi(m')$ . But since  $\varphi(m) = \Phi(m + \ker \varphi)$  and  $\varphi(m') = \Phi(m' + \ker \varphi)$  we have  $\Phi(m + \ker \varphi) = \Phi(m' + \ker \varphi)$ . Conclude that  $\Phi$  is in fact well-defined.

Suppose that  $\Phi(m + \ker \varphi) = \Phi(m' + \ker \varphi)$ . Then it follows that  $\varphi(m) = \varphi(m')$  and so  $\varphi(m - m') = 0$  and so  $m - m' \in \ker \varphi$ . By property of cosets it follows that  $m + \ker \varphi = m' + \ker \varphi$  and hence  $\Phi$  is injective.

Let  $n \in \varphi(M)$ . Then by definition of image of  $\varphi$  there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $n = \varphi(m)$ . It is immediate that  $m + \ker \varphi \in M/\ker \varphi$  and we can conclude that  $\Phi$  is surjective.

Now we must show that  $\Phi$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism. Let  $x, y \in M/\ker \varphi$  where  $x = m + \ker \varphi$  and  $y = m' + \ker \varphi$  for some  $m, m' \in M$  and let  $r \in R$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x + y) &= \Phi(m + m' + \ker \varphi) \\ &= \varphi(m + m') \\ &= \varphi(m) + \varphi(m') \\ &= \Phi(m + \ker \varphi) + \Phi(m' + \ker \varphi) \\ &= \Phi(x) + \Phi(y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(rx) &= \Phi(r(m + \ker \varphi)) \\ &= \Phi(rm + \ker \varphi) \\ &= \varphi(rm) \\ &= r\varphi(m) \\ &= r\Phi(m + \ker \varphi) \\ &= r\Phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have shown that  $\Phi$  is a well-defined bijective homomorphism and thus we can conclude by definition of  $R$ -module isomorphism that  $M/\ker \varphi \cong \varphi(M)$ .  $\square$

- (2) (*The Second Isomorphism Theorem*) Let  $A, B$  be submodules of the  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $(A + B)/B \cong A/(A \cap B)$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\varphi : A \rightarrow (A + B)/B$  by  $\varphi(a) = a + B$ . This mapping is clearly well-defined. We want to show that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Let  $r \in R, a, a' \in A$  and observe that

$$\varphi(a + a') = a + a' + B$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a + B + a' + B \\
&= \varphi(a) + \varphi(a')
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(ra) &= ra + B \\
&= r(a + B) \\
&= r\varphi(a)
\end{aligned}$$

and so  $\varphi$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism by definition. Observe that  $\ker \varphi = \{a \in A : \varphi(a) = 0\} = \{a \in A : a + B = 0\} = \{a \in A : a \in B\} = A \cap B$ . Now let  $x \in (A + B)/B$  then  $x = a + b + B$  for some  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . But observe that  $a + b + B = a + B$  by absorption. So  $\varphi$  is immediately surjective. In particular we have  $\varphi(A) = (A + B)/B$ . Conclude by the First Isomorphism Theorem for Modules that  $A/\ker \varphi = A/(A \cap B) \cong (A + B)/B = \varphi(A)$ .  $\square$

- (3) (*The Third Isomorphism Theorem*) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, and let  $A$  and  $B$  be submodules of  $M$  with  $A \subseteq B$ . Then  $(M/A)/(B/A) \cong M/B$ .
- (4) (*The Fourth or Lattice Isomorphism Theorem*) Let  $N$  be a submodule of the  $R$ -module  $M$ . There is a bijection between the submodules of  $M$  which contain  $N$  and the submodules of  $M/N$ . The correspondence is given by  $A \leftrightarrow A/N$ , for all  $A \supseteq N$ . The correspondence commutes with the processes of taking sums and intersections (i.e., is a lattice isomorphism between the lattice of submodules of  $M/N$  and the lattice of submodules of  $M$  which contain  $N$ ).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY LONG BEACH  
 E-mail address: mrrobertcardona@gmail.com and massy255@gmail and siavash.mortezavi@gmail