

# Empirical Economics Cheat-Sheet

## Asymptotics

### Modes of Convergence

Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $X$  be random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

**Almost Sure Convergence:**  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  if

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)\}) = 1.$$

**Convergence in Probability:**  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ :

$$P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Convergence in r-th Mean:**  $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$  if  $\mathbb{E}(|X_n - X|^r) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Convergence in Distribution:**  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  if  $F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$  for all  $x$  where  $F_X$  is continuous.

### Implications between modes

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{s} X \text{ for } s \leq r$$

None of the reverse implications hold in general. Exception:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} c \text{ (constant)} \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} c.$$

### Continuous Mapping Theorem

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be continuous on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $P(X \in S) = 1$ . Then:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \implies g(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X)$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \implies g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$$

**Does NOT hold for  $\xrightarrow{r}$ .** Counterexample:  $X_n = n$  w.p.  $1/n^2$ , 0 otherwise.  $g(x) = x^2$ :  $\mathbb{E}|X_n| \rightarrow 0$  but  $\mathbb{E}|X_n^2| = 1$ .

**Important:** Need  $P(X \in S) = 1$ . E.g.  $g(x, y) = x/y$  continuous on  $S = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x, 0)\}$ ; need  $c \neq 0$  for  $X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c$ .

### Slutsky's Theorem

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$  (constant), then:

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$$

$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$$

$$X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c \quad (c \neq 0)$$

**Critical:**  $Y_n$  must converge to a *constant*. If  $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$  (non-degenerate), Slutsky does not apply.

### Weak Law of Large Numbers

If  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  iid with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ , then  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$ .

**Proof (Chebyshev):**  $P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0$ .

### Central Limit Theorem

If  $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  iid with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \Sigma$  (finite), then:

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma).$$

### Stochastic Order Notation

$X_n = O_p(1)$ :  $\{X_n\}$  is bounded in probability, i.e.  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists M$  s.t.  $\sup_n P(|X_n| > M) < \epsilon$ .

$X_n = o_p(1)$ :  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

**Key rules:**  $O_p(1) \cdot o_p(1) = o_p(1)$ ;  $O_p(1) + O_p(1) = O_p(1)$ .

$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \implies X_n = O_p(1)$ .

If  $\sqrt{n}(X_n - c) \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$  and  $\sqrt{n}(X_n - c) = O_p(1)$ .

### Delta Method

#### Delta Method (General)

Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be  $(K \times 1)$  random vectors with  $n^r(X_n - c) \xrightarrow{d} X$  for some  $r > 0$  and constant  $c$ . Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be differentiable at  $c$  with Jacobian  $Dg(c)$ . Then:

$$n^r(g(X_n) - g(c)) \xrightarrow{d} Dg(c) X.$$

If  $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ :

$$n^r(g(X_n) - g(c)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, Dg(c) \Sigma Dg(c)').$$

### Proof Sketch

By Taylor:  $g(x) = g(c) + Dg(c)(x - c) + h_1(x)(x - c)$  with  $h_1(c) = 0$ . Then:

$$n^r(g(X_n) - g(c)) = Dg(c) n^r(X_n - c) + h_1(X_n) n^r(X_n - c).$$

Since  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ , CMT gives  $h_1(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , and  $n^r(X_n - c) = O_p(1)$ , so the remainder is  $o_p(1) \cdot O_p(1) = o_p(1)$ .

### Second-Order Delta Method

If  $g'(c) = 0$  and  $g''(c)$  exists (scalar case), then:

$$n^{2r}(g(X_n) - g(c)) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{g''(c)}{2} X^2.$$

Use when first-order term vanishes (degenerate limit).

#### Application: Sample Variance

Let  $X_i$  iid with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_i - \mu)^4 = \kappa$ . Let  $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ . Using the Delta Method on  $g(\mu, m_2) = m_2 - \mu^2$  applied to the sample moments  $(\bar{X}_n, \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2)$ :

$$\sqrt{n}(S_n^2 - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \kappa - \sigma^4).$$

### Estimation

#### Definitions

Given a sample  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  from distribution  $F$ , a **statistic** is a function  $T_n : (X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow V$ . An **estimator** is a statistic used to learn about some feature  $\theta(F)$ .

### Finite Sample Properties

**Bias:**  $\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_n) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}_n) - \theta$ . Unbiased if  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}_n) = \theta$ .

#### Mean Squared Error:

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_n) = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2] = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) + \text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_n)^2.$$

### Large Sample Properties

**Consistency:**  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$  (or  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$ ).

**Asymptotic Normality:**  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$  for some  $V$ .

**Asymptotic Efficiency:** An estimator achieving the smallest possible asymptotic variance among regular estimators (e.g. MLE under regularity conditions).

### Method of Moments

**Sample Analogue Principle:** Replace population moments with sample moments.

If  $\theta$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}(m(X, \theta)) = 0$  for moment function  $m$ , the MoM estimator solves:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) = 0.$$

Consistency follows from SLLN + CMT if identification holds.

### Maximum Likelihood Estimation

#### Setup

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  iid with density  $f_{\theta_0}$  for some  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Likelihood:**  $\ell_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(X_i)$ .

**Log-likelihood:**  $L_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f_\theta(X_i)$ .

**MLE:**  $\hat{\theta}_n \in \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L_n(\theta)$ .

#### Why MLE is Consistent

Under regularity conditions,  $L_n(\theta) \xrightarrow{p} L(\theta) := \mathbb{E}(\ln f_\theta(X))$ .

**Key fact:**  $\theta_0$  uniquely maximizes  $L(\theta)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $M(\theta) = L(\theta) - L(\theta_0) = \mathbb{E}\left[\ln \frac{f_\theta(X)}{f_{\theta_0}(X)}\right]$ . By Jensen's inequality:

$$M(\theta) \leq \ln \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{f_\theta(X)}{f_{\theta_0}(X)}\right] = \ln \int \frac{f_\theta(x)}{f_{\theta_0}(x)} f_{\theta_0}(x) dx = \ln 1 = 0,$$

with equality iff  $f_\theta(X)/f_{\theta_0}(X) = c$  a.s. Ruled out for  $\theta \neq \theta_0$  by assumption.

#### Asymptotic Distribution

Under regularity conditions:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1}),$$

where  $I(\theta_0) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f_{\theta_0}(Y|X)\right]$  is the **Fisher Information**.

This variance is optimal: no regular estimator can achieve a smaller asymptotic variance.

### Example: Normal

$X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$  unknown.

$$L_n(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2n\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2.$$

FOCs yield  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_n$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ .

### Example: Bernoulli

$X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ . Log-likelihood:

$L_n = \bar{X}_n \ln \theta + (1 - \bar{X}_n) \ln(1 - \theta)$ . FOC:  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}_n$ . Log-likelihood is concave, so FOC suffices.

### Conditional MLE

If  $Y|X$  has conditional density  $f_\theta(y|x)$ :  $\ell_n(\theta) = \prod_i f_\theta(Y_i|X_i)$ .

Maximising this is equivalent to OLS when  $Y|X \sim N(X'\beta, \sigma^2)$ .

### Normal Regression as CMLE

If  $Y|X \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X, \sigma^2)$ , CMLE of  $(\beta_0, \beta_1)$  minimizes  $\sum (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2$ . These are the OLS estimators.

## OLS: Setup & Projections

### Linear Model

$$y_i = x'_i \beta + u_i, \quad \mathbb{E}(x_i u_i) = 0.$$

$x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  with  $x_{i0} = 1$ . "Linear" means linear in parameters  $\beta_j$ . The error  $u_i$  contains unobserved determinants of  $y_i$ .

### Identification

Assume  $\mathbb{E}(xu) = 0$  and no perfect collinearity (no  $a \neq 0$  with  $P(a'x = 0) = 1$ ).

$\mathbb{E}(xx')$  invertible  $\iff$  no perfect collinearity. Then:

$$\beta = \mathbb{E}(xx')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(xy).$$

**Proof** ( $\mathbb{E}(xx')$  invertible  $\iff$  no collinearity):

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $P(x'a = 0) = 1$  for  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(xx')a = \mathbb{E}(x \cdot x'a) = 0$ , not invertible.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) No collinearity  $\Rightarrow c' \mathbb{E}(xx')c = \mathbb{E}[(x'c)^2] > 0 \forall c \neq 0$ , so  $\mathbb{E}(xx')$  is positive definite.

### OLS Estimator

Given iid sample  $\{y_i, x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Unique OLS estimator (when  $X'X$  invertible):

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x'_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i y_i = (X'X)^{-1} X' Y.$$

Equivalently solves  $\min_b \|Y - Xb\|^2$ . FOC:  $X' \hat{U} = 0$ .

### Projection Matrix

$P_X = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ : projects onto column space  $\mathcal{S}(X)$ .

$M_X = I_n - P_X$ : residual maker.

### Properties:

- $P_X = P_X'$ ,  $M_X = M_X'$  (symmetric)
- $P_X^2 = P_X$ ,  $M_X^2 = M_X$  (idempotent)
- $P_X M_X = M_X P_X = 0$
- $P_X X = X$ ,  $M_X X = 0$
- For any  $Y$ :  $Y = P_X Y + M_X Y = \hat{Y} + \hat{U}$

### Projection Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a nonempty subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists a unique  $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{S}$  minimizing  $\|y - \hat{y}\|$ . Necessary and sufficient:  $y - \hat{y}$  is orthogonal to every vector in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Applying to  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(X)$ : the condition  $X'(Y - \hat{Y}) = 0$  yields  $\hat{Y} = P_X Y$ .

### Frisch-Waugh-Lovell

Partition  $Y = X_1 \beta_1 + X_2 \beta_2 + U$ . Then:

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = (X_2' M_{X_1} X_2)^{-1} X_2' M_{X_1} Y.$$

i.e.,  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is obtained by regressing the residuals of  $Y$  on  $X_1$  onto the residuals of  $X_2$  on  $X_1$ .

**Proof:**  $M_{X_1} Y = M_{X_1} X_2 \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{U}$ , multiply by  $X_2'$ :

$$X_2' M_{X_1} Y = X_2' M_{X_1} X_2 \hat{\beta}_2 \text{ since } X_2' \hat{U} = 0.$$

**Population version:**  $\beta_2 = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{x}_2 \tilde{x}_2')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{x}_2 y)$ , where  $\tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - \tilde{\gamma} x_1$  is the residual from projecting  $x_2$  onto  $x_1$ . This holds because  $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{x}_2 x_1') = 0$ .

### Omitted Variables Bias

If  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u$  but we regress  $y$  on  $x_1$  only:

$$b_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2)}{\text{Var}(x_1)}.$$

The bias term  $\beta_2 \frac{\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2)}{\text{Var}(x_1)}$  is the effect of the omitted variable  $\times$  correlation with included variable.

## OLS: Finite Sample Properties

### Assumptions

$Y = X\beta + U$  with  $\mathbb{E}(U|X) = 0$  (equivalently  $\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = X\beta$ ). Since  $(u_i, x_i)$  independent of  $x_j$  for  $j \neq i$ :  $\mathbb{E}(u_i|x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ .

### Unbiasedness

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}_n|X) = (X'X)^{-1} X' \mathbb{E}(Y|X) = (X'X)^{-1} X' X \beta = \beta.$$

By LIE:  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}_n) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}_n|X)] = \beta$ .

### Variance under Homoskedasticity

Assume  $\text{Var}(u_i|x_i) = \sigma^2$  (homoskedastic). Then  $\text{Var}(U|X) = \sigma^2 I_n$  and:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_n|X) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}.$$

### Variance under Heteroskedasticity

If  $\mathbb{E}(u_i^2|x_i) = \sigma_i^2$ , then  $\text{Var}(U|X) = \Omega$  (diagonal, varying entries):

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_n|X) = (X'X)^{-1} X' \Omega X (X'X)^{-1}.$$

### Gauss-Markov Theorem

Under  $\mathbb{E}(U|X) = 0$  and homoskedasticity, OLS is BLUE: for any linear unbiased estimator  $\tilde{\beta} = AY$  with  $AX = I_{k+1}$ ,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}|X) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_n|X) = \sigma^2 C C' \succeq 0,$$

where  $C = A - (X'X)^{-1} X'$ .

**Proof:**  $\text{Var}(AY|X) = \sigma^2 A A'$ . Let  $C = A - (X'X)^{-1} X'$ . Then  $CX = AX - I_{k+1} = 0$ , so:

$$AA' - (X'X)^{-1} = CC' + (X'X)^{-1} X' C' + CX(X'X)^{-1} = CC' \succeq 0.$$

**Implication:** For any  $r \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ ,  $r'\hat{\beta}$  is BLUE for  $r'\beta$ :

$$\text{Var}(r'\hat{\beta}|X) - \text{Var}(r'\hat{\beta}|X) = r' C C' r \geq 0.$$

### Unbiasedness of $\hat{\sigma}^2$

Under normality,  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\text{SSR}}{n-k-1}$  is unbiased. **Proof (trace trick):**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\text{SSR}|X] &= \mathbb{E}[U'M_X U|X] = \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(U'M_X U)|X] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(M_X U U')|X] = \text{tr}(M_X \mathbb{E}[U U'|X]) \\ &= \sigma^2 \text{tr}(M_X) = \sigma^2(n - k - 1), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\text{tr}(M_X) = \text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}(P_X) = n - (k + 1)$  (idempotent:  $\text{tr}(P_X) = \text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1} X') = \text{tr}(I_{k+1})$ ).

### GLS (Known Heteroskedasticity)

If  $\text{Var}(U|X) = \Omega$  with  $\Omega$  known, pre-multiply by  $\Omega^{-1/2}$ :  $Y^* = X^* \beta + U^*$ , where  $\text{Var}(U^*|X) = I_n$ .

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}} = (X' \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Omega^{-1} Y.$$

This is OLS applied to the transformed model, hence BLUE by Gauss-Markov in the transformed space. Equivalently, it is BLUE in the original model.

### Coefficient of Determination

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{TSS}} = 1 - \frac{\|M_X Y\|^2}{\|M_c Y\|^2} = \frac{\|P_X M_c Y\|^2}{\|M_c Y\|^2}.$$

Where  $\text{TSS} = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ ,  $\text{SSR} = \sum \hat{u}_i^2$ ,  $\text{ESS} = \sum (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$ .

$0 \leq R^2 \leq 1$ . Always weakly increases with additional regressors. Adjusted:  $\tilde{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-k-1} \cdot \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{TSS}} \leq R^2$ .

Population:  $R_{\text{pop}}^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{Var}(u)}{\text{Var}(y)}$ .

High  $R^2$  does not imply causality; low  $R^2$  does not preclude it.

## OLS: Large Sample Properties

### Consistency

Under  $y = x'\beta + u$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(xu) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(xx')$  invertible:

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x'_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i y_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}(xx')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(xy) = \beta.$$

by SLLN and CMT.

### Asymptotic Normality

Assume  $\text{Var}(xu) = \mathbb{E}(u^2 xx')$  exists. Then:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma),$$

where  $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}(xx')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(u^2 xx') \mathbb{E}(xx')^{-1}$ .

**Proof:**  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x'_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum x_i u_i$ . CLT gives  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum x_i u_i \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mathbb{E}(u^2 xx'))$ , then apply Slutsky.

### Variance Estimation: Homoskedastic Case

Under  $\mathbb{E}(u|x) = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(u|x) = \sigma^2$ :  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}(xx')^{-1}$ . Estimate:

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x'_i \right)^{-1}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{u}_i^2.$$

## Variance Estimation: Heteroskedastic Case

Without homoskedasticity, use the **Eicker-Huber-White** (robust) estimator:

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{u}_i^2 x_i x_i' \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x_i' \right)^{-1}.$$

## Consistency of $\hat{\Sigma}$ (Key Proof Step)

Need  $\frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{u}_i^2 x_i x_i' \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}(u^2 x x')$ . Decompose:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{u}_i^2 x_i x_i' = \frac{1}{n} \sum u_i^2 x_i x_i' + \frac{1}{n} \sum (\hat{u}_i^2 - u_i^2) x_i x_i'.$$

First term  $\xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}(u^2 x x')$  by SLLN. Second term =  $o_p(1)$  because:

$$|\hat{u}_i^2 - u_i^2| = |x_i'(\beta - \hat{\beta}_n)| \cdot |\hat{u}_i + u_i| \\ \max_{i \leq n} |\hat{u}_i^2 - u_i^2| \leq \|\beta - \hat{\beta}_n\|^2 \max \|x_i\|^2 + 2\|\beta - \hat{\beta}_n\| \max \|x_i u_i\|.$$

Use the lemma: if  $\mathbb{E}(\|Z_i\|^r) < \infty$  and  $Z_i$  identically distributed, then  $\frac{\max_{i \leq n} \|Z_i\|}{n^{1/r}} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .

Applied:  $\frac{\max \|x_i\|^2}{n} = o_p(1)$ ,  $\frac{\max \|x_i u_i\|}{\sqrt{n}} = o_p(1)$ , and  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = O_p(1)$ .

## Hypothesis Testing

### Definitions

**Null:**  $H_0 : \theta_0 \in \Theta_0$ . Simple if  $\Theta_0$  singleton; composite otherwise.

**Test:**  $\phi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Reject  $H_0$  iff  $\phi_n = 1$ .

**Type I Error:** Reject when  $H_0$  true. **Type II:** Fail to reject when false.

**Power function:**  $\beta_n(\theta) = P_\theta(\phi_n = 1)$ .

**Size:**  $\alpha := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_n(\theta)$ .

**Asymptotic size  $\alpha$ :**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_n(\theta) \leq \alpha$ .

### Confidence Sets

$C_n$  is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence set if  $P_\theta(\theta \in C_n) \geq 1 - \alpha$  for all  $\theta$ .

**Pivot:** A function of data and unknown parameters whose distribution doesn't depend on unknown parameters (e.g.  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$ ).

**Exact (known  $\sigma^2$ ):**  $C_n = [\bar{X}_n \pm \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}]$ .

**Exact (unknown  $\sigma^2$ , normal):**  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\tilde{S}_n} \sim t_{n-1}$ , yielding

$C_n = [\bar{X}_n \pm \frac{\tilde{S}_n}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, 1-\alpha/2}]$ , where  $\tilde{S}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ .

## Finite Sample Inference (Normal Regression)

Under  $Y|X \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ :  $\hat{\beta}|X \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1})$ ,

$\frac{(n-k-1)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-k-1}$ , and  $\hat{\beta} \perp \hat{\sigma}^2 | X$ .

**t-statistic:**  $T_n = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_{j,0}}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-k-1}$ , where

$se(\hat{\beta}_j) = \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{e_j'(X'X)^{-1} e_j}$ .

Reject  $H_0 : \beta_j = \beta_{j,0}$  if  $|T_n| > t_{n-k-1, 1-\alpha/2}$ .

**p-value:**  $\hat{p} = 2F(-|T_n|)$  where  $F$  is the  $t_{n-k-1}$  CDF.

## Testing Single Linear Restriction (Asymptotic)

$H_0 : r'\beta = c$ . Under  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V)$  with  $\hat{V}_n \xrightarrow{P} V$ :

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(r'\hat{\beta}_n - c)}{\sqrt{r'\hat{V}_n r}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \text{ under } H_0.$$

Reject if  $|T_n| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$ . CI:  $C_n = r'\hat{\beta}_n \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{r'\hat{V}_n r / n}$ .

## Testing Multiple Linear Restrictions

$H_0 : R\beta = c$ ,  $R$  is  $p \times (k+1)$  full row rank.

$$T_n = n \cdot (R\hat{\beta}_n - c)'(R\hat{V}_n R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta}_n - c) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_p^2.$$

Reject if  $T_n > \chi_{p, 1-\alpha}^2$ . Confidence set: ellipsoid

$$\{c : T_n(c) \leq \chi_{p, 1-\alpha}^2\}.$$

$RVR'$  positive definite because: if  $a \neq 0$ ,  $R'a \neq 0$  (full rank), so  $(R'a)'V(R'a) > 0$ .

## Testing Non-Linear Restrictions

$H_0 : f(\beta) = 0$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  continuously differentiable. Delta method:

$$\sqrt{n}(f(\hat{\beta}_n) - f(\beta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, D_\beta f(\beta) V D_\beta f(\beta)').$$

Construct  $\chi_p^2$  statistic as before. Note  $f(\beta) = R\beta$  yields linear case since  $D_\beta f = R$ .

## Potential Outcomes & Causality

### Setup

Individual  $i$  has potential outcomes  $y_i(1)$  (treated) and  $y_i(0)$  (untreated). Treatment  $D_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Observed outcome:

$$Y_i = y_i(1)D_i + y_i(0)(1 - D_i).$$

**Fundamental problem:** never observe both  $y_i(1)$  and  $y_i(0)$ .

### Treatment Effects

**ATE:**  $\mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0))$ .

**ATT:**  $\mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|D=1)$ .

**ATU:**  $\mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|D=0)$ .

### Decomposition:

$$\text{ATE} = \text{ATT} \cdot P(D=1) + \text{ATU} \cdot P(D=0).$$

## Naive Comparison and Selection Bias

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|D=1) - \mathbb{E}(Y|D=0) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|D=1)}_{\text{ATT}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(y(0)|D=1) - \mathbb{E}(y(0)|D=0)}_{\text{Selection Bias}}.$$

Naive comparison = ATT only if selection bias = 0.

### Random Assignment

$D \perp (y(0), y(1))$  implies:

$$\mathbb{E}(y(d)|D) = \mathbb{E}(y(d)) \quad \text{for } d \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Selection bias vanishes, and  $\beta_1 = \mathbb{E}(Y|D=1) - \mathbb{E}(Y|D=0) = \text{ATE}$ . OLS of  $Y$  on  $D$  gives unbiased estimate of ATE.

## Conditional Independence (Unconfoundedness)

$y(0), y(1) \perp D|w$  (selection on observables). Then:

$$\text{ATE} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(Y|D=1, w) - \mathbb{E}(Y|D=0, w)].$$

Requires overlap:  $0 < P(D=1|w=w') < 1$  for all  $w'$ .

## Homogeneous vs. Heterogeneous Effects

**Homogeneous:**  $y_i(1) - y_i(0) = \beta_1$  for all  $i$ . Then

$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D_i + u_i$  has a causal interpretation:  $\beta_1$  is the treatment effect.

**Heterogeneous:** Effects vary across  $i$ . Regression coefficient is an average effect, not the individual effect.

## Heterogeneous Effects with Interactions

If  $x \in \{0, 1\}$  and effects vary, the correct specification is:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 D_i + \beta_3 D_i x_i + v_i, \quad \mathbb{E}(v|D, x) = 0.$$

Here  $\beta_2 = \mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|x=0)$  and  $\beta_3 = \text{ATE}(x=1) - \text{ATE}(x=0)$ .

**Misspecification trap:** If you omit  $D_i x_i$  and run  $y = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 D + e$ , then  $b_2$  converges to a *variance-weighted* average of conditional ATEs:

$$b_2 \xrightarrow{P} \sum_x \frac{\text{Var}(D|x) P(x)}{\mathbb{E}(\text{Var}(D|x))} \cdot \text{ATE}(x),$$

which generally  $\neq$  ATET unless effects are homogeneous or  $P(D=1|x)$  is constant.

## Inverse Probability Weighting (IPW)

Under unconfoundedness and overlap, with  $p(x) := P(D=1|X=x)$ :

$$\text{ATE} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Y(D-p(X))}{p(X)(1-p(X))}\right],$$

$$\text{ATT} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{YD}{P(D=1)}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Y(1-D)p(X)}{P(D=1)(1-p(X))}\right].$$

Useful when the propensity score  $p(x)$  is easier to model than  $\mathbb{E}(Y|D, X)$ .

## Multiple Treatments

$k$  treatments,  $k+1$  potential outcomes. With random assignment:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k,$$

where  $\beta_0 = \mathbb{E}(y(0))$  and  $\beta_j = \mathbb{E}(y(j) - y(0))$ .

## Instrumental Variables

### The Endogeneity Problem

If  $\mathbb{E}(xu) \neq 0$  (endogeneity), OLS is inconsistent:

$$\hat{\beta}_n^{\text{OLS}} \xrightarrow{P} \beta + \mathbb{E}(xx')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(xu) \neq \beta.$$

## IV Conditions

Use instrument  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$  ( $z_0 = 1$ ) satisfying:

**Validity:**  $\mathbb{E}(zu) = 0$  (exogeneity + exclusion).

**Relevance (Rank Condition):**  $\mathbb{E}(zx')$  has rank  $k+1$ .

**Order Condition (necessary):**  $l \geq k$  (at least as many instruments as regressors).

$l = k$ : exactly identified.  $l > k$ : overidentified.

## Identification

From  $y = x'\beta + u$  and  $\mathbb{E}(zu) = 0$ :  $\mathbb{E}(zy) = \mathbb{E}(zx')\beta$ . If  $l = k$ :

$$\beta = \mathbb{E}(zx')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(zy).$$

## IV Estimator (Exact Identification)

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum z_i x'_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum z_i y_i = (Z' X)^{-1} Z' Y.$$

Consistent by LLN + CMT.

## Potential Outcomes Framework for IV

Exclusion:  $y(d, z') = y(d, z'')$   $\forall d, z', z''$ . Write  $y(d) \equiv y(d, z')$ .

Exogeneity:  $y(d, z') \perp z|w \forall d, z'$ .

Under constant linear treatment effects:  $y(d) = x(w, d)' \beta + u$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(u|w) = 0$ .

Exclusion  $\implies \mathbb{E}(u|z, w) = 0$ , so  $\mathbb{E}(zu) = 0$ .

## Asymptotic Distribution

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_{\text{IV}}),$$

where in the scalar case with  $\mathbb{E}(u^2|z) = \sigma^2$ :  $V_{\text{IV}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Corr}(x, z)^2 \text{Var}(x)}$ .

**IV vs. OLS efficiency:** If  $\mathbb{E}(u|x) = 0$ , OLS more efficient:

$$V_{\text{OLS}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(x)}.$$

## GMM / 2SLS (Overidentification)

When  $l > k$ :  $\mathbb{E}(zx')$  is  $l+1 \times k+1$ , not square. Use GMM:

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{2SLS}} = (X' P_Z X)^{-1} X' P_Z Y,$$

where  $P_Z = Z(Z' Z)^{-1} Z'$ . Equivalently: regress  $X$  on  $Z$  (first stage), then  $Y$  on  $\hat{X}$  (second stage).

## Overidentification Tests (Sargan/Hansen)

Test  $H_0 : \mathbb{E}(zu) = 0$ . Under homoskedasticity, the F-statistic from regressing  $\hat{u}$  on  $z$  is asymptotically  $\chi^2_{l_2-k_1}$ . Rejection  $\implies$  invalid instruments or misspecification, but cannot tell which instrument is bad.

## Must Include Exogenous Regressors in First Stage

With  $x = (x_{-k}, x_k)$ , endogenous  $x_k$ , instruments  $z = (x_{-k}, z_k)$ : the first stage *must* regress  $x_k$  on *all* of  $z$ , not just  $z_k$ .

**Why:** If you omit  $x_{-k}$ , the first-stage estimate  $\tilde{\pi}$  suffers OVB:

$$\tilde{\pi} \xrightarrow{p} \pi + \frac{\text{Cov}(z_k, x_{-k})}{\text{Var}(z_k)} \delta \neq \pi. \text{ The 2SLS estimate becomes}$$

$\hat{\beta}_k = \hat{\gamma}_k / \tilde{\pi} \xrightarrow{p} \beta_k \pi / (\pi + \nu) \neq \beta_k$ , where  $\hat{\gamma}_k$  is the reduced-form coefficient.

Intuitively,  $\hat{x}_k$  without controls captures variation from  $x_{-k}$ , violating ceteris paribus.

## IV Finite Sample Bias

IV is generally biased in finite samples. With one excluded instrument and one endogenous variable,  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}}$  has *no finite moments*.

For proof:  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}}|Z] = \beta + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum z_i u_i}{\sum z_i x_i} \middle| Z\right]$ , and the ratio need not have finite expectation.

## Hausman Test (Exogeneity)

Test  $H_0 : \mathbb{E}(xu) = 0$  using both OLS and IV. Under  $H_0$ , both are consistent; under  $H_1$ , only IV is. Joint distribution:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}} - \beta \\ \hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}} - \beta \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_{\text{joint}}).$$

Test statistic:  $T_n = n(\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}} - \hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}})' \hat{W}^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}} - \hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_k$  under  $H_0$ , where  $\hat{W}$  consistently estimates the variance of the difference.

## Weak Instruments

### Setup

$y = \beta x + u$ ,  $x = \pi z + v$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(zu) = \mathbb{E}(zv) = 0$ . Identification requires  $\pi \neq 0$ .

### The Problem with $\pi \approx 0$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}} = \beta + \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum z_i u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum z_i x_i}.$$

If  $\pi = 0$ : denominator  $\xrightarrow{p} 0$  but numerator  $\xrightarrow{d}$  normal. Can't apply Slutsky. The ratio converges to a **ratio of correlated normals**, not  $N(0, V)$ .

### Finite Sample Bias

With joint normal errors and fixed instruments,  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}}$  has number of moments equal to (# excluded instruments – # endogenous variables). With  $K = 1$  excluded instrument and 1 endogenous variable:  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{IV}}$  has **no finite moments**.

$\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\sigma_{uv}}{\sigma_v^2} \beta$  (biased toward OLS probability limit).

### Rule of Thumb

First-stage F-statistic  $\geq 10$  for relative bias  $\leq 10\%$  (Stock & Yogo, 2005). Critical values range 9–12 for 3–30 instruments. But this is only an approximation.

### Anderson-Rubin Test

Robust to weak instruments. Suppose  $y = x'\beta + u$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(zu) = 0$ .

Under  $H_0 : \beta = \beta_0$ :  $\mathbb{E}(z(y - x'\beta_0)) = 0$ , so regress  $u(\beta_0) = y - x'\beta_0$  on  $z$ :

$$u_i(\beta_0) = z'_i \gamma + \epsilon_i, \quad \mathbb{E}(z\epsilon) = 0.$$

Under  $H_0$ :  $\gamma = 0$ . Test statistic:

$$T_n = n \hat{\gamma}' \hat{V}^{-1} \hat{\gamma} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_l.$$

Reject if  $T_n > \chi^2_{l, 1-\alpha}$ .

**Why robust:** Under  $H_0$ ,  $\sqrt{n} \hat{\gamma} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum z_i z'_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum z_i u_i$ . This uses CLT on  $z_i u_i$  directly—no division by a possibly-near-zero first stage.

**Power:** Under  $H_1 : \beta \neq \beta_0$ , we have

$\gamma = \mathbb{E}(zz')^{-1} \mathbb{E}(zx')(\beta - \beta_0) \neq 0$ , so the test has power against any alternative.

## With Included Instruments

Separate  $z = (z_1, z_2)$ ,  $x = (x_1, z_1)$ . Under  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$ , regress  $y - x'_1 \beta_{1,0}$  on  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . Test whether coefficients on  $z_2$  are zero:

$$T_n = n \hat{\gamma}'_{z_2} \hat{V}^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_{z_2} \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{l_2}.$$

## Difference-in-Differences

### Setup

Two periods:  $T \in \{0, 1\}$ . Two groups:  $G \in \{0, 1\}$  (treated/control). Observed outcome:

$$Y = \begin{cases} y(1) & \text{if } G = 1, T = 1 \\ y(0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Target:  $\text{ATT} = \mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|G = 1, T = 1)$ .

### Naive Comparisons Fail

#### Across time (treated group):

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|G=1, T=1) - \mathbb{E}(Y|G=1, T=0) = \text{ATT} + \text{Temporal trend}.$$

#### Across groups (post-period):

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|G=1, T=1) - \mathbb{E}(Y|G=0, T=1) = \text{ATT} + \text{Selection bias in } y(0).$$

### Common Trends Assumption

In the absence of treatment, the change in outcomes would be the same for treated and control groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(y(0)|G=1, T=1) - \mathbb{E}(y(0)|G=1, T=0) \\ = \mathbb{E}(y(0)|G=0, T=1) - \mathbb{E}(y(0)|G=0, T=0). \end{aligned}$$

Note: the LHS involves an *unobservable* counterfactual.

### DiD Estimand

Under common trends:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ATT} = [\mathbb{E}(Y|G=1, T=1) - \mathbb{E}(Y|G=1, T=0)] \\ - [\mathbb{E}(Y|G=0, T=1) - \mathbb{E}(Y|G=0, T=0)]. \end{aligned}$$

### Regression Implementation

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 G_i + \beta_2 T_t + \beta_3 (G_i \cdot T_t) + u_{it}.$$

$\beta_3$  is the DiD estimator = ATT under common trends.

$\beta_1$ : group difference at baseline.  $\beta_2$ : common time effect.

### Data Requirements

**Repeated cross section:** Random sample in each period (different units).

**Panel data:** Same units observed in both periods (stronger). Every panel is a repeated cross section, but not vice versa.

## Regression Discontinuity Design

### Setup

Running variable  $X$ , cutoff  $c$ , treatment  $D$ . Potential outcomes  $y(0), y(1)$ .

## Sharp RDD

$D = \mathbf{1}(X \geq c)$ : treatment is deterministic in  $X$ .

Unconfoundedness holds:  $y(0), y(1) \perp D|X$  (since  $D$  is a function of  $X$ ).

**Overlap fails:**  $P(D = 1|X = x) = \mathbf{1}(x \geq c) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

## Identification

Under continuity:  $\mathbb{E}(y(0)|X = x)$  continuous at  $c$ :

$$\mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|X = c) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X = c) - \lim_{x \uparrow c} \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x).$$

Identifies treatment effect **at the cutoff only**.

## Estimation: Local Linear Regression

Choose bandwidth  $h$  and solve:

$$\min_{\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma, \delta} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(|X_i - c| \leq h) (y_i - \alpha_0 - \beta_0 x_i - \gamma d_i - \delta d_i x_i)^2.$$

Equivalent to two separate regressions on  $\{i : X_i \in [c-h, c]\}$  and  $\{i : X_i \in [c, c+h]\}$ .

Recentering:  $Y_i = \alpha_0 + \beta_0(X_i - c) + \gamma D_i + \delta D_i(X_i - c) + \epsilon_i$  makes  $\gamma$  the discontinuity.

## Bandwidth Choice

Optimal:  $h = C \cdot n^{-1/5}$  (bias-variance tradeoff). Larger  $h \Rightarrow$  lower variance, higher bias.

IK (2012) and CCT (2014) propose data-driven bandwidth selectors. CCT accounts for asymptotic bias.

## Threats to Validity

**Manipulation:** Individuals choosing  $X$  values near cutoff. McCrary (2008): test for density discontinuity at  $c$ .

**Multiple treatments:** Cannot identify which treatment caused the jump.

**Covariate balance:** Pre-determined covariates should be continuous at  $c$ ; discontinuities suggest violations.

## Fuzzy RDD

$P(D = 1|X = x)$  is discontinuous at  $c$ , but  $D \neq \mathbf{1}(X \geq c)$ . Then  $Z = \mathbf{1}(X \geq c)$  is an instrument for  $D$ .

Under monotonicity ( $P(D_1 \geq D_0) = 1$ ), the estimand is a LATE at the cutoff:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(y(1) - y(0)|X = c, \text{complier}) \\ = \frac{\lim_{x \downarrow c} \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x) - \lim_{x \uparrow c} \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x)}{\lim_{x \downarrow c} \mathbb{E}(D|X = x) - \lim_{x \uparrow c} \mathbb{E}(D|X = x)}. \end{aligned}$$

## Fuzzy RDD Implementation

2SLS on subsample  $\{i : |X_i - c| \leq h\}$ :

First stage:  $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + \pi_2(X - c) + \pi_3 Z(X - c) + v$ .

Second stage:  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D + \beta_2(X - c) + \beta_3 Z(X - c) + u$ .

## Panel Data

### Setup

$N$  individuals,  $T$  time periods. Linear model:

$$Y_{it} = X'_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{it},$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is an unobserved individual fixed effect.

**Asymptotics:** Large  $N$ , small  $T$ .

## Problem with Pooled OLS

Pooled OLS treats  $\alpha_i + u_{it}$  as the error. If  $\mathbb{E}(X_{it}\alpha_i) \neq 0$ , the composite error is correlated with regressors, and pooled OLS is inconsistent.

## First Differencing (FD)

Difference across time to eliminate  $\alpha_i$ :

$$\Delta Y_{it} = \Delta X'_{it}\beta + \Delta u_{it}.$$

FD estimator:  $\hat{\beta}_{FD}$  is OLS applied to differenced data.

**Consistency requires:**  $\mathbb{E}(\Delta X'_{it}\Delta u_{it}) = 0$ , i.e. **contemporaneous exogeneity**:  $\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|X_{it}, X_{it-1}) = 0$ .

**Not sufficient if:** unobservables in *other* time periods are correlated with today's regressors.

## Strict Exogeneity

$\mathbb{E}(u_{it}|X_{i1}, \dots, X_{iT}) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Stronger than contemporaneous exogeneity. Required for FE consistency.

Violated if, e.g., past outcomes affect future regressors (feedback effects).

## Fixed Effects (FE) / Within Estimator

For general  $T \geq 2$ , define within-transformed variables  $\ddot{Y}_{it} = Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i$ :

$$\ddot{Y}_{it} = \ddot{X}'_{it}\beta + \ddot{u}_{it}.$$

FE estimator is OLS on demeaned data. Eliminates  $\alpha_i$  without differencing.

Under strict exogeneity:  $\hat{\beta}_{FE}$  is consistent as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (fixed  $T$ ).

**FE = LSDV equivalence:** Regressing  $Y$  on  $X$  and  $N$  individual dummies (LSDV) yields the same  $\hat{\beta}$  as the within estimator. **Proof:**

By FWL,  $\hat{\beta}_{LSDV} = (X'M_D X)^{-1} X'M_D Y$  where  $D$  is the matrix of individual dummies.  $M_D$  demeans within each individual:  $(M_D Y)_{it} = Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i = \ddot{Y}_{it}$ . So  $\hat{\beta}_{LSDV} = (\ddot{X}'\ddot{X})^{-1} \ddot{X}'\ddot{Y} = \hat{\beta}_{FE}$ .

## FD vs. FE

With  $T = 2$ : FD = FE.

With  $T > 2$ : differ in general. FE more efficient under homoskedasticity of  $u_{it}$ ; FD more robust to serial correlation patterns.

## Serial Correlation

Panel structure allows arbitrary correlation of  $u_{it}$  with  $\alpha_i$  (eliminated by FD/FE), but standard errors must account for within-individual serial correlation in  $u_{it}$ . Cluster standard errors at the individual level.

## Tips and Tricks

### Proof Strategies for Consistency

1. Write estimator as function of sample averages.
2. Apply SLLN to each sample average.
3. Apply CMT to the composed function.

For extremum estimators (MLE, GMM): show uniform convergence of objective function + identification at  $\theta_0$ .

## Trace Trick for Quadratic Forms

For scalar  $U'AU$ :  $U'AU = \text{tr}(U'AU) = \text{tr}(AUU')$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[U'AU|X] = \text{tr}(A\mathbb{E}[UU'|X])$ . Key identity:  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ . Used to prove  $\mathbb{E}[\text{SSR}|X] = \sigma^2(n-k-1)$ .

## Proof Strategies for Asymptotic Normality

1. Decompose  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  into a CLT term and remainder.
2. Apply CLT to iid mean-zero term.
3. Show remainder is  $o_p(1)$  using Slutsky.

Template:  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x'_i\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum x_i u_i$ . CLT on second factor, Slutsky with first.

## Useful Inequalities

**Markov:**  $P(|X| \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|}{t}$ .

**Chebyshev:**  $P(|X - \mu| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{t^2}$ .

**Jensen:** If  $g$  convex,  $g(\mathbb{E}(X)) \leq \mathbb{E}(g(X))$ . Strict if  $g$  strictly convex and  $X$  non-degenerate.

**Cauchy-Schwarz:**  $|\mathbb{E}(XY)|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2)$ .

## Key $o_p/O_p$ Arguments

To show  $\frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{u}_i^2 x_i x'_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(u^2 x x')$ :

Bound

$\max_{i \leq n} |\hat{u}_i^2 - u_i^2| \leq \|\hat{\beta} - \beta\|^2 \max \|x_i\|^2 + 2\|\hat{\beta} - \beta\| \max \|x_i u_i\|$ .

Use:  $\frac{\max \|Z_i\|}{n^{1/r}} = o_p(1)$  when  $\mathbb{E}\|Z\|^r < \infty$ .

## Common Endogeneity Sources

- Omitted variables correlated with both  $x$  and  $y$
- Simultaneity / reverse causality
- Measurement error in regressors
- Self-selection into treatment

## IV Checklist

1. **Relevance:** First-stage  $F \geq 10$  (weak instrument check)
2. **Exclusion:**  $z$  affects  $y$  only through  $x$  (untestable)
3. **Exogeneity:**  $\mathbb{E}(zu) = 0$  (partially testable via overid)
4. **Monotonicity:** For LATE interpretation with heterogeneous effects

## Identification Strategy Summary

- **RCT:** Random assignment  $\implies$  ATE from simple regression
- **Selection on observables:** Unconfoundedness + overlap  $\implies$  ATE
- **IV:** Exogeneity + relevance  $\implies$  causal effect (LATE if heterogeneous)
- **DiD:** Common trends  $\implies$  ATT
- **RDD:** Continuity at cutoff  $\implies$  treatment effect at cutoff
- **Panel FE/FD:** Eliminates time-invariant unobservables

## Bias-Variance Tradeoff (Irrelevant Variables)

Including irrelevant variable ( $\beta_2 = 0$ ): no bias, but *increases* variance. Omitting relevant variable ( $\beta_2 \neq 0$ ): introduces OVB, but *decreases* variance. Via FWL:  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1|X) = \sigma^2/\text{SSR}_1$  where  $\text{SSR}_1$  is residual SS from regressing  $x_1$  on other regressors. Adding correlated regressors lowers  $\text{SSR}_1$ , inflating variance.