

# **ECMA31100 Introduction to Empirical Analysis II**

Winter 2022, Week 9: Discussion Session

Conroy Lau

The University of Chicago

March 10, 2022

# Welcome to week 9 of the winter quarter!

## Topics for this TA session

- Similar to last week, I will cover two topics:
  - Synthetic control
  - Wild bootstrap
- I will be skipping technical details due to time constraints
- These two topics were mentioned only very briefly in lectures
- The goal here is to provide an overview of the methods
- I also provide some remarks on treatment choice based on last week's discussion

# Contents

1. Treatment choice

2. Synthetic control

3. The bootstrap

4. Final remarks

# Some relevant papers on treatment choice

## Extensions?

- Sun (2021): extends to the case with unknown budget/cost
- Kitagawa and Tetenov (2021): considers an objective that is rank-dependent

## Some other papers

- Armstrong and Shen (2015): inference on first-best rules
- Athey and Wager (2021): doubly-robust estimator and asymptotic bounds
  - The two papers we saw in week 8 derived finite-sample regret bounds

# Contents

1. Treatment choice

2. Synthetic control

3. The bootstrap

4. Final remarks

# Synthetic control

## Set-up

- Data:  $j = 1, \dots, J + 1$  ( $j = 1$  is treated, and remaining are untreated)
- Intervention period:  $T_0$
- Pre-period outcome and covariates for each unit  $j$ :  $X_j \equiv (X_{1j}, \dots, X_{kj})'$ 
  - $X_1$  is the vector of pre-period of outcome and covariates for the treated unit
  - $X_0 \equiv (X_2, \dots, X_{J+1})$  is the corresponding  $k \times J$  matrix for the untreated units
- Potential outcomes without intervention for unit  $j$  in period  $T$ :  $Y_{jt}^N$
- Potential outcome in post-intervention period  $t > T_0$ :  $Y_{1t}^I$

## Goal

- We want to estimate the effect of intervention on unit 1:  $\tau_{1t} = Y_{1t}^I - Y_{1t}^N$

# Synthetic control

## Optimization problem

- Let  $w_j \geq 0$  be a potential synthetic control for unit  $j = 2, \dots, J+1$  s.t.  $\sum_{j=2}^{J+1} w_j = 1$
- Stack them together  $w \equiv (w_2, \dots, w_{J+1})'$
- We obtain the optimal weighting by solving the optimization problem below:

$$\begin{aligned} w^* &\equiv \arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^J} \|X_1 - X_0 w\|_V \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &\sum_{j=2}^{J+1} w_j = 1 \\ &w_2, \dots, w_{J+1} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Synthetic control

## More about the objective function

- The objective function can be written explicitly as

$$\|X_1 - X_0 w\|_V = \sqrt{(X_1 - X_0 w)' V (X_1 - X_0 w)} = \sqrt{\sum_{h=1}^k v_h \left( X_{h1} - \sum_{j=2}^{J+1} w_j X_{hj} \right)^2}$$

## Treatment effect estimate

$$\hat{\tau}_{1t} = Y_{1t} - \sum_{j=2}^{J+1} w_j^* Y_{jt}$$



# Synthetic control

## Weighting matrix $V$

- The weight  $w^*$  is dependent on the choice of  $V$
- That is, each potential choice of  $V$  produce a set of synthetic controls

$$w(V) \equiv (w_2(V), \dots, w_{J+1}(V))$$

## Abadie and Gardeazabal (2003) and Abadie et al. (2010)

- Let  $\mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, T_0\}$  be the set of preintervention periods
- They choose  $V$  such that  $w(V)$  minimize the

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_0} (Y_{1t} - w_2(V)Y_{2t} - \dots - w_{J+1}(V)Y_{J+1,t})^2,$$

i.e., minimize the mean squared prediction error of synthetic control w.r.t.  $Y_{1t}^N$

# Penalized synthetic control (Abadie and L'Hour, 2021)

## Optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} w^* &\equiv \arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^J} \left\| X_1 - \sum_{j=2}^J w_j X_j \right\|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=2}^J w_j \|X_i - X_j\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &\sum_{j=2}^{J+1} w_j = 1 \\ &w_2, \dots, w_{J+1} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Interpretation

- $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ : pure synthetic control that min. pairwise matching discrepancies
- $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ : nearest neighbor matching
- $\lambda > 0$ : trade-off between fitting well and minimizing sum of pairwise distance

Any questions?

# Contents

1. Treatment choice

2. Synthetic control

**3. The bootstrap**

4. Final remarks

# The bootstrap

## Idea

- The bootstrap was introduced by [Efron \(1979\)](#)
- We want to estimate the distribution of estimator by resampling the data
- Some of the discussion here follows [Horowitz \(2019\)](#) (highly recommended)

## Notations

- Random variable and data:  $X$  and  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$
- Distribution function of  $X$ :  $F_0$
- Empirical distribution using the sample:  $F_n(x) \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}[X_i \leq x]$
- Object of interest:  $T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- Distribution of  $T_n$  using data sampled from distribution  $F$ :  $G_n(\cdot, F)$

# Nonparametric bootstrap

## Idea

1. Do the followings for  $B$  times:
  - (a) Sample the original data with replacement to get  $\{X_i^*\}_{i=1}^n$
  - (b) Compute  $T_n^* = T_n(X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*)$
2. Compute the probability of  $T_n^* \leq \tau$  using these  $B$  draws for each  $\tau$

## Consistency

- Based on the above procedure, we have  $\mathbb{P}[T_n \leq \tau] = G_n(\tau, F_0)$
- The bootstrap approximates  $G_n(\tau, F_0)$  by  $G_n(\tau, F_n)$
- Let  $P_n$  be the probability distribution based on the sample  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$
- The bootstrap estimator  $G_n(\cdot, F_n)$  is consistent if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $F_0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_n \left[ \sup_{\tau} |G_n(\tau, F_n) - G_\infty(\tau, F_0)| > \epsilon \right] = 0$$

# (Nonreplacement) subsampling

## Idea

- Proposed by Politis and Romano (1994)
- They show it consistently est. the distribution of statistic under weaker conditions

## Some details

- Let  $t_n \equiv t_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be an estimator of the population parameter  $\theta$
- Let  $\rho_n$  be a normalizing factor s.t.  $G_n(\tau, F_0) \equiv \mathbb{P}[\rho_n(t_n - \theta) \leq \tau] \longrightarrow G_\infty(\tau, F_0)$ 
  - Requires this to hold at nondegenerate  $G_\infty(\tau, F_0)$  at points  $\tau$  where  $G_\infty$  is continuous
- Let  $N_{nm} = \binom{n}{m}$  to be the total number of size  $m < n$  subsets that can be formed
- We estimate  $G_n(\tau, F_0)$  by ( $t_{mk}$  means the estimator  $t_m$  at the  $k$ th subset)

$$G_{nm}(\tau) = \frac{1}{N_{nm}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{nm}} \mathbb{1}[\rho_m(t_{mk} - t_n) \leq \tau]$$

# The Wild bootstrap

## Idea

- Originally introduced by Wu (1986)
- I focus on the clustered linear regression model:  $Y_{ij} = X_{ij}\beta + U_{ij}$
- Assume that there are  $J$  clusters in total

## Rademacher distribution

- $R_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- $\mathbb{P}[R_i = 1] = \mathbb{P}[R_i = -1] = \frac{1}{2}$

## Further readings

- Canay et al. (2021): Justify wild bootstrap with small number of large clusters



# The Wild bootstrap

## Null

- Given a null hypothesis  $H_0$ , let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the constrained OLS estimator under the null
- Let  $\hat{w}$  be the cluster-robust Wald statistic from constrained regression

## Procedure

1. Compute the residuals  $\hat{U}_{ij} \equiv Y_{ij} - X'_{ij}\hat{\beta}$  from the constrained regression
2. For each bootstrap replication  $b = 1, \dots, B$ , do the followings:
  - (a) Draw one independent Rademacher draws  $R_j \in \{-1, 1\}$  for each cluster  $j = 1, \dots, J$
  - (b) Create  $U_{ij}^* = R_j \hat{U}_{ij}$  based on the  $J$  draws
  - (c) Regress  $Y_{ij}^* \equiv X_{ij}\hat{\beta} + U_{ij}^*$  on  $X_{ij}$  to obtain the cluster-robust Wald statistic  $\hat{w}_b^*$
3. Reject null at level  $\alpha$  if  $|\hat{w}| > (1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\{|\hat{w}_b| \}_{b=1}^B$

Any questions?

# Contents

1. Treatment choice

2. Synthetic control

3. The bootstrap

**4. Final remarks**

# Final remarks

- I hope you have learned useful econometric tools from lectures and TA sessions
  - Don't forget to submit the final problem set and the final project
  - Feel free to email me if you have any questions
- 
- Good luck with the spring quarter!
  - Good luck with your pre-doc/Ph.D./industry job applications!!
  - Good luck with your research!!!
  - Good luck with everything!!!!

Thank you!

# References 1

- ABADIE, A., A. DIAMOND, AND J. HAINMUELLER (2010): “Synthetic Control Methods for Comparative Case Studies: Estimating the Effect of California’s Tobacco Control Program,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 105, 493–505.
- ABADIE, A. AND J. GARDEAZABAL (2003): “The Economic Costs of Conflict: A Case Study of the Basque Country,” *American Economic Review*, 93, 113–132.
- ABADIE, A. AND J. L’HOUR (2021): “A Penalized Synthetic Control Estimator for Disaggregated Data,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 116, 1817–1834.
- ARMSTRONG, T. AND S. SHEN (2015): “Inference on Optimal Treatment Assignments,” *Working paper*.

## References 2

- ATHEY, S. AND S. WAGER (2021): “Policy Learning With Observational Data,” *Econometrica*, 89, 133–161.
- CANAY, I. A., A. SANTOS, AND A. M. SHAIKH (2021): “The Wild Bootstrap with a “Small” Number of “Large” Clusters,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 103, 346–363.
- EFRON, B. (1979): “Bootstrap Methods: Another Look at the Jackknife,” *The Annals of Statistics*, 7, 1 – 26.
- HOROWITZ, J. L. (2019): “Bootstrap Methods in Econometrics,” *Annual Review of Economics*, 11, 193–224.
- KITAGAWA, T. AND A. TETENOV (2021): “Equality-Minded Treatment Choice,” *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 39, 561–574.

## References 3

- POLITIS, D. N. AND J. P. ROMANO (1994): “The Stationary Bootstrap,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 89, 1303–1313.
- SUN, L. (2021): “Empirical Welfare Maximization with Constraints,” *Working Paper*.
- WU, C. F. J. (1986): “Jackknife, Bootstrap and Other Resampling Methods in Regression Analysis,” *The Annals of Statistics*, 14, 1261 – 1295.