C++ Foundation



Program Organization and Dependency Management

Program Organization and Dependency Management

- namespace, "packages"
- using directives and declarations
- explicit qualification
- header files and source files
- unnecessary #includes
- Koenig lookup, argument dependent lookup
- forward declarations
- dependency injection

Namespaces

- A class is not a useful unit of design!
- Collaborating classes are, and can live inside a package, a named scope

```
namespace grammar lib
grammar_lib/
                               class non terminal
  non_terminal.hpp
  production.hpp
  production_entry.hpp
                          namespace grammar lib
  terminal.hpp
                               class production
```

Header Guards

- Always use macro guards to ensure header files are idempotent (beware copy & paste)
- Make header macro guards reflect the folder/namespace name and the file/class name

```
grammar_lib/non_terminal.hpp
#ifndef GRAMMAR_LIB_NON_TERMINAL_HPP_INCLUDED
#define GRAMMAR_LIB_NON_TERMINAL_HPP_INCLUDED
...
#endif
```

Using Directives

Pulls in all names into the current scope

```
namespace std
{
   class ostream { ... };
   ostream & endl(ostream &);
   extern ostream cout;
}
```

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

void eg()
{
   cout << "Hello" << endl;
}</pre>
```

using directive

Using Declaration

Pulls a specific name into the current scope

```
iostream
namespace std
{
    class ostream { ... };
    ostream & endl(ostream &);
    extern ostream cout;
}
```

```
#include <iostream>
using std::cout; -
void eq()
   cout << "Hello" << std::endl;</pre>
```

using declaration

Explicit::Qualification

A fully scope qualified name

iostream

```
namespace std
{
    class ostream { ... };
    ostream & endl(ostream &);
    extern ostream cout;
}
```

```
#include <iostream>
void eg()
{
    std::cout << "Hello" << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

Headers are meant to be included

- Using directives/declarations in a header will have an unknown span of effect - which entirely defeats the purpose of namespaces!
- In a header file use <u>only</u> explicit qualification

```
header.hpp

#include <string>
using namespace std;

string bad();

#include <string>
std::string good();
```

A Puzzle...

(a << b) is syntactic sugar for operator<<(a, b)

```
std::operator<<(std::cout, "Hello\n");
```

But we <u>aren't</u> required to qualify operator<< with std:: ?

```
#include <iostream>

void eg()
{
    std::cout << "Hello\n";
}</pre>
```

Argument Dependent Lookup

- The compiler can look for the function in the namespaces of its arguments
- ADL also known as Koenig lookup

```
namespace std
        operator << (std::ostream &, const char *);
          std::cout << "Hello, world\n"
```

Quiz

- Will this compile?
- If not, why not?

```
#ifndef GRAMMAR LIB GRAMMAR HPP INCLUDED
#define GRAMMAR LIB GRAMMAR HPP INCLUDED
namespace grammar lib
    class grammar
    public:
        //...
        void insert(non terminal *);
    };
#endif
```

Answer

 No - the compiler does not magically somehow know an identifier is the name of a type

```
#ifndef GRAMMAR LIB GRAMMAR HPP INCLUDED
#define GRAMMAR LIB GRAMMAR HPP INCLUDED
namespace grammar lib
    class grammar
    public:
        //...
        void insert(non terminal *);
    };
#endif
```

One Solution

- Given this header file...
- ...add a #include

//... **}**; #include "non terminal.hpp" namespace grammar lib class grammar public: void insert(non terminal *); **}**;

non_terminal.hpp

namespace grammar lib

public:

class non terminal

Another Solution

- Use a forward declaration tell the compiler only that the identifier is the name of a class
- Reduces/exposes include dependencies :-)

```
#include ...
namespace grammar lib
    class non terminal;
    class grammar
    public:
        void insert(non terminal *);
    };
```

However...

 What you promise in a forward declaration has to <u>exactly</u> match the definition

```
namespace std
    class string;
namespace grammar lib
    class grammar
    public:
        grammar(const std::string & name);
    };
```

And sometimes...it doesn't

- You can't forward declare string
 - You have to #include <string>
- You can't forward declare stream classes
 - You can #include <iosfwd> though

```
namespace std
{
    template<typename CharType, ...>
    class basic_string
    {
        ...
    };
    typedef basic_string<char,...> string;
}
```

Quiz - 9 cases

 Which need a #include "wibble.hpp" and which only need a forward declaration of wibble?

```
class nine cases
   void one(wibble );
   void two(wibble *);
   void three(wibble &);
   wibble four();
   wibble * five();
   wibble & six();
   wibble seven;
   wibble * eight;
   wibble & nine;
```

Please discuss in your groups

Answer

Only case seven requires a #include!!
 A forward declaration is sufficient for all others

```
class nine cases
   void one(wibble );
   void two(wibble *);
   void three(wibble &);
   wibble four();
   wibble * five();
   wibble & six();
   wibble seven;
   wibble * eight;
   wibble & nine;
```

one-six are declarations not definitions

Self-Contained Header Files

- To include one header you should <u>never</u> need to include another header
- Make the first #include in each source file its <u>own</u> header

```
wibble.hpp
class fubar;
class wibble
{
public:
    void (fubar *);
    ...
};
```

```
wibble.cpp
#include "fubar.hpp"
#include "wibble.hpp,"
wibble.cpp —
#include wibble.hpp"
#include "fubar.hpp"
```

Unself-Contained Header Files

 To include some header files you need to include other header files first...:-(

```
wibble.hpp
class wibble
```

```
class wibble
{
public:
    void (fubar *);
};
```

this header file does not forward declare fubar or #include fubar.hpp

```
but the problem is avoided like this...
```

```
#include "fubar.hpp"
#include "wibble.hpp"
...
```

Design is about being...

Easy to use

- clean abstractions that hide unimportant details
- tests are examples of use they shepherd design

Easy to test

- if you don't write tests you will end up with software that is hard to test - and that is not surprising
- testability is a key criteria of design

Easy to maintain

- you are constantly battling against entropy
- tests act as a safety net and encourage refactoring

Testing

- System test
 - all external dependencies in place
- Integration test
 - some external dependencies in place
 - some external dependencies mocked out
- Unit-test
 - all external dependencies mocked out
 - Reliability no false positive/negative passes/fails
 - <u>Speed</u> running unit-tests becomes the driver of how you program

Header File Summary

- Mirror the folder/namespace and file/class names in the macro guards
- Always use explicit qualification
- Use forward declarations when you can
- Use #include's only when you have to
- Ensure every header is self-contained; compilable in its own right
- Header files and tests represent the design source files are somewhat incidental! the tests tell us if they work and we don't have a choice about that!