

The Theory of Isomorphic Physics

Part 5: The Particle State Equations

John Henke

November 24, 2024

Discrete Representation via the Parameter ξ

This framework introduces the parameter ξ as a means to discretize fields across space, time, and mass. By evaluating each term in parentheses notation at specific discrete input values of ξ , the formalism aligns with the discrete terms commonly employed in the equations of Minkowski Spacetime (MST) and the energy-momentum relationship.

Unified Scaling with ξ

The parameter ξ establishes a unified scaling framework that governs the state across all dimensions. Each spatial, temporal, and scalar component is expressed as a scaled version of ξ , ensuring that their magnitudes are proportional to ξ . Formally, this relationship is given by:

$$\xi = |t| = |x| = |y| = |z| = |S|,$$

where ξ serves as a common input parameter. The components of energy, momentum, and mass (E, p_x, p_y, p_z, m) then scale the magnitudes of their respective temporal, spatial, and scalar components:

$$|E|\xi = |t^\circ|,$$

$$|p_x|\xi = |x^\circ|,$$

$$|p_y|\xi = |y^\circ|,$$

$$|p_z|\xi = |z^\circ|,$$

$$|m|\xi = |S^\circ|.$$

These relationships define the interaction between ξ and the physical properties of the system, ensuring consistency between state components and the scaling effects of energy, momentum, and mass.

Discrete and Field Interpretations

When a parentheses notation expression is evaluated at a specific ξ (e.g., $(\alpha_4^b | \underline{\alpha_4^b})_\xi$), it yields the discrete dynamics corresponding to that particular input value. This captures the system's behavior at a single point in the underlying field, akin to analyzing discrete instances of Minkowski Spacetime or the energy-momentum relationship.

However, when the evaluation at ξ is omitted (e.g., $(\alpha_4^b | \underline{\alpha_4^b})$), the expression represents the field in its entirety—encompassing all space, time, spacetime, or energy, momentum, and mass. This dual interpretation parallels the way space and time permeate the universe as continuous fields, yet can be discretely analyzed through Minkowski Spacetime at specific points.

Connecting ξ to Physical Properties

The parameter ξ works in conjunction with E , p , and m to define a consistent scaling framework. While ξ sets the overall scale, the dynamics of E , p , and m determine the relative magnitudes of the temporal, spatial, and scalar components. This allows for variations in these magnitudes due to the underlying dynamics, while maintaining adherence to the constraints imposed by MST and the energy-momentum relationship.

Intuitive Justification

In the context of fields, matching specific points in x , t , y , and z to satisfy MST is inherently nontrivial. Without a standard of alignment, the relationships between different components become ambiguous. The introduction of ξ resolves this by serving as a universal parameter that matches points across all dimensions, ensuring their compatibility with Minkowski Spacetime.

By evaluating expressions at discrete ξ , the framework aligns individual components at specific instances, creating a coherent snapshot of the system's dynamics. When considered as a whole, ξ enables a field-like interpretation of space, time, and scalar components, providing a unified representation analogous to the continuous fields of space and time that can nonetheless be discretely analyzed using Minkowski Spacetime.

1 The Position State Equation

This section establishes the isomorphism of position states to the Minkowski Spacetime interval (MST), highlights the connection between position states and linear magnitudes, and introduces the transformation ϕ that relates position states to Epm states.

1.1 Isomorphism of Position States to Minkowski Space-time

Position states, denoted as $\underline{\alpha}_\mu(\xi)$, are evaluated at a discrete input ξ with components $(-t, x, y, z, -S)$. These states satisfy the Minkowski Spacetime interval (MST) condition:

$$-t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - S^2 = 0,$$

which aligns with the standard Minkowski relation:

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} x_\mu x_\nu = 0.$$

The components of the position state are formally expressed as:

$$\underline{\alpha}_\mu(\xi) = (-t, x, y, z, -S).$$

The MST condition is satisfied for each discrete ξ , ensuring that:

$$(\underline{\alpha}_\mu | \underline{\alpha}_\mu) = 0,$$

which confirms the isomorphism of position states to MST.

1.2 Mapping Position Magnitudes to Minkowski Space-time

Within this framework, each component of $\underline{\alpha}_\mu$ corresponds to a specific dimension, preserving the Minkowski signature. The components and their contributions are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\alpha}_0^b | \underline{\alpha}_0^b)_\xi &= -t^2, & (\underline{\alpha}_1^b | \underline{\alpha}_1^b)_\xi &= x^2, \\ (\underline{\alpha}_2^b | \underline{\alpha}_2^b)_\xi &= y^2, & (\underline{\alpha}_3^b | \underline{\alpha}_3^b)_\xi &= z^2, \\ (\underline{\alpha}_4^b | \underline{\alpha}_4^b)_\xi &= -S^2. \end{aligned}$$

This construction requires the temporal and scalar components $(-t$ and $-S)$ to have negative contributions relative to the spatial dimensions (x, y, z) , maintaining consistency with Minkowski spacetime conventions.

1.3 Linear Form via Parentheses Notation

The ****Parentheses Notation**** captures the linear magnitudes of position states through specific interactions. When the theses component is underlined, the resulting expression yields a linear magnitude, as opposed to a squared value. The paren term acts as a complex conjugate, removing any imaginary contributions while preserving the magnitude.

For example:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\alpha_0^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_0^b\right)_\xi &= -t, & \left(\alpha_1^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_1^b\right)_\xi &= x, \\ \left(\alpha_2^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_2^b\right)_\xi &= y, & \left(\alpha_3^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_3^b\right)_\xi &= z, \\ \left(\alpha_4^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_4^b\right)_\xi &= -S.\end{aligned}$$

This framework ensures that the linear magnitudes of position states can be directly associated with Minkowski spacetime dimensions, providing a clear and intuitive mapping.

1.4 Defining the Transformation ϕ

The transformation ϕ scales position states to produce Epm states, establishing a morphism between the Minkowski Spacetime interval and the energy-momentum relationship. Formally, ϕ is defined as:

$$\phi \in \{\psi \mid P_\mu^b = 1 \text{ for all } \mu\}.$$

When $|P_\mu^b| = 1$, ϕ ensures quantized values of position, energy, momentum, or mass based on the state's intrinsic rotation rate. For position states, the magnitude scales linearly with ξ :

$$|\phi^b| = \xi.$$

Applying ϕ to position states yields Epm states:

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu = \underline{\alpha}_\mu / \phi,$$

where:

$$\underline{\alpha}_\mu = (-t, x, y, z, -S) \text{ maps to } \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu = (E, p_x, p_y, p_z, m).$$

The scalar component $-S$ maps to m , preserving consistency with the energy-momentum relationship and ensuring that all states satisfy both MST and energy-momentum constraints at any discrete ξ .

1.5 Temporal-Spatial Relationship

The temporal component satisfies the Minkowski relation by summing the spatial components:

$$\left(\underline{\alpha}_0^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_0^b\right) = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \left(\underline{\alpha}_\mu^b \mid \underline{\alpha}_\mu^b\right).$$

This demonstrates the interconnected nature of the temporal and spatial dimensions within the system, reinforcing the deep compatibility of this framework with Minkowski spacetime dynamics.

2 The Epm State Equation

This section establishes the isomorphism of Epm states to the energy-momentum relationship and demonstrates their connection to position states via the transformation ϕ . Additionally, it explores the representation of linear energy, momentum, and mass magnitudes within this framework.

2.1 Isomorphism of Epm States to the Energy-Momentum Relationship

Epm states, denoted as $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu(\xi)$, are defined as:

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu(\xi) = \left(\frac{-t}{\phi}, \frac{x}{\phi}, \frac{y}{\phi}, \frac{z}{\phi}, \frac{-S}{\phi} \right),$$

where ϕ is the transformation that scales position states. By construction, the components of $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu$ yield magnitudes corresponding to energy (E), momentum components (p_x, p_y, p_z), and mass (m).

Evaluating the Epm state at a given ξ , we find:

$$-E^2 + p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + m^2 = 0,$$

which matches the standard energy-momentum relationship:

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu = 0.$$

This confirms that Epm states satisfy:

$$(\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu) = 0,$$

establishing their isomorphism to the energy-momentum relationship.

2.2 Mapping to the Energy-Momentum Relationship

In this framework, the energy-momentum relationship is expressed as:

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^4 (\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu^b | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu^b)_\xi = 0,$$

which corresponds to:

$$-E^2 + p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + m^2 = 0.$$

Each term maps as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_0^b | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_0^b)_\xi &= -E^2, & (\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_1^b | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_1^b)_\xi &= p_x^2, \\ (\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_2^b | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_2^b)_\xi &= p_y^2, & (\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_3^b | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_3^b)_\xi &= p_z^2, & (\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_4^b | \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_4^b)_\xi &= m^2. \end{aligned}$$

This mapping illustrates the symmetry between Epm states and the energy-momentum relationship, ensuring that the formalism aligns with standard physical principles.

2.3 Relationship Between Position and Epm States

Position states ($\underline{\alpha}_\mu$) relate to Epm states ($\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu$) through the transformation ϕ , defined as:

$$\phi \in \{\psi \mid P_\mu^b = 1 \text{ for all } \mu\}.$$

Position states scale linearly with ξ , such that:

$$|\phi^b| = \xi.$$

Applying ϕ , the relationship between position and Epm states is:

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_\mu = \underline{\alpha}_\mu / \phi.$$

This transformation maps components of $(-t, x, y, z, -S)$ in position states to (E, p_x, p_y, p_z, m) in Epm states, with the scalar component $-S$ mapping to m . Both position and Epm states satisfy their respective equations (MST and the energy-momentum relationship) for any discrete value of ξ .

2.4 Linear Form of Energy, Momentum, and Mass Magnitudes

Using ****Parentheses Notation****, linear magnitudes of energy (E), momentum components (p_x, p_y, p_z), and mass (m) are captured by placing the underlined state only in the theses component. The paren term acts as a complex conjugate, removing any imaginary contributions while preserving the linear magnitude.

For an Epm state, this yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\alpha_0^b \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_0^b\right)_\xi &= -E, & \left(\alpha_1^b \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_1^b\right)_\xi &= p_x, \\ \left(\alpha_2^b \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_2^b\right)_\xi &= p_y, & \left(\alpha_3^b \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_3^b\right)_\xi &= p_z, & \left(\alpha_4^b \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_4^b\right)_\xi &= m. \end{aligned}$$

This notation provides direct, unsquared values for energy, momentum, and mass, aligning with their linear magnitudes.

3 The Hamiltonian State Equation

This section defines the Hamiltonian in terms of position and Epm states, deriving its relationship to the Schrödinger equation and the energy-momentum relationship. The framework incorporates linear and quadratic energy terms and highlights the interplay between curvature and flat states.

3.1 Defining the Hamiltonian for Position and Epm States

The Hamiltonian is expressed using parentheses notation, where position and Epm states define linear terms for time and space components:

$$(\alpha \mid \underline{\alpha}) \quad \text{for position states, and} \quad (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}) \quad \text{for Epm states.}$$

These linear terms are central to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) and the time-independent Schrödinger equation (TISE), distinguishing between the Hamiltonian's time and spatial components.

3.2 Hamiltonian for the TDSE: Time Component

The time-dependent Hamiltonian is defined as:

$$H_t = (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_t),$$

where $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_t$ is the time component of the Epm state. Decomposing H_t into kinetic and potential energy contributions yields:

$$T = (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_t^{\text{flat}}), \quad V = (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_t) - (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_t^{\text{flat}}),$$

such that:

$$H_t = T + V.$$

Here, T represents kinetic energy (flat-state contribution), and V captures deviations due to external forces, aligning with the TDSE formulation where the Hamiltonian governs time evolution.

3.3 Hamiltonian for the TISE: Spatial Component

The spatial component of the Hamiltonian, corresponding to the time-independent Schrödinger equation, is defined as:

$$H_s = (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_s),$$

where $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_s$ represents the spatial Epm component. Similar to the time component, we define:

$$T = (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_s^{\text{flat}}), \quad V = (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_s) - (\alpha \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_s^{\text{flat}}),$$

and:

$$H_s = T + V.$$

This decomposition reflects the TISE, where T and V represent kinetic and potential energy contributions in the spatial domain.

3.4 Relationship Between H_t and H_s

The time (H_t) and spatial (H_s) components of the Hamiltonian relate quadratically:

$$H_t^2 = H_s^2.$$

This relationship mirrors the energy-momentum equation:

$$-E^2 + p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + m^2 = 0.$$

Here, H_t represents total energy, analogous to the hypotenuse, while H_s corresponds to the "legs" (spatial contributions) in a Pythagorean relationship. This analogy unites the TDSE and TISE under a consistent theoretical framework.

3.5 Curvature and the Hamiltonian

In this framework, the Hamiltonian also accounts for curvature effects, where total energy incorporates deviations from flat states. Kinetic energy (T) is defined as:

$$T = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \left(\alpha_{\mu}^b \mid \underline{\alpha_{\mu}^b} \right)_{\xi},$$

representing the energy derived from flat-state momentum and mass components. Potential energy (V) captures deviations:

$$V = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \left(\alpha_{\mu} \mid \underline{\alpha_{\mu}} \right)_{\xi} - \left(\alpha_{\mu}^b \mid \underline{\alpha_{\mu}^b} \right)_{\xi}.$$

The total energy is then:

$$T + V = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \left(\alpha_{\mu} \mid \underline{\alpha_{\mu}} \right)_{\xi},$$

encompassing both flat-state and curvature contributions.

3.6 Options for $|P_{\mu}^b|$ in Curvature Dynamics

Three approaches address the role of $|P_{\mu}^b|$ in solving the Hamiltonian under curvature:

- **Curvature-Only Solution:** $|P_{\mu}^b|$ is fixed, and changes in energy, momentum, and mass arise solely from curvature effects. This option introduces minimal residual differences due to irrational cancellations, negligible in practice.
- **Dynamic $|P_{\mu}^b|$:** $|P_{\mu}^b|$ varies with ξ , aligning with changes induced by the Hamiltonian. This approach mirrors conventional quantum mechanics.

- **Hybrid Approach:** Curvature effects are distributed between $|P_\mu^b|$ and other variables, balancing changes dynamically.

Options 1 and 2 are preferred due to their emphasis on curvature-driven dynamics.

3.7 Mapping to the Schrödinger Equation

The Hamiltonian framework aligns with the Schrödinger equation. The TDSE corresponds to:

$$\left(\underline{\underline{\alpha_0}} \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha_0}} \right)_\xi,$$

while the TISE is expressed as:

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^4 \left(\underline{\underline{\alpha_\mu}} \mid \underline{\underline{\alpha_\mu}} \right)_\xi.$$

These formulations, though distinct, share a quadratic relationship, reflecting the Pythagorean analogy and unifying the Hamiltonian's time and spatial components.

3.8 Summary

The Hamiltonian is defined consistently across position and Epm states, connecting the TDSE and TISE through a unified framework. The incorporation of curvature and flat-state dynamics ensures compatibility with foundational quantum mechanics while extending its scope to curved systems.

4 Key Intuition: Pythagorean Dynamics in State Evolution

To build an intuitive understanding of the relationships described by the state equations, consider the visualization of a right triangle. The components $-t^\circ$, x° , and $-S^\circ$ can be thought of as the hypotenuse and legs of this triangle, respectively. These components are scaled by E , p_x , and m , which determine the lengths of the sides as follows:

$$t^\circ = tE, \quad x^\circ = xp_x, \quad \text{and} \quad S^\circ = mS.$$

The scalar parameter ξ scales the overall size of the triangle while ensuring that the sides always adhere to a Pythagorean-like relationship. Specifically, the Hamiltonian ensures that the squared values of the components sum to zero:

$$-(-t^\circ)^2 + (x^\circ)^2 + (-S^\circ)^2 = 0.$$

This relationship mirrors the logic of Minkowski spacetime, where the time component ($-t^\circ$) acts as the hypotenuse, while the spatial (x°) and scalar ($-S^\circ$) components form the orthogonal legs.

Scaling with ξ : As ξ grows, the triangle expands proportionally, maintaining the same relative proportions of its sides. For example: - At $\xi = 1$, let $-t^\circ = -5$, $x^\circ = 4$, and $-S^\circ = 3$. These satisfy the Pythagorean relationship:

$$-(-5)^2 + 4^2 + 3^2 = -25 + 16 + 9 = 0.$$

- When ξ doubles to $\xi = 2$, the components also double: $-t^\circ = -10$, $x^\circ = 8$, and $-S^\circ = 6$. These still satisfy the Pythagorean relationship:

$$-(-10)^2 + 8^2 + 6^2 = -100 + 64 + 36 = 0.$$

This scaling process preserves the values of E , p_x , and m —the rates at which the components grow relative to ξ . The Energy-momentum relationship ensures that the proportionality remains intact, describing a consistent evolution of the state in the absence of a potential.

Shifting Due to Potential: Now, consider a scenario where the relative proportions of the components change due to a potential. For example, at $\xi = 1$, let $-t^\circ = -5$, $x^\circ = 4$, and $-S^\circ = 3\xi = 3$ as above, but let $-t^\circ = -13$, $x^\circ = 12$, and $-S^\circ = 5$ at $\xi = 2$. While the relative balance of energy, momentum, and mass has shifted, the Hamiltonian still enforces the Pythagorean-like relationship:

$$-(-13)^2 + 12^2 + 5^2 = -169 + 144 + 25 = 0.$$

This dynamic behavior allows for the redistribution of the components under external influences (e.g., potentials) while preserving the fundamental structure required by the Hamiltonian. In this theory, these shifts or redistributions are due to curvature.

Conclusion: The state equations thus describe a geometry that is deeply rooted in Pythagorean dynamics. Evaluating the state equations at any value of ξ yields a set of orthogonal (excepting the hypotenuse) components that form a triangle-like structure in higher dimensions. The Hamiltonian ensures that this structure adheres to the Pythagorean-like relationship, even when the relative proportions of the components shift due to external influences. The scaling relationships ($t^\circ = tE$, $x^\circ = xp_x$, $S^\circ = mS$) highlight the roles of E , p_x , and m in determining the lengths of the triangle's sides.

5 Category-Theoretic Framework for Particle States

This framework employs category theory to represent particles and their states. Particles and composite particles are treated as categories, with their various manifestations—such as total, spin, and orbital states—structured as subcategories. Within each subcategory, *Parentheses Notation* captures specific configurations or measurable properties of the particle, represented as objects connected through morphisms.

5.1 Particles as Categories and Subcategories

Each particle is modeled as a category containing subcategories that correspond to the particle's distinct measurable properties:

- **Total State Subcategory:** Combines the spin and orbital states, encapsulating the complete set of properties (e.g., energy, momentum, and mass). The total state is defined as:

$$\Omega = \chi_\mu \cdot \Psi_\mu,$$

where χ_μ is the spin state, and Ψ_μ is the orbital state.

- **Spin State Subcategory:** Describes intrinsic, quantized angular momentum. The spin state, χ_μ , is characterized by discrete intrinsic rotation rates $(0, \pm 1/4, \pm 1/2)$, mapping to quantized angular momentum values $(0, \pm \hbar/2, \pm \hbar)$.
- **Orbital State Subcategory:** Represents general angular momentum, allowing for flexible, non-quantized values. Unlike the spin state, the orbital state Ψ_μ can describe larger orbits and broader particle motion.

These subcategories structure the particle's behavior into distinct yet interconnected facets, ensuring a cohesive representation of its total state.

5.2 Objects in Subcategories: Position and Epm States

Each subcategory contains objects that represent specific measurable configurations, such as position or Epm states:

- **Position States:** Capture the particle's spatial configuration. Objects in this category include *position total states*, *position spin states*, and *position orbital states*, which describe the respective contributions of the total, spin, and orbital components to the particle's spatial properties.
- **Epm States (Energy, Momentum, Mass):** Represent the particle's energy, momentum, and mass. Objects in this category include *Epm total states*, *Epm spin states*, and *Epm orbital states*, isolating the respective contributions of total, spin, and orbital components to the particle's Epm properties.

For example, a *position total state* describes the combined spatial configuration of spin and orbital components, while a *position spin state* isolates only the spin contribution.

5.3 Morphisms: Transitions Between States

Morphisms connect objects within and across subcategories, representing transitions between different particle states. These morphisms preserve the particle's integrity while enabling transformations between measurable properties. Key morphisms include:

- **Position to Epm States:** Morphisms transform position states into Epm states using the transformation ϕ , which scales components appropriately.
- **State Decomposition and Combination:** Morphisms decompose a total state into its spin and orbital components and recombine them to form a new total state:

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{\text{Decomposition}} \{\chi_\mu, \Psi_\mu\}, \quad \{\chi_\mu, \Psi_\mu\} \xrightarrow{\text{Combination}} \Omega'.$$

- **Simple to Specific States:** Transformations between simple states, position states, and Epm states ensure internal consistency and measurable transitions.

These morphisms form the structural backbone of the category, maintaining coherence as the particle transitions between various configurations.

5.4 Unified Structure Through Category Theory

The category-theoretic framework unifies the particle's disparate behaviors into a coherent structure:

- Subcategories represent total, spin, and orbital states, with objects capturing specific measurable properties.
- Morphisms connect objects and subcategories, ensuring a seamless representation of transitions between states.
- Position and Epm states refine the descriptions of these subcategories, providing measurable perspectives on spatial and Epm properties.

For example, a position state morphs into an Epm state, maintaining consistency across the transformation. Similarly, the decomposition of a total state into spin and orbital components preserves the particle's unified nature while isolating specific contributions.

5.5 Collapse Dynamics and Localization

In this framework, the probability of a particle collapsing to a specific spatial position τ is determined by a self-morphism on the state object α . The probability amplitude for collapse at a given point τ_μ is expressed as:

$$P(\tau_\mu) = (\alpha \mid \alpha)_{\xi_\mu}.$$

This formulation ties collapse dynamics to the structural transitions between states, emphasizing the particle's localization within its categorical representation.

5.6 Conclusion: A Category-Theoretic Perspective on Particles

This category-theoretic framework provides a unified representation of particles, treating their total, spin, and orbital states as subcategories within a single category. Objects within these subcategories capture measurable configurations such as position and Epm states, while morphisms ensure structured transitions between states. By framing particles as categories and their behaviors as subcategories and objects, this formalism offers a cohesive and flexible perspective on particle dynamics.