

The Theory of Isomorphic Physics

Part 7: Theoretical Ramifications

John Henke

November 3, 2024

1 Curvature Type and Particle Mass

In conventional quantum field theory, the fact that particles of the same type exhibit consistent mass is typically attributed to interactions with scalar fields, such as the Higgs field. In contrast, this model introduces an alternative mechanism for mass consistency across particles by directly linking mass to the intrinsic properties of the alpha state and its curvature.

Conservation of Mass in Identical Particles

This theory explains mass conservation across particles of the same type through the curvature of the alpha state. Specifically, the parameter σ acts as a scaling factor that linearly increases the curvature of the alpha state, Φ . In this framework, Φ represents a deviation from the ideal eigenvalue, and its magnitude is directly proportional to σ , along with the intrinsic curvature of the alpha state, ϕ :

$$\Phi = k\sigma$$

where k is a constant of proportionality.

Scaling Curvature and Force

For a force associated with $\mu = 4$, representing the mass eigenvalue, the curvature of the alpha state is scaled to maintain a constant force across different primitives. By adjusting the curvature through σ , the values of different primitive states can be modulated so that the effective force remains consistent for all particles of the same type. The mass then scales accordingly to preserve force conservation, leading to predictable mass ratios among particles.

We express the curvature of the mass eigenvalue for $\mu = 4$ as:

$$\text{Curvature}_{\mu=4} = \sigma \cdot \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \xi^2} \right)$$

This relationship ensures that mass scales appropriately with the curvature of each alpha state, providing a consistent mass value for particles within a given class.

Example Mass Scaling

The following tables provide predicted mass ratios for various combinations of σ and ξ , which modulate the curvature of the alpha state. These ratios are based on the scaling behavior outlined above.

The mass ratios predict how different particles with varying σ and ξ values will scale their curvature and force, ensuring that the same fundamental force acts across all particles of the same type.

2 The Higgs Boson and Mass Generation

In this model, a boson with mass can emerge naturally from the framework without needing to serve as the mechanism of mass generation. While this particle may appear in similar contexts to the Higgs boson in terms of Feynman diagrams, it would not necessarily confer mass on other particles in the way the Higgs field does in the Standard Model. Instead, the generation of mass in this model is intrinsically tied to the curvature properties of each particle's alpha state, modulated by parameters like σ and ξ .

Future extensions of this theory may incorporate more quantum field-theoretic approaches that could potentially integrate elements of the Higgs mechanism. Alternatively, a hybrid model could emerge, where coupling constants map onto terms in the curvature model, providing a scalar field interpretation within this theory's framework, similar to the Higgs field in QFT. This potential interplay underscores that mass generation here is due to the scalar components associated with the position and Epm states, particularly S in the position state and mass in the Epm state.

Everything as Fields: alpha states, Probability Distributions, and Eigenfunctions

In this theory, the universe is built upon three fundamental entities (or objects, in category theoretic language), all of which are conceptualized as **fields** permeating space, time, and spacetime:

In this framework, **point particles** are reinterpreted as the **zero points** in the field of position eigenvalues. This shift in perspective allows the entire universe to be described by interrelated fields, and all physical phenomena are manifestations of these six entities.

This approach diverges from a point-particle model and instead represents the alpha state as a field that spans all space and time with the "particle" like attributes represented by the 0 point or source of this field.

Resolving the Information Paradox

This theory predicts that no information is lost within a black hole, a uniquely accurate prediction.

| Predicted Mass as Ratio | $\sigma = 20, \xi = 1$ | $\sigma = 100, \xi = 1$ | $\sigma = 100, \xi = 20$ | $\sigma = 10000, \xi = 100$ |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $-A, \nu = 0$ | 3.39 | 3.61 | 32.83 | 3.42 |
| $A, \nu = 0$ | 3.13 | 3.55 | 32.44 | 3.42 |
| $B, \nu = 0$ | 8.79 | 9.72 | 88.57 | 9.31 |
| $-B, \nu = 0$ | 8.98 | 9.76 | 88.86 | 9.31 |
| $C, \nu = 0$ | 24.57 | 26.59 | 241.83 | 25.33 |
| $-C, \nu = 0$ | 23.7 | 26.38 | 240.5 | 25.32 |
| $-D, \nu = 0$ | 187.68 | 1065.06 | 1.44 | 51631.92 |
| $G, \nu = 0$ | 1384.94 | 7869.34 | 1.06 | 381511.16 |

Table 1: Predicted mass ratios for different combinations of σ and ξ .

| Predicted Mass as Ratio | $\sigma = 20, \xi = 1$ | $\sigma = 100, \xi = 1$ | $\sigma = 100, \xi = 20$ | $\sigma = 10000, \xi = 1$ | $\sigma = 10000, \xi = 100$ |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $-A, \nu = 2$ | 3.67 | 3.66 | 3.65 | 3.66 | 3.66 |
| $A, \nu = 2$ | 3.14 | 3.55 | 3.64 | 3.66 | 3.66 |
| $B, \nu = 2$ | 9.08 | 9.77 | 9.92 | 9.96 | 9.96 |
| $-B, \nu = 2$ | 9.48 | 9.85 | 9.92 | 9.96 | 9.96 |
| $C, \nu = 2$ | 26.07 | 26.87 | 26.96 | 27.09 | 27.09 |
| $-C, \nu = 2$ | 24.29 | 26.48 | 26.96 | 27.09 | 27.09 |
| $-D, \nu = 2$ | 54.27 | 544.39 | 2.11 | 549994.21 | 549994.21 |
| $G, \nu = 2$ | 400.75 | 4022.35 | 2.86 | 4.06 | 4.06 |
| $-A, \nu = 1$ | 81.42 | 401.5 | 406.14 | 400001.52 | 400001.52 |
| $A, \nu = 1$ | 71.04 | 390.27 | 405.39 | 399990.06 | 399990.06 |
| $B, \nu = 1$ | 83.84 | 437.82 | 47.56 | 442973.98 | 442973.98 |
| $-B, \nu = 1$ | 673.64 | 3841.73 | 4037.2 | 3.98 | 3.98 |
| $C, \nu = 1$ | 1282.48 | 6483.12 | 6599.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| $-C, \nu = 1$ | 1194.04 | 6387.54 | 6593.02 | 6.5 | 6.5 |

Table 2: Predicted mass ratios for different combinations of σ and ξ .

The **information paradox** arises in traditional physics because black holes appear to destroy information. However, in this theory, information is never lost because it is encoded in the **fields** that permeate space and time.

Since the three entities described above are all **fields** that extend throughout space, the concept of a particle's information being trapped inside a black hole becomes irrelevant. Even if a particle falls into a black hole, its **position eigenfunction** and **energy-momentum eigenfunctions** continue to exist as fields, with the particle acting as a source (or zero point) of these fields. The information associated with the particle is never localized solely within the black hole but remains spread out across spacetime.

As a result, black holes cannot trap or destroy information. Even as they evaporate, the fields corresponding to the particle's quantum properties continue to permeate the universe, preserving the continuity of information. This field-based perspective naturally resolves the information paradox, suggesting that information is never confined to a specific location but instead remains a part of the universal field structure.

This framework gives us a clear path to understanding how different force magnitudes and curvature types relate to particle mass scaling. The variation in σ and ξ values allows us to explore different scaling behaviors for particles in the Standard Model and beyond.

All Particles and Fields as Representations of alpha states

This theory describes all particles and fields as fundamentally composed of underlying alpha states. Each alpha state is a representation within the algebraic structure of the theory, and these alpha states are related to each other through well-defined transformations.

In this context, particles and fields are not localized entities but rather permeate all of space and time. Thus, particles themselves can be conceptualized as fields that represent underlying alpha states. This reimagining ascribes a deeper, more fundamental continuity to the nature of physical entities.

Mass Variation Over Space-Time

One interesting possibility emerging from this theory is that mass, like energy and momentum, can vary over space-time, essentially being what might be called a field of mass that permeates all of space-time (S). Energy, as expressed in the Schrödinger equation, naturally varies with time. Momentum similarly varies with spatial coordinates. Extending this perspective, mass could be treated as varying over space-time itself, given that it is expressed as a rate of contraction within space-time.

This perspective suggests that mass could be represented as a field, just like energy and momentum, with its value potentially changing across different

regions of space-time. Although the consequences of such a model are complex, it opens the possibility that phenomena such as dark matter or dark energy might be explained by the varying rates of mass over the space-time continuum.

Consciousness as Measurement

This theory describes a probability distribution that can collapse either to a set of position magnitudes that satisfy the equation for Minkowski spacetime at any given input of ξ , or it can collapse to a set of energy, momentum or mass magnitudes that satisfy the energy-momentum relationship or more generally the Hamiltonian at a given input of ξ . However, this theory has not yet addressed why or how this collapse occurs. One option is to define this collapse as the building block of consciousness, one particle of consciousness, loosely speaking. The ability to collapse probability distributions and the ability to be aware of the resulting magnitudes from a given perspective or location is the building block of consciousness. In this way consciousness is defined within the mathematics of this theory.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this theory posits that there exists a set of alpha states, among the infinite set described by this framework, that maps one-to-one and onto physical reality. This theory provides a novel perspective that:

- Describes **all particles and fields** as being composed of underlying alpha states that are related by transformations.
- Treats **energy, momentum, and mass** as contractions of space and time, with mass viewed as a rate of space-time.
- Allows for these rates, and hence the curvature of space-time, to **vary** over space and time, suggesting possible connections to dark matter and dark energy.

The nature of this framework implies that almost all current calculations would need to be reconsidered under these new definitions. The ultimate potential of this theory is to provide a recalibration of physical models that could predict experimental outcomes with arbitrarily high accuracy.

If this theory is properly calibrated, it has the potential to explain **dark matter, dark energy**, and even the **vacuum energy catastrophe**—potentially providing a unified description of everything, in theory. Although the full rigor of these ideas requires further development, this formulation offers a foundational step toward a comprehensive mathematical representation of physical reality.

Experimental Testing and Systematic Deviations

This theory posits a systematic, non-random nature to approximations observed in physical measurements. In other words, experimental values are not merely scattered around theoretical predictions due to random noise; rather, they deviate in a structured way. Certain factors—such as the nature of a force (attractive or repulsive) or the distance dependency of a force—should predict not only whether a measurement might lie above or below an expected theoretical value, but also by what amount.

According to this framework, the systematic nature of these deviations can serve as an “approximation method” of validation: if the deviations between theory and experiment align predictably with those of this theory, this correspondence would support the model. Moreover, in principle, computational resources could be marshaled to achieve predictions with arbitrarily high precision, where the predicted “approximation” would mirror the exact manner in which the universe is approximate.

This approach suggests that much of the experimental data needed for verification may already exist, with the remaining challenge being computational. My theory describes equations for alpha states and their accompanying alpha state equations. This set is infinitely large, though it can be partially narrowed by the alpha state equations themselves. However, alpha states and their interactions embody systematic curvatures over space and time. While these curvatures may closely resemble well-known behaviors—like inverse square laws or linear scaling—they are fundamentally approximations. If the approximations in my theory map systematically to those observed in experimental data, this would provide substantial support, especially given the theory’s potential to be tested to an arbitrarily high level of accuracy.

Scale Without Units and the Challenge of Primitive Alpha State Calculations

This theory is distinct in its lack of reliance on conventional units or gauge invariance, using instead a universal scale based solely on scalar values. Thus, lengths and other scalar quantities are defined by their relative fraction of the universe. This scaling, combined with the challenge of calculating specific values of λ , σ , and ν for primitive alpha states (which constitute composite states), places demands on computational power. Additionally, due to the limitations of current hardware, there are practical challenges in reaching larger values of λ while keeping computational scaling efficient.

Experimental Testing and Systematic Deviations

This theory posits a systematic, non-random nature to approximations observed in physical measurements. In other words, experimental values are not merely scattered around theoretical predictions due to random noise; rather, they de-

viate in a structured way. Certain factors—such as the nature of a force (attractive or repulsive) or the distance dependency of a force—should predict not only whether a measurement might lie above or below an expected theoretical value, but also by what amount.

According to this framework, the systematic nature of these deviations can serve as an "approximation method" of validation: if the deviations between theory and experiment align predictably with those of this theory, this correspondence would support the model. Moreover, in principle, computational resources could be marshaled to achieve predictions with arbitrarily high precision, where the predicted "approximation" would mirror the exact manner in which the universe is approximate.

Exact Verification Through Isomorphism with Physical Reality

The ultimate method for verification is through obtaining exact matches with experimental data to arbitrarily high precision. The mathematics of this theory are designed to be isomorphic to physical reality itself, implying that given sufficient computational resources, the theoretical predictions could achieve perfect alignment with experimental measurements. In this context, every calculated result should map directly to an experimental result, with the theory's primitive alpha states and the resulting approximations corresponding precisely to the observed phenomena. This level of exactitude, theoretically achievable, would provide the most rigorous validation possible for the theory.

This approach suggests that much of the experimental data needed for verification may already exist, with the remaining challenge being computational. My theory describes equations for alpha states and their accompanying alpha state equations. This set is infinitely large, though it can be partially narrowed by the alpha state equations themselves. However, alpha states and their interactions embody systematic curvatures over space and time. While these curvatures may closely resemble well-known behaviors—like inverse square laws or linear scaling—they are fundamentally approximations. If the approximations in my theory map systematically to those observed in experimental data, this would provide substantial support, especially given the theory's potential to be tested to an arbitrarily high level of accuracy.

Scale Without Units and the Challenge of Primitive Alpha State Calculations

This theory is distinct in its lack of reliance on conventional units or gauge invariance, using instead a universal scale based solely on scalar values. Thus, lengths and other scalar quantities are defined by their relative fraction of the universe. This scaling, combined with the challenge of calculating specific values of λ , σ , and ν for primitive alpha states (which constitute composite states), places demands on computational power. Additionally, due to the limitations

of current hardware, there are practical challenges in reaching larger values of λ while keeping computational scaling efficient.

Systematic Approximation Methods and Predictive Patterns

To address the computational intensity required for exact predictions, approximation methods can be employed based on the predictable patterns of systematic deviations inherent to the theory. For example, primitive alpha states have a consistent way of curving under specific values of σ and respond systematically to varying conditions. These patterns—such as deviations in excess or in deficit under specific conditions—could offer a feasible, although less rigorous, starting point for validation.

Observing how these systematic deviations behave, even when not computed to an exact scale, could align with experimental data. If consistent, these patterns would offer a pragmatic approximation technique, allowing us to achieve experimental comparisons even when computational resources limit exact calculations. If the theory’s systematic deviations can be shown to align predictively with the observed approximations in nature, it would strongly support the theory, providing a meaningful pathway to validation.

Experimental Correlations and Systematic Deviations in Physics

Systematic deviations are frequently observed in experimental data across physics, where deviations from idealized laws follow identifiable patterns rather than random scatter. Some examples include:

- **Deviations in Inverse-Square Laws:** Small but systematic deviations are observed in gravitational and electromagnetic interactions, particularly at atomic and cosmological scales. If these deviations align with those predicted by the theory’s primitive alpha states under specific σ and ν values, this would provide substantial evidence in favor of the theory.
- **Scaling Laws in Condensed Matter and Complex Systems:** Properties in complex systems often deviate from perfect linearity in ways that suggest systematic patterns rather than random errors. If the primitive alpha states’ systematic curvatures can model such deviations in a manner matching experimental data, this would serve as another form of validation.
- **Quantum Corrections and Deviations in Field Theory:** Perturbative expansions in Quantum Field Theory exhibit systematic patterns as higher-order terms are introduced. If similar systematic curvatures and scaling behaviors emerge from my theory’s framework, this would also support its relevance and predictive power in quantum mechanical contexts.

The theory thus aligns with observed data that frequently shows non-random, systematic deviations, where known forces and phenomena exhibit a degree of approximation. This predictive structure of systematic deviations underpins the proposed "approximation method," allowing for a testable, rigorous foundation for the theory even when exact calculations are computationally prohibitive. In this way, the theory not only approximates physical laws but aims to model the universe's approximate nature to a degree of precision and predictive power beyond current methodologies.