

Chapter 1

1. Experiment studies: Implement algorithm in program. Run program with inputs of different size. Measure running time using language's time method. Plot results.
2. Theoretical analysis: Uses pseudocode. Characterize running time as function of input size, n .
3. Time complexity from **fastest to slowest**: $O(1)$, $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$, $O(2^n)$.

Chapter 2: Hash Table

1. Collision: When 2 different keys hash to same value (same location in hash table).
2. Time complexity:
 - Search: Best $O(1)$, Worst $O(n)$
 - Insert: Best $O(1)$, Worst $O(n)$
3. Clustering in Chaining: Some linked lists are empty. Some have long chain. Worst case: $O(n)$ - max length of chain.
 - Clustering in Linear Probing: Some consecutive array slots are occupied. Some are empty. Worst case: $O(n)$ - length of array.
 - Clustering happens for both but linear probing search time is longer if many collisions.
4. **Quadratic Probing**: $h(k) = (h(k) + j^2) \% m$, where j is # of attempts to resolve collision.
5. Memory in Chaining: extra memory for list.
 - Memory in Linear Probing: fixed amount of memory.
6. Linear Probing: suited for caching - when you load an item the next item is always loaded.
7. **Uniform hashing**: Elements are spread evenly among indexes of a hash table. Allows search: $O(1)$.
8. **Good hash table size**: Prime number not too close to power of 2.

Chapter 3: BST

1. **Binary tree**: Each node has only up to 2 children.
2. Level: # of edges in the path from root to this node. Root: level 0.
3. **Full binary tree**: Each node has two children except leaf nodes.
4. **Complete binary tree**: Full binary tree but missing only rightmost nodes on last level.
5. BST Insert: $O(n)$, Search: $O(n)$, Delete: $O(n)$
6. Balance Factor (node n) = $\text{Height}(\text{RightSubtree}(N)) - \text{Height}(\text{LeftSubtree}(N))$. 1, 0, -1 = balanced BST.
7. AVL (Balanced BST) Insert: $O(\lg n)$, Search: $O(\lg n)$, Delete: $O(\lg n)$

8. AVL Insert: Rotation. See slide.
9. **AVL Delete:**
 - No children [CASE 1]: Just delete.
 - One child [CASE 2]: Connect parent node of deleted node to child of deleted node.
 - Two children [CASE 3]: Find max node in to-delete node's left subtree. Swap max node with to-delete node. Delete to-delete node using case 1 or 2..
10. **AVL Traversal:** Preorder (parent, left, right), Inorder (left, parent, right), Postorder (left, right, parent).
11. AVL: 1. Easier to create. 2. Produce sorted result with inorder traversal. 3. Find min/max in $O(\lg n)$.

Chapter 4: Priority Queue and Heap

1. PQ (array) Dequeue: $O(n)$, Enqueue: $O(n)$.
 2. PQ (heap) Enqueue: $O(\lg n)$, Dequeue: $O(\lg n)$. Height: $O(\lg n)$.
 3. Maxheap: Complete binary tree. Value of each node \geq values of its children.
 4. **Heap Dequeue:** Swap rightmost node with root. Heapify down the 'root'.
 5. Heapifying: Swapping upwards/downwards to form a new heap.
 6. **Heap Enqueue:** Add new node to rightmost node. Heapify up to root.
 7. Heap Left: $2 * \text{Parent}$, Right = $2 * \text{Parent} + 1$, Parent = $(\text{Child}) / 2$.
 8. **Enqueue one element at a time** [METHOD 1]: $O(n \lg n)$ = n items, $\lg n$ for each item.
 9. **Create heap straightaway from array** [METHOD 2]: $O(n)$. Faster because not need to heapify leaf nodes.
- TIP: Heapify downwards starting with parent of last leaf node. Right to left.

Chapter 5: Graphs

1. Representation: Adjacency Matrix and Adjacency List.
2. AM: Direct connection between two specific vertices.
3. AL: Process linked list of an AL, node by node
4. AM: Spatial complexity $O(n^2)$.
5. AL: Spatial complexity $O(n^2)$.
6. Sparse graph (AL): Doesn't have many edges relative to # of vertices. Spatial complexity: $O(n)$.
7. Dense graph (AL/AM): May have close to n^2 edges. Spatial complexity: $O(n^2)$.
- 8.

	AL	AM
Space	$N + m$	n^2

IncidentEdges(v)	deg(v)	n
AreAdjacent(v, w)	min(deg(v), deg(w))	1
InsertVertex(o)	1	n^2
InsertEdge(v, w, o)	1	1
RemoveVertex(v)	deg(v)	n^2
RemoveEdge(e)	1	1

9. **Connected graph**: There is a path between every pair of vertices.
10. DFS (AL): $O(n + m)$, $\text{deg}(v) = 2m$.
11. BFS (AL): $O(n + m)$.
12. **Directed acyclic graph (DAG)**: Digraph with no directed cycles.
13. **Topological sorting**: Only for DAG. $O(n + m)$. See slide example.
TIP: Label node with no outgoing edges.

Chapter 6 & 7: Sorting & Selection

Selection Sort: $O(n^2)$, Unstable, In-Place.

TIP: Swap max with current.

Array: 3 6 5 2 4, $n = 5$

$i = 4$, 3 4 5 2 6

$i = 3$, 3 4 2 5 6

$i = 2$, 3 2 4 5 6

$i = 1$, 2 3 4 5 6 (sorted)

Heapsort: $O(n \lg n)$, Unstable, In-Place.

TIP: Represent array as PQ. Dequeue root. Put rightmost node as root. Heapify downwards.

Array: 3 6 5 2 4

PQ: 6 4 5 2 3

```

    6
   / \
  4   5
 / \
2   3

```

$i = 4$, 5 4 2 3 6 [Left subtree then right subtree hence 5 4 2 3 instead of 5 4 3 2]

Rightmost node as root.	Heapify downwards.
<pre> 3 / \ </pre>	<pre> 5 / \ </pre>

4 5 / 2	4 3 / 2
---------------	---------------

$I = 3, 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ \underline{5 \ 6}$

$I = 2, 3 \ 2 \ \underline{4 \ 5 \ 6}$

$I = 1, 2 \ \underline{3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6}$

$I = 0, \underline{2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6}$

Stable: Preserves order of duplicate keys.

Quick Sort: $O(n^2)$ if pivot is not randomised. $O(n \log n)$ if pivot is randomised. Stable. Space inefficient. In-Place.

Space efficient = unstable.

Array: 99 11 77 55 33, first element as pivot

11 77 55 33 99*

11* 77 55 33 99*

11* 55 33 77* 99*

11* 33 55* 77* 99* (Sorted)

In-place: Produce results by overwriting input data structure.

Not-in-place: Store temporary result into an additional temporary data structure. At the end store final result into input data structure.

Merge Sort: $O(n \log n)$. Stable. Not-in-place. Height: $O(\log n)$.

Array: 107 55 100 98 33 75 3

p	r	Array
0	1	<u>55 107</u> 100 98 33 75 3
2	3	55 107 <u>98 100</u> 33 75 3
0	3	<u>55 98 100 107</u> 33 75 3
4	5	55 98 100 107 <u>33 75</u> 3
4	6	55 98 100 107 <u>3 33 75</u>
0	6	<u>3 33 55 75 98 100 107</u>

Bucket Sort: $O(n+N)$

29 25 3 49 9 37 21 43

0-9	10-19	20-29	30-39	40-49
3, 9		29, 25, 21	37	49

0-9	10-19	20-29	30-39	40-49
3, 9		21, 25, 29	37	49

3 9 21 25 29 37 49 (Sorted)

Radix Sort: $O(d(n+N))$

38 9 38 37 155 197 65

D = 1

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					155, 65		37, 197	38, 38	9

155 65 37 197 38 38 09

D = 2

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
9			37, 38, 38		155	65			197

009, 037, 038, 038, 155, 065, 197

D = 3

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
009, 037, 038, 038, 065	155, 197								

9, 37, 38, 38, 65, 155, 197 (Sorted)

Radix Sort for Binary Numbers (b-bit): $O(bn)$

Quick Select: $O(n^2)$

Find 5th smallest number = k = 4. Last element as pivot.

77 99 22 66 55 44 11 88 33

22 11 33* 77 99 66 55 44 88

Pi = 2 < k, look right

~~22 11 33*~~ 77 66 55 44 ~~88* 99~~

$P_i = 7 > k$, look left

~~22 11 33*~~ ~~44*~~ 77 66 55 ~~88* 99~~

$P_i = 3 < k$, look right

~~22 11 33 44*~~ ~~55*~~ 77 66 ~~88*~~ ~~99~~

$P_i = 4 = k$

5th smallest element = 55

Chapter 8: Divide and Conquer

Divide and Conquer

1. Divide: Divide input data in 2 or more disjoint subsets.
2. Recur: Recursively solve subproblems.
3. Conquer: Combine solutions into a solution.

Merge Sort

1. Divide: Partition input sequence into 2 sequences S1 and S2 of about $n/2$ elements each.
2. Recur: Recursively sort S1 and S2.
3. Conquer: Merge S1 and S2 into a unique sorted sequence.

Quick Sort

1. Divide: Pick a random element x as pivot. Partition S into
 - L: Elements $< x$.
 - E: Elements $= x$.
 - G: Elements $> x$.
2. Recur: Sort L and G.
3. Conquer: Join L, E and G.

Master Theorem: $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$

Case 1 [$n^{\log_b a} > f(n)$]: $T(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$

Case 2 [$f(n) > n^{\log_b a}$ by logarithmic factor]: $T(n) = O(n^{\log_b a} \log^{(k+1)} n)$

Case 3 [$f(n) > n^{\log_b a}$]: $T(n) = O(f(n))$

Chapter 9: Greedy Algorithms

Greedy method: Algorithm design paradigm with the elements:

- Configurations: Different choices, collections, or values to find.

- Objective function: A score assigned to configurations, which we want to maximize/minimize.

Greedy-choice property: Global-optimal solution can be found by a series of local improvements from a starting configuration.

Fractional Knapsack: $O(n \log n)$

Knapsack weight = 20 kg

Item no	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Weight (kg)	7	4	3	9	8	4	5
Benefit (RM)	70	16	45	45	40	80	10
Value	10	4	15	5	5	20	2

Select Item No.	Weight	Benefit
5	4	80
2	3	45
0	7	70
3 or 4	6	30

Total Benefit = 225

Shortest Path: Find a path of minimum total weight between two vertices.

Dijkstra: Works only for positive-weight edges. $O((n + m) \log n)$ for AL, $O(m \log n)$ for connected graph.

TIP: SELECT LOWEST DISTANCE(S) (Continue from available).

Edge relaxation: Remove cycle.

Minimum Spanning Trees (MST): Spanning tree of a weighted graph with minimum total edge weight.

Prim-Jarnik: $O((n + m) \log n)$ for AL, $O(m \log n)$ for connected graph.

TIP: SELECT MIN EDGE (Continue from available). REMOVE EDGE THAT FORMS CYCLE.

Kruskal: $O((n + m) \log n)$.

TIP: SELECT NEXT MIN EDGE. REMOVE EDGE THAT FORMS CYCLE.

Chapter 10: Dynamic Programming

Dynamic Programming:

- Simple subproblems: Subproblems are defined in terms of a few variables.
- Subproblem optimality: Global optimum value are defined in terms of optimal subproblems.
- Subproblem overlap: The subproblems are not independent, but instead they overlap (hence, should be constructed bottom-up).

Matrix Chain Multiplication: $O(n^3)$

I = row. J = column.

$M[i,j] = 0$ if $i = j$, else $\min_{i \leq k < j} \{ M[i,k] + M[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j \}$

A1	A2	A3	A4
25 x 10	10 x 15	15 x 5	5 x 35

	A1	A2	A3	A4
A1	0	(1,1)(2,2): $25 \times 10 \times 15 = 3750$	(1,1)(2,3): $750 + 25 \times 10 \times 5 = 2000$ [MIN] (1,2)(3,3): $3750 + 25 \times 15 \times 5 = 5625$	(1,1)(2,4): $7875 + 25 \times 10 \times 35 = 16625$ (1,2)(3,4): $3750 + 2625 + 25 \times 15 \times 35 = 19500$ (1,3)(4,4): $2000 + 25 \times 5 \times 35 = 6375$ [MIN]
A2		0	(2,2)(3,3): $10 \times 15 \times 5 = 750$	(2,2)(3,4): $2625 + 10 \times 15 \times 35 = 7875$ (2,3)(4,4): $750 + 10 \times 5 \times 35 = 3375$ [MIN]
A3			0	(3,3)(4,4): $15 \times 5 \times 35 = 2625$
A4				0

0/1 Knapsack: $O(nW)$

$W = 10$

Item	1	2	3	4
Weight	5	4	6	3
Value	10	40	30	50

I \ W	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	10	10	10
2	0	0	0	0	40	40	40	40	40	50	50
3	0	0	0	0	40	40	40	40	40	50	70
4	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	90	90	90	90

Items: 4, 2

Weights: $3 + 4 = 7$

Values: $50 + 40 = 90$

Floyd-Warshall: I skip.

Chapter 11: Text Processing

Brute Force: $O(nm)$

Boyer-Moore: $O(mn + s)$, average: $O(m+n)$

Text = ACTCTCCATGATTAGTCACTC

Pattern = TAGTCACTC

Character	T	A	G	C
Last Occurrence	7	5	2	8

Match letters from right to left.

If mismatch letter is inside pattern, align to match.

Red = Mismatch, Blue = Match

KMP: $O(m+n)$
Text = abaababaabac
Pattern = abaabac

Prefixes = Prefix[0] to right except Prefix[j]
 Suffices = Prefix[j] to left except Prefix[0]
 Some examples:

Match letters from left to right.

a	b	a	a	b	a	b	a	a	b	a	c
a	b	a	a	b	a	c					

Mismatch happens at $j = 6$, we look at $\text{Failure}[j - 1] = \text{Failure}[5] = \mathbf{3}$, so pattern starts at $\mathbf{3}$ positions before mismatch location.

			a	b	a	a	b	a	c		
Mismatch happens at $j = 3$, we look at $\text{Failure}[j - 1] = \text{Failure}[2] = \mathbf{1}$, so pattern starts at $\mathbf{1}$ position before mismatch location.											
					a	b	a	a	b	a	c

Huffman Coding: I skip.

Chapter 12: P and NP

Polynomial time: A time bound of the form $O(n^k)$ for some fixed k .

Complexity class: A collection of languages.

P: The complexity class consisting of all languages accepted by polynomial-time algorithms.

NP: The complexity class consisting of all languages accepted by polynomial-time non-deterministic algorithms or verified by polynomial-time algorithms.

A problem (language) L is **NP-hard** if every problem in NP can be reduced to L in polynomial time.

L is **NP-complete** if it's in NP and is NP-hard.

NP-complete problems: 0/1 knapsack and travelling salesman tour.

Tables

Worst Time Complexity for Data Structures

Data Structure	Search	Insert / Enqueue	Remove / Dequeue
Hash Table	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
BST	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
AVL	$O(\lg n)$	$O(\lg n)$	$O(\lg n)$
Priority Queue (Array)		$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Priority Queue (Heap)		$O(\lg n)$	$O(\lg n)$

Spatial Complexity for Graphs

Graph	Sparse	Dense
Adjacency List	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$
Adjacency Matrix	$O(n^2)$	

Worst Time Complexity for Graph Algorithms

Algorithm	Time Complexity
DFS (Adjacency List)	$O(n + m)$
BFS (Adjacency List)	$O(n + m)$
Topological Sort (DAG)	$O(n + m)$

Worst Time Complexity for Sorting and Selection Algorithms

Algorithm	In-Place	Stable	Time Complexity
Selection Sort	Yes	No	$O(n^2)$
Heap Sort	Yes	No	$O(n \lg n)$
Quick Sort	Yes	Space-inefficient: Yes Space-efficient: No	Pivot not randomise: $O(n^2)$ Pivot randomise: $O(n \lg n)$
Merge Sort	No	Yes	$O(n \lg n)$
Bucket Sort	No	Yes	$O(n+N)$
Radix Sort	No	Yes	$O(d(n+N))$
Radix Sort for Binary Numbers (b-bit)	No	Yes	$O(bn)$
Quick Select	-	-	$O(n^2)$

Worst Time Complexity for Greedy Algorithms

Algorithm	Time Complexity
Fractional Knapsack	$O(n \lg n)$
Dijkstra (Shortest Path)	Adjacency List: $O((n + m) \log n)$ Connected Graph: $O(m \log n)$
Prim (MST)	Adjacency List: $O((n + m) \log n)$ Connected Graph: $O(m \log n)$
Kruskal (MST)	$O((n + m) \log n)$

Worst Time Complexity for DP

Algorithm	Time Complexity
Matrix Chain Multiplication	$O(n^3)$
0/1 Knapsack	$O(nW)$
Floyd–Warshall	$O(n^3)$

Worst Time Complexity for Pattern Matching

Algorithm	Time Complexity
Brute Force	$O(nm)$
Boyer-Moore	$O(nm + s)$
KMP	$O(n + m)$