

# Investigating face and body perception in humans with naturalistic, more ecologically valid stimuli

John Jairo Madrid Carvajal<sup>1</sup>, Peter König<sup>1</sup>, Debora Nolte<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Osnabrück, Germany

## Introduction

- Translating classical laboratory-based setups into the real world (the wild) represents a major challenge in neuroscience.
- Using ecologically valid conditions to study brain functioning is still a pressing issue within cognitive neuroscience [1]–[3]
- Neuroscience in the wild involves many significant changes in how we design, conduct, and analyze ecologically valid experiments [4]
- Faces are often perceived in natural scenes
- Classical experiments investigating face perception in humans usually involve static, controlled laboratory setups and highly manipulated/edited stimuli [5][6]
- Previous research on face perception has used natural scenes [7] and real-life free-viewing scenarios as stimuli revealing unknown EEG signatures of face processing [8]
- There is still a lack of studies investigating the implications of using naturalistic, unedited images to study face perception.





## Goal:

- Translate a classical experimental paradigm into the wild.
- Create an intermediate set up that combines EEG and VR.
- Investigate ERPs responses to faces and bodies compared to objects using images retrieved from previous gazes during a walk in a virtual city.

## Methods

### Images collection:

- We combined EEG and VR to investigate ERPs' responses to faces and bodies (N170) using naturalistic images retrieved from participants' previous gazes during a free-viewing exploration in a virtual city.
- Head and eye-tracking data were used to reconstruct player's walked path.
- Screenshots were automatically taken from the eyes camera when the player was gazing at faces, bodies, and objects in the scene.
- Additionally, Unity 3D's physics Raycast was used to calculate and save the distances and rotations.
- We aligned the EEG and VR streams timestamps to identify stimulus onset




### The experiment:

- The experiment consists of 1680 total trials divided into four blocks of about 8–10 minutes with eye-tracking calibration and validation before and in the middle of each block.
- Dataset consisted of 70 unique images per category (face, object, body) with randomized order of stimulus presentation.
- Each image can repeat only twice within a block and never after itself.

### References:


- [1] S. A. Nastase, A. Goldstein, and U. Hasson, "Keep it real: rethinking the primacy of experimental control in cognitive neuroscience," *NeuroImage*, vol. 222, p. 117254, Nov. 2020.
- [2] E. S. Finn, E. Glerean, U. Hasson, and T. Vanderwal, "Naturalistic imaging: The use of ecologically valid conditions to study brain function," *NeuroImage*, vol. 247, p. 118776, Feb. 2022.
- [3] P. König, A. Melnik, C. Goeke, A. L. Gert, S. U. König, and T. C. Kietrys, "Embodied cognition," in 2018 6th International Conference on Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), Jan. 2018, pp. 1–4.
- [4] P. J. Matusz, S. Dikker, A. G. Huth, and C. Perrotin, "Are We Ready for Real-world Neuroscience?," *J. Cogn. Neurosci.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 327–338, Mar. 2019.
- [5] M. J. Farah, K. D. Wilson, J. N. Tanaka, and M. Drain, "What Is "Special" About Face Perception?" 1998.
- [6] S. Bentin, T. Allison, A. Puce, E. Perez, and G. McCarthy, "Electrophysiological Studies of Face Perception in Humans," *J. Cogn. Neurosci.*, vol. 8, pp. 551–565, Nov. 1996.
- [7] G. A. Rousselet, M. J.-M. Macé, and M. Fabre-Thorpe, "Animal and human faces in natural scenes: How specific to human faces is the N170 ERP component?," *J. Vis.*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 2, Jan. 2004.
- [8] A. L. Gert, B. V. Ehinger, S. Timm, T. C. Kietrys, and P. König, "Wild lab: A naturalistic free viewing experiment reveals previously unknown EEG signatures of face processing," 2021.

## Results




- Single-trial ERPs (1 subject) at PO8 shows positive and negative face related potentials vertically aligned after stimulus onset.

- Preliminary results (8 subjects) show a robust mean ERP to faces at PO8 with positivity of 7.8 microvolts at 100 ms and a drop in activity to 0.8 microvolts at 167 ms (N170).



- Comparing faces to objects, the positivity was changed after about six microvolts, and the drop in activity differed by about one microvolt.

- Average ERPs to faces have the strongest maximum and minimum activity with a larger difference in amplitude.
- ERPs to bodies are stronger compared to objects, but weaker than faces.



## Conclusion & Discussion

- We successfully translated a classical experimental paradigm into a more practical setup combining EEG and VR that can inform and inspire subsequent fully wild investigations.
- We reproduced previous findings associated with a negative ERP component sensitive to faces from a different experimental design perspective.
- This methodological approach sets the stage for wilder, more dynamic investigations.

