INTERTEMPORAL LABOR SUPPLY AND HUMAN CAPITAL ACCUMULATION

SUSUMU IMAI AND MICHAEL P. KEANE

December 2000

Intertemporal elasticity of substitution in labor supply

- This has long been an area of study, see **Lucas1969-ti**
- Studies using micropanel data in structural models include **MaCurdy1981-iy**, **Browning1985-ox**, and **Altonji1986-zf**.
- Are unable to solve a puzzle: although wages grow throughout the life cycle, hours worked do not
  - Why don't workers work less when young and wages are low and more when they are old and wages are high?

Human capital

- The answer: wages are determined by human capital which grows with work experience
- Workers face competing motivations: wages grow through the life cycle, but returns to human capital decrease
  - The result is a relatively flat labor supply (see next slide)
- Previous research which does not account for human capital thus underestimates the elasticity of labor supply
- Our model will correct this

Life cycle path



Figure: OPTIMAL LIFE CYCLE LABOR SUPPLY

Table of Contents

1. Introduction and Motivation
2. Model
3. Solution
4. Data
5. Summary and Conclusion

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Motivation

2 Model

3 Solution

4 Data

5 Summary and Conclusion

Agent's problem

Agents maximize their discounted expected life-cycle utility through the working horizon T:

$$E_t \sum_{\tau=t}^{T} \beta^{\tau} [u(C_\tau, \tau) - v(h_\tau \epsilon_{2,\tau})] \tag{1}$$

subject to their intertemporal budget constraint:

$$A_{t+1} = (1 + r)A_t + W_{t,s} h_t - C_t \tag{2}$$

Equations (1) and equations (2) characterize the problem we want to examine.

The human capital production function



NOTE: Only plot cells with at least 20 observations.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Motivation

2 Model

3 Solution

4 Data

5 Summary and Conclusion

Problem before shocks

Next period human capital before shocks are realized is:

$$\tilde{K}_{t+1} = g(h_t, K_t, t)$$

So we can rewrite the value function as:

$$V_{t,s}(A_t, \tilde{K}_t, \epsilon_{1,t}, \epsilon_{2,t}) = \max_{C_t, h_t}(u(C_t, t) - v(h_t, \epsilon_{2,t}) + \beta E_t V_{t+1,s+1}(A_{t+1}, \tilde{K}_{t+1}, \epsilon_{1,t+1}, \epsilon_{2,t+1})$$

Emax function

Defining the emax function as:

$$V^E_{t+1,s+1}(A_{t+1}, \tilde{K}_{t+1}) = E_t V_{t+1,s+1}(A_{t+1}, \tilde{K}_{t+1}, \epsilon_{1,t+1}, \epsilon_{2,t+1}) \tag{3}$$

We can write the agent's problem before shocks are realized as:

$$V_{t,s}(A_t, \tilde{K}_t, \epsilon_{1,t}, \epsilon_{2,t}) =$$
$$\max_{C_t, h_t}[u(C_t, t) - v(h_t, \epsilon_{2,t}) + \beta V^E_{t+1,s+1}(A_{t+1}, \tilde{K}_{t+1})]$$

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Motivation

2 Model

3 Solution

4 Data

5 Summary and Conclusion

NLSY79

1. Our data comes from the NLSY79
2. Advantage over the PSID, used by **MaCurdy1981-iy** and others, is that we can directly use asset data
3. Focus on white males who are at least 20 years old and have some schooling

Mean wage profiles

Age	Hourly Wage	Hours	Total Wealth
20	5.785 (2763)	1531.7 (2837)	6334.8 (207)
21	5.998 (3220)	1578.6 (3348)	6178.5 (568)
22	6.697 (3396)	1725.7 (3536)	8933 (956)
23	7.12 (3420)	1866.7 (3557)	9163 (1361)
24	7.342 (3308)	1989.4 (3480)	2889.9 (1751)
25	7.99 (3187)	2042 (3360)	-9276.6 (1885)
26	9.294 (2987)	2101.6 (3160)	1205.6 (2189)
27	9.098 (2871)	2134.7 (3048)	4651.3 (2504)
28	10.1 (2785)	2182.1 (2976)	-29888 (2538)
29	9.426 (2499)	2200.7 (2670)	-37101 (2235)
30	12.36 (2028)	2224.3 (2188)	-25726 (1903)
31	15.24 (1649)	2243.9 (1785)	-12168 (1614)
32	13.6 (1206)	2238.1 (1307)	19201 (1313)
33	22.98 (851)	2253.1 (922)	27379 (1098)
34	11.39 (554)	2246.7 (608)	55264 (649)
35	11.57 (291)	2294.2 (325)	84001 (299)
36	10.01 (65)	2283.5 (71)	58172 (67)

NOTE: Sample sizes are in parentheses

Table of Contents

1 Introduction and Motivation

2 Model

3 Solution

4 Data

5 Summary and Conclusion

Intertemporal elasticities

- Estimate the interemporal elasticity of labor supply of 3.82, much closer to that from the macro literature (eg **Eichenbaum1988-kg**)
- Estimate an i.e.s.-c of -1.354
- This contrasts with the common estimate of -1/3 (see **Hubbard1994-qp**)
- Ignoring human capital biases IEL downwards and explains the dissonance between micro- and macro-estimates

Model fit



Fi... AGE-HOURS PROFILES

Model fit


180000
160000
140000
120000
100000
80000
60000
40000
20000
0
assets ($)
20
30
40
50
60
70
age
data
simulation

References I