# Functions in R

January 15, 2019

One of the very nice features of R when one comes from other statistical software like SAS or Stata is that it is very easy to write customized code and develop your own functions. That is a very good thing because the manipulation, analysis, and visualization of data is considerably easier when one can write small functions. In addition, because R is open-source, you can inspect what every function does, so it is useful to get a sense of the most basic elements of programming to be able to take advantage of that feature.

## **Defining functions**

Think about functions as a way of packing operations into one reusable expression. For instance, consider a trivial example in which we want to calculate a mean. Instead of doing sum over length in every situation, we could just pack those operations together and give them a name:

```
my_mean <- function(x) sum(x)/length(x)
my_mean(c(0, 1))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.5
```

To define a function, we use the keyword function followed by parentheses, (optionally) the arguments that the function takes, and the expression that the function runs. We then assign this function to a name, in this case, my\_mean.

See how typing my\_mean allows you to see what you have just defined.

```
my_mean
```

```
## function(x) sum(x)/length(x)
## <environment: 0x000000040dc2840>
```

The way we defined the function is perfectly valid but we could also be a bit more explicit by enclosing the statement in parenthesis and ensuring that it is returned.

```
my_mean <- function(x) {
  return(sum(x)/length(x))
}</pre>
```

Note the use of braces to enclose the contents of the function. Braces are optional when the function body consists of a single line but are necessary when the function body contains multiple lines.

#### Closures

A closure can be thought of as a function with data attached. They can reduce bookkeeping and improve readability by keeping copies of data instead of passing them as parameters to the function each time. They are useful when a function is called multiple times but one or more parameters to the function does not change between calls. Closures are normally created by other functions. Here as an example.

```
setup_bootstrap <- function(dat) {
   n <- nrow(dat)
   function()
   dat[sample.int(n = n, size = n, replace = TRUE),]</pre>
```

```
fake_data <- data.frame(x = 1:5, y = 2:6)
bootstrap <- setup_bootstrap(fake_data)
mysample <- bootstrap()

# Get 30 bootstrap samples
more_samples <- lapply(1:30, function(unused) bootstrap())</pre>
```

bootstrap is a closure that is returned by setup\_bootstrap (recall that functions in R are first-class, so we can return a function like any other variable). Notice that we don't need to pass a copy of fake\_data to bootstrap when we call it. That is because bootstrap already has an internal copy of the data set.

R uses something called lexical scoping. This means that if a function refers to a variable that is not defined within the function and is not passed as a parameter to the function, the next place R looks for the variable is where the function is defined (this is in contrast to dynamic scoping, which R's ancestor S uses, that instead looks where the function is called, not where it is defined). dat and n are not passed to the anonymous (i.e., unnamed) function within setup\_bootstrap, but that anonymous function can still see them. When setup\_bootstrap returns, dat and n would normally go out of scope and disappear, but the newly created function, bootstrap, keeps a copy because of lexical scoping. Note that changing fake\_data will not update the copy within bootstrap, since the version within bootstrap is from the dat parameter of setup\_bootstrap, not the global variable fake\_data.

Technically, all user-defined functions in R are closures. Keep this in mind when defining functions that return other functions. The resulting closure will keep the data defined within its lexical scope around "just in case," increasing the memory use of your program.

### **Operators**

Operators in R are special functions that can be called using a different syntax than a normal function call. One can also call them using the usual function syntax if one surrounds the operator name by backticks. Operators may be unary or binary. Here, we will focus on binary operators.

```
`+`(1, 1) # What is 1 + 1?
1 + 1 # Same thing
```

You can define your own operators like any other function. Names of custom operator names must be either surrounded by percent signs, e.g., "%plus%", or have the same name as a built-in operator. Since operators do not follow normal R naming rules, they must be surrounded by backticks when you assign to them. However, you do not use backticks when using them.

```
`%*2%` <- function(x, y) 2*x*y
5 %*2% 4

## [1] 40
`+` <- function(x, y) x - y
5 + 3 # Crazy!

## [1] 2
rm(`+`) # We better remove that!</pre>
```

#### Vectorized operations

One common need is to apply an operation to each individual element of a vector or list. As in most programming languages, this can be done in R through looping constructs. However, the use of loops in R is considered by some to be bad practice. Loops can introduce bugs and can encourage practices which may slow the execution of one's code. Some say they are okay in limited situations, but regardless one should be hesitant to use loops since they are not consistent with R's status as a (somewhat) functional vector-based language. Another reason to avoid loops is that they can be difficult to translate into parallelized code.

What do we do then? The alternative in R is vectorized operations. There are a number of ways to do this. First, let's define a function that calculates a factorial of a number.

```
myfactorial <- function(x) {

if(x == 0) {
   return(1)
} else {
   return(x*myfactorial(x-1))
}</pre>
```

Note that this function calls itself. This is known as recursion. In practice, some checks on the parameter should probably be added to assure that it's a nonnegative integer, and there are surely faster, safer implementations (a production-quality version would likely not use recursion), but let's not worry about that for now. Let's instead consider what we would need to do to apply the function to each element of a vector. We cannot simply pass in the vector because the function expects a scalar, i.e., a vector of length 1. There are a few ways around this.

One option is to use the apply family of functions. These are functions that can apply a function to individual elements of a vector or list, or apply a function to each row or column of a matrix. In this case, we would use sapply.

```
results <- sapply(1:5, myfactorial)
```

The first parameter to sapply is the vector, and second parameter is the function which we want to apply the vectors elements. sapply will return a vector containing the resulting factorials. Note that if the return values are not all of the same type, then sapply will instead return a list. If you want a predictable return type, one can use lapply instead, which works the same way but always returns a list.

There are other functions in the apply family, such as apply, which applies a function to every row or column of a matrix, but they are used in a similar manner (see: mapply, tapply, vapply). Further, some R users prefer a group of functions defined in the plyr and dplyr packages which perform apply-like operations. These packages are discussed in a subsequent section.

A second option is to create a new function which handles all of these apply operations automatically. This can be done through the Vectorize function. We would define a new vectorized version like this:

```
myfactorial_vectorized <- Vectorize(myfactorial)</pre>
```

Alternatively, we could have done this in our original function definition.

```
myfactorial <- Vectorize(function(x) {

if(x == 0) {
   return(1)
} else {
   return(x*myfactorial(x-1))
}</pre>
```

})

Using our new "vectorized" function is simple:

```
results <- myfactorial_vectorized(1:5)
```

Please note that Vectorize has one important parameter that we did not mention: vectorize.args. This should be set to a vector of strings which contain the variable names we wish to "vectorize" over. For example, suppose we have the following function:

```
weird_sum <- function(x, y, z) {
    x + y + sum(z)
}</pre>
```

Now assume we want to vectorize the function over x and y but not z, i.e., we want to add the first element of x, the first element of y, and the sum of z, and then the second element of y, and the sum of z, and so on. We would do it like this:

```
weird_sum <- Vectorize(function(x, y, z) {
   x + y + sum(z)
}, vectorize.args = c("x", "y"))</pre>
```

Actually, weird\_sum will work as intended without the use of Vectorize but only because the + operator is itself vectorized. For the sake of illustration, let's ignore this pesky detail.

We did not need to specify vectorize.args in our myfactorial example because the default value is to vectorize over all arguments, which is what we wanted.

In some cases, both options are unnecessary because there are already built-in vectorized functions to accomplish our task. For example, the built-in factorial function in R is already vectorized, so there is no need to use sapply, Vectorize, etc. Similarly, there are a number of built-in functions for calculating sums and means over rows or columns of a matrix, e.g., rowSums, colMeans, which should be used in lieu of applying sum or mean to individual rows or columns via apply. The use of these kind of functions is simpler and often results in faster programs than the methods mentioned above, so one should use explicit vectorization only as needed.