Inference

October 30, 2017

Data analysis (cont.)

Let's take a more careful look at the model we fit before:

```
affairs <- read.csv("http://koaning.io/theme/data/affairs.csv")
sample_model <- lm(nbaffairs ~ I(age - 18)*child + factor(religious), data=affairs)
```

We took a look at some values of interest, like the estimated coefficients or the confidence intervals around them. It may also be interesting to take a look at predictions on the original dataset that we used (remember that sample_model carries the data used to fit the model).

```
yhat <- predict(sample_model)
head(yhat)</pre>
```

```
## 1 2 3 4 5 6
## 2.612 0.177 2.875 1.380 0.638 1.802
```

The predict method takes a number of useful arguments, like newdata, which applies the estimated coefficients to a new dataset.

```
## 1
## 3.23
```

Usually, we want to see predictions with their uncertainty. Let's take a look at the documentation to see how to get confidence intervals:

?predict

Not very useful, right? The reason is that predict is a generic function that operates on different kinds of objects/models. Think about predictions for a linear model or for a logistic regression. They are still predictions but they are calculated differently and they should be offering different options. But they user should not need to remember the class of the model that was fit: and the end of the day, we have been insisting on the fact that objects in R carry a lot of information around. If we look at the bottom of the help file, we will see the method for lm models, which is what we want:

```
?predict.lm
```

After this small detour, we finally see how to get the confidence intervals:

```
## fit lwr upr
## 1 3.23 2.06 4.4
```

A bit more on modeling

We can think about running some other kinds of models on our dataset. For instance, we could think about running a logistic regression.

```
logit_model <- glm(I(nbaffairs > 0) ~ I(age - 18)*child + factor(religious),
                    data=affairs,
                    family=binomial(link="logit")) # link="logit" is the default
summary(logit_model)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = I(nbaffairs > 0) ~ I(age - 18) * child + factor(religious),
       family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = affairs)
##
##
##
  Deviance Residuals:
      Min
               10 Median
                               30
                                      Max
  -1.160 -0.824 -0.653
                                     2.361
##
                           -0.342
##
## Coefficients:
                        Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept)
                         -1.3960
                                     0.4364
                                               -3.20 0.00138
## I(age - 18)
                          0.0545
                                     0.0282
                                                1.94 0.05288
## childyes
                          1.3319
                                     0.4161
                                               3.20 0.00137
## factor(religious)2
                                     0.3524
                                               -2.15 0.03137
                         -0.7585
## factor(religious)3
                         -0.4267
                                     0.3561
                                               -1.20 0.23077
## factor(religious)4
                         -1.3881
                                     0.3606
                                               -3.85 0.00012
## factor(religious)5
                         -1.2993
                                     0.4394
                                               -2.96 0.00311
## I(age - 18):childyes
                         -0.0533
                                     0.0306
                                               -1.74 0.08187
##
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
##
       Null deviance: 675.38
                             on 600
                                      degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 638.87
                              on 593 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 654.9
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Nothing in the previous call should be odd, we just applied the same logic as before but to a new particular type of model.

One of the things that we could do now is check to what extent the model is performing well. We could take a significance testing approach, but we could also evaluate performance in terms of prediction. We are dealing with a categorical output, so we could for instance check the confusion matrix that is implicit from predicting probabilities:

```
phat <- predict(logit_model, newdata=affairs, type="response")
table(affairs$nbaffairs > 0, phat > 0.5, dnn=list("Observed", "Predicted"))

## Predicted
## Observed FALSE TRUE
## FALSE 451 0
## TRUE 149 1
```

The model performs poorly, but that's probably because the model predicts low probabilities to a positive event (an affair). We could then play with the probability threshold to have a more realistic confusion matrix:

```
table(affairs$nbaffairs > 0, phat > quantile(phat, .5), dnn=list("Observed", "Predicted"))
##     Predicted
## Observed FALSE TRUE
## FALSE 256 195
## TRUE 49 101
```

Still not a good performance, but still much better than the original matrix we got.

We could also explore the predictors and see their marginal effects. For instance, by checking how the probability of a positive even changes as we move some of the variables on the RHS. One way of accomplishing this is by, for instance, applying our model to a grid of variables:

```
##
     age child religious prediction
## 1
                          1
                                  0.198
      18
             no
## 2
      36
                          1
                                  0.398
             no
## 3
      54
                          1
                                  0.638
             no
## 4
      72
                          1
                                  0.825
             no
## 5
      18
            yes
                          1
                                  0.484
## 6
      36
            yes
                          1
                                  0.489
## 7
      54
            yes
                          1
                                  0.495
## 8
      72
                          1
                                  0.500
            yes
```

We did two things here. First, we created a fake dataset by expanding on all the combinations of the values that were passed to expand.grid. Then, we applied our predicted model to this new dataset and got the predicted probabilities for each case. Notice I put those predictions back on the fake dataset to be able to see to what combination each prediction corresponds.

We can now see how the change in the probability for different combinations of the age and child variable. But inspecting the model this way may be hard. It is probably better to accomplish this with plots.