



AI Communication Assistants: Existing Tools, Platforms, and Research

Meeting-Focused AI Assistants (Notes, Summaries, Basic Interaction)

Zoom AI Companion (Zoom) – *Platform:* Zoom Meetings. *Overview:* An AI assistant built into Zoom (formerly Zoom IQ) that automates in-meeting tasks like real-time transcription, note-taking, and summarization ¹ ². It can also answer questions during a meeting by retrieving relevant info (e.g. you can ask it to clarify a point or pull up data) ³. *Technologies:* Uses Zoom's AI (likely large language models and Zoom's own speech-to-text) to generate meeting summaries, action items, and highlights ⁴. *Gaps:* It **does not** actively represent or speak for the user – it requires the user to be present. It's limited to the Zoom platform and cannot join other services ⁵. It provides no voice cloning or autonomous decision-making, serving more as a smart notetaker than a true stand-in ⁶.

Microsoft 365 Copilot (Teams & Office) – *Platform:* Microsoft Teams, Outlook, and other Office apps. *Overview:* Copilot is Microsoft's generative AI assistant integrated across the Office suite. In Teams meetings, it can summarize discussion points in real time, generate meeting notes, and even suggest action items ⁷. In Outlook, it can draft emails or summarize email threads. It also offers **automated scheduling assistance** (finding meeting times) as part of Microsoft's calendar and email integration ⁸. *Technologies:* Powered by OpenAI GPT-4 and Microsoft Graph data, allowing it to pull information from your files, emails, and chats to answer queries or create content. *Gaps:* Copilot does **not join meetings on your behalf** or speak in your voice. Its role is assistive (summarizing, drafting, Q&A) rather than autonomously representing you. While it can help schedule meetings and compose messages, it won't *attend* a Zoom/Teams call without you. (Microsoft has introduced 3D avatars for Teams, but those are user-driven, not AI-driven.) Security is a concern – if not configured properly, an AI like Copilot might access or share data it shouldn't ⁹, so by default it errs on the side of caution and requires user prompts for actions.

Google Duet AI for Workspace (Google Meet) – *Platform:* Google Meet, Gmail, etc. *Overview:* Google's Duet AI (now rebranded as **Gemini for Workspace**) offers a suite of capabilities in meetings. Notably, it has an **"Attend for me"** feature where the AI can join a Google Meet on your behalf to **deliver a preset message and gather a summary** ¹⁰. For example, if you can't attend a call, you can supply a brief update or statement, and Duet will enter the meeting, present that message to attendees, and later provide you with the meeting recap ¹⁰. Duet also can take real-time notes ("take notes for me") and generate summaries and action items after the meeting ¹¹. *Technologies:* Built on Google's LLMs and Workspace data; it converts your typed prompt into speech for the meeting (text-to-speech, not necessarily in your voice) and uses AI to summarize discussions. *Gaps:* The **interaction is one-way** – Duet delivers your prepared remarks but does **not hold an interactive conversation on your behalf**. It cannot answer unexpected questions or fully participate beyond what you've scripted. Voice cloning of the user's voice is not mentioned (it likely uses a standard voice for now). Essentially, it's a scheduling backstop and note-taker, but not a true autonomous persona in the meeting.

Cisco Webex Assistant – *Platform:* Cisco Webex. *Overview:* Cisco's AI assistant for Webex provides live transcription, real-time translation, note-taking, and meeting highlights. It can do meeting “catch-up” (summaries for late joiners) and post-meeting recaps. It's similar to Zoom's and Google's offerings in summarization and transcripts ¹². *Distinct Features:* In Webex's roadmap, there is emphasis on integrations – e.g., sharing meeting summaries to other apps like Outlook or Slack ¹³. However, Webex's assistant does not yet clone the user's voice or attend without the user. It's an assistive agent inside Webex meetings. *Gaps:* No autonomous representation of a user (no speaking for you or making decisions). It stays within the Webex ecosystem and focuses on productivity (notes, captions, translations), not persona.

Otter.ai, Fireflies, Sembly, and other Note-Taker Bots – *Platform:* Multi-platform (Zoom, Teams, Google Meet via API or as a participant). *Overview:* These services provide AI-driven transcription and summaries for meetings. For example, **Otter.ai's “Otter Assistant”** can automatically join your Zoom/Teams/Meet calls and record/transcribe them without you needing to manually start it ¹⁴ ¹⁵. They produce transcripts, identify speakers, and generate summary notes or action items. Some (like Sembly or Fireflies) offer integrations to send summaries to project tools or search past meetings by keyword. *Technologies:* Primarily speech-to-text combined with NLP for summarization. They often use proprietary models or OpenAI for summary generation. *Gaps:* These bots **do not speak or interact** in the meeting – they usually join as a silent participant (often labeled “Otter.ai Notetaker” or similar) just to listen and record. They won't represent you in conversation; in fact, attendees typically know it's there just as a recorder. There's no voice cloning or decision-making. They also can't handle tasks like scheduling or responding to colleagues outside of the meeting context – their function is limited to capturing and processing meeting content. Essentially, they cover the **listening** aspect of an assistant but not the **speaking/acting** aspects.

Autonomous “Digital Persona” and Avatar Tools

Pickle “AI Body Double” – *Company:* Pickle (YC startup). *Platform:* Works with major video conferencing apps (Zoom, Google Meet, Microsoft Teams) by acting as a virtual camera feed. *Overview:* Pickle creates a **realistic avatar of the user's face** that mimics the user's expressions and lip-syncs their speech, allowing the user to appear on camera as an attractive or attentive version of themselves – even if the real user is off-camera or not camera-ready ¹⁶ ¹⁷. Users record a few minutes of video and reading script to train the avatar. Once set up, the avatar will **move its lips in sync with the user's real voice in real time**. For example, a CEO used it to appear wide-awake from a “trendy-looking office” while actually calling in from bed in a dark room ¹⁶. The avatar smiled and looked engaged automatically, fooling many colleagues. *Technologies:* It uses deepfake-like video synthesis to clone the user's appearance and movements ¹⁸. It is not fully AI-automated in speech – **the user still speaks (audio)**, but the video avatar makes it look like they're on camera. Pickle's marketing suggests plans to incorporate more AI: “a persistent AI clone that speaks in your voice, learns from your behavior, and connects on your behalf” ¹⁹. This hints at future capabilities for autonomous speaking, but current usage (as reported) involves the human in the loop for voice. *Platform compatibility:* Currently requires a Mac app (Windows in development) and works by replacing your webcam feed ¹⁷. *Gaps:* Pickle's avatar by itself does **not understand context or answer questions** – the human user still must be present to talk (or at least text for the avatar to lip-sync). If you actually leave the room and someone asks the avatar a question, it won't know how to respond on its own. In that sense, it's a **visual proxy** but not an autonomous agent. Also, it currently doesn't integrate with calendars or document permissions – its focus is on *video presence*. Users have raised ethical concerns too: it can be seen as a “purposeful deepfake,” potentially eroding trust if not disclosed ²⁰.

HeyGen Interactive Avatar – *Company:* HeyGen. *Platform:* Zoom and other meeting platforms (the avatar is delivered via HeyGen’s web app integration). *Overview:* HeyGen’s recently launched **Interactive Avatar** feature takes the avatar concept further into autonomy. It allows you to create a talking-head clone that **not only looks and sounds like you, but can also “think, talk, and make decisions” in real-time** based on a persona or knowledge you provide ²¹ ²². In practice, you can have your AI avatar join one or multiple Zoom meetings simultaneously, converse with participants, and answer questions using OpenAI’s models as the brain. It’s essentially an AI agent with your face and voice. *Technologies:* HeyGen uses generative AI for both video and voice – it integrates OpenAI’s real-time voice generation (and likely GPT-4/GPT-3.5 for language) to engage in dialogue ²². You train or prompt it with a certain “knowledge or persona,” and it will respond in that style during the meeting ²³. The voice cloning is part of HeyGen’s platform (they also offer an **AI Voice Cloning** tool ²⁴). *Gaps:* This is bleeding-edge technology and may have limitations in understanding complex, unstructured meeting conversations. The AI’s responses are only as good as the data and persona it’s given – it could falter if asked something outside its knowledge scope or permissions. There’s also a risk of errors (imagine the avatar misunderstanding a question and giving a wrong answer in your name). So while it **does** attempt the full “attend and speak for me” capability, it might need oversight for critical meetings. Additionally, setting up proper permissions (ensuring it only shares what it should) is the user’s responsibility – otherwise it might divulge info incorrectly. Finally, as a new tool, it likely requires significant configuration and is not yet commonplace in workplaces.

Sensay – *Company:* Sensay (startup, CEO Dan Thompson). *Platform:* Primarily text-based integrations (chat, messaging, web) currently; voice/video in development. *Overview:* Sensay creates AI replicas of individuals – termed “virtual humans” or “personas” – that are trained on the person’s communications and knowledge ²⁵. The goal is a digital twin that can **act on your behalf in various contexts**, from answering client questions to conversing in chat. Sensay’s CEO has used his own AI “clone” to **draft emails and messages in his style** and even start making simple decisions for him (like prioritizing responses) ²⁶. Early uses include an executive coach who trained a twin on recordings of all his coaching sessions; the AI can then answer his clients’ questions 24/7 in his stead (“coach in your pocket”) ²⁷. Users have reported that others chatting with their Sensay persona couldn’t tell it wasn’t the real person for extended periods ²⁸. *Technologies:* Sensay uses a custom AI engine (likely built on large language models) that ingests personal data – documents, emails, call transcripts, etc. – to emulate the user’s knowledge and tone ²⁹. It focuses heavily on **capturing a user’s communication style and likely responses** to maintain realism ²⁹. Currently interactions are text-based (e.g. via Telegram or web chat), and voice is on the roadmap (the coach plans to add voice to make it more conversational) ³⁰. *Platform compatibility:* Sensay’s “sonas” can be embedded in apps, websites, or messaging platforms via an API ³¹. For example, one could integrate a Sensay agent into Slack to answer coworkers’ questions when you’re not around. *Gaps:* As of now, **live meeting attendance with voice** is not a fully realized feature – it’s more about asynchronous Q&A and text chat. The avatars (video) are not yet a standard offering (though the Inc. article alluded to Sensay working on a video-capable clone similar to an avatar ³²). Another gap is that Sensay’s accuracy depends on the training data; it might not handle novel situations perfectly. Compared to the concept in question, Sensay doesn’t explicitly handle things like scheduling or document permission rules out-of-the-box – it would require custom setup to do “if I’m OOO, and X person asks for Y file, then share it.” It’s powerful in mimicking *communication*, but connecting it to enterprise calendars or file systems would be an extra integration. Finally, like all such tools, it faces trust and governance issues: the idea of an AI answering for you can raise eyebrows, and missteps (wrong answers or unauthorized info shared) are risks if not carefully managed ³³.

Avatar Use by CEOs (Klarna, Zoom, Otter.ai) – It’s worth noting that **several high-profile tech CEOs have started experimenting with digital avatars and clones** in professional settings. For example, Klarna’s CEO Sebastian Siemiatkowski had an AI avatar deliver part of a financial presentation for him ³⁴. Zoom’s CEO Eric Yuan used an AI-generated version of himself to present the opening of an earnings call ³⁵. In these cases, the avatars likely used pre-scripted content in the CEO’s likeness (Zoom’s own AI Companion was used for Yuan’s avatar speech) ³⁶. The CEO of Otter.ai (Sam Liang) has even created a prototype “Sam-bot” with the intention that it could attend meetings for him eventually ³⁷. These instances show growing interest in **AI stand-ins at the executive level**, although so far the usage has been controlled and one-directional (delivering prepared remarks, not free-form dialogue). They also highlight the **limitations** – today’s tech can make a realistic video double, but having it *autonomously answer live questions* is still in early stages. As Zoom’s team noted, their current avatar couldn’t interact or answer questions in the meeting; it was essentially a lifelike presenter for a monologue ³⁸ ³⁹. The next challenge being tackled is enabling these avatars to engage in two-way discussion and make decisions, which is an active area of development.

Scheduling and Out-of-Office Agents

Automated Scheduling Assistants (x.ai “Amy”, Clara) – *Platform:* Email and Calendar (works with any meeting invite system via email). *Overview:* A few years ago, startups like **x.ai (product “Amy Ingram”)** and **Clara Labs** offered AI assistants that could handle the back-and-forth of meeting scheduling. You would CC the assistant on an email thread, and it would parse messages from you and the other party to propose meeting times, book a slot on your calendar, and send calendar invites. These worked through natural language understanding of email text. *Technologies:* More rule-based NLP (in the 2016–2019 era) with human oversight for tricky cases. They were early attempts at an AI personal secretary. *Gaps/Status:* Both x.ai and Clara eventually shut down or were acquired (x.ai was acquired by Bizzabo in 2021). They were limited to scheduling logistics – they could not represent you in *meetings* or do tasks beyond calendar management. However, they proved that users are willing to delegate scheduling, and some of that functionality reappears now in tools like Microsoft Cortana and Copilot (which can suggest meeting times) ⁸ and Google Calendar (which can auto-suggest best times or locations). The concept of handling scheduling **is** covered in the new generation (Copilot, Duet, etc.), but as one feature among many.

Google Duplex (for phone calls) – *Platform:* Telephone calls (voice). *Overview:* Google Duplex is an AI system demonstrated by Google that can call a business on your behalf to book appointments (e.g. making a restaurant reservation or salon appointment). It speaks in a very human-like voice and can handle simple dialogue with the person on the other end. *Distinctive tech:* Duplex introduced the idea of AI using voice to carry out *real-world tasks for a user* in real time. It manages scheduling tasks via phone conversations. *Gaps:* Duplex was limited in scope (specific domains and scripts). While impressive, it was not integrated into workplace meeting scenarios and didn’t interface with your internal data or meetings. It also didn’t mimic *your* voice; it had its own friendly voice. It’s an example of AI acting on a user’s behalf in a narrow context – something an “AI communication assistant” could generalize for broader meeting contexts.

Email and Out-of-Office Assistants – Today’s email systems allow only basic rules for out-of-office (usually a fixed auto-reply message). No mainstream email client yet offers an AI that will analyze the incoming request and respond with the appropriate document or answer *while you’re away*. However, we see early signs in AI email assistants and chatbots: for instance, Microsoft 365 Copilot can draft replies for you based on email content, and tools like **Fyxr AI** or **Superhuman’s AI** can monitor your inbox and suggest responses in your style ⁴⁰ ⁴¹. It’s not a stretch to imagine these being used to auto-reply if given

permission. In fact, Sensay's CEO uses his AI to pre-draft emails so he can send them with minimal oversight ²⁶ – effectively, the AI is *nearly* responding on his behalf already. The key missing piece is **trigger and trust**: current tools won't fully send out information without human review, due to risk of errors. The concept of sharing documents with specific contacts based on preset permissions would likely require a custom rule-based layer on top of an AI. No off-the-shelf product in 2025 advertises "AI will automatically send attachments for you when you're OOO." That said, the building blocks exist: an AI that knows your files and has rules about who can get what. For example, an enterprise could set up a chatbot (using something like Microsoft Graph plus an AI) where a colleague asks, "Can I get the design spec?" and if the AI sees you're out and that colleague is authorized for that document, it replies with the file. This would be a bespoke solution today. It's also an area with **security pitfalls** – one Microsoft employee warned that an improperly configured assistant could *accidentally leak sensitive files* (e.g. reading executives' emails or HR docs) ⁹. Thus, while no mainstream product fully does this yet, it's a logical next-step for personal AI once trust and permission frameworks are robust.

Academic and Research Projects

Academic research is actively exploring AI agents that can act on behalf of users in various ways:

- **Virtual Meeting Co-Hosts:** One research prototype (University of Minnesota, 2024) looked at an AI "virtual co-host" that could monitor meetings and intervene to promote inclusivity ⁴² ⁴³. While not representing a specific person, it shows how an AI agent can intelligently participate in a meeting – for example, by asking questions to clarify if someone is being left out. This indicates that AI agents can be designed to actively **engage** in meetings (not just transcribe). The focus here was on group dynamics, but similar tech could be applied to representing an individual's interests (e.g., an AI that speaks up with your question or concern if you're silent).
- **Personal Digital Twins and Memory:** Several projects aim to create digital replicas of a person's knowledge and mannerisms. For instance, the **Re;Memory project in South Korea** used AI avatars to mimic deceased individuals for family members ⁴⁴. And in the personal AI space, the **Twin Protocol** (with AI researcher Ben Goertzel as advisor) is developing "vaults" of personal data to train AI personas that capture one's tone, style, and intent ⁴⁵. Their goal is an AI that responds with a person's knowledge *and in their voice*, useful for things like authors creating an AI that can answer questions about their work, or professionals outsourcing initial responses to clients ⁴⁶. They emphasize controlling what the twin is allowed to do and traceable data provenance to avoid misuse ⁴⁷. This aligns closely with the concept at hand, although these are early-stage efforts and not tied to specific meeting platforms yet.
- **Voice Cloning and Multimodal Avatars:** Research in speech synthesis (e.g. Microsoft's **VALL-E** and Meta's **Voicebox** models) has shown it's possible to clone a person's voice with just a few seconds of audio. By 2025, commercial services (e.g. Resemble AI, ElevenLabs) can produce very realistic voice clones. Pair that with visual avatar research – like Meta's Codec Avatars (ultra-realistic 3D avatars for VR) – and technically one can create a highly realistic fake "you." The remaining challenge is the *brain*: giving that avatar the correct intelligence and judgment. Projects like Openstream's **Eva** platform (enterprise digital twin for subject matter experts) combine voice, face, and conversational AI. Openstream was even awarded a patent for "Automated Digital Twin Behavior Modeling for Multimodal Conversations," which covers AI avatars that embody an expert's knowledge and

persona to engage with users empathetically ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹ . This shows that from a technical standpoint, **multimodal AI agents** (voice + face + knowledge) are being actively developed and even patented.

- **Ethical and Social Considerations:** Academic discussions are also addressing what it means to have an AI stand-in. How do people react to a “bot” in place of a colleague? Early guidelines (e.g., at UCSD, instructors considered allowing students to send an AI to class if absent) recommend transparency – letting others know when an AI is attending in lieu of a person ⁵⁰ . Researchers warn of trust issues if an AI misrepresents someone or if people over-rely on it. The **Raconteur** article ³³ ⁵¹ notes that if employees become used to AI-delivered messages, they might be more susceptible to deepfake scams, highlighting the need for clear policies on usage.

Comparison of Key Solutions

The table below compares several of these tools and how they stack up against the envisioned AI communication assistant's core capabilities:

Tool / Project	Platforms	Joins Meetings on User's Behalf	Speaks in User's Voice	Intelligent Response/ Decision	Scheduling Assistance	Document Sharing Automation	Distinctive Tech / Limitations
Zoom AI Companion (Zoom)	Zoom only	No (assists while user is present) ⁶	No (no voice output)	Partial – can answer queries with info during meeting ³ , but doesn't make decisions	No (user schedules)	No	Native in Zoom; great for notes & Q&A, but not an autonomous persona
Microsoft 365 Copilot (Teams, Outlook)	MS Teams, Outlook, etc.	No (provides summaries & notes)	No	Partial – summarizes, suggests tasks ⁷ ; no live meeting interaction	Yes (suggests meeting times, automates scheduling) ⁸	No	Deep Office integration; a meeting proxy, focused on productivity tasks.
Google Duet AI “Attend for Me” (Google)	Google Meet, Gmail	Yes – joins Meet to deliver a prepared statement ¹⁰	No (uses synthesized voice, not personalized)	No live dialogue (only delivers preset input) ¹⁰	Limited (general Google Calendar scheduling suggestions)	No	First to attend meeting for you, but only way communication only.

Tool / Project	Platforms	Joins Meetings on User's Behalf	Speaks in User's Voice	Intelligent Response/ Decision	Scheduling Assistance	Document Sharing Automation	Distinctive Tech / Limitations
Otter.ai (Otter Assistant)	Zoom, Teams, Meet (via link)	Yes – auto-joins calls to record ¹⁴	No	No (listens/ transcribes only)	No	No	Multi-platform note taking; captures content accurately; doesn't participate in act.
Pickle AI Avatar (Pickle)	Any platform (via virtual cam); Mac app	Yes – appears in meeting in lieu of webcam ¹⁷	Yes (user's actual voice) ¹⁷	No independent thinking (user must be speaking)	No	No	Lifelike video clone reduces camera fatigue ¹⁶ . Doesn't handle questions itself (not autonomous).
HeyGen Interactive Avatar	Zoom, Meet, Teams (via web)	Yes – AI avatar can attend and converse	Yes – cloned voice with OpenAI voice tech ²²	Yes – responds to questions using AI persona ²³	No	No	Fully AI-driven meeting participant; cutting-edge tech that can hold basic conversational. May struggle with complex or out-of-scope topics.

Tool / Project	Platforms	Joins Meetings on User's Behalf	Speaks in User's Voice	Intelligent Response/ Decision	Scheduling Assistance	Document Sharing Automation	Distinctive Tech / Limitations
Sensay AI Personas	Chat apps, web embed; (voice planned)	Partial – can handle Q&A chats on your behalf (text)	In progress (voice being added) ³⁰	Yes – trained on your data to answer in your style ²⁹	No	Possibly – if configured, could answer requests with info (textual)	Learns extensive personal context ²⁹ currently used for text-based interactions (e.g., drafting emails, answering FAQs). Not a live voice in meetings, very close to messaging email.
xAI (Elon Musk's project)	N/A (in development)	Planned – vision is full digital twin in meetings ⁵²	Presumably yes (goal is human-like AI)	Yes – aims for real-time decision-making and problem-solving ⁵³	Yes – calendar and task management planned ⁵³	Yes (implied – managing emails and tasks)	Ambitious “digital twin” concept ⁵³ not yet released as a product (still theoretical). Could cover many areas if realized, but timeline and feasibility uncertain.
Traditional OOO or Scheduling Tools (e.g., Clara, Calendly)	Email, Calendar	No (don't attend meetings)	No	No	Yes – automates meeting scheduling via email	Limited – can send preset replies	Useful for scheduling hand-off, but no dynamic representation or voice.

Table: Comparison of existing solutions against key capabilities of the proposed AI communication assistant. ✓ = yes, ✗ = no, or *notes for partial functionality.

Novel Advantages of the Proposed Concept

The survey above shows that *no single tool today* fully achieves the vision of an AI assistant that **truly represents a user across voice, video, context sharing, and scheduling**. Most solutions tackle one slice of the problem. Here are areas where the concept in question would break new ground or offer a strong advantage:

- **Unified Functionality:** The concept combines **meeting presence (with voice), intelligent context-aware responses, document sharing, and schedule management** in one assistant. Currently, users would have to use one tool for meeting notes, another for voice avatars, and yet another for scheduling. A unified assistant could seamlessly handle “Please attend my 3 PM call, share the latest report if asked, and reschedule any conflicting meetings” – no existing product can do all of that as a package.
- **Active Representation vs. Passive Assistance:** Many current “meeting AI” are passive note-takers or at best deliver a monologue. The envisioned assistant would actively participate **in real time**, carrying on conversation in the user’s stead. Tools like HeyGen are just beginning to attempt this; a more advanced system with reliability and depth of understanding (able to follow complex discussions, inject the user’s perspective, and even negotiate or make decisions) would be a significant leap. The concept’s emphasis on *preset permissions and user-provided context* means it would only act within boundaries the user defines – potentially solving some trust issues by **encoding the user’s intent and limits explicitly** (e.g. what it’s allowed to share or decide).
- **Voice Cloning with Personalization:** Using a cloned voice to maintain realism is a big plus in the concept. While voice cloning tech exists, few meeting tools use it (likely due to ethical concerns). The concept acknowledges it as optional, but having that capability means the assistant could truly *sound* like the user on a call, which is more immersive than, say, Google’s anonymous voice for Duet. Done well, this could keep other meeting participants comfortable and less likely to alter their behavior (people may speak more naturally if “you” appear to be there, as opposed to talking to a clearly robotic voice). It also allows the AI to step in for phone calls, not just video meetings.
- **Document and Knowledge Integration:** The idea that the assistant can “share documents or information with selected contacts” based on rules is novel. Current AI helpers will summarize or point out info, but they usually don’t autonomously send out files. By configuring an AI with corporate data access plus rules (for example, *Project Alpha design doc can be shared with any VP-level requestor even if I’m out*), the assistant becomes a **true proxy that can fulfill requests**, not just acknowledge them. This bridges a gap between static auto-responses and a human assistant who might actually email the file you need. It leverages AI’s ability to understand content and context: e.g., knowing *which* document matches the request and whether the person asking is authorized – that’s more advanced than a simple out-of-office reply.
- **Greater Autonomy in Decision-Making:** Ultimately, the vision includes the assistant handling things like prioritizing which meetings to attend or what it should agree to on your behalf. Outside of research prototypes (like Musk’s xAI goal or Openstream’s digital twins), this level of autonomy isn’t present in commercial tools yet. Achieving an AI that can not only attend and listen, but also **negotiate a meeting time, vote on a trivial decision in a meeting, or agree to send follow-up info** without a human in the loop, would be an advantage in efficiency. It would effectively function

like a real executive assistant. For example, it could interject in a meeting, “Alice is currently traveling, but based on her notes I can confirm we can deliver that report by Friday” – no current AI reliably does that. The concept’s focus on *receiving instructions or context from the user* is key; by feeding the AI a game plan (“If the budget question comes up, express that I’m in support up to \$50k, otherwise defer”), it could handle nuanced scenarios.

- **Cross-Platform Presence:** Many tools are tied to one ecosystem (Zoom’s assistant only in Zoom, Microsoft’s in Teams, etc.). The ideal assistant would transcend platforms – joining whatever meeting or communication channel necessary. The concept doesn’t explicitly mention it, but implying it can act in *Zoom, Teams, Slack*, etc., suggests a flexible integration. That is an advantage since modern work happens across many channels. An AI that can hop from your Teams call to a Slack thread to an email chain and maintain context of your intent across all would be a differentiator.

In summary, the idea of an AI communication assistant as described is **more comprehensive and proactive** than anything currently available. It would merge the strengths of multiple existing systems – the note-taking and summarization of meeting assistants, the conversational skills of chatbots, the realism of deepfake avatars, and the efficiency of scheduling tools – into a single personal agent. Executing this vision will require careful attention to trust, privacy, and accuracy (to avoid the “wild west” of AI pitfalls ⁵⁴), but if achieved, it would indeed offer a novel level of convenience and representation for users that current tools only partially address.

Sources:

- Zoom AI Companion features and limitations ¹ ⁵
 - Microsoft Copilot and AI meeting features (Teams summarization, scheduling) ⁸ ⁷
 - Google Workspace Duet AI “attend for me” announcement ¹⁰
 - Otter.ai Assistant auto-join and notes ¹⁴ ¹⁵
 - Pickle AI avatar use-case (Inc. Magazine review) ¹⁶ ¹⁷
 - HeyGen Interactive Avatar announcement ²¹ ²²
 - Sensay digital personas (Cointelegraph; Raconteur) ²⁵ ²⁶
 - Raconteur on Zoom and Klarna CEOs’ AI avatars ³⁶ ³⁴
 - AI meeting assistant trends (TechTarget/Metrigy report) ⁵⁵ ⁵⁶
 - Security and policy concerns (Rafferty quote in Raconteur) ⁹ ⁵⁴
-

1 2 3 4 5 6 52 53 AI IXX

<https://aiixx.ai/blog/can-ai-clone-you-for-zoom-meetings>

7 8 12 13 55 56 8 AI meeting assistants to consider in 2025 | TechTarget

<https://www.techtarget.com/searchunifiedcommunications/tip/AI-meeting-assistants-to-consider>

9 26 29 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 51 54 Tech CEOs are sending their AI avatars to meetings - Raconteur

<https://www.raconteur.net/technology/ai-avatars-meetings>

10 11 Duet AI for Google Workspace now generally available | Google Workspace Blog

<https://workspace.google.com/blog/product-announcements/duet-ai-in-workspace-now-available>

14 Otter Notetaker Overview – Help Center

<https://help.otter.ai/hc/en-us/articles/4425393298327-Otter-Notetaker-Overview>

15 Otter.ai - automate your meeting notes

<https://get.otter.ai/>

16 17 18 20 32 Pickle AI's Avatars Are a Controversial Answer to Zoom Fatigue

<https://www.inc.com/john-brandon/pickle-ais-avatars-are-a-controversial-answer-to-zoom-fatigue/91162899>

19 Pickle: We're building a living digital clone for everyone. | Y Combinator

<https://www.ycombinator.com/companies/pickle-2>

21 22 23 24 Clone Yourself AI with Interactive Video Marketing | HeyGen

<https://www.heygen.com/blog/send-your-interactive-avatar-to-zoom-meetings>

25 27 28 30 31 44 45 46 47 Your AI 'digital twin' can take meetings and comfort your loved ones

<https://cointelegraph.com/magazine/your-ai-digital-twin-can-take-meetings-and-comfort-your-loved-ones/>

40 Fyxr AI

<https://www.fyxr.com/>

41 AI Office Assistant: What is It and How to Create One for Your ...

<https://www.matellio.com/blog/ai-office-assistant-development/>

42 43 Observe, Ask, Intervene: Designing AI Agents for More Inclusive Meetings

<https://arxiv.org/html/2501.10553v1>

48 49 Multimodal AI-Driven Digital Twins of Experts Patent | Openstream.ai

<https://openstream.ai/press-release/patent-awarded-for-multimodal-ai-driven-digital-twins-to-scale-access-to-experts>

50 Guidelines for Uses of AI Assistants - Privacy

<https://privacy.ucsd.edu/ai-guidelines/index.html>