CS 194H Winter 2017: Assignment 11

Instructor: James Landay

# Interactive (Hi-fi) Prototype #3 (Team)

Due: Tuesday, March 7, 2017

## Goals

The goals of this assignment are to learn how to incorporate feedback from a user interface field usability test into the next iteration of a prototype (the last iteration for this class).

# **Using Field Usability Test Results**

You should use the results and conclusions from your Field Usability Test along with any feedback we (or your classmates) gave you on your last prototype. You should **fix as many of the problems found as you can**, prioritized by UI severity. You should also try to **implement as much missing functionality as you can** – time is short, so you won't be able to do much, but try to do what you can. Finally, you should be making sure that visual design (fonts, colors, alignments, and icons) is getting locked down to the final high quality design you will want.

### **Deliverables**

### 1. Prototype

Your prototype must be runnable by the teaching staff and anyone else who would like to try it. A downloadable version (or link to downloadable version) must be put on your project web page along with instructions to make it run. Make sure this works well in advance of the due date by testing on multiple devices.

#### 2. Presentation

Your team will collectively present your project during an **eight**-minute slide-based presentation in front of the other students and teaching staff. See the grading guidelines for information on how to structure your talk. You must **make the slides available for download on your web site**.

#### **Presentation Guidelines**

You will have 8 minutes for this presentation plus up to 5 minutes for questions. Please practice as we will grade you on how close you are to the time limit (under and over). All team members are expected to work collaboratively on the presentation and **deliver the** presentation together as a team (unless on team of 5, where the last person should present).

#### Talk Outline:

- 1. Project title & team (briefly introduce yourself, your idea, and the rest of your team)
- 2. Interface Changes
  - Show us the major changes (highlight old & new together on each slide)
  - Explain the **reasoning** for the change (come from test or other?)
- 3. Task Flows
  - Task Flows for 3 tasks
    - i. Slowly step through execution of each task with the new UI
  - Demo your UI live (or show a recording of a live demo)
- 4. Future Work
  - What was left *unimplemented* and why
  - Plan to finish any key unimplemented features

CS 194H Winter 2017: Assignment 11 Instructor: James Landay

5. Summary

CS 194H Winter 2017: Assignment 11

Instructor: James Landay

# **Grading Criteria**

Your grade will be based on the thoroughness and design quality of your implementation, the reasoning you give for the changes you've made, and the quality of your presentation. The grading will be broken into two components: the **grade** for the presentation and a group grade for the quality of the **prototype** itself. Each bullet/grading category below will be out of 4 points (4=+, 3=check+, 2=check, 1=check-, 0=missing).

Pr	resentation grades	(TEAM:
•	Organization  o Project & team  o Interface Chan  o Task Flows  o Future Work  o Summary	
•	Presentation	
	<ul> <li>Cover required</li> </ul>	lides (easy to read, understand, good use of visuals/images) scope in 8 mins (+ 5 minutes Q&A). Practice in advance. senter(s) make eye contact and project well. ( : )
	rototype grade  Specific Interface Changes	
Pr	Specific Interface Changes  o Changes from	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear?
	Specific Interface Changes  o Changes from o Changes make	
	Specific Interface Changes  o Changes from o Changes make	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear? the interface better?
	Specific Interface Changes  Changes from Changes make Were issues fo Overall Prototype Clear how each	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear? the interface better? und in the field study fixed? n of the 3 tasks is carried out in the new UI?
	Specific Interface Changes  Changes from Changes make Were issues for  Overall Prototype Clear how each Is the prototype	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear?  the interface better?  und in the field study fixed?  n of the 3 tasks is carried out in the new UI?  e UI aesthetic & pleasing? Fit the platform UI style?
	Specific Interface Changes  Changes from Changes make Were issues fo Overall Prototype Clear how each Is the prototype Are most of the	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear?  the interface better? und in the field study fixed?  n of the 3 tasks is carried out in the new UI? e UI aesthetic & pleasing? Fit the platform UI style? e necessary features implemented?
	Specific Interface Changes  Changes from Changes make Were issues fo Overall Prototype Clear how each Is the prototype Are most of the Does a live der	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear?  the interface better?  und in the field study fixed?  n of the 3 tasks is carried out in the new UI?  e UI aesthetic & pleasing? Fit the platform UI style?  e necessary features implemented?  mo or recorded demo show it working?
	Specific Interface Changes  Changes from Changes make Were issues fo Overall Prototype Clear how each Is the prototype Are most of the Does a live der Does the proto	HiFi #2 to HiFi #3 clear?  the interface better? und in the field study fixed?  n of the 3 tasks is carried out in the new UI? e UI aesthetic & pleasing? Fit the platform UI style? e necessary features implemented?