

| CATEGORY | Excellent (21-25) | Very Good (16-20) | Good (11-15) | Fair (6-10) | Poor (0-5) | SCORE |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|-------|
| Technical Complexity | Exceptional mastery of OOP and MySQL; uses design patterns, advanced queries, and optimizations effectively, showcasing innovative problem-solving. | Demonstrates solid knowledge of OOP, core concepts are present and effectively optimized. Decent MySQL usage; project structure is logical and maintainable. | Core OOP concepts and basic MySQL queries are applied correctly; however, there is a lack of advanced design patterns or optimizations. | Basic OOP concepts (e. g., classes, objects) and MySQL functions are used; understanding is minimal and poorly applied. | No knowledge of OOP or MySQL; project is simplistic and lacks any depth. | |
| | Excellent (21-25) | Very Good (16-20) | Good (11-15) | Fair (6-10) | Poor (0-5) | |
| Database | CRUD operations are highly efficient and reliable; implements advanced database features (e.g., transactions, indexing) to ensure optimal performance. | CRUD operations are fully functional, optimized for performance, and maintain data integrity; relationships between entities are well-defined. | CRUD operations mostly work, but with issues like slow performance; some relationships exist but are not effectively utilized. | CRUD operations are incomplete or malfunctioning; significant errors prevent effective data handling. | No functional CRUD operations; unable to manipulate data at all. | |
| | Excellent (21-25) | Very Good (16-20) | Good (11-15) | Fair (6-10) | Poor (0-5) | |
| Documentation | Exceptional documentation; thoroughly covers all aspects of the project with clear organization, detailed examples, and anticipatory troubleshooting tips. | Comprehensive documentation; clear and organized, includes setup instructions and thorough explanations of code functionality. | Documentation covers most aspects but lacks detail in complex areas; some usage examples are provided but are limited. | Minimal documentation; important details are missing or unclear, making it difficult to understand project purpose and usage. | No documentation provided; code is completely unannotated and confusing. | |
| | Excellent (13-15) | Very Good (10-12) | Good (7-9) | Fair (4-6) | Poor (0-3) | |
| Question and Answer | All questions are comprehensively answered with insightful responses; enhances the overall understanding of the project and its components. | All relevant questions are answered with clear, logical explanations; demonstrates a good grasp of the project's functionality. | Most questions are relevant and addressed, but some answers lack detail or clarity; provides a basic understanding of the project. | Questions answered but responses are vague or lack depth; does not effectively address the project's complexities. | No relevant questions answered; lacks insight into project understanding. | |
| | Excellent (9-10) | Very Good (7-8) | Good (5-6) | Fair (3-4) | Poor (0-2) | |
| Innovation, Purpose and Significance | Exceptional innovation; project is highly original and adds significant value or solves real problems. | Strong innovation; project includes original ideas that enhance usability and functionality. | Some innovative elements are present; project shows creativity but may lack practical usability. | Minimal innovation; some attempts to introduce new ideas but lacking execution. | No innovative features; project is basic and unoriginal. | |
| TOTAL SCORE | | | | | | |

Project Title:

Group Members: (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME - SECTION)