

# Math 327 Homework 1

Chongyi Xu

April 4, 2017

1. Prove that the product of a nonzero rational number and an irrational number is irrational. How about the product of two irrational numbers?

(a) Let  $a = \frac{m}{n}, b = \sqrt{2}$ , and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a \in \mathbb{Q}, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow ab = \sqrt{2}\frac{m}{n}$ , which is an irrational number. Q.E.D.

(b) Let  $a = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}, b = \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}, m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

$$\rightarrow \text{if } \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}, a \cdot b = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{if } \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \neq \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}, a \cdot b = \sqrt{\frac{m \cdot p}{n \cdot q}} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$

2. Find the inf (greatest lower bound), sup (least upper bound), max and min, if they exist, for the following sets. Prove your answers in (d) and (e). To prove m is the inf of a set, you have to show it is a lower bound and that no number bigger than m is a lower bound. To prove M is the sup of a set, you have to show it is an upper bound and that no number smaller than M is an upper bound.

(a)  $S = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$

$$\inf S = \min S = 1, \sup S = \max S = 9$$

(b)  $S = (3, \pi]$

$$\inf S = 3, \sup S = \max S = \pi$$

min does not exist.

(c)  $S = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : 3 < q \leq \pi\}$

$$\sup S = \pi$$

min, max, and inf do not exist.

(d)  $S = \{\frac{1}{a} : a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0\}$

$$\inf S = \min S = -1, \sup S = \max S = 1$$

Proof:

• infimum

◦  $x \geq -1 \forall x \in S$ . Thus -1 is a lower bound.

◦ Assume -1 is not the infimum. Then  $\exists r > 0, -1 + r = \inf S$

$$0 < \frac{r}{2} < r$$

$$-1 < -1 + \frac{r}{2} < -1 + r$$

since  $-1 + \frac{r}{2} \in S, -1 + r$  is not the lower bound. Contradicts.

$\Rightarrow$  -1 is the infimum. And Since  $-1 \in S, \min S = \inf S$

- supremum
  - $x \leq 1 \forall x \in S$ . Thus 1 is a upper bound.
  - Assume 1 is not the supremum. Then  $\exists r > 0, 1 - r = \sup S$

$$0 < \frac{r}{2} < r$$

$$0 > -\frac{r}{2} > -r$$

$$1 - r < 1 - \frac{r}{2} < 1$$

since  $1 - \frac{r}{2} \in S$ ,  $1 - r$  is not the upper bound. Contradicts.  
 $\Rightarrow 1$  is the supremum. And Since  $1 \in S$ ,  $\max S = \sup S$

- (e)  $S = \{\frac{n+2}{2n+5} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$   
 $\inf S = \min S = \frac{3}{7}, \sup S = \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\max$  does not exist.  
 Proof:

- infimum
  - Since  $\frac{d}{dn} \frac{n+2}{2n+5}$  is positive, the value of  $\frac{n+2}{2n+5}$  will keep growing as  $n$  increases. Therefore, it has its lower bound when  $n$  is smallest, in the other word, when  $n = 1$ . When  $n = 1, x \geq \frac{3}{7} \text{ for } \forall x \in S$ . Thus  $\frac{3}{7}$  is the a lower bound.
  - Assume  $\frac{3}{7}$  is not the infimum. Then  $\exists r > 0, r + \frac{3}{7} = \inf S$

$$0 < \frac{r}{2} < r$$

$$\frac{3}{7} < \frac{3}{7} + \frac{r}{2} < \frac{3}{7} + r$$

Since  $\frac{3}{7} + \frac{r}{2} \in S$ ,  $\frac{3}{7} + r$  is not a lower bound. Contradicts.  
 $\Rightarrow \frac{3}{7}$  is the infimum. And since  $\frac{3}{7} \in S, \min S = \inf S$ .

- supremum
  - Since L'Hospital's Rule tells  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n+2}{2n+5} = \frac{1}{2}$ , it has its upper bound  $\frac{1}{2}$  and has no maximum.
  - Assume  $\frac{1}{2}$  is not the supremum. Then  $\exists r > 0, \frac{1}{2} - r = \sup S$

$$0 < \frac{r}{2} < r$$

$$0 > -\frac{r}{2} > -r$$

$$\frac{1}{2} - r < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2} \in S$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - r$  is not the uppr bound. Contradicts.  
 $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  is the supremum.

3. Suppose A and B are non-empty sets of real numbers that are both bounded above.

- (a) Prove that if  $A \subset B$ , then  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ .  
 Proof by contradiction: Assume  $\sup A > \sup B$  (in order to reach contradiction),

$$\exists C = \{k \in \mathbb{R} : k \in [\sup B, \sup A]\}$$

And by the definition of supremum,  $C \subset A$  but  $C \not\subset B$ . Contradicts the condition  $A \subset B$ .  
 Therefore, if  $A \subset B$ , then  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ . Q.E.D.

(b) Prove that  $\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup A, \sup B\}$

Proof:

- Show it is an upper bound.

Assume  $\sup A \geq \sup B$ , then  $\max\{\sup A, \sup B\} = \sup A$  (Otherwise, switch  $\sup A$  with  $\sup B$ )

Let  $x \in A \cup B$

$\rightarrow$  if  $x \in A$ ,  $\sup A \geq x$

$\rightarrow$  if  $x \in B$ ,  $\sup B \geq x$ , but  $\sup A \geq \sup B$ ,  $\sup A \geq x$

Thus in any cases,  $\sup A \geq x$ .  $\sup A$  is an upper bound.

- Show no smaller number works.

Let  $k < \sup A$ , then  $\exists x \in A$  with  $k < x \leq \sup A$

Since  $A \subseteq A \cup B$ ,  $x \in A \cup B$

So  $k$  is not an upper bound for  $A \cup B$

Therefore,  $\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup A, \sup B\}$  Q.E.D

(c) Prove that if  $A \cap B \neq \text{emptyset}$ , then  $\sup(A \cap B) \leq \min\{\sup A, \sup B\}$ . Give an example to show that equality need not hold.

- Proof:

- Show it is an upper bound.

Assume  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ , then  $\min\{\sup A, \sup B\} = \sup A$  (Otherwise, switch  $\sup A$  with  $\sup B$ )

Assume  $A \cap B \neq \text{emptyset}$ . Let  $x \in A \cap B$ . Thus  $x \in A$

From the definition of supremum,  $x \leq \sup A$ .  $\sup A$  is an upper bound. Q.E.D

- example:

Two sets.  $A = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ ,  $B = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$

Then  $A \cap B = \{3, 5\}$ .  $\sup A = 6$ ,  $\sup B = 7 \Rightarrow \min(\sup A, \sup B) = 6$ . But  $\sup(A \cap B) = 5$ .

The equality does not hold.