Recall

The Monotone Convergence Theorem

A monotone segunce converges if and only if it is bounded.

Cauchy Sequences

A segunce Can Intin is a Cauchy segunce if for all 870 there is an N such that n, m7, N implies lan-am/< E.

Proposition 9.12 Every convergent seguince is Cauchy.

Proof: Assume (an) converges to a.

Let 270 be given there is N such that n7/N implies lan-al < &

Smu an ->a. If n, m7, N, tun

1an-am = 1an-a+a-am1 { |an-a|+|a-am| by monga meg = lan-al+lam-al く 章 + 章 Sinu n,m7,N

Therefore, land is Cauchy.

Lemma 9.3 Every Cauchy seguna is bounded. Proof: Assume (an) is Cauchy, Thre is N such that n,m7,N juplies jan-am/<1 In purhaular, with m=N noin implies lan-an/41 Ianl-lant & lant-lant twn flan-anl, by HW#2 so lank 1+land for all n7, N. Let M=max { |a, |, |an-11, |+ |an |3 lant & M for all n so (un) is bounded. Theorem 9.4 (The Cauchy Criterion)
A seywhice converges if and only if it is Cauchy. Proof: (=>) This is Proposition 9.2.

Proof: (=) INIS IS Proposition

(=) Assume (an) is Cauchy.

By Lemma 9.3 it is bounded.

By Thm 2.33 it has a convergent subsequence

and -> a.

We claim an -> a.

Let 270 be given. Smelan) is Cauly, there is N such that m,n7,N implies lan-am/< =. Also, vank - a so their KIN lankok lamphes, lank-a/< 2. LUT M= NKJKJN 15 N7/M 1an-al= | an-anx + anx -a| < lan-anx / + lanx-a/ < 2 + lank-al Sme nyM>N < = + = SMU K71K Series + Segunus

From a seguina (an), we can make another one: Seguna of partial sums:

Sn= 2 ak = ait ... + an.

example: an= in Sn= 1+ 1/2+. +in an-so we've seen sn->00.
(increusing + unbounded)

If In-s, we write go S = [ak. If (an) converges, (sn) may or may not. escample: an= 1/200. Sn= I 1/2 converges

But if the converse holds:

Proposition 9.5 1+ Sn= Zaz converges, tun

Proof: Assum I gras, i.e. I gras.

Thun, anti-Sn+1-Sn-1, Sn-35, Sn+1-35 (Subsequence of Sn)

Sn+1-Sn -> S-S=0. So the sequence

So Om = Sn+1-Sn -> 0.

Tun, an-30.

Proposition 9.6 If IrIXI, I rk = 1-r

i.e. the segment Zrk-j-r.

Proof: $\sum_{r=1-r}^{r} r^{k} = \frac{1-r^{n+1}}{1-r}$. Since |r| < 1, by Prop. 2.28,

rn+1 30. So by Thm.on convergina 1-rn+1 1-0.

Thrown 9.7 Assume any, o for all n.
Then I are converges, i.e. $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ converges,
if and only if (s_n) is bounded.

Proof: Since antio, (Sn) is non-decreasing so the result follows from the Monowne Convergence Theorem.

Corollary 9.8 The Companison Test for Series

Corollary 9.8 The Companison Test for Series

Suppose that $0 \le a_k \le b_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

Suppose that $0 \le a_k \le b_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

City of b_k converges, then so does b_k .

City of b_k diverges, then so does b_k .

City of b_k diverges, then so does b_k .

Proof: Assume $0 \le a_k \le b_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) If $\sum b_k$ converges, then $S_n = \sum b_k$ (i) If $\sum b_k$ converges, then $t_n = \sum a_k \le \sum b_k = S_n$ is bounded so $\sum a_k$ converges.

bounded so $\sum a_k$ converges.

1. A liverges, then $t_n = \sum a_k$ is unbounded.

(ii) If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ diverges, then $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ is unbounded. So is $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k 7/\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = t_n$. So $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ diverges. examples: O Since Z & diverges, so does any \(\frac{1}{k^p} \) \(\frac{1}{k^p} \) (2) Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}$ converges, so does any $\sum_{k=1}^{k=1} \frac{k^2}{k^p}$ with p > 1/2. For 1<p<2, we need another bol How about $\sum_{k^2-1}^{\infty}$? We can't use companson WITH 20 12. E=2 exercise: Use 1 = 1/2 1/2 k+1 to compute $S_n = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k^2-1}$ and then take the limit.

Theorem 9.15 The Alternating Series Test If (ak) is a segunce of non-increasing (monobine) positive terms with ak ->0, then the series 7 (-1) Ktlaz converges. Proof: Let Sn= T (-1)k+1 ak First, we down the subsequence Sin -> S. $S_{2(n+1)} - S_{2n} = (-1)^{2n+2+1} - (-1)^{2n+1+1}$ = - an+2+a2n+1 70 since an+2 < a2n+1 So (San) is non-decrewing. A1501 $S_{2n} = a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - \cdots - a_{2n}$ $= a_1 + (a_3 - a_2) + \cdots + (a_{2n-1} - a_{2n-2}) - a_{2n}$ < a1 smce agk+1 < azk and so azk+1-azk <0 So (Sin) is bounded.

By Monohone Convergence Theorem, San -> S. The subsequence Santi = San + alanti -> S+0=S. Now, we dam Sn -> S.

Let 870 be given,

Fluxe are N₁, N₂ Such that

1 7, N₁ Implies | S₂n+1 - S| < E

1 7, N₂ Imp | S₂n-S| < E.

Let N = 2 · max {N₁, N₂} + 1.

If n₇, N and n is even than n=2m > N

1 f n₇, N and n is even than n=2m-S| < E.

So m₇, N₂ and | S_n-S| = | S₂m-S| < E.

So m₇, N₁ and | S_n-S| = | S₂m+1-S| < E.

So m₇, N₁ and | S_n-S| = | S₂m+1-S| < E.

In any case, | S_n-S| < E.

So S_n -> S.

example: $\frac{00}{k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \cdots$ converges k=1

Theorem 9.17 The Cauchy Criterion for Series
The series Z ar converges if and only if for each. 270 Hure is N such that I antit. + antil < E for all no, N and all k. Proof: Apply Cauchy Contenun to Sn= 2 ar. A series I ak converges absolutely if I law converges.

examples: 0 2 (-1) does not converge absolutely although it converges.

(2) \(\frac{1}{K^2} \) \(\text{Converges absolutely and converges.} \)

Absolute convergence is stronger, i.e. The Absolute Convergence Test If I are converges absolutely, then it converges.

Proof: Follows hom the Cauchy Criterion above | lantit - + antic | < lailt - + lantic | by & mequa. = | lailt - + lantic | . example: I sink converges. I Isink! converges hum companion to 2 1/22 so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{sink}}{k^2}$ converges absolutely.

Thrown 9.20 Suppose there is an r with our 1 and NEW Such that

lantil Erlant for all n7, N.

Thun, I ar is absolutely convergent.

lantil Erlant for all N7, N with OErcl. Assume Proof:

 $\frac{\partial O}{\partial L} |a_{k}| = \frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}| + \frac{\partial O}{L} |a_{k}|$ converges if and $\frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}| = \frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}| = \frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}|$ only if $\frac{\partial O}{\partial L} |a_{k}| = \frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}| + \frac{\partial O}{L} |a_{k}|$ only if $\frac{\partial O}{\partial L} |a_{k}| = \frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}| + \frac{\partial O}{L} |a_{k}|$ only if $\frac{\partial O}{\partial L} |a_{k}| = \frac{V^{-1}}{L} |a_{k}| + \frac{\partial O}{L} |a_{k}|$

But, or lanted & rlante-1/2 rlante-2/3 & rland
Sma 2 reland converges to land, by companson so does = lantel.

```
The Rahio Test: Suppose that
             lin 1an+11 = e.
 (i) If 1<1, then the series I an converges absolutely.
 (ii) If (71 , thun the senes Jan diverges.
Proof: Assume lin lant 1.
   (4) If {<1, let &= 1=1, then
         thre is an NEIN
               1 antil - 1 / 1-2
               1-1 < 1 ant 1 - 6 < 1-2
                1-1 < 1an+11 < 1+ 全 < 1
        et r= 1+1 and apply Thm 9.20.
   (ii) Assume (71. Take \Sigma = \frac{e-1}{2} to find N
         with \(\frac{14}{2} < \frac{1ant1}{1an1}\) Ar all n7,N. So with r = \frac{14!}{2!},
        Now manic the proof of Them 4.20, do componion with the divergent Zrk.
```

note: The case (=1 in the rano test is inconclusive. It may him our both ways.

Try $\sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{1}{k}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{20} \frac{1}{k^2}$