1. (a)

Yit = M+ Ti+ Eit, j = A,B,C, t=1,2.

note: C stands-for "control".

A stands for "drug A" L B stands for clarug B".

(C) For [Yit], i = A, B, C, t = 1, 2  $Y_{A} = \frac{-14-4}{2} = -9$   $Y_{B} = \frac{5-1}{2} = 2$   $Y_{C} = \frac{-2+6}{2} = 2$ .  $Y_{C} = \frac{-14-4+5-1-2+6}{6} = -\frac{5}{3}$ 

 $SST = 2 \times (-9 + \frac{1}{3})^2 + 2 \times (2 + \frac{1}{5})^2 + 2 \times (2 + \frac{1}{3})^2 = \frac{484}{3}$   $SSTOT = (-14 + \frac{1}{3})^2 + (4 + \frac{1}{3})^2 + (5 + \frac{1}{3})^2 + (4 + \frac{1}{3})^2 + (6 + \frac{1}{3})^2 + (6 + \frac{1}{3})^2 = \frac{784}{3}$  SSE = SSTOT - SSE = 100

(b)  $E(ABP+B) = M+T_B = \overline{Y}_B = 2$  $ABP+B = N(2, \frac{\sigma^2}{2})$  since  $\overline{Y}_B = N(2, \frac{\sigma^2}{2})$ .

(d)  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-v}$  where n=6, v=3  $= \frac{100}{6-2} = \frac{100}{3}$ 

(e)  $E(\Delta BP \circ f A - \Delta BP \circ f C) = (\mu_1 \Upsilon_A) - (\mu_1 \Upsilon_C) = \tilde{Y}_A - \tilde{Y}_C = -11$ .  $\Delta BP \circ f A - \Delta BP \circ f C \sim N(-11, \sigma^2)$ since  $\tilde{Y}_A - \tilde{Y}_C \sim N(-9-2, \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) = N(-11, \sigma^2)$ 

- (f) When  $T_A = T_C$ ,  $(u+T_A) (u+T_C) = 0$ . Then,  $\hat{Y}_A - \hat{Y}_C \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- (g) Let  $K(\sigma) = \sigma$ .

  Then,  $\frac{\hat{Y}_{A} \hat{Y}_{C}}{K(\sigma)} \sim N(0/\sigma^{2}, 0/\sigma^{2}) = N(0.1)$ .
- $(h) \frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\frac{7}{2} \frac{1}{5} (Y_{i4} Y_{i.})^2}{\sigma^2}$   $= \left[ \frac{\frac{7}{2} \frac{1}{5} (Y_{i4} Y_{i.})}{\sigma} \right]^2 = \frac{7}{2} \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{Y_{i4} Y_{i.}}{\sigma} \right)^2$

Since Yit-(U+7;)~ N(0,1) where Yit iid N(U+7;, 02),

(Yit-(U+7;))~ X2,

Then,  $\frac{7}{7} = \frac{7}{7} \left( \frac{Y_{11} - (U_{11} - Y_{11})}{\sigma} \right)^{2} \sim \chi_{6}^{2}$ Now, replace  $U_{11} + Y_{11}$  with  $Y_{11}$ , we have  $\frac{SSE}{\sigma^{2}} = \frac{7}{7} \left( \frac{Y_{11} - Y_{11}}{\sigma} \right)^{2} \sim \chi_{6-3}^{2} = \chi_{3}^{2}.$ 

(i) Since  $\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2}$  is chi-square distribution with degree of freedom 3 and  $\frac{\hat{v}_0 - \hat{v}_0}{\kappa(\sigma)}$  is standard normal distribution,  $\frac{(\hat{v}_0 - \hat{v}_0)/\kappa(\sigma)}{SSE/(m-v)\sigma^2]} \sim t_3$ 

# (j) ANOVA (one-way):

Source	Deg. of Freedom	Sum of Square	Meon Square	Ratio
Treatment	3-1=2	484/3	(484/3 1/2 = 243/3	(24%)/(10%) = 2.42
Error	6-3=3	100	100/3	
Total	6-1=5	784/3		

Ho: there are no differences in mean blood pressure change between women getting any treatment.

Under null hypothesis, test statistic T\* = 2.42
Test statistic is F distribution (ie. F2.3)

By observing the table, we have the p-value =  $0.2367052 > 0.05 = \alpha$  (which is the significant level)

Thus, we accept null hypothesis because the test statistic greater than 2.42 is more than 5% of the time.

Thus, drugs do not significantly affect the mean blood pressure of women who take those drugs.

(i)

```
H_0: \tau_C - \tau_A = 0
```

$$H_1$$
:  $\tau_C - \tau_A \neq 0$ 

Test Statistic T\* is F distribution with F = 1.905256.

```
p-value = 0.2816743 > 0.05 = \alpha
```

Since p-value is larger than  $\alpha$ , which is the significant level, we accept null hypothesis.

Thus, the mean blood pressure of women getting the Control group is not significantly different from the mean blood pressure of women getting Drug A.

## 2.

Test Statistic T\* is F distribution with  $F_{2,9} = 104.4512$ .

p-value = 
$$6 \times 10^{-7} < 0.05 = \alpha$$

Since p-value is smaller than  $\alpha$ , which is the significant level, we reject null hypothesis.

Thus, soap type does has significant effect on the mean weight loss of a soap over 24 hours.

### 3.

By using one-way ANOVA model:

### Assumption:

All variable residuals are normally distributed (ie.  $\epsilon \sim N(0,\sigma)$ ).

All  $\sigma$  for each variable are the same.

All Y<sub>it</sub> are iid normal random variables.

H<sub>0</sub>: There are no differences in mean waiting time for different number of pushing the button.

Test Statistic  $T^*$  is F distribution with F = 0.2454844.

```
p-value = 0.8638292 > 0.05 = \alpha
```

Since p-value is larger than  $\alpha$ , which is the significant level, we accept null hypothesis.

Thus, the number of push does not significantly affect the mean waiting time for light change.

```
> lsm.light=lsmeans(model3, ~push)
> kable(summary(contrast(lsm.light,method="pairwise",adjust="tukey"),infer=c(T,T),leve
1=0.5,side="two-sided"))
|contrast |
           estimate|
                         SE| df|
                                 lower.CL| upper.CL|
                                                     t.ratio|
                                                              p.value|
|:-----:|----:|-----:|----:|--:|--:|----:|
0 - 1
     | 0.0361429| 0.0515138| 28| -0.0368215| 0.1091072| 0.7016149| 0.8955744| |
|0 - 2 | 0.0131429| 0.0515138| 28| -0.0598215| 0.0861072| 0.2551327| 0.9940396|
|0 - 3 | -0.0048571 | 0.0612075 | 28 | -0.0915517 | 0.0818375 | -0.0793553 | 0.9998162 |
|2 - 3
        | -0.0180000| 0.0572544| 28| -0.0990954| 0.0630954| -0.3143863| 0.9890012|
```

 $H_0$ :  $\tau_0 = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = 0$ 

 $H_1$ : At least one  $\tau$  is different than others.

Test Statistic  $T^*$  is F distribution with F = 0.7016149, 0.2551327, -0.0793553, -0.4919994, -0.7161022, -0.3143863 for contrast pair "0-1", "0-2", "0-3", "1-2", "1-3", "2-3".

By observing the chart, we have that p-value of each contrast is greater than 5%, which is the significant level. Thus, we accept the null hypothesis.

Thus, each mean waiting time for pushing the button 0, 1, 2, 3 times is not significantly different from each other.

# R code:



light
model3=aov(time~push,data=light)
kable(anova(model3),format="markdown")
lsm.light=lsmeans(model3, ~push)
kable(summary(contrast(lsm.light,method="pairwise",adjust="tukey"),infer=c(T,T),level=0.5,side="two-sided"))