```
1. Val for option on 2 underlying.
  1. Gain = M(S1,tx1, S2,tx1) - M(S1,t, S2,t).
                 ≈ M(S,+)(S,++1-S,+) + M(S,+) (S,++1-S,20+).
  V_{ar}(G_{asin}) = A_1^2 \sigma_1^2 S_1^2 + A_2^2 \sigma_2^2 S_2^2 + 2 A_1 A_2 \rho \sigma_1 S_1 \sigma_2 S_2.
         Sd = NVar (Gain)
Mean(Gain) = DIMISI + DZMZSZ
        VaR = -(\Delta_{1}\mu_{1}S_{1} + \Delta_{2}\mu_{2}S_{2}) + 2.32 \times (\Delta_{1}^{2}G_{1}^{2}S_{1}^{2} + \Delta_{2}O_{2}S_{2}^{2} + 2\Delta_{1}A_{2}PG_{1}S_{1}G_{2}S_{2}
 2. Gain = M(Sister, Sziter) - M(Sist, Szit).
                \approx \Delta_{1}(S_{1,t+1}-S_{1,t}) + \Delta_{2}(S_{2,t+1}-S_{2,t}) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{1}(S_{1,t+1}-S_{1,t}) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{2}(S_{2,t+1}-S_{2,t})^{2}
                   + = [1,2 (S1,t+1-S1,t) (S2,t+1-S2,t) + = [1,2 (S2,t+1-S2,t) (S1,t+1-S1,t)
                = \Delta_1 dS_1 + \Delta_2 dS_2 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1 (dS_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_2 (dS_2)^2 + \Gamma_{1,2} dS_1 dS_2
                = A. ds, + A2 ds2+=[10,25,2t+=[20252dt+[1,2ds,ds2
                = (A, M,S,+Az, M2Sz+= [, 0,252+= [, 0,252] + [,,2 0,025,52])dt
                   + 1,005, dW, + 120252dW2
Mean (Gain) = AINIS, + AZM2SZ + = [ [ 5] 5] + = [ 2 02 52 + [ 1,2 0,0 2 5, 52 P
Var (Gain) = 1,0,252+ 120,252+ 21,025,02552p
         VaR = - (AMIS,+AZM2S2+ 5/1, 0,3,2 + 2/2, 0,25,2+ (,20,025,52)
                  + 2.32 ( \( \Delta_1^2 \opi^2 \S_1^2 + \Delta_2^2 \opi^2 S_2^2 + 2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \opi \opi S_2 P \).
```

3.
$$M_{\tau} = \max(\min(S_{1,\tau}, S_{2,\tau}) - K, 0)$$
.
 $M(S_{1}, S_{2}) = S_{1} N_{2} (Y_{1} + \Gamma_{1} T_{1}, \frac{\ln(\frac{S_{1}}{S_{1}}) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} T_{1}}{\sigma T_{\tau}}, \frac{\rho \sigma_{2} - \sigma_{1}}{\sigma})$

$$+ S_{2} N_{2} (Y_{2} + \sigma_{2} T_{1}, \frac{\ln(\frac{S_{1}}{S_{1}}) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} T_{1}}{\sigma T_{\tau}}, \frac{\rho \sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2}}{\sigma})$$

$$- K e^{-r^{2}} N_{2} (Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \rho)$$

$$Y_{1} = \frac{\ln(\frac{S_{1}}{K}) + (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}) T_{1}}{\sigma_{1} T_{1}}$$

$$Y_{2} = \frac{\ln(\frac{S_{2}}{K}) + (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}) T_{1}}{\sigma_{2} T_{1}}$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} - 2\rho \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}.$$

 $\mathcal{N}_2(\alpha,\beta,\theta) = P(X_1 \leq \alpha, X_2 \leq \beta)$ if X_1,X_2 stundard Normal with corr $(X_1,X_2) = \theta$.

 $\Gamma = 0.005\%$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_2 = 2\%$, P = 0.4, $M_1 = M_2 = 0.03\%$ at date 0, T = 6 months, $S_{1,0} = 99$, $S_{2,0} = 101$, K = 100.

Risk Homework 5

Jiaqi Li May 21, 2019

3.

```
library(pbivnorm)
## Warning: package 'pbivnorm' was built under R version 3.5.2
library(dplyr)
## Warning: package 'dplyr' was built under R version 3.5.1
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
       filter, lag
##
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##
       intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
#-----3-----
Greeks = function(S1,S2,K,r,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho){
  gamma1 = (log(S1/K)+(r-0.5*sigma1^2)*tau)/(sigma1*sqrt(tau))
  gamma2 = (log(S2/K)+(r-0.5*sigma2^2)*tau)/(sigma2*sqrt(tau))
  sigma = sqrt(sigma1^2+sigma2^2-2*rho*sigma1*sigma2)
  a1 = gamma1+sigma1*sqrt(tau)
  b1 = (\log(S2/S1) - 0.5*sigma^2*tau)/(sigma*sqrt(tau))
  theta1 = (rho*sigma2-sigma1)/sigma
  a2 = gamma2+sigma2*sqrt(tau)
  b2 = (\log(S1/S2) - 0.5*sigma^2*tau)/(sigma*sqrt(tau))
  theta2 = (rho*sigma1-sigma2)/sigma
  out = as.data.frame(matrix(c(a1,a2,b1,b2,theta1,theta2,gamma1,gamma2),
                             nrow = 2, ncol = 4, byrow = F))
 names(out) = c("alpha", "beta", "theta", "gamma")
  return(out)
}
OptionPrice = function(r,S1_0,S2_0,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2){
  ABTG = Greeks(S1_0,S2_0,K,r,sigma1,sigma2,tau,rho)
 N2 \text{ sig1} = \text{pbivnorm}(ABTG[1,1], ABTG[1,2], rho = ABTG[1,3])
 N2_sig2 = pbivnorm(ABTG[2,1],ABTG[2,2],rho = ABTG[2,3])
  N2_{gam} = pbivnorm(ABTG[1,4],ABTG[2,4],rho = 0.4)
 M = S1 \ 0*N2 \ sig1+S2 \ 0*N2 \ sig2-K*exp(-r*tau)*N2 \ gam
  return(M)
```

```
}

r = 0.00005*252; S1_0 = 99; S2_0 = 101; sigma1 = sigma2 = 0.02*sqrt(252)
K = 100; rho = 0.4; tau = 0.5; mu1 = mu2 = 0.0003*252

M = OptionPrice(r,S1_0,S2_0,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2)
M
```

[1] 3.843442

The option price is about 3.843442 based on the formula.

4.

```
-----4------
dS1 = 99.01; dS2 = 101.01; dS3 = 99-0.01; dS4 = 101-0.01
M_dS1 = OptionPrice(r,dS1,S2_0,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2)
M_dS2 = OptionPrice(r,S1_0,dS2,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2)
M_dS3 = OptionPrice(r,dS3,S2_0,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2)
M_dS4 = OptionPrice(r,S1_0,dS4,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2)
M_pp = OptionPrice(r,dS1,dS2,sigma1,sigma2,K,rho,tau,mu1,mu2)
delta1 = (M_dS1-M)/0.01
delta2 = (M_dS2-M)/0.01
gamma1 = (M_dS1-2*M+M_dS3)/0.01^2
gamma2 = (M_dS2-2*M+M_dS4)/0.01^2
gamma12 = (M_pp-M_dS1-M_dS2+M)/0.01^2
#delta approach
VaR_delta = (-(delta1*mu1/252*S1_0+delta2*mu2/252*S2_0)+
 qnorm(0.99,0,1)*sqrt((delta1*sigma1/sqrt(252)*S1_0)^2+
                        (delta2*sigma2/sqrt(252)*S2_0)^2+
                        2*delta1*delta2*rho*sigma1*sigma2/252*S1_0*S2_0))/M
#delta-gamma approach
VaR_delta_gamma = (-(delta1*mu1/252*S1_0+delta2*mu2/252*S2_0+
         0.5*gamma1*sigma1^2/252*S1_0^2+0.5*gamma2*sigma2^2/252*S2_0^2+
         gamma12*sigma1*sigma2/252*S1_0*S2_0*rho)+
 qnorm(0.99,0,1)*sqrt((delta1*sigma1/sqrt(252)*S1_0)^2+(delta2*sigma2/sqrt(252)*S2_0)^2+
                    2*delta1*delta2*sigma1*sigma2/252*S1 0*S2 0*rho))/M
VaR_delta
## [1] 0.3205544
VaR_delta_gamma
```

[1] 0.3171675

Delta approach shows VaR (of option return) is 0.3205544 Delta-Gamma approach shows VaR (of option return) is 0.3171675 We can see that the VaR by the delta-gamma appraoch is smaller than the VaR by the delta approach. That is because when we have a positive gamma, the curvature of the return curve of the delta-gamma hedge strategy will have smaller loss than the delta hedge strategy. Thus, the 99% 1-day VaR of delta-gamma approach is smaller than that of the delta approach.

5.

```
StockPrice = function(S1_0,S2_0,r,sd1,sd2,mu1,mu2,rho,Time,paths,steps){
  dt = Time/steps
  Z = rnorm(paths*steps*2,0,1)
  Z1 = Z[1:(steps*paths)] %>% matrix(nrow = paths, ncol = steps) %>% as.data.frame()
  Z2 = Z[(paths*steps+1):(2*steps*paths)] %>% matrix(nrow = paths, ncol = steps) %>% as.data.frame()
  dW1t = sd1*Z1
  dW2t = sd2*rho*Z1+sd2*sqrt(1-rho^2)*Z2
  S1t = matrix(0,nrow = paths, ncol = steps+1)
  S2t = matrix(0,nrow = paths, ncol = steps+1)
  S1t[,1] = S1_0
  S2t[,1] = S2_0
  for(i in 1:steps){
    S1t[,i+1] = S1t[,i]*exp((mu1-0.5*sd1^2)*dt+dW1t[,i]*sqrt(dt))
    S2t[,i+1] = S2t[,i]*exp((mu2-0.5*sd2^2)*dt+dW2t[,i]*sqrt(dt))
  }
  return(list(S1 = S1t, S2 = S2t))
}
r = 0.00005*252; S1_0 = 99; S2_0 = 101; sigma1 = sigma2 = 0.02*sqrt(252)
K = 100; rho = 0.4; tau = 0.5; mu1 = mu2 = 0.0003*252
steps = as.integer(252/2); paths = 1000
set.seed(1234)
test1 = StockPrice(S1_0,S2_0,r,sigma1,sigma2,mu1,mu2,rho,tau,paths,steps)[[1]]
test2 = StockPrice(S1_0,S2_0,r,sigma1,sigma2,mu1,mu2,rho,tau,paths,steps)[[2]]
Price0 = cbind(test1[,steps+1],test2[,steps+1])
Min stock0 = apply(Price0,1,min)
temp0 = cbind(Min_stock0-K,0)
option_Mt0 = apply(temp0,1,max)*exp(-r*tau)
Mt0 = mean(option_Mt0)
Mt1 = c()
for(i in 1:1000){
  test3 = StockPrice(test1[,2][i],test2[,2][i],r,sigma1,sigma2,mu1,mu2,rho,tau-tau/steps,paths,steps-1)
  test4 = StockPrice(test1[,2][i],test2[,2][i],r,sigma1,sigma2,mu1,mu2,rho,tau-tau/steps,paths,steps-1)
  Price1 = cbind(test3[,steps],test4[,steps])
  Min_stock1 = apply(Price1,1,min)
  temp1 = cbind(Min_stock1-K,0)
  option_Mt1 = apply(temp1,1,max)*exp(-r*(tau-tau/steps))
  Mt1[i] = mean(option_Mt1)
}
```

```
VaR_sim = -quantile((Mt1-Mt0)/Mt0,0.01)
print(paste0("By simulation, VaR is around ",VaR_sim))
```

[1] "By simulation, VaR is around 0.318011048219739"

This is because when we do simulations we are actually taking everyting into consideration. However, when we use delta approach or delta-gamma approach, we only compute the first and the second dereivative of price change (delta and gamma) without considering other greeks such as theta, vega, etc., which does not capture the loss well enough as the simulation does. Therefore, the simulation gives a different (most of the time smaller) VaR than delta and the delta-gamma approach.

6.

I would also worry about the model risk. When calculating VaR, we assume that the option returns (or the option prices) are normally distributed. However, that may not be true. The distribution of the option returns (or the option prices) could be positive or negative skewed and it could also have excess kurtosis. This will largely increase the tail risk, which our VaR model does not capture. Quantitatively speaking, VaR does not capture the distribution of losses below the threshold, which means any 2 distribution with same $F^{-1}(1-c)$ will have the same VaR. thus, if I had to worry about just one more risk, I will worry about this model risk.

Interview Questions

1.

Given that the two options have the same delta, if we are longing the options, the option with the higher gamma should have lower loss due to the curvature of the return curves, and thus have lower VaR. The VaR could be expressed as the following:

$$-(\Delta\mu S_t dt + 1/2\Gamma\sigma^2 S_t^2 dt) + \Phi^{-1}(1-c)\sigma S_t \sqrt{dt}$$

On the other hand, if we are shorting the options, the option with the higher gamma should have higher VaR.

2.

Given that EUR/USD exchange rate is X with $dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma dW_t$. Then, USD/EUR is $\frac{1}{X_t}$. Apply Ito's Lemma to $\frac{1}{X_t}$: $\frac{\partial (\frac{1}{X_t})}{\partial t} = 0, \ \frac{\partial (\frac{1}{X_t})}{\partial X_t} = -\frac{1}{X_t^2}, \ \frac{\partial^2 (\frac{1}{X_t})}{\partial X_t^2} = \frac{2}{X_t^3}$ $d(\frac{1}{X}) = 0 dt - \frac{1}{X^2} dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{X_t^3} dX_t^2$

$$\begin{split} d(\frac{1}{X}) &= -\frac{1}{X^2}(\mu X_t dt + \sigma dW_t) + \frac{1}{X_t^3}(\mu X_t dt + \sigma dW_t)^2 \\ \\ d(\frac{1}{X}) &= \frac{1}{X}[(-\mu + \sigma^2)dt - \sigma dW_t] \\ \\ \frac{d(\frac{1}{X})}{\frac{1}{X}} &= (-\mu + \sigma^2)dt - \sigma dW_t \end{split}$$

Thus, USD/EUR exchange rate has drift $-\mu + \sigma^2$ and volatility σ .

3.

Initially, we have delta hedged the our position, which means we have short delta amount of IBM stocks since we have longed a call option on IBM stocks.

Now, the IBM stock price falls by \$10. Based on the payoff of a call option, the delta of the call option should decrease. This means that if we want to hedge our new position, we will short less amount of IBM stocks than we originally did based on smaller delta. Thus, we need to **buy stock and borrow** to reduce our short position on IBM stocks.