CS515 - Algorithms & Data Structures Practice Assignment 1

Vy Bui - 934370552

Instructor: Professor Glencora Borradaile

Suppose you are given an array A[1..n] of integers, which may be positive, negative, or zero. Describe a linear-time (i.e. O(n)-time) algorithm that finds the largest sum of elements in a contiguous, nonempty subarray A[i..j]. For example, given the array [-6, 12, -7, 0, 14, -7, 5] as input, your algorithm should return the integer 19 (the sum of [12, -7, 0, 14]).

For the sake of analysis, assume that comparing, adding, or multiplying any pair of numbers takes O(1) time.

Recursive Formulation Let LSA(k) denote the function that can find the subarray of A[k:n] with the largest sum of its elements. LSA returns a pair of the sum of the largest subarray and its prefix sum in the aforementioned order. For example, let A = [-1, 2, 1], LSA(1) = (3, -1), with the two last elements as the largest subarray and the first element as the prefix.

LSA can be defined recursively as follows:

$$LSA(k) = \begin{cases} (0,0) & k > n \land k < 1 \\ (A[k],0) & A[k] > LSA(k+1)[0] \land LSA(k+1)[0] < -LSA(k+1)[1] \\ (A[k] + LSA(k+1)[0] + LSA(k+1)[1],0) & A[k] \ge -LSA(k+1)[1] \land LSA(k+1)[0] \ge -LSA(k+1)[1] \\ (LSA(k+1)[0],A[k] + LSA(k+1)[1]) & A[k] < -LSA(k+1)[1] \land A[k] < LSA(k+1)[0] \end{cases}$$

Proof/Explanation

For simplicity, in this section, let LSA(k) denote the subarray of interest only, but not its prefix. This problem's optimal substructure property can be described as follows. The optimal solution to the problem with A[k:n] can be found based on the optimal solution to the problem with A[k+1:n]. In particular, assume that we know the optimal solution to the problem of A[k+1:n], adding A[k] to the problem results in two new candidate largest subarrays. First, A[k] can be combined with LSA(k+1) to create a new solution. Note that this comes with the cost of the sum of the prefix of LSA(k+1) because the new solution has to be contiguous. The second candidate is A[k] itself. LSA(k) has the largest sum among these two new candidates and LSA(k+1). Note that the combination solution is prioritized when a tie happens in order to open up opportunities for further combination.

We can use proof by contradiction to prove this algorithm. Assume that there exists a better solution LSA'(k) that is not one of the three candidates, A[k], the combination, and LSA(k+1). First, because LSA'(k) is not A[k], it must be a subarray of A[k+1:n]. This makes LSA'(1) the subarray of the largest sum of A[k+1:n] because sum(LSA'(k)) > sum(LSA(k+1)) based on our assumption. However, this contradicts another assumption that LSA(2) is the optimal solution to the problem with A[2:n]. The proof completes!

Pseudocode

Observe that LSA(k) only depends on LSA(k+1), we can implement this algorithm iteratively from LSA(n) to LSA(0). The solution is LSA(0).

```
Algorithm 1 LSA(A[1:n])
  last\_prefix\_sum \leftarrow 0
  last\_largest\_sum \leftarrow 0
  k \leftarrow n
  while k \ge 1 do
      combined\_sum \leftarrow A[k] + last\_largest\_sum + last\_prefix\_sum
      max\_largest\_sum \leftarrow max(A[k], last\_largest\_sum, combined\_sum)
      if combined\_sum == max\_largest\_sum then
          last\_prefix\_sum \leftarrow 0
          last\_largest\_sum \leftarrow combined\_sum
      else if A[k] == max\_largest\_sum then
          last\_prefix\_sum \leftarrow 0
          last\_largest\_sum \leftarrow A[k]
      else if last\_largest\_sum == max\_largest\_sum then
          last\_prefix\_sum \leftarrow last\_prefix\_sum + A[k]
      end if
      k \leftarrow k - 1
  end while
       return last_largest_sum
```

Runing Time and Space Analysis There are n iteration, each of which has constant number of operations, hence the algorithm has O(n) time complexity. Furthermore, the algorithm uses O(1) space.

String A is a supersequence of string B if string B can be obtained from string A by removing letters. For example, the strings BARNYARDSNACK, YUMMYBANANAS, and BWANWANWA are supersequences of the string BANANA. Give a dynamic program for finding the length of the shortest string that is a supersequence of two input strings A and B.

We can create the shortest supersequence of A and B by modifying one of them to make it become a supersequence of the other with the least modifications. To keep the resulted sequence a supersequence of the starting string, only insertions are allowed. The proof will be given in the subsequent section.

The problem now becomes finding the least number of insertions to turn one string to another. This resembles the Edit Distance problem mentioned in [Eri19] but simpler because it only allows insertions. Intuitively, starting with a longer string leads to less insertions, but both ways will result an optimal solution.

Recursive Formulation

Let MI(i, j) denote the program that can return the minimum of insertions to transform A[i : n] to a supersequence of B[j : m].

$$MI(i,j) = \begin{cases} j & i = 0\\ 0 & j = 0\\ min(MI(i,j+1)+1, MI(i+1,j+1)) & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Proof/Explanation

Assume that we know the minimum number of insertions k needed to transform A to a supersequence S of B. S will be also a supersequence of A because we can always remove the inserted letters to transform it back to A. To prove that S is the shortest supersequence of A and B, proof by contradiction is used. Assume that there exists a shorter supersequence R of A and B. We can always transform A to R by only inserting some m letters into A. Because R is shorter than S, m is shorter than k, which contradicts with the assumption that k is the minimum number of insertions needed to transform A to a supersequence of B. Therefore, R does not exist and S is the shortest supersequence of A and B.

Pseudocode

Runing Time Analysis

Find the length (number of edges) of the longest path in a binary tree.

Recursive Formulation
Proof/Explanation
Pseudocode
Runing Time Analysis

Suppose you are given an n x n bitmap, represented by a 2-dimensional array M[1..n, 1..n] of 0s and 1s. A solid block in M is a subarray of the form M[i..i', j..j'] containing only 1s. Describe an algorithm to find the area of the maximum solid block in M in $O(n^3)$ time. If you can do that, try to design a faster algorithm that runs in $O(n^2)$ time.

Recursive Formulation Proof/Explanation Pseudocode Runing Time Analysis

References

[Eri19] Jeff Erickson. Algorithms. 2019.