## Stat 591 – Homework 02

Due: Monday 09/30

Your group should submit a write-up that includes solutions the problems stated below, along with any <u>relevant</u> pictures/graphs or computer code/output.

- 1. (From Gelman et al., 2004, Chap. 2.) Let  $X \mid \theta$  have an exponential distribution with rate  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $f_{\theta}(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$ , x > 0. Consider a  $\mathsf{Gamma}(a,b)$  prior for  $\theta$ , with PDF of the form  $\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta}$ .
  - (a) Suppose that we observe  $X \ge 100$ , but the exact value of X remains hidden; this is a right-censored observation. Find the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ , given,  $X \ge 100$ , and write down the posterior mean and variance.
  - (b) Now suppose we learn the exact value, X = 100. Find the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ , as well as the corresponding posterior mean and variance.
  - (c) Are you surprised that the posterior variance in (b), based on exact data, is larger than that in part (a), based on censored data? Why or why not?
- 2. (a) Problem 2.3a in [GDS], page 59.
  - (b) Problem 2.21 in [GDS], page 63.
- 3. Students' choice: pick one of the two problems below.
  - Problem 2.13bc in [GDS], page 61. [Hint: For Part b(ii), find the conditional PMF for  $(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$  given n and  $X_1 + \cdots + X_k$ ; this will not depend on p. Then treat this conditional PMF as a likelihood function for n, given data. It is interesting that conditioning sometimes has a marginalization effect.]
  - Problem 2.14 in [GDS], page 61.
- 4. (Based, in part, on Problem 2.19 in [GDS], page 62.) Data  $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$  is assumed to have, for a given probability vector  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)$ , a four-dimensional multinomial distribution,  $\mathsf{Mult}_4(n, \theta)$ , with PMF

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \frac{n!}{x_1! x_2! x_3! x_4!} \theta_1^{x_1} \theta_2^{x_2} \theta_3^{x_3} \theta_4^{x_4}.$$

Note the constraints:  $\sum_{i} X_{i} \equiv n$  and  $\sum_{i} \theta_{i} \equiv 1$ . (There's nothing special about four dimensions; this is just to be consistent with the example in part (c) below.)

(a) As a prior for  $\theta$ , consider a Dirichlet distribution, denoted by  $\mathsf{Dir}_4(a)$ , with  $\mathsf{PDF}^2$ 

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + \dots + a_4)}{\Gamma(a_1) \cdots \Gamma(a_4)} \theta_1^{a_1 - 1} \theta_2^{a_2 - 1} \theta_3^{a_3 - 1} \theta_4^{a_4 - 1},$$

where  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$  is a vector of positive numbers. Show that the Dirichlet prior is conjugate for the multinomial likelihood. That is, show that the posterior distribution for  $\theta$ , given X, is also of the Dirichlet form, and identify the new parameter, say, a'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There is lots of information about the Dirichlet distribution on the web, e.g., http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dirichlet\_distribution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is a PDF on the *probability simplex*, the set of all probability vectors, which, in this case, is a three-dimensional subset of the full four-dimensional real space.

- (b) Explain how you can simulate from a Dirichlet distribution using a gamma random number generator, such as rgamma in R. [Hint: Look at the wikipedia page for the Dirichlet distribution.] Based on this, explain how you can simulate from the posterior distribution of  $g(\theta)$ , where g is some real-valued function defined on the  $\theta$ -space.
- (c) For the agreement application in Homework 01, using the same data, draw a sample of size 3000 from the posterior distribution of  $\kappa$ . Then:
  - i. Draw a histogram of this sample to visualize the posterior distribution of  $\kappa$ . How does this picture look compared to that of the sampling distribution of  $\hat{\kappa}$  based on the asymptotic normality and bootstrap approximations from Homework 01?
  - ii. Find an equi-tailed 90% credible interval for  $\kappa$ .

For this, you'll need to select a value for the hyper-parameter  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ , and you can pick anything you like, e.g., all 1's. You may also want to duplicate your calculations here for several different values of a to see if there's any difference in the results.