

Paradox & Cardinality



Huan Long
Shanghai Jiao Tong University



Paradox

• Paradox and ZFC

Equinumerosity


• Equinumerosity 

Cardinal 
Numbers


• Ordering


Infinite Cardinals

• Countable sets



Russell`s paradox(1902)

- Bertrand Russell(1872-1970) 
- British philosopher, logician, mathematician, historian, and social critic.
- In 1950 Russell was awarded the Nobel Prize in Literature, "*in recognition of his varied and significant writings in which he champions humanitarian ideals and freedom of thought.*"
- ***What I have lived for?***
Three passions, simple but overwhelmingly strong, have governed my life: the longing for love, the search for knowledge, and unbearable pity for the suffering of mankind....



Barber Paradox



✧ Suppose there is a town with just one male barber. The barber shaves *all* and *only* those men in town who do not shave themselves.

✧ Question: Does the barber shave himself?

✧ If the barber does **NOT** shave himself, then he **MUST** abide by the rule and shave himself.

✧ If he **DOES** shave himself, according to the rule he will **NOT** shave himself.



Formal Proof



∞ Theorem There is no set to which every set belongs.
[Russell, 1902]

Proof:

Let A be a set; we will construct a set not belonging to A . Let

$$B = \{x \in A \mid x \notin x\}$$

We claim that $B \notin A$. we have, by the construction of B .

$$B \in B \text{ iff } B \in A \text{ and } B \notin B$$

If $B \in A$, then this reduces to

$B \in B$ iff $B \notin B$, Which is impossible, since one side must be true and the other false. Hence $B \notin A$

Natural Numbers in Set Theory



- Constructing the natural numbers in terms of sets is part of the process of

“Embedding mathematics in set theory”

John von Neumann



- December 28, 1903 – February 8, 1957. Hungarian American mathematician who made major contributions to a vast range of fields:

- Logic and set theory
- Quantum mechanics
- Economics and game theory
- Mathematical statistics and econometrics
- Nuclear weapons
- Computer science

Natural numbers



- By *von Neumann*:

Each natural number is the set of all smaller natural numbers.

$$0 = \emptyset$$

$$1 = \{0\} = \{\emptyset\}$$

$$2 = \{0, 1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$$

$$3 = \{0, 1, 2\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$$

.....

Some properties from the first four natural numbers



$$0 = \emptyset$$

$$1 = \{0\} = \{\emptyset\}$$

$$2 = \{0, 1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$$

$$3 = \{0, 1, 2\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$$

$$0 \in 1 \in 2 \in 3 \in \dots$$

$$0 \subseteq 1 \subseteq 2 \subseteq 3 \subseteq \dots$$



Paradox

- Paradox and ZFC

Equinumerosity

- **Equinumerosity**

Cardinal
Numbers

- Ordering

Infinite Cardinals

- Countable sets


Motivation



- ✧ To discuss the **size** of sets. Given two sets A and B , we want to consider such questions as:
 - ✧ Do A and B have the same size?
 - ✧ Does A have more elements than B ?

Example

\mathcal{B}



Equinumerosity



⌘ **Definition** A set \mathcal{A} is *equinumerous* to a set \mathcal{B} (written $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{B}$) iff there is a one-to-one function from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} .


⌘ A one-to-one function from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} is called a one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

Example: $\omega \times \omega \approx \omega$



✧ The set $\omega \times \omega$ is equinumerous to ω . There is a function **J** mapping $\omega \times \omega$ one-to-one onto ω .


$$\mathbf{J}(m,n)=((m+n)^2+3m+n)/2$$



Example: $\omega \approx \mathbb{Q}$




$\mathfrak{A}f: \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$



Example: $(0,1) \approx \mathbb{R}$



☞ $(0,1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 < x < 1\}$, then $(0,1) \approx \mathbb{R}$



☞ $f(x) = \tan(\pi(2x-1)/2)$

Examples



↻ $(0,1) \approx (n,m)$

↻ Proof: $f(x) = (n-m)x+m$

↻ $(0,1) \approx \{x \mid x \in \omega \wedge x > 0\} = (0, +\infty)$

↻ Proof: $f(x) = 1/x - 1$

↻ $[0,1] \approx [0,1)$

↻ Proof: $f(x) = x$ if $0 \leq x < 1$ and $x \neq 1/(2^n)$, $n \in \omega$
 $f(x) = 1/(2^{n+1})$ if $x = 1/(2^n)$, $n \in \omega$

↻ $[0,1) \approx (0,1)$

↻ Proof: $f(x) = x$ if $0 < x < 1$ and $x \neq 1/(2^n)$, $n \in \omega$
 $f(0) = 1/2$ $x = 0$
 $f(x) = 1/(2^{n+1})$ if $x = 1/(2^n)$, $n \in \omega$

↻ $[0,1] \approx (0,1)$

Example: $\wp(A) \approx {}^A 2$



For any set A , we have $P(A) \approx {}^A 2$.

Proof: Define a function H from $P(A)$ onto ${}^A 2$ as:

For any subset B of A , $H(B)$ is the characteristic function of B :

$$f_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in A - B \end{cases}$$

H is one-to-one and onto.

Theorem



For any sets A , B and C :

$A \approx A$

If $A \approx B$ then $B \approx A$

If $A \approx B$ and $B \approx C$ then $A \approx C$.

Proof:

Theorem(Cantor 1873)



- ✧ The set ω is not equinumerous to the set \mathbf{R} of real numbers.
- ✧ No set is equinumerous to its power set.

∞ The set ω is not equinumerous to the set \mathbf{R} of real numbers.



Proof: show that for any function $f: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, there is a real number z not belonging to $\text{ran } f$

$$f(0) = 32.4345\dots,$$

$$f(1) = -43.334\dots,$$

$$f(2) = 0.12418\dots,$$

.....

z : the integer part is 0, and the $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ decimal place of z is 7 unless the $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ decimal place of $f(n)$ is 7, in which case the $(n+1)^{\text{st}}$ decimal place of z is 6.

Then z is a real number not in $\text{ran } f$.

⌘ No set is equinumerous to its power set.



Proof: Let $g: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$; we will construct a subset B of A that is not in $\text{ran } g$. Specifically, let

$$B = \{x \in A \mid x \notin g(x)\}$$

Then $B \subseteq A$, but for each $x \in A$

$$x \in B \text{ iff } x \notin g(x)$$

Hence $B \neq g(x)$.



Paradox

- Paradox and ZFC

Equinumerosity

- Equinumerosity

Cardinal
Numbers


- **Ordering**

Infinite Cardinals

- Countable sets

Ordering Cardinal Numbers




Definition A set \mathcal{A} is **dominated** by a set \mathcal{B}
(written $\mathcal{A} \preccurlyeq \mathcal{B}$) iff there is a *one-to-one*
function from \mathcal{A} **into** \mathcal{B} . 

Examples



- Any set dominates itself.
- If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then \mathcal{A} is dominated by \mathcal{B} .
- $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ iff \mathcal{A} is equinumerous to some subset of \mathcal{B} .



Schröder-Bernstein Theorem



⌘ If $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq A$, then $A \approx B$.


Proof:



$f: A \rightarrow B, g: B \rightarrow A$. Define C_n by recursion:

$$C_0 = A - \text{ran } g \quad \text{and} \quad C_n^+ = g[f[C_n]]$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C_n \text{ for some } n, \\ g^{-1}(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$h(x)$ is one-to-one and onto.

Application of the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem



Example

⌘ If $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ and $A \approx C$, then all three sets are equinumerous.

⌘ The set \mathbf{R} of real numbers is equinumerous to the closed unit interval $[0,1]$.



\aleph_0 is the *least infinite* cardinal. i.e. $\omega \leq A$ for any infinite A .

$$\aleph_0 \cdot 2^{\aleph_0} = ?$$

$$2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_0 \cdot 2^{\aleph_0} \leq 2^{\aleph_0} \cdot 2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$$



Paradox

- Paradox and ZFC

Equinumerosity

- Equinumerosity

Cardinal
Numbers

- Ordering

Infinite Cardinals

- **Countable sets**

Countable Sets



∞ **Definition** A set A is **countable** iff $A \leq \omega$,

∞ Intuitively speaking, the elements in a countable set can *be counted by* means of the natural numbers.

∞ An equivalent definition: A set A is countable iff either **A is finite or $A \approx \omega$** .

Example



- ⌘ ω is countable, as is \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{Q}
- ⌘ \mathbf{R} is uncountable
- ⌘ A, B are countable sets
 - ⌘ $\forall C \subseteq A, C$ is countable
 - ⌘ $A \cup B$ is countable
 - ⌘ $A \times B$ is countable
- ⌘ For any infinite set A , $\wp(A)$ is uncountable.

Continuum Hypothesis



Are there any sets with cardinality between \aleph_0 and 2^{\aleph_0} ?

Continuum hypothesis (Cantor): No.

i.e., there is no λ with $\aleph_0 < \lambda < 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Or, equivalently, it says: Every uncountable set of real numbers is equinumerous to the set of all real numbers.

GENERAL VERSION: for any infinite cardinal κ , there is no cardinal number between κ and 2^κ .

HISTORY

- ❖ Georg Cantor: 1878, proposed the conjecture
- ❖ David Hilbert: 1900, the first of Hilbert's 23 problems.
- ❖ Kurt Gödel: 1939, $\text{ZFC} \not\models \neg\text{CH}$.
- ❖ Paul Cohen: 1963, $\text{ZFC} \not\models \text{CH}$.

Thanks!

