

# Learning Color Names for Real-World Applications

Joost van de Weijer, Cordelia Schmid, Jakob Verbeek, and Diane Larlus

**Abstract**—Color names are required in real-world applications such as image retrieval and image annotation. Traditionally, they are learned from a collection of labeled color chips. These color chips are labeled with color names within a well-defined experimental setup by human test subjects. However, naming colors in real-world images differs significantly from this experimental setting. In this paper, we investigate how color names learned from color chips compare to color names learned from real-world images. To avoid hand labeling real-world images with color names, we use Google Image to collect a data set. Due to the limitations of Google Image, this data set contains a substantial quantity of wrongly labeled data. We propose several variants of the PLSA model to learn color names from this noisy data. Experimental results show that color names learned from real-world images significantly outperform color names learned from labeled color chips for both image retrieval and image annotation.

**Index Terms**—Color naming, image annotation, image retrieval, probabilistic latent semantic analysis.

## I. INTRODUCTION

WITHIN a computer vision context, color naming is the action of assigning linguistic color labels to image pixels. We use color names routinely and seemingly without effort to describe the world around us. They have been primarily studied in the fields of visual psychology, anthropology and linguistics [1]. In computer vision, color names are used in the context of image retrieval. A user might query an image search engine for “red cars”. The system recognizes the color name “red,” and orders the retrieved results on “car” based on their resemblance to the human usage of “red.” Furthermore, knowledge of visual attributes can be used to assist object recognition methods. For example, for an image annotated with the text “Orange stapler on table,” knowledge of the color name orange would greatly simplify the task of discovering where (or what) the stapler is. Color names are further applicable in automatic content labeling of images, colorblind assistance, and linguistic human-computer interaction [2].

One of the most influential works in color naming is the linguistic study of Berlin and Kay [3] on basic color terms. They

are defined as those color names in a language which are applied to diverse classes of objects, whose meaning is not subsumable under one of the other basic color terms, and which are used consistently and with consensus by most speakers of the language. Subjects of different languages were asked to identify prototypes (best examples) of the color names on a board with 329 color chips. Basic color names were found to be shared between languages. However, the number of basic terms varies from two in some Aboriginal languages to twelve in Russian. In this paper, we use the eleven basic color terms of the English language: black, blue, brown, gray, green, orange, pink, purple, red, white, and yellow.

To use color naming in computer vision requires a mapping between RGB values and color names. Generally, this mapping is inferred from a labeled set [4]–[10]. Multiple test subjects are asked to label hundreds of color chips within a well-defined experimental setup. The colors are to be chosen from a preselected set of color names (predominantly the set of 11 basic color terms [6], [8]–[10]). From this labeled set of color chips, the mapping from RGB values to color names is derived. Throughout the paper, we will refer to this methodology of color naming as *chip-based color naming*. Several of these papers have reported results of applying chip-based color names on real-world images [6]–[9], [11], [12]. Although we do not wish to cast doubt on the usefulness of chip-based color naming within the linguistic and color science fields, we do question to what extent the labeling of isolated color chips resembles color naming in the real-world. Color naming chips under ideal lighting on a color neutral background greatly differs from the challenge of color naming in images coming from real-world applications without a neutral reference color and with physical variations such as shading effects and different light sources. In this paper, we do not aim to improve color naming of isolated color patches, but instead investigate the use of color names in images from real-world applications. More precisely, with image data from *real-world applications*, we refer to images which can be taken under varying illuminants, with interreflections, coming from unknown cameras, colored shadows, compression artifacts, aberrations in acquisition, unknown camera and camera settings, etc. The majority of the image data in computer vision belongs to this category: even in the cases that camera information is available and the images are uncompressed, the physical setting of the acquisition are often difficult to recover, due to unknown illuminant colors, unidentified shadows, view-point changes, and interreflections.

To obtain a large data set of real-world images with color names we propose to use Google Image search (see Fig. 1). We retrieve 250 images for each of the 11 color names. These images contain a large variety of appearances of the queried color name, e.g., the query “red” will contain images with red objects, taken under varying physical variations, such as different illuminants, shadows, and specularities. The images are

Manuscript received August 25, 2008; revised February 27, 2009. First published May 27, 2009; current version published June 12, 2009. This work was supported in part by the Marie Curie European Reintegration Grant, in part by the European funded CLASS project, in part by the Consolider-Ingenio 2010 CSD2007-00018 of the Spanish Ministry of Science and the Ramón y Cajal Program. The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Dr. Gabriel Marcu.

J. van de Weijer is with the Computer Vision Center, Edifici O Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra-Cerdanyola, Barcelona, Spain (e-mail: joost@cvc.uab.es).

C. Schmid, J. Verbeek, and D. Larlus are with the LEAR team, INRIA Rhone-Alpes, 38330, Montbonnot, France (e-mail: cordelia.schmid@inria-alpes.fr; jakob.verbeek@inria-alpes.fr; diane.larlus-larrondo@inria-alpes.fr).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIP.2009.2019809



Fig. 1. Google-retrieved examples for color names. The red bounding boxes indicate false positives. An image can be retrieved with various color names, such as the flower image which appears in the red and the yellow set.

taken with different cameras and stored with various compression methods. The large variety of this training set suits our goal of learning color names for real-world images well, since we want to apply our color naming method on uncalibrated images taken under varying physical settings. Furthermore, a system based on Google image has the advantage that it is flexible with respect to variations in the color name set. Chip-based methods are known to be inflexible with respect to the set of color names, since adding for example new color names such as beige, violet or olive, would in principal imply to redo the human labeling for all patches.

The use of image search engines to avoid hand labeling was pioneered by Fergus *et al.* [13] within the context of object category learning. The use of internet to obtain color name labels has been examined by Beretta and Moroney [14], [15]. They ask users to label patches with the “best possible color name.” The collected color names are used to compile an online color thesaurus, and they evaluate the color names on frequency of usage. The difference with the approach applied in this paper is twofold. First, we use already labeled images as found by Google image and, therefore, do not require users labeling images. Second, their approach aims to correctly label image patches with color names, whereas the goal of this paper is to label colors in real-world images.

Retrieved images from Google search are known to contain many false positives. To learn color names from such a noisy dataset, we propose to use Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis (PLSA), a generative model introduced by Hofmann [16] for document analysis. One of the earliest works that uses generative models to learn the relation between images and words is that by Barnard *et al.* [17], where Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) was used to learn relations between keywords and image regions. The original work which was limited to nouns was later extended to also include adjectives by Yanai and Barnard [18]. They compute the “visualness” of adjectives, based on the entropy between adjectives and image features. The work shows, among other adjectives, results for color names: several of these are correctly found to be visual; however, the authors also report failure for others. Contrary to this work, we start from the prior-knowledge that color names are “visual” and that they should be learned from the color distributions (and not for example from texture features), with the aim to improve

the quality of the learned color names. We model RGB values (words) in images (documents) with mixtures of color names (topics), where mixing weights may differ per image, but the topics are shared among all images. In conclusion, by learning color names from real-world images, we aim to derive color names which are applicable on challenging real-world images typical for computer vision applications. In addition, since its knowledge on color names is derived from an image search engine, the method can easily vary the set of color names.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the color name data sets used for training and testing are presented. In Section III, our approach for learning color names from images is described. In Section IV, experimental results are given, and Section V concludes the paper.

## II. COLOR NAME DATA SETS

For the purpose of learning color names from real-world images, we require a set of color name labeled real-world images. Furthermore, to evaluate the proposed method, a hand-labeled set of images is necessary. We briefly describe the data sets below together with three chip-based color name sets.

**Google Color Name Set:** Google image search uses the image filename and surrounding web page text to retrieve the images. As color names we choose the 11 basic color names as indicated in the study of Berlin and Kay [3]. We used Google Image to retrieve 250 images for each of the 11 color names. For the actual search, we added the term “color,” hence for red, the query is “red + color.” Examples for the 11 color names are given in Fig. 1. Almost 20% of the images are false positives, i.e., images which do not contain the color of the query. We call this data set to be *weakly labeled* since the image labels are global, meaning that no information to which particular region of the image the label refers is available. Furthermore, in many cases, only a small portion, as little as a few percent of the pixels, represents the color label. Our goal is to learn a color naming system based on the raw results of Google image, i.e., we used both true and false positives.

**Ebay Color Name Set:** To test the color names a human-labeled set of object images is required. We used images from the auction website Ebay. Users labeled their objects with a description of the object in text, often including a color name. We



Fig. 2. Examples for the four classes of the Ebay data: blue cars, gray shoes, yellow dresses, and brown pottery. For all images masks with the area corresponding to the color name are hand segmented. One example segmentation per category is given.

selected four categories of objects: cars, shoes, dresses, and pottery (see Fig. 2). For each object category 121 images were collected, 12 for each color name. The final set is split in a test set of 440 images, and a validation set of 88 images. The images contain several challenges. The reflection properties of the objects differ from matt reflection of dresses to highly specular surfaces of cars and pottery. Furthermore, it comprises both indoor and outdoor scenes. For all images, we hand-segmented the object areas which correspond to the color name. In the remainder of the article when referring to Ebay images, only the hand segmented part of the images is meant, and the background is discarded. This data set together with the hand-segmented masks are made available online at <http://lear.inrialpes.fr/data>.

**Chip-Based Color Name Sets:** In the experimental section, we compare our method to three chip-based approaches. The chip-based methods resemble in that the color naming is performed in a controlled environment, where humans label individual color chips (CC) placed on a color neutral (gray) background under a known white light source.

- **CC-I:** dataset with 387 color named chips of Benavente *et al.* [8]. The chips are classified into the 11 basic color terms by 10 subjects. If desired the color patch could be assigned to multiple color names. Consequently every patch is represented by its sRGB values (standard default color space) and a probability distribution over the color names.
- **CC-II:** data set of 267 color named Munsell-coordinate-specified chips [19] developed by the U.S. National Bureau of Standards (NBS). The appointed color names are taken from the ISCC-NBS dictionary, which describes lightness, saturation, and hue of the color. Examples are *very dark red* and *pale yellowish pink*. Similarl to Griffin [5], we reduce the set of color names to the 11 basic color terms by only taking the primary designator into account (indicated in **bold** above). Eleven violets were assigned to purple and six olives to green. Next, the munsell coordinates are converted to sRGB. In contrast to the other two sets each color chip is assigned to a single color name.
- **CC-III:** dataset of 1014 color chips assembled by Menegaz *et al.* [9], [10]. The sampling is based on the OSA-UCS color space [20] which is a perceptually uniform space. In [10], it is noted that the applicability of the original set (containing 424 samples) is limited due to the absence of samples in saturated colors. This shortcoming was overcome by the addition of 590 samples in the saturated color regions. Six subjects were asked to label the color chips with a distribution over the eleven basic color terms.

**Preprocessing:** The Google data set contains of weakly labeled data, meaning that we only have a image-wide label indicating that a part of the pixels in the image can be described by the color name of the label. To remove some of the pixels which are not likely indicated by the image label, we remove the background from Google images by iteratively removing pixels which have the same color as the border. Furthermore, since the color label often refers to an object in the center of the image, we crop the image to be 70% of its original width and height. Both of these preprocessing steps were found to improve results.

The Google and Ebay images will be represented by color histograms. We consider the images from the Google and Ebay datasets to be in sRGB format. Before computing the color histograms these images are gamma corrected with a correction factor of 2.4. Although images might not be correctly white balanced, we do not apply a color constancy algorithm, since color constancy often gives unsatisfying results [21]. Furthermore, many Google images lack color calibration information, and regularly break assumptions on which color constancy algorithms are based. The images are converted to the  $L^*a^*b^*$  color space, which is a perceptually linear color space, ensuring that similar differences between  $L^*a^*b^*$  values are considered about equally important color changes to humans. This is a desired property because the uniform binning we apply for histogram construction implicitly assumes a meaningful distance measure. To compute the  $L^*a^*b^*$  values we assume a D65 white light source.

For the three chip-based approaches the probability over the color names of a limited set of samples is given. We also require the assignments of colors outside and in between the color chips samples. To compute the probability over the color names  $z$  for all  $L^*a^*b^*$ -bins (we use the same discretization as is applied in our algorithm), we assign to each  $L^*a^*b^*$ -bin  $w$  the probability of the neighbors according to

$$p(z|w) \propto \sum_{i=1}^N p(z|w_i) g^\sigma(|w_i - w|) \quad (1)$$

where the  $w_i$ 's are the  $L^*a^*b^*$ -values for the color chips and  $N$  is total number of chips.  $p(z|w_i)$  is given for all the color chips. The distance between the color chips,  $w_i$ , and  $w$  is computed in  $L^*a^*b^*$ -space. For the weighting kernel  $g^\sigma$  we use a Gaussian, for which the scale  $\sigma$  has been optimized on the validation dataset.

### III. LEARNING COLOR NAMES

Latent aspect models have received considerable interest in the text analysis community as a tool to model documents as

a mixture of several semantic—but *a priori* unknown, and, hence, “latent”—topics. Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) [22] and probabilistic latent semantic analysis (PLSA) [16] are perhaps the most well known among such models. Recently, such models have also been applied in computer vision, where images take the role of documents and pixels or small image patches take the role of words [17], [23]–[27].

In our work, we use the topics to represent the color names of pixels. Latent aspect models are of interest to our problem since they naturally allow for multiple topics in the same image, as is the case in our Google data set where each image contains a number of colors. Pixels are represented by discretizing their  $L^*a^*b^*$  values into a finite vocabulary by assigning each value by cubic interpolation to a regular  $10 \times 20 \times 20$  grid in the  $L^*a^*b^*$ -space.<sup>1</sup> An image (document) is then represented by a histogram indicating how many pixels are assigned to each bin (word).

#### A. Generative Models

We start by recalling the standard PLSA model, after which we propose an adapted version better suited to our problem. We follow the terminology of the text analysis community.

Given a set of documents  $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_N\}$  each described in a vocabulary  $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_M\}$ , the words are taken to be generated by latent topics  $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_K\}$ . In the PLSA model the conditional probability of a word  $w$  in a document  $d$  is given by

$$p(w|d) = \sum_{z \in Z} p(w|z)p(z|d). \quad (2)$$

Both distributions  $p(z|d)$  and  $p(w|z)$  are discrete multinomial distributions, and can be estimated with an EM algorithm [16] by maximizing the log-likelihood function

$$L = \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{w \in W} n(d, w) \log p(d, w) \quad (3)$$

where  $p(d, w) = p(d)p(w|d)$ , and  $n(d, w)$  is the term frequency, containing the word occurrences for every document.

The method in (2) is called a generative model, since it provides a model of how the observed data has been generated given hidden parameters (the latent topics). The aim is to find the latent topics which best explain the observed data. In the case of learning color names, we model the color values in an image as being generated by the color names (topics). For example, the color name red generates  $L^*a^*b^*$  values according to  $p(w|t = \text{red})$ . These word-topic distributions  $p(w|t)$  are shared between all images. The amount of the various colors we see in an image is given by the mixing coefficients  $p(t|d)$ , and these are image specific. The aim of the learning process is to find the  $p(w|t)$  and  $p(t|d)$  which best explain the observations  $p(w|d)$ . As a consequence, colors which often co-occur are more likely to be found in the same topic, e.g., the label red will co-occur with highly saturated reds, but also with some pinkish-red colors due to specularities on the red object, and dark reds caused by

shadows or shading. All the different appearances of the color name red are captured in  $p(w|t = \text{red})$ .

In Fig. 3, an overview of applying PLSA to the problem of color naming is provided. The goal of the system is to find the color name distributions  $p(w|t)$ . First, the weakly labeled Google images are represented by their normalized  $L^*a^*b^*$  histograms. These histograms form the columns of the image specific word distribution  $p(w|d)$ . Next, the PLSA algorithm aims to find the topics (color names) which best explain the observed data. This process can be understood as a matrix decomposition of  $p(w|d)$  into the word-topic distributions  $p(w|t)$  and the document specific mixing proportions  $p(t|d)$ . The columns of  $p(w|t)$  contain the information we are seeking, namely, the distributions of the color names over  $L^*a^*b^*$  values.

In the remainder of this section we discuss two adaptations to the standard model.

*Exploiting Image Labels:* The standard PLSA model cannot exploit the labels of images. More precisely, the labels have no influence on the maximum likelihood (3). The topics are hoped to converge to the state where they represent the desired color names. As is pointed out in [28], in the context of discovering object categories using LDA, this is rarely the case. To overcome this shortcoming we propose an adapted model that does take into account the label information.

We propose to use the image labels to define a prior distribution on the frequency of topics (color names) in documents  $p(z|d)$ . This prior will still allow each color to be used in each image, but the topic corresponding to the label of the image—here obtained with Google—is *a priori* assumed to have a higher frequency than other colors. Below, we use the shorthands  $p(w|z) = \phi_z(w)$  and  $p(z|d) = \theta_d(z)$ .

The multinomial distribution  $p(z|d)$  is supposed to have been generated from a Dirichlet distribution of parameter  $\alpha_{l_d}$  where  $l_d$  is the label of the document  $d$ . The vector  $\alpha_{l_d}$  has length  $K$  (number of topics), where  $\alpha_{l_d}(z) = c \geq 1$  for  $z = l_d$ , and  $\alpha_{l_d}(z) = 1$  otherwise. By varying  $c$ , we control the influence of the image labels  $l_d$  on the distributions  $p(z|d)$ . The exact setting of  $c$  will be learned from the validation data.

For an image  $d$  with label  $l_d$ , the generative process thus reads:

- 1) sample  $\theta_d$  (distribution over topics) from the Dirichlet prior with parameter  $\alpha_{l_d}$ ;
- 2) for each pixel in the image
  - a) sample  $z$  (topic, color name) from a multinomial with parameter  $\theta_d$ ;
  - b) sample  $w$  (word, pixel bin) from a multinomial with parameter  $\phi_z$ .

The distributions over words  $\phi_z$  associated with the topics, together with the image specific distributions  $\theta_d$ , have to be estimated from the training images. This estimation is done using an EM (*Expectation-Maximization*) algorithm. In the Expectation step, we evaluate for each word (color bin)  $w$  and document (image)  $d$

$$p(z|w, d) \propto \theta_d(z)\phi_z(w). \quad (4)$$

During the Maximization step, we use the result of the Expectation step together with the normalized word-document counts

<sup>1</sup>Because the  $L^*a^*b^*$ -space is perceptually uniform, we discretize it into equal volume bins. Different quantization levels per channel are chosen because of the different ranges: the intensity axis ranges from 0 to 100, and the chromatic axes range from  $-100$  to  $100$ .






Fig. 3. Overview of standard PLSA model for learning color names. See text for explanation.




Fig. 4. Example of grayscale reconstruction. (left) Initial functions  $p_1 = p(z_1|w)$ ,  $p_2 = p(z_2|w)$ , and markers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . (Middle) Grayscale reconstruction  $\rho_1$  of  $p_1$  from  $m_1$ . (Right) Grayscale reconstruction  $\rho_2$  of  $p_2$  from  $m_2$ . Since  $\rho_1$  is by definition a unimodal function, enforcing the difference between  $p_1$  and  $\rho_1$  to be small reduces the secondary modes of  $p_1$ .

$n(d, w)$  (frequency of word  $w$  in document  $d$ ) to compute the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\phi_z$  and  $\theta_d$  as

$$\phi_z(w) \propto \sum_d n(d, w) p(z|w, d), \quad (5)$$

$$\theta_d(z) \propto (\alpha_{l_d}(z) - 1) + \sum_w n(d, w) p(z|w, d). \quad (6)$$

Note that we obtain the EM algorithm for the standard PLSA model when  $\alpha_{l_d}(z) = c = 1$ , which corresponds to a uniform Dirichlet prior over  $\theta_d$  (in the experimental section indicated with PLSA-std).

**Enforcing Unimodality:** Our second adaptation of the PLSA model is based on prior knowledge of the probabilities  $p(z|w)$ . Consider the color name red: a particular region of the color space will have a high probability of red, moving away from this region in the direction of other color names will decrease the probability of red. Moving even further in this direction can only further decrease the probability of red. This is caused by the unimodal nature of the  $p(z|w)$  distributions. Next, we propose an adaptation of the PLSA model to enforce unimodality to the estimated  $p(z|w)$  distributions.

It is possible to obtain a unimodal version of a function by means of grayscale reconstruction. The grayscale reconstruction of function  $p$  is obtained by iterating geodesic grayscale dilations of a marker  $m$  under  $p$  until stability is reached [29]. Consider the example given in Fig. 4. In the example, we consider two 1-D topics  $p_1 = p(z_1|w)$  and  $p_2 = p(z_2|w)$ . By iteratively applying a geodesic dilation from the marker  $m_1$  under the mask function  $p_1$  we obtain the grayscale reconstruction  $\rho_1$ . The function  $\rho_1$  is by definition unimodal, since it only has one maximum at the position of the marker  $m_1$ . Similarly, we obtain a unimodal version of  $p_2$  by a grayscale reconstruction of  $p_2$  from marker  $m_2$ .

Something similar can be done for the color name distributions  $p(z|w)$ . We can compute a unimodal version  $\rho_z^{m_z}(w)$  by performing a grayscale reconstruction of  $p(z|w)$  from markers  $m_z$  (finding a suitable position for the markers will be explained below). To enforce unimodality, without assuming anything about the shape of the distribution, we add the difference between the distributions  $p(z|w)$  and their unimodal counterparts  $\rho_z^{m_z}(z)$  as a regularization factor to the log-likelihood function

$$L = \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{w \in W} n(d, w) \log p(d, w) - \gamma \sum_{z \in Z} \sum_{w \in W} (p(z|w) - \rho_z^{m_z}(w))^2. \quad (7)$$

Adding the regularization factor in (3) forces the functions  $p(z|w)$  to be closer to  $\rho_z^{m_z}(z)$ . Since  $\rho_z^{m_z}(z)$  is unimodal this will suppress the secondary modes in  $p(z|w)$ , i.e., the modes which it does not have in common with  $\rho_z^{m_z}(z)$ .

In the case of the color name distributions  $p(z|w)$ , the gray reconstruction is performed on the 3-D spatial grid in  $L^*a^*b^*$  space with a 26-connected structuring element. The markers  $m_z$  for each topic are computed by finding the local mode starting from the center of mass of the distribution  $p(z|w)$ . This was found to be more reliable than using the global mode of the distribution. The regularization functions  $\rho_z^{m_z}$ , which depend upon  $p(z|w)$ , are updated at every iteration step of the conjugate gradient based maximization procedure which is used to compute the maximum likelihood estimates of  $\phi_z(w)$ . The computation of the maximum likelihood estimate for  $\theta_d(z)$  is not directly influenced by the regularization factor and is still computed with (6).

In conclusion, we introduce two improvements of the standard PLSA model. First, we use the image labels to define a prior distribution on the frequency of topics. Second, we add a regularization factor to the log likelihood function which suppresses the secondary modes in the  $p(z|w)$  distributions. The two parameters,  $c$  and  $\gamma$ , which regularize the strength of the two adaptations will be learned from validation data.

### B. Assigning Color Names in Test Images

Once we have estimated the distributions over words  $p(w|z)$  representing the topics, we can use them to compute the probability of color names corresponding to image pixels in test images. As the test images are not expected to have a single dominant color, we do not use the label-based Dirichlet priors that are used when estimating the topics. Instead we consider two ways to assign color names to pixels.

The first method, PLSA-ind, is based on the individual pixel values and does not use regional information. The probability of a color name given a pixel is given by

$$\text{PLSA-ind: } p(z|w) \propto p(z)p(w|z) \quad (8)$$

where the prior over the color names  $p(z)$  is taken to be uniform.

The second method, PLSA-reg, takes into account a region around the pixel. As we expect a relatively small number of color names within a region, we estimate a region-specific distribution over the color names. The probability of a color name given the region is calculated as

$$\text{PLSA-reg: } p(z|w, d) \propto p(w|z)p(z|d) \quad (9)$$

where the region-based  $p(z|d)$  is estimated using the EM algorithm with the word topic distribution  $p(w|z)$  fixed. The difference between the two methods is that PLSA-reg estimates the distribution  $p(z|d)$  over the color names in the region to bias the

assignments of pixels to color names. In practice this has the effect that less color names are found in an image, because the prior  $p(z|d)$  will suppress the less occurring color names, and favor the more occurring color names.

To obtain a probability distribution over the color names for an *image region* (e.g., the segmentation masks in the Ebay image set) we use the topic distribution over the region  $p(z|d)$  described above for PLSA-reg. For PLSA-ind the probability over the color names for a region is computed by a simple summation over all pixels in the region of the probabilities  $p(z|w)$ , computed with (8) using a uniform prior. In the following section, we will compare PLSA-ind and PLSA-reg for retrieving colored objects and assigning color names to pixels.

## IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In the first experiment, we analyze to what extent it is possible to learn color names from weakly labeled data, and we compare the proposed learning approach with alternative learning approaches. In the second experiment, we compare color names learned from Google Images with the traditional approach of learning color names from color chip. Finally, we illustrate the flexibility of our approach with respect to changes in the set of color names.

*Settings:* for both tasks, pixel annotation and image retrieval, we use the Ebay data set presented in Section II. The parameter  $c$  which determines the  $\alpha_{l_d}$  vectors, and the regularization factor  $\gamma$  are chosen as to optimize the pixel annotation results on the validation set of the Ebay dataset. In case the color names are learned from 200 Google images per color name, we found  $(c, \gamma) = (5, 200)$  to be optimal. We report result for five learning methods. For PLSA-std color names are learned with the standard PLSA (corresponding to  $(c, \gamma) = (1, 0)$ ), and assignment of color names in new images without using the region. PLSA-bg refers to the method proposed in [30]. For PLSA-ind and PLSA-reg color names are learned with our modified PLSA, and pixel assignment is respectively based on individual pixels and regions.

For all PLSA methods, the word-topic distributions  $p(w|z)$  are initialized by taking for each topic the average of the empirical distribution over words of all documents labeled with the class associated with that topic. Furthermore, we report pixel annotation results obtained with a linear support vector machine (SVM). The SVM classifier is trained on the  $L^*a^*b^*$ -histograms of the preprocessed Google images after which we apply it to classify individual pixels.


All the quantitative results are the average results obtained over 10 runs of the algorithm. For each run the set of training data is randomly selected from the total set of 250 Google images per color name. The maximum training data set we used is 200 images, since performance gain as a function of number of training examples was not found to further increase by adding more training examples.

### A. Automatic Learning of Color Names From Weakly labeled Data

In this experiment, we analyze to what extent the proposed approach is capable of learning color names from weakly labeled data. We test our method on three points. First, does

labels	regularization	$(c, \gamma)$	overall
no	no	$(\infty, 0)$	55.0
yes	no	$(5, 0)$	60.8
no	yes	$(\infty, 200)$	60.0
yes	yes	$(5, 200)$	62.5
PLSA-bg	-	-	57.3

(a)



(b)

Fig. 5. (a) Pixel annotation score (in percentage) of PLSA-ind for different settings of  $c$  and  $\gamma$  learned on a training set of 25 images per color name. The overall column contains the results averaged over the four classes in the Ebay set. Both adaptations of the PLSA model (i.e., exploiting the image labels by using a label-based prior and using the regularization term) are shown to improve results significantly. PLSA-bg indicates the method proposed in [30]. (b) Pixel annotation score of PLSA-ind with optimal  $c$ - $\gamma$  settings (blue line) compared to  $(c, \gamma) = (\infty, 0)$  setting (green dashed line) as a function of the number of images in the training set. The black straight line indicates the theoretical maximum of pixel based color naming on this data set.




Fig. 6. (a) Challenging synthetic image: the highly saturated RGB values at the border rarely occur in natural images. (b)–(e) Results obtained with different settings for  $c$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $n$  the number of train images per color name. The figure demonstrates that our method, images (c) and (e), improves results.

the proposed adaptation to the PLSA-model improve results? Second, what is the performance behavior as a function of the number of training samples? Third, does the proposed method outperform other learning approaches?

The color naming methods are compared on the task of pixelwise color name annotation of the Ebay images. All pixels within the segmentation masks are assigned to their most likely color name. We report the *pixel annotation score*, which is the percentage of correctly annotated pixels.

In a first experiment, we investigate if the proposed modifications of the PLSA model do actually improve results. In Section III-A we proposed two adaptations to the standard PLSA model. First, the labels were exploited by setting a prior on the frequency of the topics in the documents. Second, we added a regularization term to the log likelihood function [see (7)] to enforce unimodality on the  $p(z|w)$  distributions. We learned the color names based on a subset of 25 training images of the Google set per color name. Results are summarized in Fig. 5(a). The results obtained by  $(c, \gamma) = (\infty, 0)$  are equal to the empirical distribution of the color names, which means that  $p(w|z)$  is obtained by a simple averaging of the histograms of all the images with the label  $z$ . Both adaptations are shown to improve the annotation results, and the combined use of the adaptations further improves results. The method is also shown to improve significantly upon the method proposed in [30].

A qualitative comparison of two of the settings is shown in Fig. 6. The image shows pixels of constant intensity, with

varying hue in the angular direction, and varying saturation in the radial direction. On the right side of the image, a bar with varying intensity is included. Color names are expected to be relatively stable for constant hue, only for low saturation they change to an achromatic color (i.e., in the center of the image). The only exception to this rule is brown which is low saturated orange. Hence, we expect the color names to form a pie-like partitioning with an achromatic color in the center, and the color name brown for low saturated orange. Assigning color names based on the empirical distribution [Fig. 6(b)] leads to many errors, especially in the saturated regions. Our method trained from only 25 images per color name [Fig. 6(c)] obtains results much closer to what is expected.

Next, we look at the performance as a function of the number of training images, see Fig. 5(b). The difference between the PLSA-ind method with optimal  $c$ - $\gamma$  settings and the empirical distributions becomes smaller by increasing the number of training images. However, although the quantitative difference for the maximum of 200 training images is small, a qualitative comparison shows that our method obtains significantly better results, see Fig. 6(d) and (e). The reason for the small quantitative difference is that the vast majority of pixels in real-world images are low saturated. For these pixels both methods obtain good results. For the more saturated pixels the empirical distribution fails often, as can be seen in the saturated green region which is named either red or blue. Such errors will be considered as very disturbing by users. We have further plotted




Fig. 7. Three examples of pixelwise color name annotation. The color names are represented by their corresponding color. For each example the results of the chip-based methods CC-I, CC-III, and the real-world color names learned with PLSA-ind are given from left to right. Note that the color names learned from Google Image search, PLSA-ind, obtain also satisfying results for the achromatic regions, where the chip-based methods often fail.

TABLE I

PIXEL ANNOTATION SCORE FOR THE FOUR CLASSES IN THE EBAY DATA SET. THE FIFTH COLUMN PROVIDES AVERAGE RESULTS OVER THE FOUR CLASSES. THE TOP FIVE ROWS GIVE THE RESULTS FOR THE VARIOUS LEARNING APPROACHES FROM THE GOOGLE DATA. THE BOTTOM THREE ROWS GIVE THE RESULTS FOR CHIP-BASED COLOR NAMING

method	cars	shoes	dresses	pottery	overall
SVM	53	72	74	65	66.2
PLSA-std	54	74	75	66	67.3
PLSA-bg	56	76	79	68	70.0
PLSA-ind	56	77	80	70	70.6
PLSA-reg	74	94	85	82	83.4
CC-I	39	58	62	48	51.8
CC-II	51	66	69	61	61.8
CC-III	53	71	78	65	66.6

a line in Fig. 5(b) indicating the theoretical maximum for pixel annotation on this data set. Purely pixel-based annotation is limited by the fact that the color name distributions have an overlap, i.e., some pixels can be assigned to multiple color names. The position of the line is computed by assigning every RGB value of the labeled Ebay test set images to the color name with which it was most often labeled. The line provides an upper bound for the results which can be obtained for pixel classification without taking any context into consideration.

Finally, we compare the results of our method, learned from 200 Google images per color name, to several other learning approaches (top five rows of Table I). As can be seen PLSA-std obtains unsatisfying results, and our improved version PLSA-ind outperforms SVM and PLSA-bg. Also results for PLSA-reg are

included, where we take the surrounding of the pixel into account, and use  $\arg \max_z p(z|w, d)$  to classify the pixel, where the region (document) is the set of all pixels in the segmentation mask. Taking the context into account does result in a further large improvement to 83.4%.

The PLSA-ind model learned on the Google images is available online at [http://lear.inrialpes.fr/people/van-deweijer/color\\_names.html](http://lear.inrialpes.fr/people/van-deweijer/color_names.html), in the form of a  $32 \times 32 \times 32$  lookup table which maps sRGB values to probabilities over color names.

### B. Comparison to Chip-Based Color Naming

In this experiment, we compare color naming based on real-world images, as done in our method, to chip-based color naming. The comparison is performed on two tasks: pixel annotation and image retrieval. The color names are learned from a training set of 200 Google images per color name. It should be noted that chip-based methods are not explicitly designed to perform color naming on real-world images.

*Pixelwise Color Name Annotation:* First, we compare the results on the experiment discussed in Section IV-A. The bottom three rows of Table I show the results obtained by the three chip-based approaches. The gain obtained by learning color names from real-world images is significant: where the best chip-based method classifies only 66.6% of the pixels correctly, our method obtains a score of 70.6%. Examples of color name annotations based on PLSA-ind and two of chip-based methods are given in Fig. 7.

*Color Object Retrieval:* Another application of color names is retrieval of colored objects. We query the four categories of the Ebay set (see Section 2) for the 11 color names. For example, the car category is queried for “red cars.” The images



TABLE II  
AVERAGE EQUAL ERROR RATES FOR RETRIEVAL ON THE FOUR CLASSES  
IN THE EBAY DATA SET. THE FIFTH COLUMN PROVIDES AVERAGE  
RESULTS OVER THE FOUR CLASSES

method	cars	shoes	dressess	pottery	overall
PLSA-ind	92	98	98	94	95.4
PLSA-reg	93	99	99	94	96.4
CC-I	86	92	93	91	90.4
CC-II	91	93	95	93	93.0
CC-III	91	97	97	92	94.0

are retrieved based on the probability of the query color given an images, where only pixels within the segmentation masks are considered.

To assess the performance we compute the equal error rate (EER) for each query. The average EER's over the eleven color names for the various color naming methods are reported in Table I. Again, we find that learning of color names from real-world images outperforms the chip-based methods consistently for all classes.

When comparing PLSA-reg and PLSA-ind in the pixel annotation and retrieval experiments we see a different picture (consistently over all four categories): for pixel annotation we observe a significant improvement with PLSA-reg, while for retrieval for performance of PLSA-reg is only slightly better. The difference between PLSA-reg and PLSA-ind is that the former couples the topic assignment of pixels within an image. This is important for pixel annotation. However, in the retrieval experiment the difference between the methods is much smaller. This is due to the fact that the retrieval score for PLSA-ind also accumulates the color name probabilities over the region, it is actually equal to PLSA-reg stopped after one iteration. When using PLSA-reg, the accumulation of color name probabilities is repeated to iteratively estimate the region-specific prior  $p(z|d)$ , which is then used as the score. Our results correspond to the results reported by Quelhas *et al.* [25]. In the context of scene classification they also observed modest improvements in retrieval results when taking the region-context into account with  $p(z|d)$ .

*Discussion on Limitations of Chip-Based Color Naming:* Our experimental results show that color names learned from real-world images outperform color names based on color chips. There are two main reasons for real-world color names to outperform chip-based methods.

First, for both the CC-I and CC-II data set the color space is insufficiently sampled. This is the case for saturated colors, but also other regions of the color space are sparsely sampled, e.g., the assignment of some of the darker yellows on the sport car in Fig. 7 (second column) to orange is due to this fact. The CC-III set has overcome this problem by realizing a much denser and completer sampling of the sRGB space. From the results in Tables I and II, it can be seen that CC-III does significantly improve over both CC-I and CC-II. An alternative approach to counter the lack of samples is by using prior knowledge on the

shape of the color name distributions in the sRGB space, as is done in the work of Benavente [8].

The second reason for failure of chip-based methods is more fundamental to chip-based approaches. By analyzing in more detail the errors made by the best chip based method CC-III, and comparing them to our approach PLSA-ind, we found that the largest part (almost 70%) of the error increase is caused by achromatic colors which are named with chromatic color names. This can be explained by the difference in training data between the two methods. Learning color names from data obtained in a controlled laboratory setting, does not resemble color naming in the real-world. In the real-world colors are not presented on a color neutral background under a known white light source. Instead they appear in a world with interreflections, varying illuminants, colored shadows, compression artifacts, aberrations in acquisition, etc. This causes the vast majority of the errors to be made on the achromatic colors since after a small variations these colors would be considered chromatic in a laboratory setting. The black region under the vase is considered partially green by CC-III, as is the road next to the car. By learning the color names from real-world images a robustness to deviations which occur from the real object color to the final sRGB value is automatically achieved. The learned color names show good robustness to physical variations, due to shadow and shading, as can be seen from the uniform color name assignment on both the vase and the car.

To further illustrate this, we have applied our color naming algorithm to the Munsell color array used in the World Color Survey by Berlin and Kay [3]. The results are shown in Fig. 8. In the top, the results of the chip-based method of Benavente [31], and in the bottom the results obtained by our approach PLSA-ind. The color names are similarly centered, and only on the borders there are some disagreements. The main difference which we want to point out is that all chromatic patches are named by chromatic color names in the Benavente experiment, whereas in our case multiple patches are named by the achromatic color names, black and white. In the case of naming individual patches in a controlled environment, the Benavente set is expected to obtain superior results, whereas for applications on real-world images, color names derived from real-world images are expected to obtain better results.

### C. Flexibility Color Name Data Set

A further drawback of chip-based color naming is its inflexibility with respect to changes of the color name set. For example, Mojsilovic, in her study on color naming [7], asks a number of human test subjects to name the colors in a set of images. In addition to the eleven basic color terms beige, violet and olive were also mentioned. For a method based on an image search engine, changing the set of color names is an undemanding task, since the collection of data is only several minutes of work.

Next, we give two examples of varied color name sets. In Fig. 9, we show prototypes of the eleven basic color terms learned from the Google images. The prototype  $w_z$  of a color name is that color which has the highest probability of occurring given the color name  $w_z = \arg\max_w p(w|z)$ . Next, we add a set of eleven extra color names, for which we retrieve 100 images from Google image each. Again the images contain




Fig. 8. Top: Color name categories on the Munsell color array obtained by Benavente [31]. The colored lines indicate the boundaries of the eleven color categories. Bottom: Color names obtained with PLSA-ind learned on the Google data set. Note the differences in chromatic and achromatic assignments.



Fig. 9. First row: Prototypes of the 11 basic color terms learned from Google images based on PLSA-ind. Second row: Prototypes of a varied set of color names learned from Google images. Third row: Prototypes of the two Russian blues learned from Google images.

many false positives. Then a single extra color name is added to the set of eleven basic color terms, and the color distributions  $p(w|z)$  are re-computed, after which the prototype of the newly added color name is derived. This process is repeated for the eleven new color names. The results are depicted in the second row of Fig. 9 and correspond to the colors we expect to find.

As a second example of flexibility, we look into interlinguistic differences in color naming. The Russian language is one of the languages which has 12 basic color terms. The color term blue is split up into two color terms: *goluboi* (голубой), and *siniy* (синий). We ran the system on 30 images for both blues, returned by Google image. Results are given in Fig. 9, and correspond with the fact that *goluboi* is a light blue and *siniy* a dark blue. This example shows internet as a potential source of data for the examination of linguistic differences in color naming.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have shown that color names learned from real-world images outperform chip-based color names on real-world applications. Furthermore, we have shown that

real-world color names can be learned from weakly labeled images returned by Google Image search, even though the retrieved images contain many false positives. Learning color names from image search engines has the additional advantage that the method can easily vary the set of desired color names, something which is very costly in a chip-based setting. Finally, we show that our adapted version of the PLSA model outperforms the standard PLSA model significantly, and that the use of regional information is beneficial for color name annotation.

In a wider context, this paper can be seen as a case study for the automatic learning of visual attributes [18], [32]. In recent years, the computer vision community has achieved significant progress in the field of object recognition. Now that it is possible to detect objects such as people, cars, and vases in images, the question arises if we are able to retrieve *small* people, *striped* vases, and *red* cars. The scope of these so called visual attributes is vast: they range from size descriptions, such as large, elongated, and contorted, to texture descriptions such as striped, regular, and smooth, to color descriptions, such as red, cyan, and pastel. The challenges which arose in the development of our

automatic color naming system can be seen as exemplary for the problems which arise for visual attribute learning, in general.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank R. Benavente for his advice and for providing the Munsell color patch data.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] *Color Categories in Thought and Language*, C. Hardin and L. Maffi, Eds., Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [2] L. Steels and T. Belpaeme, "Coordinating perceptually grounded categories through language: A case study for colour," *Behavioral Brain Sci.*, vol. 28, pp. 469–529, 2005.
- [3] B. Berlin and P. Kay, *Basic Color Terms: Their Universality and Evolution*. Berkeley, CA: Univ. California, 1969.
- [4] D. Conway, "An experimental comparison of three natural language colour naming models," in *Proc. East-West Int. Conf. Human-Computer Interaction*, 1992, pp. 328–339.
- [5] L. Griffin, "Optimality of the basic colour categories for classification," *Roy. Soc. Interface*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 71–85, 2006.
- [6] J. Lammens, "A Computational Model of Color Perception and Color Naming," Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 1994.
- [7] A. Mojsilovic, "A computational model for color naming and describing color composition of images," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 690–699, May 2005.
- [8] R. Benavente, M. Vanrell, and R. Bladrich, "A data set for fuzzy colour naming," *COLOR Res. Appl.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 48–56, 2006.
- [9] G. Menegaz, A. L. Troter, J. Sequeira, and J. M. Boi, "A discrete model for color naming," *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process.*, vol. 2007, 2007.
- [10] G. Menegaz, A. L. Troter, J. M. Boi, and J. Sequeira, "Semantics driven resampling of the osa-ucs," presented at the Computational Color Imaging Workshop, Modena, Italy, 2007.
- [11] S. Tominaga, "A color-naming method for computer vision," presented at the IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Osaka, Japan, 1985.
- [12] Y. Liu, D. Zhang, G. Lu, and W.-Y. Ma, "Region-based image retrieval with high-level semantic color names," presented at the 11th Int. Conf. Multimedia Modelling, 2005.
- [13] R. Fergus, L. Fei-Fei, P. Perona, and A. Zisserman, "Learning object categories from Google's image search," presented at the IEEE Int. Conf. Computer Vision, Beijing, China, 2005.
- [14] N. Moroney, R. Eschbach and G. Marcu, Eds., "Unconstrained web-based color naming experiment, in color imaging: Device-dependent color, color hardcopy and graphic arts," *Proc. SPIE*, VIII.
- [15] G. Beretta and N. Moroney, Cognitive Aspects of Color HP Tech. Rep. HPL-2008-109, 2008.
- [16] T. Hofmann, "Probabilistic latent semantic indexing," in *Proc. ACM SIGIR Conf. Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 1999, pp. 50–57.
- [17] K. Barnard, P. Duygulu, D. Forsyth, N. de Freitas, D. M. Blei, and M. I. Jordan, "Matching words and pictures," *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, vol. 3, pp. 1107–1135, 2003.
- [18] K. Yanai and K. Barnard, "Image region entropy: A measure of "visualness" of web images associated with one concept," in *Proc. 13th Annu. ACM Int. Conf. Multimedia*, New York, 2005, pp. 419–422, ACM Press.
- [19] K. Kelly and D. Judd, *Color: Universal Language and Dictionary of Names*. Gaithersburg, MD: National Bureau of Standards, 1976, Special Publications no. 440.
- [20] G. Wyszecki and W. Stiles, *Color Science: Concepts and Methods, Quantitative Data and Formulae*. New York: Wiley, 1982.
- [21] B. Funt, K. Barnard, and L. Martin, "Is machine colour constancy good enough?," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Computer Vision*, 1998, vol. 1406, pp. 445–459.
- [22] D. Blei, A. Ng, and M. Jordan, "Latent Dirichlet allocation," *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, vol. 3, pp. 993–1022, 2003.
- [23] F. Monay and D. Gatica-Perez, "On image auto-annotation with latent space models," in *Proc. 11th ACM Int. Conf. Multimedia*, New York, 2003, pp. 275–278, ACM.
- [24] J. Sivic, B. Russell, A. Efros, A. Zisserman, and B. Freeman, "Discovering objects and their location in images," presented at the IEEE Int. Conf. Computer Vision, 2005.
- [25] P. Quelhas, F. Monay, J.-M. Odobez, D. Gatica-Perez, T. Tuytelaars, and L. van Gool, "Modeling scenes with local descriptors and latent aspects," presented at the IEEE Int. Conf. Computer Vision, 2005.
- [26] J. Verbeek and B. Triggs, "Region classification with markov field aspect models," presented at the Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007.
- [27] A. Bosch, A. Zisserman, and X. Munoz, *Scene Classification Using a Hybrid Generative/Discriminative Approach*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 712–727, 2008.
- [28] D. Larlus and F. Jurie, "Latent mixture vocabularies for object categorization," presented at the Brit. Machine Vision Conf., 2006.
- [29] L. Vincent, "Morphological grayscale reconstruction in image analysis: Applications and efficient algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 176–201, Apr. 1993.
- [30] J. van de Weijer, C. Schmid, and J. Verbeek, "Learning color names from real-world images," presented at the Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Minneapolis, MN, 2007.
- [31] R. Benavente, M. Vanrell, and R. Bladrich, "Parametric fuzzy sets for automatic color naming," *J. Opt. Soc. Amer. A*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 2582–2593, 2008.
- [32] V. Ferrari and A. Zisserman, J. Platt, D. Koller, Y. Singer, and S. Roweis, Eds., "Learning visual attributes," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 20*. Cambridge, MA: MIT, 2008, pp. 433–440.



**Joost van de Weijer** received the M.Sc. degree in applied physics from the Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, in 1998, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, in 2005.

He is a Ramón y Cajal Fellow at the Computer Vision Center, Barcelona, Spain. From 2005–2007, he was a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellow on the LEAR team at INRIA Rhône-Alpes, France. He is currently involved in research on the application of color to object recognition, image classification, and

image retrieval.



**Cordelia Schmid** received the M.S. degree in computer science from the University of Karlsruhe, Germany, and the doctorate and habilitation degrees from the Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble (INPG), France.

She was a postdoctoral research assistant in the Robotics Research Group, Oxford University, Oxford, U.K., from 1996–1997. Since 1997, she has held a permanent research position at INRIA Rhone-Alpes, where she is a research director and leads the INRIA team called LEAR for LEARNING and Recognition in Vision. She is the author of over 80 technical publications.

Dr. Schmid's doctoral thesis on "Local Greyvalue Invariants for Image Matching and Retrieval" received the best thesis award from INPG in 1996. She has been an Associate Editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE (2001–2005) and the *International Journal of Computer Vision* (2004–present). She has been a program chair of the 2005 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition and the 2012 European Conference on Computer Vision. In 2006, she was awarded the Longuet-Higgins prize for fundamental contributions in computer vision that have withstood the test of time.



**Jakob Verbeek** received the M.Sc. degrees (*cum laude*) in artificial intelligence and logic and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from the University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, in 1998, 2000, and 2004, respectively.

After being a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Amsterdam and INRIA Rhône-Alpes, France, he has been a full-time researcher at the LEAR team at INRIA Rhône-Alpes since 2007. His research interests include machine learning and computer vision, in general, with a special interest in

applications of statistical models in computer vision.



**Diane Larlus** received a M.S. degree in image, vision, and robotics from the National Polytechnic Institute of Grenoble (INPG), France, and the Ph.D. degree in 2008 for her research conducted in the LEAR group of the INRIA-Grenoble laboratory, France.

Since November 2008, she has been a postdoctoral fellow with the Multimodal Interactive Systems Group, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany. Her research focuses mainly on object recognition and segmentation, image classification, and machine learning.