The Digital Overseer: From Slave Patrols to Predictive Policing, A History of Surveillance and Control in America

Introduction: The Unchanging Logic of Control

The contemporary deployment of artificial intelligence (AI)-powered surveillance by state agencies, exemplified by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) formation of a dedicated social media monitoring team, is frequently framed as a novel challenge to civil liberties, a product of the digital age. This report argues that such a view is historically myopic. The proliferation of AI-powered surveillance capitalism is not a radical break from the past but a technological modernization of a 500-year-old American system of racialized population control. This system, originating in the economic and ideological architecture of the transatlantic slave trade, is predicated on three enduring principles: the economic imperative to extract value from a designated "other"; the political necessity of monitoring, categorizing, and disrupting potential resistance within that population; and the ideological construction of a threat to justify these actions.

This analysis will trace the historical arc of this logic of control, beginning with its prototype in the antebellum South. It will demonstrate how the transatlantic slave trade established a sophisticated economic system that required the legal and conceptual transformation of human beings into commodities, a process that necessitated the invention of racist ideology as an operational tool. The report will then examine Bacon's Rebellion in 1676 as a pivotal moment when the colonial elite, faced with a cross-racial alliance of the exploited, deliberately fractured class solidarity by codifying a race-based hierarchy, thereby securing their power and laying the legal groundwork for chattel slavery. This system of exploitation was maintained by the nation's first surveillance state, composed of slave patrols, pass laws, and informant networks, which served as the direct precursors to modern American policing.

Following the Civil War, this logic of control did not vanish but merely adapted its legal and social forms. The report will analyze the Black Codes of 1865-1866 as a direct attempt to reconstitute the power relations of slavery, using vagrancy and contract laws to re-establish control over Black labor and mobility. This was followed by the more pervasive social architecture of Jim Crow, which embedded racial apartheid into the very fabric of American life, creating a system of ambient, 24/7 surveillance enforced by both state and vigilante power. Finally, the report will connect this long history to the present moment, employing the theoretical framework of "surveillance capitalism," as defined by scholar Shoshana Zuboff, to deconstruct the mechanisms of the digital age. It will show how the core logic of surveillance capitalism—the unilateral claiming of private human experience as a free raw material for profit—is a digital echo of the logic of slavery, which claimed human bodies as raw material for capital accumulation. The analysis will culminate in a detailed case study of ICE's social media dragnet, positioning it as the latest chapter in this history. This initiative represents a dangerous fusion of state power with the tools and ethos of surveillance capitalism, creating a hybrid "Digital Overseer" that automates historical biases and perfects the antebellum model of control. By tracing this unbroken line from the slave patrol to the predictive algorithm, this report will

demonstrate that the central challenge of our time is not the technology itself, but the centuries-old political and economic logic it has been built to serve.

Part I: The Antebellum Prototype - Forging the Architecture of Control (1492–1865)

The system of control that defines modern surveillance has its deepest roots in the economic and ideological foundations of the transatlantic slave trade. This was not a primitive or peripheral enterprise but a highly sophisticated, global economic system that functioned as a crucible for modern capitalism. Its operation depended on a foundational act of re-categorization: the transformation of human beings into legally defined "chattel"—the personal property of their owners—and fungible commodities to be managed, transported, and sold for profit. This process of dehumanization was not merely a byproduct of prejudice; it was an essential prerequisite for the economic model to function. The immense profits generated by this trade fueled the development of Western economies and necessitated the creation of an elaborate architecture of ideological justification, financial innovation, and physical control. This antebellum prototype established the core tenets of racialized surveillance and management that would be refined and adapted for centuries to come.

1.1 The Commodity Logic: Dehumanization as Economic Prerequisite

The transatlantic slave trade, which forcibly transported an estimated 12 million African men, women, and children across the Atlantic, was driven by an insatiable European demand for the products of the Americas. The colonial economies, particularly in the South Atlantic and Caribbean, were fundamentally dependent on slave labor for the production of lucrative cash crops. Of these, sugar was the "New Gold". As Yale historian David Brion Davis observed, sugar "became the principal incentive for transporting millions of Africans to the New World". Approximately two-thirds of all Africans brought to the Americas were forced to labor on sugar plantations, where the work was so brutal and life expectancy so short that it was often cheaper for planters to work an enslaved person to death and purchase a replacement than to support them into old age. This brutal calculus was the engine of the trade. Later, the invention of the cotton gin in 1793 led to a massive expansion of cotton production in the American South, creating a new and powerful demand for enslaved labor that fueled British industrialization. By 1860, over 88% of the cotton imported into Britain was the product of the forced labor of enslaved Africans in America.

The profitability of this system was staggering. In the 17th century, the Royal Africa Company could purchase an enslaved African with trade goods worth £3 and sell that person for £20 in the Americas, realizing an average profit of 38% per voyage in the 1680s. By the 1760s, annual exports from the West Indies to Britain alone were worth over £3 million, equivalent to hundreds of millions today. This "inexhaustible Fund of Wealth and Naval Power," as one economist called it, was the central economic reality that the entire social and political order was built to protect. This economic imperative could not have functioned without a powerful ideological framework to justify it. The transformation of a human being into "cargo" required a moral and legal vacuum in which the enslaved were stripped of all rights. This was achieved through a composite ideology that drew from religion and nascent racial science. European religious dogma permitted the enslavement of "pagans," specifically applying the "Curse of Ham" to justify the subjugation of African peoples. This was coupled with the belief that sub-Saharan Africans were an "inherently

different and inferior species of humankind". This constructed inferiority placed enslaved Africans on the absolute margins of any system of rights, treating them as rightless in the coastal barracoons of Africa, rightless on the slave ships, and rightless in the Americas. This ideology was not merely a post-hoc rationalization for economic exploitation; it was an essential operational tool. The economic model required a disposable, controllable, and infinitely exploitable labor force. Racist ideology provided the necessary justification for creating and maintaining such a category of human being, allowing for a system of "super-exploitation" that would have been socially and politically untenable if applied to European laborers.

The sophistication of this economic system is further evidenced by the financial and state mechanisms developed to support it. The slave trade was a risky financial venture, and it spurred the innovation of instruments to manage that risk, such as sea loans and bills of exchange, which allocated debt and profit among investors. The trade was not a rogue enterprise but was centrally supported by European states. Monarchs granted royal charters to monopoly trading companies, such as the Royal African Company and the South Sea Company, which generated enormous profits for their aristocratic and royal backers. State power, in the form of the Royal Navy, was deployed to protect slave ships and seize colonial territories from rivals, expanding the plantation economy and, with it, the demand for enslaved labor. This early and essential fusion of state power and private capital in the project of human commodification established a critical precedent. The logic that emerged was clear: the expropriation of human life itself could be treated as a "free raw material" for economic extraction. This claiming of the body, its labor, its reproductivity, and its very existence as a source of capital is the deep historical antecedent to the modern logic of surveillance capitalism, which similarly claims private human *experience* as a free raw material for a new form of market accumulation. The enslaved person was the original prototype of the data subject, a resource to be unilaterally claimed and processed for profit.

1.2 The Threat of Solidarity: Bacon's Rebellion and the Invention of Whiteness

While the economic and ideological architecture of slavery was being constructed, a pivotal event in colonial Virginia exposed its greatest vulnerability: the potential for class-based, cross-racial solidarity among the exploited. Bacon's Rebellion in 1676 was a watershed moment that fundamentally altered the trajectory of race and labor in America, leading the colonial elite to deliberately engineer a society organized around racial hierarchy rather than class.

The rebellion was fueled by widespread discontent among Virginia's lower classes. A growing population of landless freemen, former indentured servants (both Black and white), and enslaved Africans chafed under the rule of Governor William Berkeley and the wealthy planter elite who controlled the best lands and levied high taxes. This diverse group, whom the planters derisively called the "giddy multitude," found a common cause in their shared exploitation and their desire for access to land, which was often held by Native American tribes protected by treaties with Berkeley's government. Led by the charismatic planter Nathaniel Bacon, this armed coalition marched on the colonial capital of Jamestown, burning it to the ground and forcing Governor Berkeley to flee.

The composition of Bacon's army was what made the rebellion an existential threat to the ruling class. It was the last major uprising in colonial Virginia that saw European indentured servants fighting alongside enslaved and free Africans. This alliance, bonded by a common grievance against the colonial elite, demonstrated the terrifying possibility of a unified laboring class that

could overthrow the established order. The final stand of the rebellion, a group of eighty enslaved men and twenty Englishmen, symbolized this dangerous solidarity.

The response of the planter elite to the rebellion's collapse was a masterstroke of social engineering. Rather than addressing the legitimate class grievances that had fueled the revolt, they chose to shatter the alliance between poor whites and people of African descent by driving a racial wedge between them. Over the subsequent decades, the Virginia legislature enacted a series of laws designed to create and enforce a new social hierarchy based on race. Poor whites were granted new rights and privileges, such as the right to bear arms and police the enslaved population, which elevated their status above all Black people, regardless of their economic condition. This strategy gave poor whites a stake in the system of white supremacy, encouraging them to identify with their race rather than their class and to see their interests as aligned with the wealthy planters against the enslaved.

This strategic division of the working class was legally cemented through the hardening of slave laws, which culminated in the Virginia Slave Code of 1705. These codes marked a critical turning point, formally codifying a system of chattel slavery that was explicitly race-based, permanent, and hereditary. The laws stripped free Blacks of rights they had previously held, severely curtailed the freedom of all people of African descent, and legally defined them as property. By institutionalizing racial slavery, the colonial elite not only secured a more controllable and permanent labor force but also created a social buffer. They prevented future alliances between Black and white workers that might have challenged their power, thereby ensuring the stability of a system built on profound inequality. Bacon's Rebellion, therefore, did not cause slavery, but it dramatically accelerated the transition to a society where race, not class, became the primary organizing principle of power and control.

1.3 The First Surveillance State: Mechanisms of Antebellum Control

To maintain the brutal economic system of chattel slavery and manage a large, subjugated population that was legally defined as property yet possessed human agency and a desire for freedom, the American South developed the nation's first comprehensive surveillance state. This was not a metaphorical state but a practical, multi-layered system of physical, social, and psychological control designed for total information awareness regarding the enslaved population. The methods and institutions developed during this era established the foundational logic of American policing and surveillance, a logic centered on the pre-emptive monitoring and violent suppression of a designated internal threat.

The most formal institution of this surveillance apparatus was the slave patrol. First created in the Carolinas in the early 1700s, these patrols were the direct precursors to modern American police departments. Their mission was explicit and brutal: to instill terror, to relentlessly pursue, apprehend, and return runaway slaves, and to violently crush any sign of organized resistance or rebellion. These patrols, composed of armed white men, had broad authority to stop, search, and brutalize any Black person found outside their owner's premises without a pass, functioning as a constant and visible enforcement arm of the slave society.

Beyond the patrols, a web of surveillance techniques was woven into the fabric of daily life on the plantation and beyond. Physical tracking was one of the most visceral forms of control. Enslaved individuals were often branded with their owner's initials, a permanent marking of their status as property that made them easier to identify and recapture if they escaped. This practice of marking bodies for control is a grim physical antecedent to modern biometric databases. Control over mobility and association was paramount to preventing organization and rebellion. Strict pass laws required enslaved people to carry written permission from their owner to travel,

and any white person could demand to see these papers. Laws also prohibited the assembly of Black people in groups without a white person present, a direct measure to disrupt social, religious, and political gatherings where resistance could be planned.

This system of physical control was augmented by a sophisticated human intelligence network. The planter class actively cultivated a network of informants among the enslaved population, rewarding those who revealed information about planned escapes or uprisings. This strategy was highly effective and was responsible for thwarting numerous major rebellions, including those famously planned by Denmark Vesey and Gabriel Prosser, whose conspiracies were betrayed by fellow slaves. The use of informants created an atmosphere of pervasive distrust, making organized resistance exceptionally difficult and dangerous.

Even early forms of communication technology were integrated into this surveillance network. As the Underground Railroad grew in effectiveness, slave owners and their agents used the telegraph system to rapidly transmit information about escapees, coordinating their efforts to monitor and control the routes to freedom. This early adoption of technology for the purpose of tracking and controlling a targeted population demonstrates that the impulse to leverage the latest tools for surveillance is not a modern phenomenon but has been part of this system from its inception. Together, these mechanisms—the patrols, the physical markings, the pass laws, and the informant networks—constituted a system of totalizing surveillance designed to manage the enslaved population as a security threat and an economic asset, establishing a template for racialized social control that would persist long after emancipation.

Part II: The Persistence of Control After Emancipation (1865–1960s)

The abolition of slavery in 1865 following the Civil War did not dismantle the underlying architecture of racialized control in the United States. Instead, it necessitated a legal and social reconfiguration of that architecture. Emancipation removed the legal framework of chattel slavery, but it did not erase the economic demand for a cheap, controllable agricultural labor force, nor did it alter the deeply entrenched ideology of white supremacy. In the immediate aftermath of the war, Southern states moved swiftly to implement a new system of laws, the Black Codes, designed explicitly to circumscribe the freedom of newly emancipated African Americans and re-establish the power dynamics of the antebellum era. When federal intervention during Radical Reconstruction nullified the most egregious of these codes, they were eventually replaced by the more durable and pervasive social system of Jim Crow. This period demonstrates the remarkable adaptability of the logic of control, which shifted its methods from direct ownership to a complex web of legal, economic, and social coercion to achieve the same fundamental goals: labor exploitation and the enforcement of a racial hierarchy.

2.1 A New Name for an Old System: The Black Codes (1865-1866)

Enacted by all-white Southern legislatures in 1865 and 1866, the Black Codes were a systematic and deliberate attempt to recreate the social and economic relations of slavery under the guise of a free labor system. While professing to grant certain civil rights to African Americans for the first time—such as the right to marry, own personal property, and sue in court—the overwhelming purpose of these laws was to secure a steady supply of cheap labor for the devastated agrarian economy and to reaffirm the pre-war racial hierarchy. The codes

functioned as a comprehensive system of surveillance and control, targeting the labor, mobility, and social lives of the freedmen.

The central pillar of the Black Codes was labor control. The laws mandated that freedmen sign annual labor contracts with white employers, who were often their former masters. These contracts were incredibly restrictive; in South Carolina, they required Black "servants" to work from sunup to sunset, remain "quiet and orderly," and not leave the employer's property without permission. Quitting a contract before the end of its term was a criminal offense. A freedman who "deserted" their employer could be arrested by any civil officer—or indeed, any white person—and forcibly returned to work. To further ensure a captive labor force, some states, like South Carolina, required Black artisans and mechanics to pay a steep annual license fee to practice their trade, effectively barring them from any occupation other than agricultural laborer or domestic servant.

The defining feature of the Black Codes, and their most powerful tool for enforcing these labor contracts, was the broad and discriminatory application of vagrancy laws. These laws criminalized the state of being unemployed or without a permanent residence. Any Black person who could not produce written evidence of a lawful home or employment could be arrested, convicted of vagrancy, and fined heavily. If they could not pay the fine, the sheriff was authorized to "hire out" the convicted individual to a white employer, who would pay the fine in exchange for the person's labor. This created a system of convict leasing that was, in practice, a form of re-enslavement, trapping African Americans in a cycle of forced labor. This legal framework represents a critical innovation in the history of American surveillance: it codified the presumption of Black criminality. The burden of proof was inverted; instead of the state having to prove guilt, Black individuals were required at all times to prove their legitimacy through papers and contracts. The failure to do so was itself a crime, effectively legalizing the suspicionless scrutiny of an entire population.

Beyond labor and mobility, the codes imposed a rigid system of social control. They universally prohibited interracial marriage, often making it a felony. They banned Black people from owning firearms or other weapons, a direct measure to prevent self-defense and insurrection. In the legal sphere, the codes severely limited the rights of African Americans. While they could sometimes testify in court, it was often only in cases involving other Black people; they were explicitly barred from testifying against whites, rendering them powerless against abuse and exploitation. These laws, taken together, were not a transition to freedom but a legal blueprint for a new form of bondage, designed to maintain white supremacy and control every essential aspect of Black life.

2.2 The Social Architecture of Jim Crow

With the end of Radical Reconstruction in 1877 and the withdrawal of federal troops from the South, the legal framework of control evolved once more. The explicit, labor-focused Black Codes gave way to the more insidious and totalizing system of Jim Crow laws, which would dominate the American South for nearly a century. Jim Crow represented a shift in strategy from primarily controlling labor to constructing a comprehensive system of racial apartheid that governed every facet of daily life. It moved the mechanisms of control from the statute books into the very architecture of society, creating an environment of ambient surveillance and enforcement.

The legal doctrine underpinning this system was "separate but equal," famously upheld by the Supreme Court in the 1896 case *Plessy v. Ferguson*. In theory, this doctrine allowed for segregation as long as the facilities provided for both races were equivalent. In reality, it was a

legal fiction used to justify a system of profound inequality. Public facilities for African Americans were almost always inferior to those for whites, if they existed at all. The purpose of this mandated segregation—in schools, parks, libraries, restaurants, and public transportation—was not merely separation but the constant, visible reinforcement of a racial hierarchy. Signs reading "Whites Only" and "Colored" were the physical interface of this control system, serving as a perpetual reminder of the supposed subhuman status of Black people. As civil rights leader Diane Nash recalled, the very existence of separate facilities was designed "to say to black people and white people that blacks were so subhuman and so inferior that we could not even use the public facilities that white people used".

This social order was upheld by a dual system of enforcement. Officially, it was maintained by local government officials and law enforcement, who enforced segregation statutes and the systematic disenfranchisement of Black voters through literacy tests and poll taxes. Unofficially, but just as powerfully, the Jim Crow system was reinforced by the constant threat and frequent reality of vigilante terror, perpetrated by groups like the Ku Klux Klan and by ordinary white citizens. This created a racial panopticon, a society where any Black person could be monitored, questioned, and punished by any white person for violating the intricate and unwritten rules of racial etiquette.

This evolution from the explicit rules of the Black Codes to the atmospheric control of Jim Crow demonstrates a critical development in the logic of social management. While the codes were a set of direct commands aimed at compelling labor, Jim Crow was a more pervasive system that sought to regulate the totality of human experience. It prefigured the "ubiquitous digital architecture" of surveillance capitalism, which similarly seeks to saturate and shape all aspects of modern life, creating a controlled environment where behavior is constantly monitored and guided toward preferred outcomes. Jim Crow was the analog forerunner of a totalizing system of behavioral control.

Part III: The Digital Plantation - Surveillance Capitalism and the Automation of Bias

The historical arc of racialized control in the United States, which evolved from the physical bondage of slavery to the legal coercion of the Black Codes and the social apartheid of Jim Crow, has entered a new and profoundly powerful phase in the twenty-first century. The rise of the digital economy has given birth to what Harvard Professor Shoshana Zuboff has termed "surveillance capitalism"—a novel and dominant form of market capitalism that has transformed the very nature of surveillance, power, and social control. This new economic order is not merely an extension of previous forms of capitalism; it represents a "rogue mutation" that has discovered a new and seemingly limitless source of value: human experience itself. When the tools and logic of this new economic order are adopted by the state, particularly by law enforcement agencies, they do not operate in a vacuum. Instead, they fuse with and amplify the centuries-old biases embedded in the American system of justice, creating an automated and technologically sanitized form of discrimination that is both more efficient and more difficult to challenge than its predecessors.

3.1 The New Economic Order: The Principles of Surveillance Capitalism

At its core, surveillance capitalism is a new economic logic of accumulation. Whereas industrial capitalism grew by appropriating and transforming nature into commodities, surveillance capitalism grows by appropriating human experience and transforming it into behavioral data. Zuboff argues that this process begins with a unilateral act of claiming: global tech companies "unilaterally claim human experience as free raw material for translation into behavioural data". This raw material is what Zuboff calls "behavioral surplus"—the vast trails of data we leave behind in our digital lives, such as our searches, likes, clicks, locations, and conversations. This data, which is far more than what is needed to improve the services we use, is captured at zero marginal cost and claimed as proprietary property by these corporations.

This behavioral surplus is then fed into advanced manufacturing processes, which Zuboff refers to as "machine intelligence"—the complex of AI, machine learning, and proprietary algorithms. The purpose of this manufacturing process is to fabricate a new kind of product: "prediction products". These products are highly sophisticated predictions about our future behavior: what we will buy, where we will go, what we will feel, and how we will act.

These prediction products are sold in a new kind of marketplace that Zuboff calls "behavioral futures markets". The customers in these markets are not the users of the digital services but other businesses that desire certainty about consumer behavior. An advertiser wants to know with certainty which ad will make you buy a product; an insurance company wants to know with certainty how safely you drive; a bank wants to know with certainty if you will repay a loan. Competition in these markets is fierce, driving surveillance capitalists to constantly seek more predictive sources of behavioral data, pushing their surveillance operations deeper into our homes, cars, and bodies via "smart" devices and the Internet of Things.

The ultimate and most chilling phase of this logic is the transition from prediction to control. The most valuable predictions are those that are guaranteed to come true. Therefore, the competitive pressures of the behavioral futures markets create a powerful incentive to move beyond simply predicting behavior to actively shaping it. The system evolves to "tune, herd, and shape" our behavior in real-time, using subtle cues, personalized information flows, and algorithmically curated choices to nudge us toward the profitable outcomes that their business customers have paid for. This is achieved through the construction of a "ubiquitous digital architecture"—what Zuboff calls the "Big Other"—that saturates our lives and serves as the means for this new "behavioral modification". This represents a direct intervention into human autonomy, a "coup from above" that expropriates critical human rights in the pursuit of profit. The core logic of this system—the unilateral claiming of a fundamental aspect of human life (experience) as a "free source of raw material"—is a direct digital echo of the logic of chattel slavery, which unilaterally claimed human bodies as a free source of labor and capital. The plantation was the factory for processing this physical raw material; the digital architecture is the factory for processing this behavioral raw material.

3.2 The Algorithmic Gaze: Laundering Discrimination Through Data

When the tools and logic of surveillance capitalism are adopted by state actors like law enforcement, a dangerous fusion of public and private power occurs. Police departments across the country are increasingly turning to AI-based algorithms, often purchased from private surveillance capitalist firms, in an effort to prevent crime more efficiently. The most common application of this is predictive policing, which uses algorithms to analyze vast datasets to forecast where and when crimes are likely to occur or to identify individuals deemed at high risk of offending.

However, these systems are built upon a fundamentally flawed premise. The algorithms are

trained on historical crime data, such as records of arrests and police patrols. This data is not a neutral or objective record of criminal activity. On the contrary, it is a direct reflection of decades of biased policing practices that have disproportionately targeted and over-policed Black, Latino, and other marginalized communities. The data reflects not where crime happens, but where police have chosen to look for it.

The result is a pernicious "bias-in, bias-out" feedback loop. The algorithm, fed with biased historical data, "learns" that crime is concentrated in minority neighborhoods. It then directs police to increase patrols and surveillance in those very same neighborhoods. This intensified police presence naturally leads to more arrests for minor infractions in those communities, which in turn generates more biased data to feed back into the algorithm, reinforcing the initial bias. This creates a "self-perpetuating cycle of prejudice" that automates and amplifies existing inequalities. Studies have demonstrated this effect starkly. An analysis of PredPol, a widely used predictive policing tool, found that if applied in Indianapolis, it would direct police to patrol Latino communities at a rate 200-400% greater than white communities, and Black communities at a rate 150-250% greater.

This process is made more insidious by the veneer of scientific objectivity that technology provides. The inner workings of these predictive algorithms are often proprietary "black boxes," opaque even to the police departments that use them. This lack of transparency makes it incredibly difficult to challenge the biased outcomes they produce. The algorithm effectively launders historical human prejudice through a machine, presenting discriminatory policing patterns as the neutral, data-driven output of an infallible system. When the state becomes a customer in the behavioral futures market, it is not just buying a technology; it is adopting a new mode of governance. This mode is predictive, pre-emptive, and focused on behavioral modification. This blurs the line between the "surveillance state" and "surveillance capitalism," creating a hybrid entity that leverages the profit motive of private industry to perfect the state's historical project of racialized social control.

Part IV: Case Study - ICE and the Modern-Day Surveillance of the "Other"

The theoretical frameworks of historical control and modern surveillance capitalism converge with alarming clarity in the practices of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). The agency's expanding use of digital surveillance, particularly its recent initiative to create a dedicated social media monitoring team, serves as a powerful contemporary case study. It demonstrates how the enduring logic of racialized population management is being operationalized through the most advanced tools of the digital age. This section will first analyze the political rhetoric used to construct immigrants as a threat, thereby manufacturing public consent for invasive surveillance. It will then dissect the technological and operational anatomy of ICE's digital dragnet, showing its deep integration with the private surveillance industry. Finally, it will draw direct functional parallels between this 21st-century system and the 19th-century Black Codes, arguing that while the methods have been transformed by technology, the fundamental goals of controlling labor, restricting mobility, and disrupting social networks remain hauntingly consistent.

4.1 The Rhetoric of Threat: Manufacturing Consent for Surveillance

The deployment of invasive surveillance systems against a specific population requires a

preceding act of ideological framing. A narrative must be constructed that designates the target group as a unique threat, justifying the suspension of normal legal and ethical boundaries. The rhetoric employed by U.S. government administrations regarding immigration provides a clear example of this process.

A notable shift in rhetoric can be observed over time. Earlier administrations often framed immigration enforcement in the language of bureaucratic efficiency and targeted priorities. For instance, the Obama administration spoke of "smart, effective border security" and focused enforcement resources on identifying and removing "public safety and national security threats," such as "criminal aliens and gang members," while deprioritizing others, like college students. This language, while still leading to record levels of deportation, maintained a distinction between different categories of undocumented individuals and presented enforcement as a rational, resource-allocation problem.

In stark contrast, the rhetoric of more recent administrations has moved toward a totalizing criminalization of all undocumented immigrants. The language has shifted to that of "invasion," casting immigrants as an inherent threat to the "safety or security of the American people". This narrative erases distinctions and posits that anyone without legal status is inherently a criminal who undermines the nation's integrity. This propaganda is often supported by fabricated statistics and the weaponization of federal law to treat civil immigration violations as grave criminal offenses. For example, the Trump administration has used laws requiring noncitizens to carry registration papers to turn a civil infraction into a criminal act, thereby fulfilling its own prophecy that immigrants are criminals.

This rhetorical shift serves a critical function: it dehumanizes the targeted population and manufactures the political and social license necessary to deploy extreme measures. By framing immigration as an existential threat, the state can justify circumventing constitutional protections, such as the Fourth Amendment's prohibition against unreasonable searches and seizures. This process mirrors the historical use of racist ideology to justify the enslavement of Africans and the dispossession of Native Americans. In each case, the "other" is first defined as inherently dangerous or inferior, which then legitimizes the systems of control and exploitation enacted against them. The rhetoric of "invasion" is the modern-day equivalent of the "Curse of Ham"—an ideological key that unlocks the door to extraordinary measures of surveillance and control.

4.2 The Anatomy of the Digital Dragnet: ICE's Social Media Surveillance Initiative

ICE's plan to establish a round-the-clock social media surveillance operation represents the operationalization of this threat-based rhetoric, fusing state objectives with the infrastructure of surveillance capitalism. This initiative is not a small-scale pilot program but a comprehensive effort to create a persistent, automated system for monitoring immigrant communities and generating leads for deportation.

The program is structured around a public-private partnership. ICE plans to hire dozens of private contractors to staff its targeting centers in Vermont and California, which will monitor a wide range of platforms including Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X (formerly Twitter), and Reddit. This outsourcing of surveillance functions to the private sector is a hallmark of the modern security state. The technological infrastructure of this digital dragnet is a suite of advanced tools that allow ICE to achieve a level of information dominance previously unimaginable.

At the core of the system are massive data-analytics platforms provided by

surveillance-as-a-service companies like Palantir Technologies, co-founded by Peter Thiel. These platforms, sometimes referred to as "ImmigrationOS," are designed to integrate and analyze vast, disparate datasets to support "selection and apprehension operations". This is augmented by specialized social media monitoring software from firms like ShadowDragon (SocialNet) and data-brokering services from Thomson Reuters (CLEAR) and LexisNexis. These commercial databases compile billions of data points from public records, property records, phone bills, and online activity into searchable profiles. By purchasing this data from private brokers, ICE can often bypass Fourth Amendment warrant requirements, acquiring information—such as granular location data from smartphone apps—that it could not legally compel directly from individuals or telecommunication companies.

The surveillance is designed to be both broad and deep. It is not limited to the direct targets of an investigation. The operational plans explicitly state that contractors will be tasked with finding information about a target's "associates," including family members, friends, and coworkers, to map their social networks and determine their physical location. This method of social network analysis allows the agency to cast a wide net, chilling association and creating a sense of collective vulnerability within immigrant communities. The system also incorporates AI to accelerate the analysis of this data, with contractors facing tight deadlines to process cases—as little as 30 minutes for urgent matters involving national security threats.

The official justifications for this expansive surveillance apparatus are framed in the language of national security and public safety. ICE's mission statement cites priorities such as "Protecting National Security," "Ensuring Public Safety," and investigating cybercrime and transnational criminal gangs. The agency has stated that previous enforcement approaches that did not incorporate social media monitoring have had "limited success," positioning this new initiative as a necessary and effective evolution in its law enforcement capabilities. However, critics from organizations like the ACLU and the Brennan Center argue that these justifications mask a program that is rife with potential for abuse, disproportionately targets communities of color, and has a profound chilling effect on free speech and association.

4.3 The Black Codes in the Cloud: A Functional Comparison

The most striking aspect of ICE's digital surveillance program is not its technological novelty, but its functional continuity with past systems of racialized control. When analyzed through the lens of its objectives and effects, the modern digital dragnet serves as a high-tech reincarnation of the 19th-century Black Codes. While the instruments have evolved from the sheriff's posse and the printed contract to the algorithm and the social media profile, the core functions of managing a designated "other" population remain remarkably consistent. The following table provides a direct comparison, demonstrating how the logic of control has been translated from the analog legal framework of 1865 to the digital architecture of the present day.

Function of Control	Black Codes (1865-1866)	ICE Social Media Surveillance (Present Day)
Labor & Economic Control	Restrictive labor contracts making quitting a crime ; licensing fees for non-agricultural work ; fines leading to forced labor.	Monitoring of employment status and workplaces through social media and data brokers to identify undocumented workers for removal, creating a precarious and easily exploitable labor force afraid to

Function of Control	Black Codes (1865-1866)	ICE Social Media Surveillance (Present Day)
		report abuses.
Mobility Restriction	Vagrancy laws criminalizing unemployment and movement without a pass ; apprentice laws binding children to masters.	Use of location data from social media posts , GPS tracking in Alternatives to Detention (ATD) programs , and purchasing location data from brokers to monitor and control movement.
Network Disruption & Social Control	Prohibitions on assembly ; suppression of social and political organizing; inability to testify against whites.	Systematic mapping of social networks ("associates," family, coworkers) through social media analysis to identify and locate targets, creating a chilling effect on association.
Enforcement of Social Hierarchy	Legal prohibition of interracial marriage ; denial of legal rights (jury service); enforced segregation.	Creation of a digital caste of the "surveilled"; use of rhetoric ("criminal," "illegal alien") to justify differential treatment and the suspension of privacy rights afforded to citizens.
Weaponization of Law & Speech	Broad, arbitrary laws (e.g., "insulting gestures," "unlicensed pedlars") enforced selectively against Black individuals.	Broad interpretation of "derogatory information" and "threats" from social media to justify visa revocation ("Catch and Revoke" policy) and deportation, punishing protected speech.

This comparative analysis reveals that the "chilling effect" on speech and association documented by civil liberties groups is not an unfortunate byproduct of these surveillance systems, but rather a primary strategic objective. Just as the Black Codes and slave patrols were designed to terrorize and enforce compliance, the knowledge of a persistent, opaque, and technologically sophisticated surveillance apparatus is intended to make immigrant communities self-policing. The goal is to instill a fear of organizing, a hesitation to participate in civic life (such as protests), and a reluctance to report labor or human rights abuses, thereby maintaining a compliant and controllable population.

Ultimately, AI-powered surveillance capitalism offers a solution to the core inefficiencies of the antebellum and Jim Crow models of control. The slave patrol system was labor-intensive, geographically limited, and reliant on fallible human intelligence networks. The digital dragnet is automated, global in scale, persistent, and infinitely searchable. It achieves a level of "total information awareness" that the 19th-century planter class could only have dreamed of. It is the perfection of the original model of control, replacing the physical overseer with Zuboff's "Big Other"—a ubiquitous digital architecture that is infinitely more efficient, scalable, and difficult to resist.

Conclusion: The Future of Freedom in the Age of the

Digital Overseer

This historical analysis has traced an unbroken thread of racialized population control that runs through the core of the American experience. The journey from the commodity logic of the transatlantic slave trade to the algorithmic logic of surveillance capitalism reveals a startling continuity of purpose. While the technologies of control have evolved dramatically—from the whip and the slave patrol to the algorithm and the database—the underlying American system of social and economic management, built upon the designation and control of a racialized "other," remains deeply embedded in the nation's institutions of governance.

The economic imperative that drove the slave trade, which required the dehumanization of Africans into chattel, finds its modern echo in the logic of surveillance capitalism, which unilaterally claims private human experience as a "free raw material" for its behavioral futures markets. The strategic division of the working class after Bacon's Rebellion, which used race to fracture class solidarity, prefigures the modern use of surveillance to create a digital caste of the monitored and managed, whose rights are implicitly subordinate to those of the un-surveilled. The legal architecture of the Black Codes, which criminalized mobility and enforced labor through constant, suspicionless scrutiny, provides the direct functional blueprint for ICE's digital dragnet, which uses location tracking, social network analysis, and data brokering to achieve the same ends with far greater efficiency. The ambient, atmospheric control of Jim Crow, which sought to regulate every aspect of daily life, foreshadowed the "ubiquitous digital architecture" of the "Big Other," which aims to tune, herd, and modify behavior on a societal scale.

The fusion of this historical logic with the immense power of the for-profit surveillance apparatus creates a system that poses a profound threat to the future of democracy. The danger is not confined to the specific communities being targeted today. History teaches a clear lesson: the methods of control and surveillance tested and perfected on the most marginalized populations—whether enslaved Africans in the 18th century, Black activists during the Civil Rights Movement, or immigrants today—are inevitably mainstreamed and applied to the broader population. The panopticon built for the "other" eventually expands to enclose everyone.

This brings us to the critical question of our era. In a time when the tools of surveillance capitalism provide the technical means for the near-total prediction and modification of human behavior, can a society maintain both its democratic principles and a system designed for the absolute control of a segment of its population? Or does the perfection of the latter, in the form of the Digital Overseer, inevitably lead to the erosion of the former for all? The answer will determine whether the future is one of expanded human freedom or one of unprecedented, automated control.

Works cited

1. Slave Trade Ideology | Encyclopedia.com, https://www.encyclopedia.com/social-sciences/encyclopedias-almanacs-transcripts-and-maps/slave-trade-ideology 2. Economics and Slave Trade | Slavery and Remembrance, https://slaveryandremembrance.org/articles/article/?id=A0095 3. Bacon's Rebellion (1676) | BlackPast.org, https://www.blackpast.org/african-american-history/bacons-rebellion-1676/ 4. Bacon's Rebellion and Other Conflicts | United States History I, https://courses.lumenlearning.com/wm-ushistory1/chapter/bacons-rebellion-and-other-conflicts/ 5. As They Watch Us: The History of Surveilling Black and Brown Bodies, The Future of Tech,

and the Continued Limitations of Freedoms - The Heller School, https://www.heller.brandeis.edu/racial-justice-and-technology-policy/pdfs/justice-system-rjxtp-awards.pdf 6. The Origins of Modern Day Policing - NAACP, https://naacp.org/find-resources/history-explained/origins-modern-day-policing 7. Black Codes (United States) - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_Codes_(United_States) 8. Black Codes - Other Jim Crow Information, https://jimcrowmuseum.ferris.edu/links/misclink/blackcode.htm 9. Jim Crow Laws | American Experience | Official Site | PBS, https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/features/freedom-riders-jim-crow-laws/ 10. en.wikipedia.org, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Age_of_Surveillance_Capitalism#:~:text=Zuboff%20states%20that%20surveillance%20capitalism,fabricated%20into%20prediction%20products%20that 11. Surveillance capitalism - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Surveillance_capitalism 12. Shoshana Zuboff: 'Surveillance capitalism is an assault on human autonomy', https://www.theguardian.com/books/2019/oct/04/shoshana-zuboff-surveillance-capitalism-assault-human-automomy-digital-privacy 13. Shoshana Zuboff on the Undetectable, Indecipherable World of Surveillance Capitalism, https://www.cigionline.org/articles/shoshana-zuboff-undetectable-indecipherable-world-surveillance-capitalism/ 14. Surveillance Capitalism and the Challenge of Collective Action - New Labor Forum, https://newlaborforum.cuny.edu/2019/01/22/surveillance-capitalism/ 15. The history of the transatlantic slave trade | Royal Museums Greenwich, https://www.rmg.co.uk/stories/maritime-history/history-transatlantic-slave-trade 16. Traces of the Transatlantic Slave Economy in the National Heritage Collection, https://www.english-heritage.org.uk/learn/histories/transatlantic-slavery-abolition/traces-transatlantic-slave-economy/ 17. Atlantic slave trade - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atlantic_slave_trade 18. Breaking the Chains: The End of the Transatlantic Slave Trade - S.C. Sea Grant Consortium, https://www.scseagrant.org/breaking-the-chains-the-end-of-the-transatlantic-slave-trade/ 19. The economic basis of the slave trade | Revealing Histories, http://revealinghistories.org.uk/africa-the-arrival-of-europeans-and-the-transatlantic-slave-trade/articles/the-economic-basis-of-the-slave-trade.html 20. Financing the Transatlantic Slave Trade | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History, https://oxfordre.com/africanhistory/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.001.0001/acrefore-9780190277734-e-906?p=emailAUigG.SY2ifVM&d=/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.001.0001/acrefore-9780190277734-e-906 21. Bacon's Rebellion - (Intro to African American Studies) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/introduction-african-american-studies/bacons-rebellion 22. The Aftermath: The Legacy of Bacon's Rebellion :, https://lsintspl3.wgbh.org/en-us/lesson/ush22-il-baconsrebellion/9 23. Virginia Slave Code of 1705, 17, https://athena.westpoint.edu/bitstreams/131221b6-e6a1-474d-b0e8-66d8905dc9e3/download 24. Bacon's Rebellion (1676) - BlackPast.org, https://blackpast.org/african-american-history/bacons-rebellion-1676/ 25. Bacon's Rebellion - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bacon%27s_Rebellion 26. Labor and Black History Month - AFSCME Local 328, https://www.local328.org/blog/labor-and-black-history-month 27. A History of Bacons Rebellion in Six Sources | Jamestown-Yorktown Foundation, VA, https://www.jyfmuseums.org/learn/research-and-collections/essays/a-history-of-bacons-rebellion-in-six-sources 28. ncheteach.org,

https://ncheteach.org/resource/bacons-rebellion-race-land-and-rebellion-in-the-colonial-south/#:~:text=This%20shift%20marked%20a%20critical,relatively%20fluid%20boundaries%20between%20them. 29. Bacon's Rebellion - (Intro to Ethnic Studies) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/introduction-to-ethnic-studies/bacons-rebellion 30. Mass Surveillance and Black Legal History | ACS, https://www.acslaw.org/expertforum/mass-surveillance-and-black-legal-history/ 31. The (1866) Black Codes - ARound Robin Production Company, https://www.aroundrobin.com/the-black-codes/ 32. Black Codes | Center for the Study of Federalism, https://federalism.org/encyclopedia/no-topic/black-codes/ 33. Analysis: Louisiana Black Code | Research Starters - EBSCO, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/analysis-louisiana-black-code 34. Black Codes (1865) - The National Constitution Center, https://constitutioncenter.org/the-constitution/historic-document-library/detail/mississippi-south-carolina-black-codes-1865 35. Black Codes (article) | Period 5: 1844-1877 | Khan Academy, https://www.khanacademy.org/humanities/ap-us-history/period-5/apush-reconstruction/a/black-codes 36. The Southern "Black Codes" of 1865-66 - Online Lessons - Brown v ..., https://teachdemocracy.org/online-lessons/brown-v-board-50th-anniversary/southern-black-codes 37. "Black Codes" of Mississippi - Slavery and the Making of America . The Slave Experience: Legal Rights & Gov't | PBS, https://www.thirteen.org/wnet/slavery/experience/legal/docs6.html 38. Mississippi Black Code, 1865 | The American Yawp Reader, https://www.americanyawp.com/reader/reconstruction/mississippi-black-code-1865/ 39. Analysis: Various Selections of Black Codes in the South | Research Starters - EBSCO, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/politics-and-government/analysis-various-selections-black-codes-south 40. The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power - Book - Faculty & Research, https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=56791 41. The Age of Surveillance Capitalism - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Age_of_Surveillance_Capitalism 42. Algorithms in Policing: An Investigative Packet - Yale Law School, https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/area/center/mfia/document/infopack.pdf 43. Algorithmic Justice or Bias: Legal Implications of Predictive Policing Algorithms in Criminal Justice - The Johns Hopkins Undergraduate Law Review, https://jhulr.org/2025/01/01/algorithmic-justice-or-bias-legal-implications-of-predictive-policing-algorithms-in-criminal-justice/ 44. naacp.org, https://naacp.org/sites/default/files/documents/CHOPE_AI.pdf 45. Race and Surveillance Brief | Center for Comparative Studies in Race & Ethnicity, https://ccsre.stanford.edu/projects/race-and-surveillance-brief 46. Privacy & Racial Justice - Epic.org, https://epic.org/issues/democracy-free-speech/privacy-and-racial-justice/ 47. Algorithm predicts crime a week in advance, but reveals bias in police response, https://biologicalsciences.uchicago.edu/news/algorithm-predicts-crime-police-bias 48. Surveillance Capitalism & the Surveillance State: A Comparative Institutional Analysis | Mercatus Center, https://www.mercatus.org/students/research/journal-articles/surveillance-capitalism-surveillance-state-comparative 49. Surveillance capitalism and the surveillance state: a comparative institutional analysis, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380665566_Surveillance_capitalism_and_the_surveillance_state_a_comparative_institutional_analysis 50. Secretary Napolitano's Remarks on Smart Effective Border Security and Immigration Enforcement, https://www.dhs.gov/archive/news/2011/10/05/secretary-napolitanos-remarks-smart-effective-bo

rder-security-and-immigration 51. Protecting The American People Against Invasion - The White House, https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/protecting-the-american-people-again st-invasion/ 52. Mass Deportation: Analyzing the Trump Administration's Attacks on Immigrants, Democracy, and America, https://www.americanimmigrationcouncil.org/report/mass-deportation-trump-democracy/ 53. Trump Claims on Immigration Enforcement: Rhetoric vs Reality - TRAC, https://tracreports.org/reports/759/ 54. DHS Says Videotaping ICE Agents Is Illegal. Federal Courts Disagree. | Cato Institute, https://www.cato.org/commentary/dhs-says-videotaping-ice-agents-illegal-federal-courts-disagre e 55. Deportation threat: US Immigration authority is planning to create 'spy team' for leads on Facebook, Instagram and other social media platforms, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/technology/tech-news/deportation-threat-us-immigration-aut hority-is-planning-to-create-spy-team-for-leads-on-facebook-instagram-and-other-social-media-p latforms/articleshow/124341150.cms 56. ICE Plans to Boost Social Media Surveillance Using Contractors - Mississippi Free Press, https://www.mississippifreepress.org/ice-plans-to-ramp-up-social-media-surveillance-using-contr actors-in-vermont-and-california/ 57. Wired: ICE Bolstering Social Media Surveillance Nationwide - Democracy Now!, https://www.democracynow.org/2025/10/8/headlines/wired_ice_bolstering_social_media_surveill ance_nationwide 58. How tech powers immigration enforcement | Brookings, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/how-tech-powers-immigration-enforcement/ 59. EPIC v. ICE (Location and Social Media Surveillance), https://epic.org/documents/epic-v-ice-location-and-social-media-surveillance/ 60. USA: ICE uses social media to surveil and arrest immigrants, https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/latest-news/usa-ice-uses-social-media-to-surveil-and- arrest-immigrants/ 61. Wired: ICE Wants to Build Out a 24/7 Social Media Surveillance Team - Epic.org, https://epic.org/wired-ice-wants-to-build-out-a-24-7-social-media-surveillance-team/ 62. Social Media Monitoring - Street Level Surveillance, https://sls.eff.org/technologies/social-media-monitoring 63. Who We Are | ICE, https://www.ice.gov/about-ice 64. What We Investigate - ICE, https://www.ice.gov/about-ice/hsi/investigate 65. Study Reveals Inadequacy of Police Departments' Social Media Surveillance Policies, https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/study-reveals-inadequacy-police-depa rtments-social-media-surveillance 66. Law Enforcement Social Media Monitoring Is Invasive and Opaque, https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/law-enforcement-social-media-monitor ing-invasive-and-opaque 67. Federal Government Social Media Surveillance, Explained | Brennan Center for Justice, https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/federal-government-social-media-surv eillance-explained 68. How ICE and Other DHS Agencies Mine Social Media in the Name of National Security, https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/how-ice-and-other-dhs-agencies-mine -social-media-name-national-security