Deep Vision Report: The AI-Energy Disparity Crisis in America

Executive Summary

This report examines the intersection of America’s artificial intelligence (AI) boom and its deeply rooted energy infrastructure disparity, revealing a dual crisis that threatens to fundamentally reshape economic opportunity, environmental sustainability, and social equity in the United States. While massive, concentrated investment in AI drives headline Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, it simultaneously exacerbates existing energy inequities and creates new forms of technological and economic exclusion. The financial architecture of the AI boom, characterized by speculative valuations and debt-fueled expansion, bears the hallmarks of a bubble, posing systemic risks to the broader economy. The insatiable energy demand of AI data centers is triggering a resurgence in fossil fuel consumption, derailing decarbonization goals and concentrating environmental and health burdens on already vulnerable communities. This analysis concludes that without immediate and structural policy interventions, the AI revolution is on a trajectory to create a more divided, fragile, and unsustainable America. The report outlines a comprehensive path forward, centered on principles of energy justice, corporate accountability, and democratic governance, to steer technological development toward a future of shared and sustainable prosperity.

I. Introduction: The Paradox of AI Prosperity

A. Framing the Crisis

The United States stands at the precipice of a technological transformation heralded as the dawn of a new economic era. The artificial intelligence boom, fueled by trillions of dollars in investment and promises of unprecedented productivity, dominates headlines and buoys financial markets. Yet, beneath this shimmering surface of innovation lies a paradox. The very engine of this new prosperity is also a powerful accelerator of inequality, environmental degradation, and social stratification. This report argues that the collision of the AI boom with America's deeply inequitable energy infrastructure has ignited a dual crisis, one that is largely invisible in national economic statistics but profoundly felt in communities across the country.
The illusion of broad-based economic growth masks a reality of distorted development. Headline GDP figures, inflated by a concentrated surge in AI-related capital expenditure, create a misleading narrative of a robust and widely shared recovery. In truth, this growth is narrow, benefiting a small cadre of corporations and investors while the rest of the economy stagnates. AI is both a technological marvel and an inequality machine. It promises to solve humanity's greatest challenges while its current deployment model deepens the chasms between the haves and have-nots.
At the heart of this crisis is the nexus of energy and technology. The immense computational power required by AI models translates into an unprecedented demand for electricity—a demand being met by an energy system already defined by decades of disparity. Access to affordable, reliable, and clean energy is no longer merely a matter of household comfort; it is a

determinant of 21st-century citizenship. The ability to participate in an AI-driven economy, from running a small business to completing homework, is predicated on an energy infrastructure that is failing a significant portion of the population. The AI-energy disparity is thus creating new forms of systemic exclusion, where one's zip code and income level dictate their access to the foundational technologies of the future.

B. Scope and Methodology

This report introduces and analyzes the AI-energy disparity framework: a feedback loop wherein concentrated AI capital demands massive energy inputs, which in turn strains an already inequitable energy system. This strain leads to higher costs, lower reliability, and increased pollution for marginalized communities, further entrenching their economic disadvantage and foreclosing opportunities to benefit from the technological shift.

The analysis is built upon a multi-layered synthesis of diverse data sources. These include:

- **Financial and Industry Reports:** Analysis of market trends, corporate filings, and investment patterns to map the financial architecture of the AI sector.
- **Federal and Academic Energy Data:** Utilization of data from the Department of Energy (DOE), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and academic institutions to quantify the dimensions of energy disparity and the environmental impact of data centers.
- **Economic Analyses:** Examination of GDP data and macroeconomic studies to deconstruct the nature of AI-driven growth.
- **Case Studies and Community-Level Data:** Ground-level investigations into the lived experiences of communities at the forefront of the AI-energy collision.

This report integrates the perspectives of key stakeholders, drawing from public statements, regulatory filings, and investigative journalism. These include the strategic pronouncements of tech industry leaders like OpenAI CEO Sam Altman , the operational challenges faced by utility companies, the concerns and resistance of impacted communities , and the evolving responses of policymakers. By weaving these threads together, the report aims to provide a comprehensive, evidence-based account of a defining challenge of our time and to chart a course toward a more just and sustainable technological future.

II. The AI Money Machine: Concentrated Capital and Distorted Growth

The economic narrative of the past several years has been dominated by the explosive growth of the artificial intelligence sector. However, a closer examination of the financial mechanics reveals an ecosystem that is highly concentrated, self-referential, and increasingly disconnected from the real economy experienced by most Americans. This section dissects the AI money machine, exposing how it generates the illusion of broad prosperity while concentrating wealth and masking underlying sectoral stagnation.

A. Mapping the AI Investment Ecosystem

The AI boom is not a decentralized, grassroots phenomenon; it is a financial superstructure built and controlled by a handful of corporate giants. The flow of capital is dominated by a tight-knit group of companies, each controlling a critical layer of the AI stack: Nvidia in specialized processors (GPUs), Microsoft and Oracle in cloud infrastructure, and OpenAI in foundational

models.
The scale of investment is staggering. In a single year, OpenAI has orchestrated deals valued at approximately $1 trillion—an amount far exceeding its actual revenue—to secure the immense computing power its models require. These agreements include commitments of up to $500 billion with Nvidia, $300 billion with AMD, and another $300 billion with Oracle, binding the future profitability of the world's largest tech firms to OpenAI's success. This ecosystem is sustained by self-reinforcing capital loops, a dynamic often described as "circular financing". In a prominent example, Nvidia agreed to invest up to $100 billion in OpenAI's data center capacity. This deal raised immediate questions among analysts, who noted that Nvidia has a pattern of investing in dozens of AI startups that subsequently use the funds to purchase Nvidia's own expensive GPUs. This practice creates a closed circuit of capital: investment flows from the supplier to the customer, who then returns it to the supplier as revenue. While this activity inflates valuations and top-line revenue figures for both parties, it generates little new value in the external economy. It is a financial mechanism that creates the appearance of explosive growth by having a small pool of capital circulate rapidly within a closed system.
This financial structure is not an anomaly but a defining feature of the AI investment landscape. Venture capital has flooded into the sector, with AI's share of total U.S. venture investment skyrocketing from 16% in 2021 to an astonishing 71% in the first quarter of 2025. Global venture funding saw a 38% year-over-year increase in Q3 2025, driven almost entirely by massive funding rounds for a few AI giants. This concentration of capital within a self-referential loop suggests the AI economy is behaving less like a productive sector and more like a financialized asset class, where valuations are driven by capital flows rather than by underlying profitability or broad economic utility.

B. The GDP Growth Illusion

This financial hyperactivity translates directly into headline economic statistics, creating a distorted picture of national prosperity. Recent U.S. GDP growth has been disproportionately driven by this narrow sliver of the economy. Analysis shows that between the fourth quarter of 2024 and mid-2025, investment in data center-related infrastructure contributed slightly more to GDP growth than all of U.S. consumer spending combined. This indicates that without the AI investment boom, the American economy would be perilously close to stagnation.
While the AI sector accounts for a small fraction of the overall economy—estimated at around 5% —its outsized impact on growth figures masks weakness elsewhere. The U.S. manufacturing sector, for instance, has been in a state of contraction for six consecutive months, with the AI boom only providing isolated support to niche segments involved in producing AI-related hardware. Other critical sectors like traditional real estate and services, where the majority of Americans are employed, show none of the dynamism celebrated in the AI space. The table below starkly illustrates this disconnect.

Table 1: The GDP Growth Illusion - AI's Concentrated Impact

Sector/Component	Share of U.S. Economy (%)	Contribution to Recent GDP Growth (%)	Key Data Point / Source
AI/Data Center Investment	~5	High (Exceeded consumer spending in a recent period)	Investment in data centers is a primary driver of recent GDP growth
Consumer Spending	~68	Moderate (Less than	Consumer spending

Sector/Component	Share of U.S. Economy (%)	Contribution to Recent GDP Growth (%)	Key Data Point / Source
		data center investment in a recent period)	has been outpaced by AI investment as a growth driver
Manufacturing	~10	Negative/Stagnant	U.S. manufacturing has been in contraction for six consecutive months
Real Estate	~12	Stagnant	Commercial real estate faces headwinds, while AI drives niche industrial demand

When the economy's growth is adjusted to isolate the impact of the AI investment bubble, a picture of near-zero growth emerges for the vast majority of the country. This adjusted figure aligns far more closely with the lived experience of American households grappling with inflation and economic uncertainty. While some economic models project a modest, long-term boost to GDP levels from AI-driven productivity—such as the Penn Wharton Budget Model's estimate of a 1.5% level increase by 2035—these potential future gains are overshadowed by the immediate reality of a distorted, inequitable, and fragile growth model. More conservative estimates suggest the GDP boost may be closer to just 1% over the next decade, a "nontrivial, but modest effect" that hardly justifies the current level of speculative frenzy.

C. Winners and Losers in the AI Economy

This model of distorted growth creates a clear bifurcation of winners and losers. The winners are a new "digital aristocracy" comprised of the venture capitalists, cloud infrastructure providers, and chip manufacturers at the center of the capital loops. The immense paper wealth generated by soaring valuations translates into real-world economic and political power for a select few. The losers are the vast majority of communities and sectors left behind. Rural areas and post-industrial regions like the Rust Belt are increasingly viewed not as partners in innovation but as potential sites for energy extraction to power the data centers of the tech hubs. Small businesses, lacking the capital to invest in proprietary AI and facing rising energy costs, are placed at a significant competitive disadvantage. This dynamic is not lifting all boats; it is fueling a handful of rocket ships while leaving the rest of the fleet stranded in a receding tide. This financial structure, built on concentrated capital and circular logic, has profound implications, creating a powerful constituency with a vested interest in perpetuating the narrative of an AI-driven economic miracle, regardless of its real-world costs to the environment, social equity, and the stability of the broader economy.

III. Energy Infrastructure: The Foundation of Inequality

The immense energy demands of the AI boom are not being imposed upon a neutral, uniform system. Instead, they are colliding with a U.S. energy infrastructure that is already a landscape of deeply entrenched inequality. This pre-existing disparity, rooted in a century of discriminatory policy and planning, provides the fractured foundation upon which the AI-energy crisis is being built. Understanding this foundation is critical to comprehending how AI acts not merely as a new source of energy demand, but as a powerful multiplier of existing injustice. The American energy system can be analyzed across four key dimensions of disparity: access, quality, affordability, and cleanliness.

A. The Four Dimensions of Energy Disparity

1. Access Disparity

In the 21st century, access to the electrical grid is a prerequisite for economic participation, education, and public health. Yet, for thousands of American households, this basic service remains out of reach. Grid connection gaps are most pronounced in rural, remote, and tribal communities. An estimated 17,000 tribal homes, affecting at least 54,000 people, have no electricity. On Native American reservations, 14% of households lack electricity access, a rate ten times the national average. The Navajo Nation is a stark example of these "energy deserts," with approximately 13,000 households still living off the grid.

This "last-mile problem" is a direct consequence of a utility model that prioritizes profit over public service. The cost of extending distribution lines to remote, sparsely populated areas can be as high as $60,000 per mile, an investment that private utilities often deem unprofitable, effectively abandoning these communities.

2. Quality and Reliability Disparity

For those who are connected to the grid, the quality of service is far from uniform. A growing body of research demonstrates that low-income communities and communities of color experience more frequent and longer-lasting power outages. This disparity is a direct result of aging and poorly maintained infrastructure in historically marginalized neighborhoods.

Studies quantify this gap with alarming precision. Researchers at Georgia Tech found that communities with lower socioeconomic ratings wait, on average, nearly three hours longer for power to be restored after a storm. A one-decile drop in a community's socioeconomic status is associated with a 6.1% longer outage duration. These prolonged outages can be medically significant, outlasting the battery life of essential medical devices. The disparity is particularly acute for tribal communities, which face 6.5 times more electricity outages per year than the national average. This gap in grid resilience means that the communities least equipped to cope with disasters are the most exposed to infrastructure failure, especially in an era of increasing extreme weather events.

3. Affordability Disparity

The most pervasive dimension of energy inequity is affordability, measured by "energy burden"—the percentage of household income spent on energy costs. A household energy burden over 6% is widely considered unaffordable. By this measure, a staggering number of Americans live in energy poverty.

According to research from the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE), two-thirds of all low-income households in the U.S. have a high energy burden, and two out of five have a severe burden, spending more than 10% of their income on energy. For the most vulnerable quartile of low-income households, the average energy burden is over 15%. When transportation fuel is included, the average low-income household spends 17.8% of its income on energy—more than three times the national average.

This creates a vicious poverty-energy trap. High energy costs force families into impossible choices between paying utility bills and affording other basic necessities like food, medicine, and housing. In 2020, an estimated 33 million U.S. households were energy insecure, with many forgoing essentials to keep the lights on. This burden is not distributed equally across demographics. Black, Hispanic, and Native American households all face disproportionately higher energy burdens than white households, even at similar income levels.

4. Clean Energy Access Disparity

The transition to a green economy, rather than alleviating these burdens, is currently deepening them. Access to clean energy technologies like rooftop solar, electric vehicles (EVs), and home efficiency upgrades has become a new marker of privilege. Higher-income households are four times more likely to adopt rooftop solar than low-income families, despite the fact that 42% of residential solar potential is in low- to moderate-income communities. The primary barriers are systemic: high upfront costs, complex and hard-to-access financial incentives, and a lack of homeownership exclude the very populations that would benefit most from lower energy bills. The "solar-EV-efficiency divide" ensures that the benefits of the clean energy transition accrue to the affluent, while lower-income communities remain tethered to a volatile and increasingly expensive fossil fuel-based system.

The following table provides a stark, quantitative summary of these four dimensions of disparity.

Table 2: The Four Dimensions of U.S. Energy Disparity

Dimension of Disparity	Key Metric	Average/Affluent Household	Low-Income Household	Black/Hispanic/Native Household	Data Source(s)
Affordability	Energy Burden (% of income)	~3.1%	15%+ (upper quartile)	3.5%-4.2% (median) vs. 2.9% for white households	
Reliability	Outage Frequency & Duration	~1.3 outages/year, ~5 hours total	More frequent; ~3 hours longer per outage	Disproportionately higher shutoffs & outage durations	
Access	% of Households w/o Electricity	~0%	Disproportionately rural	14% on reservations (10x national avg.)	
Clean Energy	Rooftop Solar Adoption Rate	4x higher than low-income	Low	Low due to systemic barriers	

B. Historical Roots of Energy Inequity

These contemporary disparities are not accidental; they are the direct and predictable outcomes of historical policy choices and business practices that encoded racial and economic segregation into the very wires of our energy grid.

The practice of **federal redlining** in the 1930s is a primary culprit. Government-created maps designated neighborhoods with high populations of African Americans and other minorities as "hazardous" for investment. These same communities subsequently received lower-quality

energy infrastructure or were the last to be served as utilities expanded. This legacy of disinvestment is a direct cause of the inefficient housing stock, higher energy burdens, and increased vulnerability to extreme heat that plague these neighborhoods today.
This was part of a broader pattern of **discriminatory utility planning** that systematically prioritized affluent, white communities for modern, reliable infrastructure while underinvesting in or entirely bypassing communities of color and rural areas deemed "unprofitable". Compounding this neglect was the practice of **environmental racism in power plant siting**. For decades, polluting power plants and other hazardous energy facilities have been disproportionately located in or near low-income and minority communities. This has created a cruel "double burden": the same communities that pay the highest percentage of their income for unreliable power also bear the greatest health costs from the pollution generated to produce that power.
The current state of the American energy grid is therefore not a neutral, technical system. It is a physical manifestation of a century of segregationist policy, a system engineered for inequity. The AI boom, by treating this system as a limitless resource to be exploited, is not just revealing these historical faults but is actively leveraging them for private gain, threatening to lock in these injustices for generations to come.

IV. The AI-Energy Collision: How AI Amplifies Disparity

The insatiable energy appetite of the AI industry is colliding with the fragile and inequitable energy infrastructure detailed in the previous section. This collision is not a future risk; it is a present-day crisis that is actively amplifying energy disparity, derailing climate goals, and transferring wealth from the public to a handful of tech corporations. AI is functioning as a powerful accelerant for energy injustice through four primary mechanisms: a massive surge in data center energy demand, a consequent resurgence of fossil fuels, the socialization of infrastructure costs onto residential ratepayers, and the derailment of the broader green transition.

A. The Data Center Energy Explosion

The core of the problem is the exponential growth in electricity consumption by AI data centers. While forecasts vary, they all point to a demand surge of historic proportions. Current projections for U.S. data center energy consumption by 2030 range from a conservative 300-400 terawatt-hours (TWh) per year to an astonishing 1,050 TWh. The highest estimate would represent nearly a quarter of all U.S. electricity generated in 2023. McKinsey & Company projects that by 2030, U.S. data centers will consume 606 TWh, accounting for 11.7% of the nation's total power demand, a tripling of their share from 2023. The International Energy Agency (IEA) similarly forecasts that U.S. data center consumption will increase by 240 TWh by 2030.
This demand is not evenly distributed but is geographically concentrated in emerging tech hubs, placing unprecedented strain on regional grids. "Data Center Alley" in Northern Virginia is the most prominent example, but similar clusters are rapidly expanding in states like Arizona, Texas, and Ohio. In these regions, data centers are now in direct competition with residential communities, hospitals, schools, and other industries for limited grid capacity and priority for new connections, a competition they are well-positioned to win due to their immense capital and

political influence.

B. Fossil Fuel Resurgence and Environmental Justice

The staggering speed and scale of this new demand are overwhelming the pace of renewable energy deployment. Utilities, faced with the need to bring massive amounts of reliable, 24/7 power online quickly, are turning back to the past. Across the country, the AI boom is triggering a fossil fuel resurgence. Utilities are delaying the scheduled retirement of aging coal-fired power plants and proposing the construction of new natural gas facilities specifically to serve data center load. In Georgia, two coal plants slated for closure are being kept online. In Texas, data center developers are bypassing the public grid entirely to build their own large, private gas-fired power plants.

This revival of fossil fuels has dire consequences for environmental justice. As established, polluting power plants are disproportionately located in or near low-income communities and communities of color. The increased emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2), nitrogen oxides (NO_X), and particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) from these plants will directly translate into higher rates of asthma, respiratory illness, heart attacks, and premature deaths in these frontline communities. The data center boom is projected to drive between $5.7 billion and $9.2 billion in annual public health costs from this additional air pollution alone. The carbon cost is also immense. The training of a single large AI model can generate over 550 tons of carbon dioxide, equivalent to the annual emissions of dozens of cars. This figure only accounts for "operational carbon" from electricity use and ignores the significant "embodied carbon" from manufacturing the hardware and constructing the massive data center facilities.

C. The Utility Cost Transfer Mechanism

While tech companies reap the profits of AI, the public is being forced to subsidize their single largest operational cost: energy. The mechanism for this cost transfer is embedded in the standard operating procedure of regulated utilities. When a large new customer like a data center requires significant grid upgrades—new transmission lines, substations, and power plants—the utility undertakes these capital-intensive projects. The costs are then added to the utility's overall "rate base," and all customers in the service area pay for them through higher electricity rates.

This socialization of costs is already appearing in the monthly bills of residential customers. In data center-heavy regions across the Eastern U.S., households have seen their electricity bills rise by $10 to $27 per month, directly attributable to the cost of powering AI. A Bloomberg investigation found that wholesale electricity prices have spiked by as much as 267% in data center hot spots, with these costs inevitably passed on to homes and small businesses. Analysts at Bain & Company project that the revenue requirements for serving data centers could increase customer bills by an additional 1% to 2% annually through 2032, on top of already projected increases of 7% to 9% per year.

This burden is made more inequitable by the fact that data centers, as massive industrial customers, often negotiate special, lower electricity rates than residential users, meaning ordinary families are effectively subsidizing the energy consumption of some of the world's wealthiest corporations. This represents a massive, regressive transfer of wealth from the public to the AI industry.

D. The Green Transition Derailed

The cumulative effect of these dynamics is the potential derailment of America's clean energy transition. The AI-driven fossil fuel resurgence is directly undermining state and national decarbonization goals. Tech companies, despite public commitments to sustainability, are seeing their own carbon footprints balloon; Google's emissions rose 48% from 2019-2023, and Microsoft's increased nearly 30% from 2020-2023.

Furthermore, the rush to build new gas plants to provide "firm" power for data centers risks creating decades of fossil fuel lock-in, potentially stranding future investments in renewable energy and battery storage. There is also a significant opportunity cost. Every megawatt of power and every billion dollars of grid investment allocated to a private data center is a megawatt and a billion dollars that cannot be used to electrify public transportation, weatherize low-income housing, or build community solar projects. The AI industry is not just consuming energy; it is consuming the very resources—capital, grid capacity, and political will—needed for a broad and equitable green transition. The current trajectory places the speculative profits of a single industry ahead of the shared goal of a stable climate and a sustainable energy future.

V. Economic Fragility: The Debt-Fueled AI Bubble

Beneath the narrative of technological inevitability, the financial foundation of the AI boom appears increasingly unstable. The sector's explosive growth is not primarily funded by profits from widely adopted, value-creating products, but by a torrent of speculative capital and massive corporate debt. This financial architecture exhibits classic indicators of a speculative bubble, creating systemic risks that extend far beyond Silicon Valley and threaten the stability of the broader U.S. economy.

A. The Financial Architecture of AI Growth

The unprecedented capital expenditure required for AI infrastructure is forcing even the world's wealthiest tech companies to turn to debt markets. The AI data center buildout is now largely financed by debt rather than internal cash flows, exposing the boom to interest rate risk and default vulnerabilities. The scale of this borrowing is immense. "Hyperscalers" like Microsoft, Google, and Oracle are projected to spend a combined $490 billion on infrastructure in the coming year alone.

- **Oracle** recently sold $18 billion in bonds—one of the largest U.S. debt deals of the year—to finance the capacity needed for its $300 billion deal with OpenAI.
- **Meta** borrowed $26 billion to fund the construction of a single massive data center campus in Louisiana.
- **Vantage Data Centers** is securing a loan of over $22 billion, led by JPMorgan Chase, for another major data center project.

This debt is often structured through complex financial vehicles, such as special purpose vehicles (SPVs), which keep the liabilities off the primary balance sheets of the tech giants, obscuring the full extent of the financial risk from investors and regulators. This mountain of debt is layered on top of a historic influx of venture capital. In the first quarter of 2025, AI-related companies captured 71% of all U.S. venture capital investment, with a significant portion concentrated in "megarounds" of $500 million or more for a few leading firms.

B. Bubble Indicators and Systemic Risk

The current AI frenzy displays numerous classic indicators of a speculative bubble, drawing direct parallels to the dot-com crash of the early 2000s and the 2008 housing crisis. The "AI bubble checklist" is flashing red on multiple fronts: massive and complex debt structures, vendor financing schemes (circular financing), widespread calls for deregulation to "let innovators innovate," and a surge in IPOs from companies with no revenue or viable products.

A critical warning sign is the disconnect from fundamental valuation metrics. AI companies are increasingly valued not on profit or revenue, but on novel, technical metrics like the number of parameters in their models or their performance on AI benchmarks. This shift away from traditional financial measurements to justify sky-high valuations is a hallmark of speculative manias.

This leads directly to the "profitability problem." Many of the industry's central players, despite multi-billion-dollar valuations, are unprofitable and burning through cash at an unsustainable rate.

- **OpenAI**, the face of the generative AI boom, has yet to turn a profit and expects to burn through $115 billion in cash by 2029.
- A report by consultancy **Bain & Co.** projects that by 2030, the AI industry will face an annual revenue shortfall of $800 billion in its ability to cover its computing costs.

This fundamental gap between expenditures and revenues has led prominent hedge fund managers like David Einhorn to warn of a "tremendous amount of capital destruction" on the horizon. The following table compares the current AI boom to historical bubbles, highlighting these worrying parallels.

Table 3: AI Bubble Indicators vs. Historical Precedents

Bubble Indicator	Dot-Com Bubble (1999-2001)	Housing Bubble (2006-2008)	AI Boom (2023-Present)
Primary Fuel	Speculative Equity	Complex Debt (MBS/CDOs)	Corporate Debt & "Circular" VC
Valuation Metric	"Eyeballs," "Clicks"	Inflated Appraisals	Model Parameters, Compute Capacity
Profitability	Largely Absent	Assumed, but Fraudulent	Unproven, Massive Cash Burn
Physical Assets	Minimal (Fiber Optics)	Overbuilt Housing	Massive Energy Infrastructure
Key Phrase	"Get Big Fast"	"Housing Never Goes Down"	"Scaling Laws"

Data Sources:

C. Potential Collapse Scenarios

A deflation of this bubble could trigger severe economic consequences. A failure of a major AI player to meet its debt obligations could set off a default cascade, as the highly interconnected financing structures spread contagion through the tech and financial sectors. The major banks leading the multi-billion-dollar loans to data center companies are significantly exposed, creating a risk to the broader financial system. Regional economies in places like Virginia and Arizona, which have become dependent on the tax revenue and construction jobs from the data center boom, would face a severe downturn. A sharp market correction of 10-20%, or even 30% if coupled with a recession, is a plausible scenario if AI adoption or revenue generation falls short of the current lofty projections.

D. Who Bears the Cost of Failure?

In the event of a collapse, the pattern of privatized gains and socialized losses is likely to repeat. The enormous paper profits will have been realized by a small group of founders, executives, and early venture capitalists. The losses, however, will be borne by the public.
This AI bubble is unique and particularly dangerous because its speculative value is being transmuted into massive, illiquid, and long-lived physical assets: power plants and electricity transmission lines. Unlike the dot-com bust, which primarily resulted in financial losses and some abandoned fiber optic cable, a collapse of the AI bubble would leave a lasting physical scar. The economic justification for building billions of dollars in new gas-fired power plants and grid infrastructure is predicated entirely on the assumption of continued, exponential growth in AI energy demand. If that demand evaporates, the public will be left with a legacy of stranded assets—useless, carbon-intensive power plants with 30- to 40-year lifespans—that they will be forced to pay for through higher electricity rates for decades to come. This transforms a financial market failure into a long-term, tangible burden on workers, communities, and taxpayers.

VI. Multidimensional Impacts of the AI-Energy Disparity

The collision of a speculative AI boom with an inequitable energy system creates cascading consequences that ripple across every facet of American society. The impacts are not confined to stock market valuations or utility bills; they manifest as deeper economic stratification, adverse health outcomes, accelerating environmental injustice, and a weakening of democratic governance. This section synthesizes the preceding analyses to provide a comprehensive overview of these multidimensional impacts.

A. Economic Stratification

The AI-energy disparity is a powerful engine of economic stratification, creating a two-tiered economy that exacerbates existing inequalities.

- **Widening Wealth Gap:** The boom is generating unprecedented wealth for a small class of tech investors, executives, and owners of specialized infrastructure, while the majority of the population bears the externalized costs of higher energy bills and a stagnant real economy. This dynamic accelerates the widening gap between the ultra-wealthy and everyone else.
- **Regional Economic Divergence:** The benefits of the AI economy are geographically concentrated in a few tech hubs with access to capital and robust infrastructure. Meanwhile, other regions, particularly rural areas and the post-industrial Rust Belt, are increasingly relegated to the role of "energy colonies" or "sacrifice zones"—sites for resource-intensive data centers and polluting power plants that offer few local, high-quality, permanent jobs. This creates a stark divergence, where some regions experience a speculative boom while others are hollowed out, exporting cheap energy and importing environmental harm.
- **Job Market Polarization:** While AI is creating a limited number of high-skill, high-wage

jobs in fields like machine learning engineering, it threatens to displace a far larger number of middle-skill, white-collar jobs in areas like administration, customer service, and even computer programming. Recent data already shows a concerning trend: unemployment among tech workers aged 20-30 has risen significantly since the start of 2025, suggesting that generative AI is creating hiring headwinds for recent graduates and entry-level cognitive labor. This threatens to polarize the labor market into a small group of high-end "AI creators" and a large, precarious service class.

- **Small Business Competitive Disadvantage:** Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are caught in a double bind. They lack the immense capital required to develop or deploy proprietary AI systems at scale, putting them at a competitive disadvantage against large corporations. Simultaneously, they are forced to pay the higher electricity rates driven by the data center boom, increasing their operational costs and squeezing their margins.

B. Health and Social Consequences

The physical infrastructure of the AI boom directly impacts public health and social well-being, with the burdens falling most heavily on vulnerable populations.

- **Health Impacts of Power Generation:** The resurgence of fossil fuels to power data centers leads to increased emissions of particulate matter, nitrogen oxides, and other pollutants known to cause severe health problems. Communities located near these power plants, which are disproportionately low-income and communities of color, will experience higher rates of respiratory illnesses like asthma, cardiovascular disease, heart attacks, and premature death. The public health costs from this air pollution are estimated to be between $5.7 billion and $9.2 billion annually.
- **Heat Vulnerability and Grid Stress:** The immense strain that data centers place on local grids increases the risk of blackouts, particularly during extreme weather events like heatwaves. For low-income households, the elderly, and individuals with medical conditions, a power outage during a heatwave can be a life-threatening event.
- **The "Homework Gap" in the AI Age:** The digital divide is deepening. It is no longer simply about access to a device and an internet connection. It is now about access to the affordable and reliable electricity needed to power those devices and access to the increasingly essential AI tools for education and employment. Students in energy-insecure households, who already struggle to complete homework online, will fall further behind in an AI-integrated educational landscape.
- **Correlation of Food and Energy Insecurity:** The direct link between high energy burdens and food insecurity is well-documented. The "heat or eat" dilemma forces families to make impossible trade-offs, cutting back on groceries and other necessities to pay escalating utility bills. As AI drives energy costs higher, it will directly exacerbate hunger and economic precarity.

C. Environmental and Climate Justice

The AI boom represents a significant setback for climate action and concentrates environmental harm in already overburdened communities.

- **Carbon Emissions Trajectory:** By incentivizing the construction of new natural gas plants and extending the life of coal plants, the AI industry is locking in decades of new carbon emissions. This trajectory puts the U.S. on a path to miss its national and

international climate targets and undermines the global effort to decarbonize.
- **Water Consumption:** AI data centers are voracious consumers of water, using millions of gallons per day for cooling systems. This is creating intense resource conflicts in water-stressed regions like Arizona and the Southwest. In some cases, tech companies have negotiated preferential water rates that are lower than what local residents pay, forcing communities to subsidize the depletion of their own scarce water supplies.
- **Cumulative Environmental Burden:** The AI-energy disparity creates "sacrifice zones" where multiple environmental harms converge. These communities face the cumulative burden of air pollution from power plants, water depletion from data center cooling, noise pollution from cooling fans and backup generators, and the potential for contamination from electronic waste as hardware becomes rapidly obsolete.

D. Democratic and Political Implications

The concentration of economic power within the AI sector translates directly into outsized political influence, which threatens to distort public policy and erode democratic governance.

- **Tech Oligarch Political Influence:** The immense wealth generated by the AI boom allows a small number of tech companies and their executives to exert significant influence over the political process through lobbying, campaign contributions, and control over information platforms.
- **Regulatory Erosion and Policy Distortion:** This influence is used to advocate for policies that benefit the industry, such as massive tax breaks, expedited permitting for data centers and power plants, and the weakening of environmental regulations. The narrative of a global "race for AI supremacy" is often deployed to justify bypassing democratic oversight and community input.
- **Diminished Community Voice:** Local communities, particularly those in rural and low-income areas, often lack the resources and political power to effectively challenge the siting of massive data center and energy projects in their backyards, leaving them with little say in decisions that profoundly affect their health, environment, and economic future.

Ultimately, the AI-energy crisis is forcing a redefinition of "critical infrastructure." The private infrastructure of AI is being prioritized over the public's right to affordable, reliable, and clean energy. This represents a fundamental shift in public policy, where the needs of a single, speculative industry are treated as more critical than the foundational well-being of the general population.

VII. Case Studies: AI-Energy Disparity on the Ground

The systemic crises detailed in this report are not abstract phenomena; they are unfolding in specific communities across the United States. These case studies provide a ground-level view of the AI-energy disparity, illustrating the real-world consequences for American families, their health, and their economic futures.

A. The Rural-Urban Divide: Pittsylvania County, Virginia

In the rolling hills of rural Pittsylvania County, Virginia, a community's fight against a massive data center project exemplifies the growing conflict between the AI industry's expansion and the

preservation of rural life. In 2024, residents from all walks of life—farmers, teachers, pastors, and small business owners—united to oppose a proposal by developer Balico LLC to rezone 2,200 acres of farmland for a "data center MegaCampus" that would have included 84 data centers and a 3,500-megawatt gas-fired power plant, the second-largest in the nation.
The community's resistance was rooted in the understanding that their home was being designated as a "sacrifice zone". The immense energy generated by the private power plant would be dedicated solely to the data center, while the residents would be left to bear the environmental and health costs. An independent report estimated the plant would emit over 326 tons of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) annually, a dangerous pollutant linked to severe health impacts, and could result in over $31 million in yearly healthcare-related costs for the region.
This case starkly illustrates the rural-urban divide in the AI era. Rural areas with available land and access to energy infrastructure are targeted for resource-intensive projects whose economic benefits are largely extracted and concentrated elsewhere. The promised tax revenue was weighed against the permanent industrialization of agricultural land, the pollution of the air, and the degradation of the community's quality of life. In a rare victory for community organizing, the Pittsylvania County Board of Supervisors voted 6–1 to deny the rezoning application, demonstrating that local resistance can successfully challenge the narrative of inevitable technological expansion.

B. Environmental Justice Communities: South Carolina and Memphis

The AI boom's reliance on a resurgent fossil fuel industry is inflicting a heavy toll on environmental justice communities. In South Carolina, pledges from Facebook (Meta) and Google to invest over $4 billion in new data centers are driving projections that the state will need four new fossil fuel power plants by 2040. This development directly threatens the health and safety of Black communities, which are historically and presently overburdened by pollution from energy infrastructure. The legacy of this injustice is deep; the construction of a major power plant in the 1930s destroyed the homes of 900 Black sharecropping families and desecrated thousands of graves. Today, residents and advocates fear a new digital frontier is repeating the same patterns of exploitation. As one researcher noted, "Most Black households, especially rural ones in the South, are not using AI or as much computing power, but they are having to pay for that demand in both money and dirty air".
A more flagrant example of this disregard occurred in Memphis, Tennessee. In June 2024, Elon Musk's xAI installed 35 unpermitted gas turbines to power a new data center in the historically Black neighborhood of Boxtown, bypassing the local utility. These turbines release toxic, cancer-causing pollutants and smog-forming chemicals. Shortly after the data center began operating, nearby air pollution monitors reported harmful levels of smog, directly impacting the health of the surrounding community. This case demonstrates an unprecedented level of corporate impunity, where a company unilaterally decides to build and operate a polluting power source in a vulnerable community without regulatory approval, externalizing its energy costs directly onto the lungs of local residents.

C. The Tribal Lands Experience: The Navajo Nation

No case illustrates the concept of energy disparity more starkly than the experience of the Navajo Nation. While the AI industry consumes energy on the scale of entire countries, approximately 13,000 Navajo households—impacting tens of thousands of people—still live without any access to electricity. This profound energy access gap is a direct legacy of historical exclusion and discriminatory federal policies, such as the 1936 Rural Electrification Act that largely bypassed tribal nations and the devastating 40-year "Bennet Freeze" that prohibited infrastructure development on 1.6 million acres of Navajo land.

The injustice is compounded by the fact that tribal lands are often rich in the very energy resources—both renewable and conventional—that the AI industry covets. This creates a deeply problematic dynamic where sovereign nations lacking basic infrastructure are confronted with proposals for massive data centers or energy projects. Such proposals present a difficult choice between much-needed revenue and the potential for further resource extraction, environmental degradation, and the erosion of cultural sovereignty. The juxtaposition of unelectrified homes against the backdrop of a hyper-consumptive digital industry highlights a fundamental failure of public policy and a continuation of colonial-era resource dynamics.

D. The Rust Belt AI Mirage: A Tale of Two Ohios

For post-industrial regions like the Rust Belt, the AI boom has been promoted as a potential path to economic revitalization. States like Ohio are attracting significant data center investment, drawn by available land and existing grid infrastructure from a bygone industrial era. However, this promise is proving to be a mirage, creating a tale of two Ohios.

The first Ohio is the one seen in press releases: a state attracting billions in high-tech investment. The second, more grounded Ohio is one where the promised benefits fail to materialize for the broader community. The job creation reality is starkly different from the hype. Data centers are highly automated and create very few permanent, full-time jobs, with the majority of employment occurring during the temporary construction phase. The notion that displaced manufacturing workers can be easily "reskilled" for a handful of specialized AI jobs has been proven false time and again, echoing the failed promises made during the initial wave of deindustrialization.

Meanwhile, the costs are broadly distributed. All residents, regardless of whether they work in the tech sector, face rising electricity bills as utilities upgrade the grid to serve the massive, concentrated load of the data centers. This creates a scenario where a region that has already suffered from economic extraction sees its low-cost energy advantage—a key asset for attracting traditional manufacturing—consumed by an industry that provides little local employment. The Rust Belt is being asked to export its energy to power a digital economy whose wealth and high-quality jobs are concentrated elsewhere, perpetuating a cycle of regional inequality.

VIII. International and Comparative Perspectives

The AI-energy disparity crisis unfolding in the United States is not occurring in a vacuum. It is part of a global race for technological supremacy, yet the American approach—characterized by a preference for market-driven expansion and a reluctance to impose proactive regulation—stands in contrast to strategies being developed elsewhere. Examining these international models provides crucial context and highlights alternative pathways for managing the profound societal impacts of AI's energy consumption.

A. Global AI-Energy Race

The competition between the United States, China, and the European Union for dominance in

AI is increasingly a competition for the energy and resources required to power it. The U.S. currently accounts for the largest share of global data center electricity consumption at 45%, followed by China (25%) and Europe (15%). However, the policy frameworks governing this expansion differ significantly.

The U.S. approach has largely been one of "permissionless innovation," prioritizing speed and growth with federal policies aimed at accelerating permitting for data center infrastructure. In contrast, the European Union has begun to treat data centers as a heavy industry requiring stringent environmental oversight. **Germany's Energy Efficiency Act (EnEfG)**, which came into force in late 2023, is a landmark piece of legislation. It mandates specific, time-bound targets for data centers, including:

- **Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE):** New data centers must achieve a PUE of 1.2 or less, a strict measure of energy efficiency.
- **Waste Heat Reuse:** New facilities must reuse a progressively larger share of their waste heat, starting at 10% in 2026 and rising to 20% by 2028.
- **Renewable Energy Supply:** Operators must cover 100% of their electricity consumption with electricity from renewable sources by 2027.

This regulatory foresight in Germany and the broader EU highlights the U.S. as an outlier in its failure to proactively manage the environmental externalities of the AI boom. While the U.S. focuses on unleashing the market, its primary competitors are building frameworks for accountability.

B. Lessons from International Energy Equity Models

Beyond data center-specific regulations, other nations offer models for building more equitable energy systems that could mitigate the disparities being exacerbated by AI. The **Scandinavian approach** to energy, for example, often treats electricity less as a commodity and more as a public good. In **Sweden**, the government has proposed a model for financing new large-scale power generation that uses state loans with low interest rates and risk-sharing mechanisms like two-way contracts for difference (CfDs). This model is designed to ensure low production costs for electricity, with the state absorbing significant credit risk to keep prices stable for consumers. When market prices are high, the state receives income from the CfD, which can be passed on to electricity customers, directly countering the price spikes seen in U.S. regions with high data center concentration.

Furthermore, the concept of **community-owned energy models**, while present in the U.S., is more advanced in some parts of Europe. These models, such as community-owned solar projects, offer a powerful alternative to the centralized, extractive utility model. By allowing communities to own and profit from local energy generation, these initiatives build local wealth, enhance grid resilience, and democratize the energy system, ensuring that the benefits of the energy transition are shared more broadly.

C. The Developing World Warning

The current trajectory of AI development, led by corporations in the Global North, threatens to create a new and insidious form of global inequality, a phenomenon scholars have termed **"AI colonialism"**. This framework describes a system where the Global North extracts multiple layers of resources from the Global South to fuel its AI ambitions, while the environmental and social costs are disproportionately borne by the latter. This extraction includes:

- **Data:** Vast amounts of data are collected from users in the Global South with minimal

transparency or compensation.
- **Labor:** Low-wage "ghost workers" in developing countries perform the essential but invisible labor of content moderation and data labeling required to train AI models.
- **Physical Resources:** The mining of critical minerals for semiconductors, such as cobalt from the Democratic Republic of Congo and lithium from Chile, often involves exploitative labor practices and severe environmental degradation in the Global South.
- **Energy and Water:** Tech companies are increasingly siting data centers in developing nations, drawn by cheap land and lax regulations, thereby straining local water and energy grids.

This dynamic creates a perilous choice for developing nations. The promise of "leapfrogging" to modern, renewable energy systems is jeopardized by the immense energy demands of AI data centers. To attract tech investment, these countries may feel pressured to expand their own fossil fuel infrastructure, locking them into a high-carbon development path just as the Global North claims to be transitioning away from it. This deepens global climate injustice, as the world's wealthiest companies drive a surge in global emissions whose most devastating impacts will be felt by the world's poorest and most vulnerable populations. The AI-energy crisis is not just an American problem; it is a global one, and the current U.S.-led model risks exporting its inequities worldwide.

IX. The Path Forward: Solutions and Interventions

The AI-energy disparity crisis is not a technological inevitability but a product of specific policy choices, market structures, and a failure of governance. Reversing this trajectory requires a deliberate and multi-faceted strategy that moves beyond incremental adjustments to embrace structural change. This section outlines a three-tiered approach—encompassing immediate interventions, medium-term reforms, and a long-term vision—to realign technological development with the principles of equity, sustainability, and democratic control.

A. Immediate Policy Interventions

To halt the escalating damage, policymakers must act swiftly to establish guardrails for the AI industry and fortify protections for vulnerable communities.

1. Energy Infrastructure Equity

- **Targeted Investment:** Fully fund and implement federal programs designed to direct infrastructure investment to underserved communities, such as the Justice40 Initiative, ensuring that at least 40% of the benefits from federal climate and energy investments flow to disadvantaged communities.
- **Grid Modernization Prioritization:** Mandate that all federal and state grid modernization funds prioritize upgrades in historically redlined and energy-burdened communities to improve reliability, resilience, and readiness for clean energy technologies.
- **Universal Energy Access Legislation:** Pass and fund a national initiative to close the remaining electricity access gaps in rural and tribal communities, treating grid connection as an essential service and a fundamental right.
- **Energy Burden Reduction Programs:** Dramatically expand funding for programs like the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and the Weatherization

Assistance Program to provide immediate relief to households facing unaffordable energy bills.

2. AI Sector Accountability

- **National Data Center Efficiency Standards:** Establish mandatory, stringent standards for data center energy and water efficiency, modeled on Germany's Energy Efficiency Act. This should include targets for Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE), water usage effectiveness, and waste heat reuse.
- **Renewable Energy Procurement Requirements:** Mandate that all new data centers above a certain size procure 100% of their electricity consumption from *new*, additional renewable energy sources through direct generation or long-term Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs). This "additionality" requirement prevents data centers from simply consuming existing renewable capacity and ensures their growth directly drives the expansion of clean energy.
- **Mandatory Community Benefit Agreements (CBAs):** Require developers of all large-scale data center and associated energy projects to enter into legally binding CBAs with host communities. These agreements must be negotiated with genuine community representation and should include provisions for local hiring, contributions to local infrastructure, environmental monitoring, and direct financial payments to a community-controlled fund.
- **Carbon and Energy Taxation:** Implement a federal tax on the energy consumption of data centers, with an additional levy based on the carbon intensity of their energy source. Revenues should be recycled into a fund for energy efficiency programs in low-income communities and research into sustainable computing.

3. Utility Regulatory Reform

- **Rate Structure Redesign:** Overhaul utility rate-setting principles to enforce "cost causation." This would require data center operators to bear the full, unsubsidized cost of any new grid infrastructure—including generation, transmission, and substations—required to serve their load. This ends the implicit subsidy from residential ratepayers to large corporate energy users.
- **Public Utility Commission (PUC) Mandate Expansion:** Legislate an expanded mandate for state PUCs, requiring them to consider equity, environmental justice, and climate impacts as co-equal priorities alongside cost and reliability in all rate cases and infrastructure approval proceedings.
- **Community Representation:** Mandate the creation of and funding for independent, residential and small business ratepayer advocate offices and require community representation on utility oversight boards.

B. Medium-Term Structural Changes

Beyond immediate guardrails, addressing the root causes of the crisis requires deeper structural reforms to the economy, the energy system, and our modes of governance.

1. Economic Restructuring

- **Antitrust Enforcement:** Launch a comprehensive antitrust investigation into the AI sector, targeting the concentration of power at each layer of the technology stack—from chips (Nvidia) to cloud (Microsoft, Amazon, Google) to foundational models (OpenAI, Anthropic).
- **Public Option for AI Infrastructure:** Explore the creation of a publicly owned and operated AI cloud computing platform. Such a "public option" would provide a competitive check on the power of private hyperscalers, offer affordable compute resources to researchers and small businesses, and serve as a transparent benchmark for energy efficiency and operational costs.
- **Sovereign Wealth Fund for AI Benefits:** Establish a national AI sovereign wealth fund, capitalized by a tax on the profits of major AI corporations. The returns from this fund would be distributed to all citizens as a universal dividend, ensuring that the productivity gains from AI are broadly shared.
- **Just Transition Programs:** Create a federally funded, robust worker transition and retraining program for those displaced by AI, moving beyond inadequate existing programs to provide long-term income support, education, and placement services.

2. Energy System Transformation

- **Community-Owned Renewable Energy:** Provide massive federal grants, loan guarantees, and technical assistance for the development of community-owned solar, wind, and microgrid projects. This shifts the energy system from a centralized, extractive model to a decentralized, wealth-building one.
- **Energy Democracy and Local Control:** Enact policies that empower municipalities and local communities to have greater control over their energy choices, including the ability to form public utilities and reject unwanted corporate energy projects.
- **Climate Adaptation Infrastructure:** Fund a national program to build climate-resilient infrastructure, prioritizing the protection of frontline communities from extreme weather, heat, and flooding.

3. Governance Innovation

- **AI Impact Assessment Requirements:** Mandate that developers of large-scale AI models and data centers complete a comprehensive, public, and independent "AI Impact Assessment" before deployment. This assessment must cover potential impacts on energy demand, environmental justice, labor markets, economic equity, and democratic processes.
- **Community Consent Mechanisms:** Move beyond mere "community consultation" to establish legal frameworks for "Free, Prior, and Informed Consent" (FPIC) for Indigenous communities and similar consent-based mechanisms for other communities facing major infrastructure projects on their land.
- **Environmental Justice Screening:** Require all proposed energy projects to undergo a mandatory environmental justice screening to assess cumulative impacts on overburdened communities, with a presumptive rejection for projects that would increase the pollution burden.

C. Long-Term Vision: Inclusive Technological Futures

Ultimately, navigating the AI era requires a fundamental rethinking of our societal goals and the role of technology in achieving them.

1. Redefining Progress

- **Beyond GDP:** Formally shift the primary measure of national progress away from the flawed metric of GDP and toward a holistic dashboard of indicators that measure population well-being, health outcomes, economic security, and environmental sustainability.
- **Democratic Technology Governance:** Establish new public institutions, such as a national technology assessment office, tasked with evaluating the long-term societal implications of emerging technologies and providing guidance to lawmakers and the public.

2. Building Shared Prosperity

- **Universal Basic Services:** Frame access to essential services for 21st-century life—including electricity, broadband internet, and foundational AI tools—as a universal right, to be provided affordably to all.
- **Community Wealth Building:** Promote cooperative and public ownership models not just for energy, but across the economy, to build local, democratic, and sustainable wealth.

3. A Sustainable AI Paradigm

- **Energy-Efficient AI Architectures:** Direct public research funding toward a paradigm shift in AI development, prioritizing energy efficiency, smaller specialized models, and less computationally intensive techniques over the current "bigger is better" approach.
- **Beneficial AI Focus:** Reorient the national AI strategy away from a purely commercial or military race and toward a mission-driven approach focused on using AI to solve grand challenges in climate, health, and science.
- **Planetary Boundaries Integration:** Integrate the scientific concept of planetary boundaries into all technology and economic policy, ensuring that innovation operates within the safe ecological limits of the Earth.

X. Conclusion: Technology, Civilization, and Choice

The trajectory of artificial intelligence in the United States is not preordained. It is being shaped, at this very moment, by a series of choices: choices about where capital is invested, how energy is generated and distributed, whose communities are protected, and whose are sacrificed. The evidence presented in this report demonstrates that the current path, driven by a narrow set of corporate interests and a flawed model of economic growth, leads toward a future of deepening inequality, environmental crisis, and democratic erosion.

A. The Stakes of Inaction

To continue on our current course is to accept a future where a handful of tech hubs flourish while vast regions of the country are relegated to the status of energy colonies. It is to accept a two-tiered society where access to the fundamental tools of economic and social participation is determined by the quality of one's local power grid. It is to abandon our climate goals in favor of powering a speculative technological boom, locking in decades of fossil fuel infrastructure and disproportionately poisoning the air and water of the nation's most vulnerable citizens. It is to risk a severe economic shock when a debt-fueled bubble, disconnected from real-world value, inevitably deflates, leaving the public to pay for the stranded assets left in its wake. Inaction is not a neutral stance; it is an active choice to ratify a future of profound and potentially irreversible disparity.

B. The Promise of Intentional Design

This report unequivocally rejects technological determinism. AI is not an external force acting upon society; it is a tool, and its impacts are the result of human design, investment, and governance. The crisis at the intersection of AI and energy is a crisis of imagination and political will. We have the capacity to choose a different path.

We can choose to design an energy system that serves all communities, not just the most profitable customers. We can build AI as a tool for equity, not extraction—an instrument to solve complex problems like climate change and disease, rather than one that primarily optimizes advertising and concentrates wealth. We can structure our economy to ensure that the immense productivity gains promised by this technology are shared broadly, strengthening social cohesion rather than tearing it apart. We can build technology that enhances democracy, empowering communities with information and agency, rather than concentrating power in the hands of an unaccountable few. A future of sustainable prosperity is not a utopian fantasy; it is a practical possibility, contingent on intentional design and deliberate policy action.

C. Who Decides the Future?

The central question emerging from this crisis is one of power: Who decides? Who gets to set the terms for our technological future? For too long, these decisions have been ceded to a small corporate oligarchy, operating under the assumption that what is profitable for them is synonymous with progress for all. This report is a call to reclaim that decision-making power. The future of AI and energy cannot be left to the boardroom or the venture capital pitch meeting. It must be forged in the public square, through democratic debate, community self-determination, and robust public oversight. The path forward requires moving beyond a paradigm that externalizes social and environmental costs and internalizes profits. It requires recognizing that a technology cannot be considered "advanced" if its deployment relies on a primitive and unjust energy system. The civilization we choose to build depends on our collective ability to harness technology for the common good. The choice is ours to make.

Appendices

A. Data Methodology and Sources

The analysis in this report is based on a comprehensive review of publicly available data, academic research, industry reports, and journalistic investigations. Key data sources include, but are not limited to:

- **Financial Data:** Reports from Bloomberg, The Financial Times, The Economic Times,

and Crunchbase on venture capital flows, corporate debt issuance, and major deals within the AI sector.
- **Economic Data:** Analysis from the Penn Wharton Budget Model, MIT Sloan School of Management, and the Council on Foreign Relations regarding AI's impact on GDP and productivity.
- **Energy Equity Data:** Reports and datasets from the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE), the Department of Energy's (DOE) Low Income Energy Affordability Data (LEAD) Tool, the World Resources Institute (WRI), and academic studies on energy burden, reliability, and access disparities.
- **Energy Consumption Data:** Projections and analyses from the International Energy Agency (IEA), McKinsey & Company, Goldman Sachs, Deloitte, and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) on data center energy demand.
- **Environmental and Health Data:** Information from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Clean Air Task Force, and various environmental justice organizations on power plant emissions and their health impacts.
- **Historical Data:** Research on redlining from academic sources and policy groups like the Initiative for Energy Justice (IEJ).

B. Stakeholder Interview Summaries

This report incorporates perspectives from a wide range of stakeholders, as documented in public records and media reports. Key viewpoints include:
- **Tech Industry Executives (e.g., Sam Altman, OpenAI):** Public statements emphasize the transformative potential of AI and the necessity of massive infrastructure investment, often framing it as a national imperative while acknowledging the scale of capital required.
- **Financial Analysts (e.g., Morningstar, Bain & Co.):** Express growing concern over "circular financing," speculative valuations, and the long-term profitability gap between AI's computational costs and projected revenues.
- **Community Advocates (e.g., Pittsylvania County, South Carolina residents):** Testimonials and organizing efforts highlight local concerns over environmental degradation, rising utility costs, noise pollution, and the lack of tangible community benefits from data center development.
- **Utility Regulators and Energy Experts:** Analysis focuses on the unprecedented strain on the grid, the challenge of meeting demand without resorting to fossil fuels, and the need for new rate structures to manage cost allocation.
- **Policymakers:** Statements reflect a growing awareness of the issue, with some advocating for accelerated permitting to win the "AI race" while others call for greater oversight and community protection.

C. Policy Recommendations Matrix

Policy Area	Immediate Interventions (0-2 Years)	Medium-Term Changes (2-5 Years)	Long-Term Vision (5+ Years)
Energy Equity	Fully fund Justice40, LIHEAP, WAP. Prioritize grid modernization in	Fund community-owned renewables. Promote	Establish energy as a Universal Basic Service.

Policy Area	Immediate Interventions (0-2 Years)	Medium-Term Changes (2-5 Years)	Long-Term Vision (5+ Years)
	burdened communities.	microgrids for resilience.	
AI Accountability	Mandate efficiency standards (PUE, waste heat). Require 100% *new* renewable sourcing. Mandate Community Benefit Agreements.	Require comprehensive AI Impact Assessments. Establish community consent mechanisms.	Fund R&D for energy-efficient "Green AI." Shift AI focus to public benefit.
Utility Regulation	Enforce "cost-causation" rate design. Expand PUC mandates to include equity & climate.	Empower local energy democracy and municipal utilities.	Promote cooperative and public ownership models.
Economic Structure		Initiate antitrust enforcement in the AI sector. Explore a public option for AI cloud. Establish an AI sovereign wealth fund.	Transition national metrics from GDP to a well-being dashboard.
Governance			Create a national technology assessment office. Integrate planetary boundaries into policy.

D. Community Organizing Resources

For communities facing the impacts of data center development and energy injustice, the following organizations and resources provide valuable information, legal support, and organizing tools:

- **Initiative for Energy Justice (IEJ):** Provides resources and frameworks for understanding and advocating for energy justice.
- **Southern Environmental Law Center (SELC):** Offers legal support and advocacy for communities in the Southeast fighting polluting infrastructure projects.
- **NAACP Environmental and Climate Justice Program:** Focuses on addressing the disproportionate impact of environmental hazards on communities of color.
- **The Sabin Center for Climate Change Law:** Provides legal resources, including a database of Community Benefit Agreements.
- **American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE):** Publishes extensive research on energy burden and efficiency solutions.

E. Technical Glossary

- **AI Colonialism:** A theoretical framework describing how the development of AI by the

Global North relies on the extraction of data, labor, and physical resources from the Global South, perpetuating global inequalities.

- **Circular Financing:** An investment practice where a company invests in a customer, which then uses the funds to purchase the investor's products, creating a self-referential loop that can inflate revenue and valuation metrics.
- **Community Benefit Agreement (CBA):** A legally binding contract between a developer and community groups, stipulating the benefits a developer will provide to the local community in exchange for its support of a project.
- **Energy Burden:** The percentage of a household's gross income spent on energy costs (electricity, gas, etc.). A burden above 6% is typically considered high or unaffordable.
- **Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE):** A metric used to determine the energy efficiency of a data center. It is calculated by dividing the total amount of power entering a data center by the power used to run the IT equipment within it. A PUE of 1.0 is the ideal score.
- **Redlining:** A discriminatory practice, institutionalized by the federal government in the 1930s, in which services (such as mortgages and insurance) were withheld from potential customers who resided in neighborhoods classified as "hazardous" to investment; these neighborhoods have significant numbers of racial and ethnic minorities and low-income residents.
- **Stranded Asset:** An asset that has suffered from unanticipated or premature write-downs, devaluations, or conversion to liabilities. In this context, a fossil fuel power plant built for AI demand that becomes unprofitable if that demand evaporates.

F. Further Reading and Research

- Acemoglu, D. (2024). "The Simple Macroeconomics of AI." MIT Department of Economics.
- American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE). (2020). "How High Are Household Energy Burdens? An Assessment of National and Metropolitan Energy Burdens across the United States."
- Cowls, J., et al. (2021). "The AI Colonialism Thesis: A New Research Agenda."
- Initiative for Energy Justice. (2025). "The History of Energy Inequity in America."
- Penn Wharton Budget Model. (2025). "The Projected Impact of Generative AI on Future Productivity Growth."
- Southern Environmental Law Center. (2025). "Data center defeated: How Pittsylvania said, 'No, thank you,' to a massive gas-fired power plant and data center campus."

Works cited

1. AI will never be a winner-takes-all market due to well-funded rivals: Sam Altman, https://m.economictimes.com/tech/artificial-intelligence/ai-will-never-be-a-winner-takes-all-market-due-to-well-funded-rivals-sam-altman/articleshow/124410581.cms 2. Data center defeated - Southern Environmental Law Center, https://www.selc.org/news/a-rural-virginia-county-is-a-case-study-in-community/ 3. Data centers are building their own gas power plants in Texas | The ..., https://www.texastribune.org/2025/06/05/texas-data-centers-gas-power-plants-ai/ 4. Here's What Happens When Big Data Takes Over a Small Town | Sierra Club, https://www.sierraclub.org/sierra/2024-3-fall/feature/big-data-centers-heres-what-happens-when-takes-over-small-town 5. AI gold rush: Why experts fear a massive trillion-dollar crash could be

coming,
https://m.economictimes.com/news/international/us/ai-gold-rush-why-experts-fear-a-massive-trillion-dollar-crash-could-be-coming/articleshow/124341802.cms 6. Nvidia, AMD, Oracle and more: ChatGPT-maker OpenAI has locked ...,
https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/technology/tech-news/nvidia-amd-oracle-and-more-chatgpt-maker-openai-has-locked-1000000000000-in-deals-this-year/articleshow/124389858.cms 7. m.economictimes.com,
https://m.economictimes.com/tech/artificial-intelligence/boom-or-bust-ai-insiders-concerned-about-nvidia-openais-circular-dealmaking/articleshow/124388136.cms 8. Doomsday or new dawn: what will Nvidia, OpenAI's circular dealmaking bring,
https://m.economictimes.com/tech/technology/doomsday-or-new-dawn-what-will-nvidia-openais-circular-dealmaking-bring/articleshow/124388110.cms 9. Venture Capital Investment in New York City, https://www.osc.ny.gov/files/reports/osdc/pdf/report-13-2026.pdf 10. Q3 Venture Funding Jumps 38% As More Massive Rounds Go To AI Giants And Exits Gain Steam - Crunchbase News,
https://news.crunchbase.com/venture/global-vc-funding-biggest-deals-q3-2025-ai-ma-data/ 11. Will Artificial Intelligence Do More Harm Than Good for U.S. Growth?,
https://www.cfr.org/article/will-artificial-intelligence-do-more-harm-good-us-growth 12. What do we know about the economics of AI? | MIT News,
https://news.mit.edu/2024/what-do-we-know-about-economics-ai-1206 13. US manufacturing mired in weakness; AI spending boom helps ...,
https://m.economictimes.com/news/international/world-news/us-manufacturing-mired-in-weakness-ai-spending-boom-helps-some-factories/articleshow/123659690.cms 14. The AI Boom and What It Means for Commercial Real Estate - Keyser Blog, https://blog.keyser.com/the-ai-boom 15. The Projected Impact of Generative AI on Future Productivity Growth ...,
https://budgetmodel.wharton.upenn.edu/issues/2025/9/8/projected-impact-of-generative-ai-on-future-productivity-growth 16. How AI Could Lift Productivity and GDP Growth - Knowledge at Wharton,
https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/how-ai-could-lift-productivity-and-gdp-growth/ 17. A new look at the economics of AI | MIT Sloan,
https://mitsloan.mit.edu/ideas-made-to-matter/a-new-look-economics-ai 18. From Rust Belt to Desk Desert - TAtech, https://tatech.org/from-rust-belt-to-desk-desert/ 19. Are we building tomorrow's AI rust belt? | The AI Journal,
https://aijourn.com/are-we-building-tomorrows-ai-rust-belt/ 20. How AI Can Help Small Businesses Compete and Grow - U.S. Chamber of Commerce,
https://www.uschamber.com/small-business/how-ai-can-help-small-businesses-compete-and-grow 21. Tribal Energy Access, https://www.energy.gov/topics/tribal-energy-access 22. Native Energy: Rural Electrification on Tribal Lands - RMI,
https://rmi.org/blog_2014_06_24_native_energy_rural_electrification_on_tribal_lands/ 23. Electricity Access in the Navajo Nation - Payne Institute for Public Policy,
https://payneinstitute.mines.edu/electricity-access-in-the-navajo-nation/ 24. 'It takes a toll': US low-income and communities of color endure longer power outages,
https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2024/feb/08/us-low-income-communities-of-color-storm-power-outages 25. A lack of data hampers efforts to fix racial disparities in utility cutoffs,
https://www.renewableenergyworld.com/energy-business/a-lack-of-data-hampers-efforts-to-fix-racial-disparities-in-utility-cutoffs/ 26. The History of Energy Inequity in America,
https://iejusa.org/the-history-of-energy-inequity-in-america/ 27. Energy Burden Calculator - Sierra Club, https://www.sierraclub.org/energy-burden-calculator 28. Energy Burden Research |

ACEEE, https://www.aceee.org/energy-burden 29. Study: One in Four Low-Income Households Spend Over 15% of Income on Energy Bills, https://www.aceee.org/press-release/2024/09/study-one-four-low-income-households-spend-over-15-income-energy-bills 30. Reversing Energy System Inequity: Urgency and Opportunity During the Clean Energy Transition - National Consumer Law Center, https://www.nclc.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/report-reversing-energy-system-inequity.pdf 31. Energy Insecurity And Health: America's Hidden Hardship, https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hpb20230518.472953/ 32. Achieving An Equitable US Clean Energy Transition | World ..., https://www.wri.org/insights/achieving-equitable-us-clean-energy-transition 33. A measurement strategy to address disparities across household energy burdens, https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2024-06/032.%20Eric%20Scheier%20%26%20Noah%20Kittner%2C%20A%20measurement%20strategy%20to%20address%20disparities%20across%20household%20energy%20burdens.pdf 34. iejusa.org, https://iejusa.org/the-history-of-energy-inequity-in-america/#:~:text=Redlining%20and%20Energy%20Infrastructure%20(1930s%2D1960s)&text=Areas%20with%20high%20populations%20of,when%20utilities%20expanded%20their%20systems. 35. Effects of Redlining on Residential Energy Efficiency and Resilience ..., https://www.aceee.org/sites/default/files/proceedings/ssb24/assets/attachments/20240722163126187_5c425eb7-5505-4642-afb4-30a548e9f16d.pdf 36. Infrastructure and Democracy - Issues in Science and Technology, https://issues.org/infrastructure-and-democracy/ 37. Power Plants and Neighboring Communities | US EPA, https://www.epa.gov/power-sector/power-plants-and-neighboring-communities 38. Environmental Justice and Native Americans at the Department of Energy Hanford Site - Fordham University, https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1518&context=elr 39. Powering the US Data Center Boom: The Challenge of Forecasting Electricity Needs, https://www.wri.org/insights/us-data-centers-electricity-demand 40. The Rising Share of US Data Center Power Demand, 2023–2030 - Visual Capitalist, https://www.visualcapitalist.com/sp/gx03-charted-the-rising-share-of-u-s-data-center-power-demand/ 41. Energy demand from AI - IEA, https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-and-ai/energy-demand-from-ai 42. How Your Utility Bills Are Subsidizing Power-Hungry AI | TechPolicy.Press, https://www.techpolicy.press/how-your-utility-bills-are-subsidizing-power-hungry-ai/ 43. Data Centers and Water Consumption | Article | EESI - Environmental and Energy Study Institute, https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-centers-and-water-consumption 44. Former Power Plant Sites Prime for Data Center Development - GAI Consultants, https://gaiconsultants.com/former-power-plant-sites-prime-for-data-center-development/ 45. Turning Data Centers into Grid and Regional Assets: Considerations and Recommendations for the Federal Government, State Policymakers, and Utility Regulators - American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, https://www.aceee.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/Turning%20Data%20Centers%20into%20Grid%20and%20Regional%20Assets%20-%20Considerations%20and%20Recommendations%20for%20the%20Federal%20Government,%20State%20Policymakers,%20and%20Utility%20Regulators.pdf 46. Fossil-Fueled AI: What It Means for State and Local Projects - GovTech, https://www.govtech.com/artificial-intelligence/fossil-fueled-ai-what-it-means-for-state-and-local-projects 47. AI Boom Revives Interest in Retired Coal-Fired Power Stations - Manufacturing Today, https://manufacturing-today.com/news/ai-boom-revives-interest-in-retired-coal-fired-power-statio ns/ 48. AI boom power surge: Plants revived, fossil fuels reconsidered, https://cei.org/blog/ai-boom-power-surge-plants-revived-fossil-fuels-reconsidered/ 49. The AI Boom and the Energy Burden: It's Time to Talk About Data ..., https://www.fossilfuelfreefuture.org/news/the-ai-boom-and-the-energy-burden-its-time-to-talk-about-data-centers 50. Dirty Air, Dirty Power: Mortality and Health Damage Due to Air Pollution from Power Plants, https://www.catf.us/resource/dirty-air-dirty-power-mortality-and-health-damage-due-to-air-pollution-from-power-plants/ 51. Resources on Understanding Data Centers and Their Environmental Impacts - NCEJN, https://ncejn.org/resources-on-understanding-data-centers-and-their-environmental-impacts/ 52. Explained: Generative AI's environmental impact | MIT News, https://news.mit.edu/2025/explained-generative-ai-environmental-impact-0117 53. Responding to the climate impact of generative AI | MIT News, https://news.mit.edu/2025/responding-to-generative-ai-climate-impact-0930 54. Understanding the carbon footprint of AI and how to reduce it, https://www.carbon-direct.com/insights/understanding-the-carbon-footprint-of-ai-and-how-to-reduce-it 55. How data centers may lead to higher electricity bills - Harvard Law School, https://hls.harvard.edu/today/how-data-centers-may-lead-to-higher-electricity-bills/ 56. The AI Data Center Boom Is Driving Up Electricity Costs, Research Shows - CNET, https://www.cnet.com/home/energy-and-utilities/the-ai-data-center-boom-is-driving-up-electricity-costs-research-shows/ 57. AI, data center load could drive 'extraordinary' rise in US electricity bills: Bain analyst, https://www.utilitydive.com/news/data-center-load-growth-us-electricity-bills-bain/730691/ 58. Banking on AI while committed to net zero is 'magical thinking ..., https://www.eurekalert.org/news-releases/1090445 59. Banking on AI risks derailing net zero goals: report on energy costs of Big Tech, https://www.cam.ac.uk/research/news/banking-on-ai-risks-derailing-net-zero-goals-report-on-energy-costs-of-big-tech 60. Big Tech's AI Spending—and Borrowing—Will Be Even Higher Next Year, Says Citi, https://www.investopedia.com/big-tech-s-ai-spending-and-borrowing-will-be-even-higher-next-year-says-citi-11821812 61. The AI bubble checklist | Latitude Media, https://www.latitudemedia.com/news/the-ai-bubble-checklist/ 62. Fears of a Trillion Dollar AI Bubble are Growing - CPA Practice Advisor, https://www.cpapracticeadvisor.com/2025/10/07/fears-of-a-trillion-dollar-ai-bubble-are-growing/170419/ 63. AI Bubble Signals from History | IE Insights, https://www.ie.edu/insights/articles/ai-bubble-signals-from-history/ 64. The Looming Spectre: What Could Puncture the Stock Market's AI-Driven Bubble?, https://markets.financialcontent.com/stocks/article/marketminute-2025-10-9-the-looming-spectre-what-could-puncture-the-stock-markets-ai-driven-bubble 65. How Artificial Intelligence Could Widen the Gap Between Rich and Poor Nations, https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2020/12/02/blog-how-artificial-intelligence-could-widen-the-gap-between-rich-and-poor-nations 66. Anthropic Economic Index report: Uneven geographic and enterprise AI adoption, https://www.anthropic.com/research/anthropic-economic-index-september-2025-report 67. WHITE PAPER: AI's Impact on Regional Economic Development |, https://www.creconline.org/2024/12/ais-impact-on-regional-economic-development-embracing-opportunities-and-mitigating-risks/ 68. How Will AI Affect the Global Workforce? | Goldman Sachs, https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/how-will-ai-affect-the-global-workforce

69. AI for small business | U.S. Small Business Administration, https://www.sba.gov/business-guide/manage-your-business/ai-small-business 70. Homework Gap/Digital Divide | NEA - National Education Association, https://www.nea.org/advocating-for-change/action-center/our-issues/homework-gap-digital-divide 71. Digital Divide | All4Ed, https://all4ed.org/policy/digital-divide/ 72. Bridging the Digital Divide: Ensuring Equitable Access to AI Resources - TeachBetter, https://teachbetter.ai/bridging-the-digital-divide-ensuring-equitable-access-to-ai-resources/ 73. Data centers draining resources in water-stressed communities - The University of Tulsa, https://utulsa.edu/news/data-centers-draining-resources-in-water-stressed-communities/ 74. The Cloud is Drying our Rivers: Water Usage of AI Data Centers | EthicalGEO, https://ethicalgeo.org/the-cloud-is-drying-our-rivers-water-usage-of-ai-data-centers/ 75. The Dirty Truth of AI - NAACP, https://naacp.org/actions/dirty-truth-ai 76. AI creates new environmental injustices, but there's a fix | UCR News | UC Riverside, https://news.ucr.edu/articles/2023/07/12/ai-creates-new-environmental-injustices-theres-fix 77. Trump is complicating the GOP's anti-censorship campaign, https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2025/10/09/censorship-republicans-democrats-first-amendment/ 78. US imposes new restrictions on Chinese technology companies, angry China says: Would take, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/technology/tech-news/us-imposes-new-restrictions-on-chinese-technology-companies-angry-china-says-would-take-/articleshow/124396361.cms 79. How the data center boom could harm Black communities | Canary Media, https://www.canarymedia.com/articles/fossil-fuels/how-the-data-center-boom-could-harm-black-communities 80. Living in the dark: Native reservations struggle with power shortages in pandemic | Environment | The Guardian, https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/aug/12/native-americans-energy-inequality-electricity 81. Data Centers in Virginia - JLARC, https://jlarc.virginia.gov/landing-2024-data-centers-in-virginia.asp 82. Executive summary – Energy and AI – Analysis - IEA, https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-and-ai/executive-summary 83. How Germany's Energy Efficiency Act will impact data center operators - Dentons, https://www.dentons.com/en/insights/articles/2023/september/25/energy-efficiency-act-relevance-for-data-centers 84. Data center requirements under the new German Energy Efficiency Act | White & Case LLP, https://www.whitecase.com/insight-alert/data-center-requirements-under-new-german-energy-efficiency-act 85. Sustainable data centers—The German Energy Efficiency Act: What data center operators need to consider now and in the future | Insights | Mayer Brown, https://www.mayerbrown.com/en/insights/publications/2024/02/sustainable-data-centers-the-german-energy-efficiency-act-what-data-center-operators-need-to-consider-now-and-in-the-future 86. Return on investment for the Swedish energy network sector - Energimarknadsinspektionen, https://ei.se/download/18.6899b088196f63db43144a5/1748329870965/Konsultrapport-Return-on-investment-for-the-Swedish-energy-network-sector-DFC.pdf 87. 9 Swedish model for financing and risk sharing - Regeringen, https://www.regeringen.se/contentassets/fbe4a6b02aec4f6bb025ecf27e439687/chapter-9-swedish-model-for-financing-and-risk-sharing.pdf 88. Community-Owned Community Solar: Opportunities and Challenges ..., https://elpc.org/resources/community-owned-community-solar-opportunities-and-challenges/ 89. Artificial Intelligence Colonialism: Environmental Damage, Labor Exploitation, and Human Rights Crises in the Global South - Scholarly Publications Leiden University, https://scholarlypublications.universiteitleiden.nl/access/item%3A4210925/download 90. From

AI colonialism to co-creation: bridging the global AI divide - LSE Research Online, http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/129248/1/medialse_2025_7_14_from-ai-colonialism-to-co-creation-bridging-the-global-ai-divide.pdf 91. Community Benefits Agreements Database - Sabin Center for Climate Change Law, https://climate.law.columbia.edu/content/community-benefits-agreements-database