CS 7643 : HW 3

Name Nironjan Thakurdesai Solutions discussed with Srijan Sood, Nupur Chatterjee and Karan Shah

Flattening Y in row-major order gives
Y = [W11700 W10702 W01720 W00722]

Writing this as a motive multiplication,

```
-2 Visualization:
```

Flattening Y in row-major order and writing it as a matrix multiplication gives

2

Affine transformation for a convolutional layer with kernel Size (4,1,1,1) & (stride 1 and no padding) HE Let's denote the kernel with W=[w, w2, w3, w4] Y= (W, 700 0 W, 0 710 コル W. 701 WZ W2 710 WZZI WL W3700 0 W2 0 W3701 0 W3 W3 ZID Wazin W3 W4 700 0 W3 W4 701

Altine transformation for a transpised convolution layer with kernel size (1,1,2,2) & (stride 2,700 padding)

Let's denote the kernel with W = [w, w2]

w3 w4

0

Wa

W4710

W471

Flottening 4 and writing it as on Attine transformation gives

From O and O, we can see that . At has the same rows as Ac but with a different ordering. Thus, convolution with a kernel size (4,1,1,1) is identical to a tronspored convolutional layer with kernel size (1,1,2,2) with only a difference in ordering of the flattered elements of Y

3-1 The parity sequence is just the running XOR of the input sequence 0 -> XOR O XOR XOR XOR XOR XOR(a.b XOR of 2 bits a,b con be analytically represented as XOR (a,b) = a+b-ab The equation of the hidden unit is, therefore, ht = ht-1+xt - ht-1xt Yt = ht (=> identity activation) h. = 0 Alternal-e solution: Consider a hidden state with the He Lettowing equation h = h -This can also be implemented with 2 hidden units, one at them computed AND and the other computing OR: $h_{1/t} = h_{1/t-1} + 3t_1 - 0.5$ (for OR) $h_{2/t} = h_{2/t-1} + h_{1/t_1} - 1.5$ (for AND) 1/2 = hirt - hart - 0:5 (XOR)

$$h_1 = Wh_0$$
 ('denotes transpose)
 $h_2 = W'h_1 = W'w'h_0 = (W')^2 h_0$

$$h_T = (w')^T h_0$$

As W is a square matrix, it can be expressed as follows: $W = PDP^{-1}$

where the columns of P are the eigenvectors of W and P is a diagonal modifix comprising the eigenvalues of W along its diagonal.

Now, $W' = (P')DP' = (P')^{-1}DP^{-1}$ (: D is diagonal and using properties of invertible motives)

$$(W')^2 = (P')^{-1}DP'(P')^{-1}DP'$$

= $(P')^{-1}D^2P'$

Frem O.

3-2

 $h_{\tau} = (P')^{-1} D^{T} P' h_{\bullet}$

$$\frac{\partial h_r}{\partial h_r} = (P')^{-1} D^T P'$$

IF T>>0 and S(w)<1, the elements of D' will go to 0 resulting in a "vanishing" gradient.

If g(w) > 1, at least one value of D^T (corresponding to the largest eigenvalue) will go to ∞ , resulting in an "explading gradient).

2-1 If G1 is a DAG1, it has a mode with no incoming edges (from the given lemma). Let v, be a vertex with no

incoming edges.

It v. is removed from G1, the resulting graph G1-{vi} is still cyclic as removal at edges cannot introduce cyclicity. In addition to this, there is some vertex with mo incoming edges in the resulting graph. Let's call it v. It we remove vz, the resulting graph G1-{vi, vz} will still have the above properties (i.e. absence at cycles and a vertex with mo incoming edges). Repeat this till every vertex is removed and store the vertices in the order of their removal. This order is a topological order because

- 1. An edge (vi, vi) must be deleted before vi is removed 2. Hence, vi must be removed before vi.
- ⇒ i < j ∀ (v:,vi) which is the definition of topological ordering.

2-2 Let's assume that DAG has a cycle. Let the edges in this cycle be (Vo, Vc,), (Va, evez), (Van, Vco).

As G has a topological order, for the edges in the cycle.

Vco < Vc, < Vc2 < |Vcn < Vco|

Reduction ad absurdum!

G has no cycles or it is a DAG