

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284218609>

A Review and a Conceptual Framework of Prestige-Seeking Consumer Behavior

Article in *Academy of Marketing Science Review* · January 1999

CITATIONS

281

READS

70,539

2 authors:



[Franck Vigneron](#)

California State University, Northridge

15 PUBLICATIONS 4,015 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Lester W Johnson](#)

Swinburne University of Technology

272 PUBLICATIONS 16,903 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

A Review and a Conceptual Framework of Prestige-Seeking Consumer Behavior

Franck Vigneron

Australian Graduate School of Management
The University of Sydney
Currently located at California State University Northridge
and

Lester W. Johnson

Monash Mt. Eliza Business School
Melbourne Australia

(c) Copyright Franck Vigneron and Lester W. Johnson 1999

- The authors thank the editor Morris B. Holbrook for his helpful suggestions, as well as three anonymous *AMSR* reviewers for their useful comments, on earlier versions of the manuscript.
- Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Professor Lester W. Johnson, Monash Mt. Eliza Business School, Monash University, PO Box 2224, Caulfield Jct., Victoria 3161, Australia.

Available @: <http://www.amsreview.org>

Keywords: prestige, luxury, brand, perception, conspicuousness, uniqueness, extended-self, hedonic, self-concept, reference groups.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper discusses the existing consumer knowledge dealing with aspects of prestige, and based on this literature, develops a conceptual framework useful for the analysis of prestige-seeking consumer behavior (PSCB). The purpose of this paper is to combine the concepts of existing research on prestige consumers (rather limited) and studies which examined entirely different aspects of consumer behavior, but coincidentally produce valuable information. By examining all the sources of prestige consumption in a broad and integrated way, we shall provide a new perspective that draws from, rather than substitutes for, previous research.

The objectives of this literature review can be summarized as follows:

- Contribute to the emerging literature on prestige consumption in examining and defining the key perceived values which form the concept of prestige.
- Interpret and expand existing consumer behavior models in order to develop a specific prestige-seeking consumer behavior framework.
- Generate a framework to help marketers build and monitor the prestige of brands.
- Stimulate further research on prestige-seeking consumer behavior.

Defining Prestige

The distinction between prestige brands and non-prestige brands has been operationally defined in this paper as the distinction between brands exhibiting five perceived values, contingent on a particular socioeconomic framework.

1. The consumption of prestige brands is viewed as a signal of status and wealth, and whose price, expensive by normal standards, enhances the value of such a signal (perceived conspicuous value).
2. If virtually everyone owns a particular brand it is by definition not prestigious (perceived unique value).
3. The role-playing aspects and the social value of prestige brands can be instrumental in the decision to buy (perceived social value).
4. For a brand which satisfies an emotional desire such as a prestige brand, a product's subjective intangible benefits such as aesthetic appeal is clearly determining the brand selection (perceived hedonic value).
5. Prestige is derived partly from the technical superiority and the extreme care that takes place during the production process. For instance, a Rolex Sea-dweller works 1,220 meters underwater and is hand-crafted (perceived quality value).

This interpretation recognizes that the definition of prestige may vary for different people, depending on their socioeconomic background. Translated into marketing terms, consumers develop prestige meanings for brands based upon interactions with people (e.g., aspired and/or peer reference group), object properties (e.g., best quality), and hedonic values (e.g., sensory beauty). Such interactions occur at personal and societal levels. Thus, a brand's prestige is created from a multitude of interactions between the consumer and elements within the environment. Prestige-seeking behavior is the results of multiple motivations, but in particular the motives of sociability and self-expression. In sum we define five values of prestige combined with five relevant motivations, as follows.

VALUES	MOTIVATIONS
Conspicuous	Veblenian
Unique	Snob
Social	Bandwagon
Emotional	Hedonist
Quality	Perfectionist

Conclusion

This review and analysis offers several potential contributions from previous works, but particularly, extends the exploratory analysis carried out by [Dubois and Laurent \(1994\)](#) on the attitudes towards the concept of luxury. We suggest that marketers may use the theoretical framework developed to assess the level of prestige from of their brands and then use the results to make certain positioning decisions, such as enhancing low aspects of prestige or evaluating the result of their latest advertising campaign aiming at improving prestige.

I - INTRODUCTION

As a result of the spectacular [growth of luxury markets](#) over the past ten years, the marketing literature has recently seen substantial interest in the study of [prestige brands](#). Yet, little is known about how to best market and monitor prestige brands. Research in the UK by the market research firm Applied Futures anticipated important social changes as the year 2000 nears ([Powderly and MacNulty 1990](#)). Their research identified that people's needs for appearances and materialism were increasing. That is, they recognized an increasing demand for conspicuous and status products. In Australia recent

market reports seem to support this prediction. The Sunday Telegraph reported a phenomenal increase in Australia's imports of luxury goods, such as increases in demand for mink furs (+1130.8% change from 1995/96), and diamonds (+27.5% change from 1995/96). "Australians have embarked on a shopping spree for imported luxury goods, with sales of diamonds, furs, caviar and champagne jumping this year" ([Rees 1997, 8](#)). The United States' economic recovery and the fast growing demand in Asia (before the slowdown that started in 1997) have also contributed to boost the growth of the luxury market in recent years ([Echikson 1994](#)).

Early research on this topic mainly started [last century](#) from work of [John Rae \(1834\)](#), [Thorstein Veblen \(1899\)](#) and [Keasbey \(1903\)](#). Recently the marketing literature has focused its study of luxury brands, specifically in terms of brand extensions ([Roux 1995](#)), conflict management between mass-marketing and luxury principles ([Bechtold 1991](#); [Roux 1994](#); [Roux and Floch 1996](#)), and measures of attitudes toward the luxury concept ([Dubois and Duquesne 1993b](#); [Dubois and Laurent 1994](#)). Currently, the luxury market is taking a new direction with unprecedented demand coming from Asian countries, and thus research has focused on the cross-cultural comparison of attitudes toward the luxury concept ([Dubois and Laurent 1996](#); [Dubois and Paternault 1997](#)) and the comparison of motivations between Asian and Western societies ([Wong and Ahuvia 1998](#)). However, these studies have focused on only some aspects of prestige-seeking consumer behavior. Although researchers agree that the study of prestige goods is interesting and important, there is currently little agreement about how best to define, and hence understand, the psychology of prestige-related consumer behavior. "Status brand strategies are intuitively recognized by marketing professionals and practitioners. However, there is little literature on the topic reported in scientific journals" ([Andrus, Silver, and Johnson 1986](#)). The reasons for the diverse definitions and measures of prestige are perhaps due to the different applications of the [term prestige](#).

As a general rule, prestige products have been used as an example of extreme-end high-involvement decision making. The assumption is that prestige products are infrequently purchased, require a higher level of interest and knowledge, and strongly relate to the person self-concept. For instance, [Rossiter, Percy, and Donovan \(1991\)](#) stated that the distinction between high and low involvement was dichotomous rather than continuous, and presented a second dimension operationalized as ["informational-transformational"](#). Their framework reasonably assumes that prestige products are high-involvement products, and that transformational brand choices (i.e., sensory gratification, intellectual stimulation, and particularly social approval) are the primary factors

in selecting a prestige product. Although the involvement model is useful to distinguish prestige products from normal products, it does not significantly differentiate the [level of prestige](#) among prestige brands ([Horiuchi 1984](#)). In this research three types of brands were categorized as prestigious; upmarket brands, premium brands, and luxury brands, respectively in an increasing order of prestige. Therefore, in this paper, we refer to prestige brands when discussing the brand category whereas we used "luxury" when relating to the extreme-end of the prestige-brand category (Figure 1). Hence, it was expected that people would have different perceptions of the level of prestige for the same brands, and that the overall prestige level of a brand would consider the prestige perceptions from different people.

Figure 1: Defining Three Levels of Prestige

Rather than treating each perceived value of prestige separately, as it has been characteristic of much of the writing in the field, we interpreted, combined, and expanded a set of prestige values into a single framework using a [wide range of sources \(Table1\)](#). For instance, we used the work from [Leibenstein \(1950\)](#) which examined [three consumer effects on demand](#). His work suggested that the utility derived from a product may be enhanced by external effects such as the quantity of goods consumed by other persons, or relating to the fact that the product bears a higher rather than a lower price tag. The results from his study, particularly emphasized the role of interpersonal effects on the consumption of prestige brands, and derived three main effects. In addition, we extended the prestige-seeking framework to include two personal effects (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Prestige-Seeking Consumer Behaviors

To operationalize the interpersonal and personal constructs in this framework, we used the concept of self-consciousness to represent consumers' responses to social influence ([Brinberg and Plimpton 1986](#)). Self-Consciousness is defined as the consistent tendency of persons to direct attention inward or outward ([Fenigstein, Scheier and Buss 1975](#)). This theory recognizes two types of self-conscious people. (a) publicly self-conscious persons are particularly concerned about how they appear to others, and (b) privately self-conscious persons are more focused on their inner thoughts and feelings. In this case, we assume that

the consumption of prestige may vary according to this susceptibility to others. This proposition is also consistent with previous research which demonstrated that individuals' behavior varies across persons depending on their susceptibility to interpersonal influence (e.g., [Bearden, Netemeyer, and Teel 1989](#)). As emphasized by [Dubois and Duquesne \(1993a, 115\)](#), "we believe that an analysis of the direct relationship between consumers and brands is the key to an improved understanding of such a market." The initial assumption is that the private or public value of prestige goods comes from the inherent communicative status of these items ([Dawson and Cavell 1987](#)). Much of the existing research has emphasized the role that status plays in communicating information about their possessors and about social relationships ([Hyman 1942](#); [Barkow 1975](#); [Douglas and Isherwood 1979](#); [Dittmar 1994](#)).

II - INTERPERSONAL EFFECTS

A - The Veblen Effect: Perceived Conspicuous Value

In the early 1980s, several researchers conducted studies based on the original work of [Bourne \(1957\)](#), which focused on the influence of reference groups on the consumption of prestige brands ([Mason 1981 and 1992](#); [Bearden and Etzel 1982](#)). These authors found that the conspicuousness of a product was positively related to its susceptibility to reference-group influence. For instance, [Bearden and Etzel \(1982\)](#) concluded that publicly consumed luxury products were more likely to be conspicuous products than privately consumed luxury products.

Conspicuous consumption still plays a significant part in shaping preferences for many products which are purchased or consumed in public contexts ([Braun and Wicklund 1989](#); [Hong and Zinkhan 1995](#); [Bagwell and Bernheim 1996](#); [Corneo and Jeanne 1997](#)). [Thorstein Veblen \(1899\)](#) many years ago suggested that conspicuous consumption was used by people to signal wealth and, by inference power and status. Thus, the utility of prestige products may be to display wealth and power and one could consider that highly visible prestige brands would dominate the [conspicuous segment of the consumers](#).

Several authors have also demonstrated that the price of products may have a positive role in determining the perception of quality ([Erickson and Johansson 1995](#); [Lichtenstein, Bloch, and Black 1988](#); [Tellis and Gaeth 1990](#)). These studies revealed that consumers often used the price cue as evidence for

judging quality when choosing between different brands. In practice, a higher price would infer a higher level of quality. In addition, research which suggested that consumers who perceived price as a proxy for quality, also perceived high prices as a positive indicator suggesting a certain degree of prestige ([Lichtenstein, Ridgway, and Netemeyer 1993](#)). Thus, consumers would use a price cue as a surrogate indicator of prestige. This argument is further supported by the marketing literature which recommend the use of "prestige-pricing strategy" when appealing to status-conscious consumers ([Berkowitz, Kerin, Hartley, and Rudelius 1992, 341](#); [Groth and McDaniel 1993](#)). Although the construct of conspicuousness has dominated most of the past literature on prestige, it is important to recognize that conspicuousness only partly explains the perceived values of prestige products, since a vast majority of these products are also consumed in private, for example when a person consumes fine wine at home.

Proposition 1: Veblenian consumers attach a greater importance to price as an indicator of prestige, because their primary objective is to impress others ([Figure 2](#)).

B - The Snob Effect: Perceived Unique Value

For this reason, the second effect described by [Leibenstein \(1950\)](#), namely the snob effect, is complex because of its origin on both interpersonal and personal effects. Clearly, it takes into consideration the personal and emotional desire when purchasing or consuming prestige brands, but it also influences and is influenced by other individuals' behaviors ([Mason 1992](#)).

In sum, the snob effect may occur during two circumstances: (1) when a new prestige product is launched, the [snob](#) will adopt the product first to take advantage of the [limited number of consumers at that moment](#), and (2) "snob effect is in evidence when status sensitive consumers come to reject a particular product as and when it is seen to be consumed by the general mass of people" ([Mason 1981, 128](#)). [Pantzalis \(1995\)](#) used an extension of the Bass model to test the effect of limited supply on two populations comparable to the snob and bandwagon consumers, as described below. His study effectively substantiates that the diffusion of the brand in two populations is interdependent and is influenced by the distance (e.g., social or cultural) between the target group and the outside group.

Research reveals that a perceived limited supply of products enhances the consumers' value and preference for a brand ([Verhallen 1982](#); [Lynn 1991](#); [Pantzalis 1995](#)). "Items that are in limited supply have high value, while those

readily available are less desirable. Rare items command respect and prestige" ([Solomon 1994, 570](#)). In addition, [Verhallen and Robben \(1994\)](#) state that scarcity of products has a even greater effect on demand if people also perceive the product as unique, popular and expensive.

These arguments are consistent with psychologists who observe situations through which individuals express a "need for uniqueness" ([Snyder and Fromkin 1977](#)). This need is the outcome of a social comparison process ([Festinger 1954](#)), where an individual's desire is to be perceived as different from other individuals. This hypothesis would support the idea that the inherent scarcity, and exclusivity of prestige goods would satisfy a [need for uniqueness](#).

[Groth and McDaniel \(1993, 11\)](#) support the assumption that exclusive or unique perception of a brand is also related to its cost. They state that "brand exclusivity is the positioning of a brand such that it can command a high price relative to similar products". They suggest applying a prestige-pricing strategy to support the marketing of luxury or high-quality products. [Pantzalis \(1995\)](#) not only demonstrates that brand exclusivity is a factor positively affecting the demand for prestige brands, but he also recognizes that a limited supply for the high-end brand results in a transfer of status symbolism and a higher preference for the lower-end brand extension.

Proposition 2: Snob consumers perceive price as an indicator of exclusivity, and avoid using popular brands to experiment with inner-directed consumption ([Figure 2](#)).

C - The Bandwagon Effect: Perceived Social Value

[Leibenstein \(1950\)](#) called the effect which influences the lower-end brand extension, the bandwagon effect. The [bandwagon effect](#) may be conceptualized as the antecedent of the snob effect ([Rogers 1983](#); [Miller, McIntyre and Mantrala 1993](#); [Berry 1994](#)). "Even though snobs and followers buy luxury products for apparently opposite reasons, their basic motivation is really the same; whether through differentiation or group affiliation, they want to enhance their self-concept" ([Dubois and Duquesne 1993b](#)).

[Belk's \(1988\)](#) notion of extended self may be useful to interpret the prestige value which encourages a bandwagon effect. People's desire to possess prestige brands may serve as a symbolic marker of group membership. We propose that the bandwagon effect influences an individual to conform with prestige groups and/or to be distinguished from non-prestige reference groups ([French and Raven 1959](#); [Sirgy 1982](#); [Midgley 1983](#); [Solomon 1983](#); [Mick 1986](#);

[McCracken 1986](#); [Belk 1988](#); [Dittmar 1994](#)). The contribution of reference theory in the analysis of prestige consumer behavior appears to be the central motivation underlining prestige consumption. [Multiple reference groups](#) refer to the problem of being under pressures and demands of one's own membership group, and attracted by the standard dictated by another reference group ([Hyman 1942](#); [Holt 1995](#)).

Research has originally demonstrated that people tended to conform with the majority opinion of their membership groups when forming attitudes ([Festinger 1954](#)). Hence, a person may use a prestige brand during the week, to conform with their professional position, and use a modest brand during the weekend, to match social standards of his/her neighborhood. Thus, bandwagon consumers may use the perceived extended-self value of prestige brands to enhance their self-concept. Following [Kelman's \(1961\)](#) theory of opinion change, we propose that the presence or absence of the referent may affect the behavior of the prestige-seeker within a spectrum of no effect (internalization) to total effect (compliance).

Recent research demonstrates that consumers often use television to learn about affluent lifestyles ([O'Guinn and Shrum 1997](#)), and then try to imitate stereotypes of affluence by consuming similar prestige products ([Dittmar 1994](#)). [O'Guinn and Shrum \(1997\)](#) examined the consumers' use of television to construct social reality. Their study identified that the prevalence of products and activities associated with an affluent lifestyle were positively related to the level of exposure to television. In addition, [Hirschman \(1988\)](#) analyzed the impact of television shows such as "Dallas" and "Dynasty" on consumers' orientation and ideology. The results revealed that viewers used the information on affluent lifestyle portrayed in these programs to project their own ideology and orientation. [Holt \(1998\)](#) applied [Bourdieu's theory \(1984\)](#) relating [levels of cultural capital to consumption patterns](#) to the contemporary US. His analysis suggested that, in the contemporary US, mass rather than high culture structured patterns of taste, and that consumption continued to serve as a effective element for the reproduction of social class. Consequently, both the consumption of "high" as opposed to "mass" culture interdependently contribute to the construction of prestige; high cultural capital emulating mass cultural capital, and inversely.

The literature on materialism provides a framework which helps to understand the meaning that consumers attach to worldly possessions ([Belk 1985](#)). The link between materialism and prestige has received recent attention ([Richins 1994a and 1994b](#); [Wong and Ahuvia 1998](#)). The consumption of prestige brands represents one type of materialistic consumer behavior, where the more

materialistic consumers regard prestige possessions as a cue to evaluate personal or others' prestige. Although the materialism framework provides an important part of the decision-making process when explaining the reason why people purchase or consume luxury products, it gives little insights on the specific characteristics of the prestige brand itself (e.g., uniqueness and quality values).

Proposition 3: Relative to snob consumers, bandwagon consumers attach less importance to price as an indicator of prestige, but will put a greater emphasis on the effect they make on others while consuming prestige brands ([Figure 2](#)).

The consumption of prestige brands appears to have a strong social function, and therefore, interpersonal effects may be significantly affecting the propensity to purchase or consume (Figure 3). However, personal matters such as aesthetic taste and sensory emotion may also independently encourage prestige-seeking consumption.

Figure 3: Interpersonal Effects on Prestige Consumption

III - PERSONAL EFFECTS

Thus, the main contribution from our paper is to add to the traditional three-factor structure based on interpersonal effects a two factor structure based on personal effects (Figure 4). To be more specific, the proposed analysis is designed to take account of consumers' motivations when dependent or independent of the consumption of others. While both effects may influence all consumers, we distinguish the two effects and suggest that consumers are usually influenced by one more than the other. Other sources give evidence of personal motivations when purchasing or consuming prestige brands, as follows.

Figure 4: Personal Effects on Prestige Consumption

A - The Hedonic Effect: Perceived Emotional Value

Motivation research conducted by [Dichter \(1960\)](#) was instrumental in advancing the view that consumer choice may be driven by noncognitive and unconscious motives. Certain goods and services have been known to possess emotional value in excess of their functional utility ([Hirschman and Holbrook 1982](#); [Sheth, Newman, and Gross 1991](#)). Recent studies in luxury consumption have identified that luxury products are likely to provide subjective intangible benefits. For example, [Dubois and Laurent \(1994\)](#) recognized that the emotional value was an essential characteristic of the perceived utility acquired from luxury products: "... a vast majority subscribes to the hedonic motive ('One buys luxury goods primary for one's pleasure') and refutes the snobbish argument" ([Dubois and Laurent 1994, 275](#)). Research discussing the semiotics of luxury have repeatedly identified the emotional responses associated with the consumption, such as sensory pleasure, aesthetic beauty, or excitement ([Alleres 1990](#); [Benarrosh-Dahan 1991](#); [Fauchois and Krieg 1991](#); [Roux and Floch 1996](#)). Further, advertisers have long been promoting the emotional responses expected from the use of luxury motor cars. For instance, BMW has used for many years "Sheer Driving Pleasure" as its main slogan, or more recently Mercedes has launched its new CLK coupe with the slogan: "It's for baby boomers who want to reward themselves".

Accordingly, we refer to the hedonic effect when consumers value the perceived utility acquired from a prestige brand to arouse feelings and affective states. Therefore, people who relate to personal values that depend upon the individual alone for fulfillment, such as self-respect (e.g., role-relaxed consumers, [Kahle 1995](#), or inner-directed consumers, [Riesman, Denney and Glazer 1950](#)), and who are not susceptible to interpersonal influence (e.g., conformity to groups norms, [Bearden, Netemeyer, and Teel 1989](#)), when purchasing and using prestige brands may represent hedonist type of consumers.

Proposition 4: Hedonist consumers are more interested in their own thoughts and feelings, thus they will place less emphasis on price as an indicator of prestige ([Figure 2](#)).

B - The Perfectionism Effect: Perceived Quality Value

In addition, the studies exploring issues related to luxury consumption often underline the specific function of quality. "Excellent quality is a sine qua non, and it is important that the premium marketer maintains and develops leadership in quality" ([Quelch 1987, 39](#)). Prestige brands are expected to show evidence of greater quality, and luxury or premium brands should display even

greater levels of quality ([Garfein 1989](#); [Roux 1995](#)). In practice, "high prices may even make certain products or services more desirable" ([Groth and McDaniel 1993, 10](#)) because people perceive higher prices as evidence of greater quality ([Rao and Monroe 1989](#)). Based on these studies and on the available literature on luxury products, it was proposed that the quality cue might also be used by consumers to evaluate the level of prestige of brands. For example, we assume that a low level of quality would play a negative role over the perception of prestige. In contrast, if the buyer or the consumer perceives the brand as having an excellent level of quality, his or her quality perception would play a positive role over his or her perception of prestige on the same brand.

The reassurance consumers derive from prestige brands (e.g., of tradition, of authenticity) actually enhances the value they derive from the use of the product. They may feel a heightened pleasure of anticipation long before they use them. For instance consumers may purchase and wear prestigious clothes because they are confident in the judgment of style from the designer.

Accordingly, we refer to the quality effect when consumers value the perceived utility acquired from a prestige brand to suggest superior product characteristics and performance. Therefore, people who relate to personal values and who assess the value of prestige brands according to the value of reassurance expected from prestige brands (e.g., comfort and speed for a prestige car, or precision for a prestige watch), may represent perfectionist types of consumers.

Proposition 5: Perfectionist consumers rely on their own perception of the product's quality, and may use the price cue as further evidence supporting the quality issue ([Figure 2](#)).

Although we assume that the five prestige values are independent, we posit that they relate additively and contribute incrementally to brand selection. While consumers may choose to maximize all five prestige values, in practice it seems reasonable to believe that consumers would trade off less salient values for more salient ones. Therefore, we propose that the preference for each alternative value may describe a separate prestige-seeking profile (i.e., Veblenian, snob, bandwagon, Hedonic, and perfectionist), but also agree that certain consumers may belong to more than one category.

IV - DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The primary goal of this paper was to examine the issue of brand prestige. We developed a framework, subsequently named prestige-seeking consumer behavior (PSCB), principally based on the results of previous research (e.g., [Mason 1992](#) or [Dubois and Laurent 1994](#)). The research hypothesis is that the consumer decision-making process is explained by five main factors which form a semantic network. This research contributes to the further development of the social psychology of material possessions, linking together existing theories such as models of conspicuous consumption ([Bearden and Etzel 1982](#); [Mason 1992](#)) or models of involvement ([Horiuchi 1984](#); [Rossiter, Percy, and Donovan 1991](#)). In particular, we sought to review the latent structure of, and the interrelations among, the primary meanings of the prestige concept that, according to the described models, should underlie the decision-making process of prestige brands. Basically, the conceptual framework identifies five types of prestige seekers which are influenced by five perceived prestige values and self-consciousness. Self-consciousness appears to affect consumer decision processes regarding brand selections. This influence varies depending upon the person's susceptibility to interpersonal influence. But more significantly, the prestige framework included personal effects such as hedonist and perfectionist motives, thus supplementing the traditional three-factor structure (i.e., snob, Veblenian, and bandwagon motives) inherited from [Leibenstein \(1950\)](#). In doing so, the model established a balance between personal and interpersonal oriented motives which tended to dominate past research on luxury consumption.

The implications of this research are of significance for marketers and scholars in the field of prestige brands. Specifically, it offers a potential contribution to the measurement of prestige, and suggests an alternative approach to the issue of positioning prestige brands recently advanced by [Roux \(1991\)](#). In sum, our findings contribute to new explanations of prestige brand advantage beyond that explained in the economics/analytical literature. Our framework assumes the existence of latent prestige constructs influenced by personal and interpersonal perceptions toward the brands. These findings help explain the five key prestige values that managers must establish or monitor for creating a lasting prestige brand. As noted, the propositions of this review could serve various purposes, but perhaps be specifically applied to create and build brand prestige, or address issues such as how to maintain brand prestige once it is established.

The benefits from this framework reside in helping researchers understand how consumers view prestige brands. The contribution is both useful from a market segmentation point of view and from a market positioning point of view. From

a market segmentation point of view, clustering groups according to their primary perceived values of prestige for a brand may reveal other demographic or psychographic characteristics which may better represent these consumers. The proposed factor structure for the concept of prestige suggested during the literature synthesis provided a basis for developing several profiles of consumers. These different consumer's profiles may indicate distinct market segments to which different products appeal or advertising strategies could be implemented.

From a market positioning point of view, if monitoring a prestige brand indicated a declining level of prestige, researchers could identify and concentrate on the specific prestige value which is weakening. Accordingly, researchers may change the advertising message, stressing the perceived values, and emphasizing benefits of the brand over competing brands. For example, if the prestige image of a luxury car was distinctly decreasing due to a reduction of perceived uniqueness (e.g., exclusivity), then appeals which would emphasized the limited number of cars available or give the consumer information about the precious components used in making the car, would be appropriate to reinforce the overall prestige image. Similar illustrations could be drawn from each of the other five perceived values.

The five perceived values are particularly useful for comparing several prestige brands and thus for recognizing competitive advantages. Relative strengths and weaknesses can be identified in the target market along either each of the underlying values comprising the prestige concept. Future research may examine which of the perceived values discriminate most significantly among the three level of prestige brands (i.e., luxury, premium, and upmarket brands). We anticipate the findings from this review will stimulate further research on prestige-seeking consumer behavior. In particular, future research should explore and test the conceptual framework described in Figure 2, 3 and 4. This framework could be used to develop a scale measuring the proposed latent structure of the prestige concept. A scale measuring prestige would provide several benefits to the study of prestige-seeking consumer behavior. For instance, it may;

- Establish a clear definition concerning what constitutes a prestige brand compared to a non-prestige brand.
- Assess the multidimensional constructs of prestige (establish evidence for both aspects of reliability and validity).
- Test convergent and discriminant validity between the newly developed "prestige" scale and involvement scales. Although the concept of

prestige is theoretically different than the concept of involvement, they have certain dimensions in common such as the "symbolic" and "hedonic" value (Laurent and Kapferer 1985).

- As a starting point, examine the consumer behavior framework using the prestige scale to measure the level of perceived prestige within brands and across respondents.

Hence, it would provide a useful instrument to substitute for the ad hoc and untested scale used to test propositions that have previously been used in the field. This common definition and measure are important as researchers have not yet offered any acceptable and generalizable definition, which would set forward a theoretical direction concerning the conception or the evaluation of prestige brands. The theoretical and applied research question that could be used in future research may be: how can a new brand in particular, or perhaps a low-prestige established brand, build prestige?

V - REFERENCES

Allèrès, Danielle (1990), *Luxe ... Stratégies Marketing*, Paris: Economica.

Andrus, David M., Edward Silver and Dallas E. Johnson (1986), "Status Brand Management and Gift Purchase: A Discriminant Analysis," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 3 (1), 5-13.

Asch, Solomon E. (1948), "Doctrine of Suggestion, Prestige and Imitation in Social Psychology," *Psychological Review*, 55 (5), 250-276.

Bagwell, Laurie Simon and B. Douglas Bernheim (1996), "Veblen Effects in a Theory of Conspicuous Consumption," *American Economic Review*, 86 (3), 349-373.

Barkow, Jerome H. (1975), "Prestige and Culture: A Biosocial Interpretation," *Current Anthropology*, 16 (December), 553-572.

Bearden, William O. and Michael J. Etzel (1982), "Reference Group Influence on Product and Brand Purchase Decisions," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9 (September), 183-194.

Bearden, William, Richard G. Netemeyer and Jesse E. Teel (1989), "Measurement of Consumer Susceptibility to Interpersonal Influence," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15 (March), 473-481.

Bechtold, Martine (1991), "Le Paradoxe du Luxe," *Revue Française du Marketing*, 132/133 (2-3), 41-43.

- Belk, Russell W. (1985), "Materialism: Trait Aspects of Living in the Material World," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12 (December), 265-280.
- Belk, Russell W. (1988), "Possessions and the Extended Self," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15 (September), 139-168.
- Benarrosh-Dahan, Eliane (1991), "Le Contexte Lexicologique du Luxe," *Revue Française du Marketing*, 132/133 (2-3), 45-54.
- Berkowitz, Eric N., Roger A. Kerin, Steven W. Hartley and William Rudelius (1992), *Marketing*, (3rd ed.) Homewood, IL.: Irwin.
- Berry, Christopher J. (1994), *The Idea of Luxury: A Conceptual and Historical Investigation*, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Besley, Timothy (1989), "A Definition of Luxury and Necessity for Cardinal Utility Functions," *The Economic Journal*, 99 (September), 844-849.
- Biddle, Jeff (1991), "A Bandwagon Effect in Personalized License Plates?," *Economic Inquiry*, 19 (April), 375-388.
- Blumberg, Paul (1974), "The Decline and Fall of the Status Symbol: Some Thoughts on Status in Post-Industrial Society," *Social Problems*, 21 (April), 480-498.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1984), *Distinction: A Social Judgment of Taste*, (trans.) Richard Nice, Cambridge, MA.: Harvard University Press.
- Bourne, Francis S. (1957), "Group Influence in Marketing and Public Relations", in *Some Applications of Behavioral Research*, (eds.) Rensis Likert and Samuel P. Hayes, Paris, France: Unesco.
- Braun, Ottmar L. and Robert A. Wicklund (1989), " Psychological Antecedents of Conspicuous Consumption," *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 10 (2), 161-186.
- Brinberg, David and Linda Plimpton (1986), "Self-Monitoring and Product Conspicuousness on Reference Group Influence," in *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 13, Richard J. Lutz (ed.), Provo, UT.: Association for Consumer Research.
- Castarède, Jean (1992), *Le Luxe*, Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Corneo, Giacomo and Olivier Jeanne (1997), "Conspicuous Consumption, Snobbism and Conformism," *Journal of Public Economics*, 66 (October), 55-71.
- Dawson, Scott and Jill Cavell (1987), "Status Recognition in the 1980s: Invidious Distinction Revisited," in *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 14,

Mellanie Wallendorf and Paul Anderson (eds.), Provo, UT.: Association for Consumer Research, 487-491.

Dichter, Ernest (1960), *The Strategy of Desire*, New York: Doubleday.

Dittmar, Helga (1994), "Material Possessions as Stereotypes: Material Images of Different Socio-Economic Groups," *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 15 (December), 561-585.

Douglas, Mary and Baron Isherwood (1979), *The World of Goods*, New York: Basic.

Dubois, Bernard and Patrick Duquesne (1993a), "Polarization Maps: A New Approach to Identifying and Assessing Competitive Position - The Case of Luxury Brands," *Marketing and Research Today*, 21 (May), 115-123.

Dubois, Bernard and Patrick Duquesne (1993b), "The Market for Luxury Goods: Income Versus Culture," *European Journal of Marketing*, 27 (1), 35-44.

Dubois, Bernard and Gilles Laurent (1994), "Attitudes Toward the Concept of Luxury: An Exploratory Analysis," in *Asia-Pacific Advances in Consumer Research*, Siew Meng Leong and Joseph A. Cote (eds.), Singapore, 1 (2), 273-278.

Dubois, Bernard and Gilles Laurent (1996), "Le Luxe par-delà les Frontières: Une Etude Exploratoire dans Douze Pays," *Décisions Marketing*, 9 (September/December), 35-43.

Dubois, Bernard and Claire Paternault (1997), "Does Luxury have a Home Country? An Investigation of Country Images in Europe," *Marketing and Research Today*, 25 (May), 79-85.

Echikson, William (1994), "The Return of Luxury," *Fortune*, October 17, 18.

Erickson, Gary M. and Johnny K. Johansson (1985), "The Role of Price in Multi-Attribute Product Evaluations," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12 (September), 195-199.

Eurostaf (1992), *Le Marché du Luxe*, Janvier, Paris: Eurostaf.

Fauchois, Anne and Alice Krieg (1991), "Le Discours du Luxe," *Revue Française du Marketing*, 132/133 (2-3), 23-39.

Fenigstein, Allan, Michael F. Scheier and Arnold H. Buss (1975), "Public and Private Self-Consciousness: Assessment and Theory," *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 43 (4), 522-527.

Fenchel, Gerd H., Jack H. Monderer and Eugene L. Hartley (1951), "Subjective Status and The Equilibration Hypothesis," *Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology*, 46 (2), 476-479.

- Festinger, Leon (1954), "A Theory of Social Comparison Process," *Human Relations*, 7 (1), 117-140.
- French, John R., Jr. and Bertram H. Raven (1959), "The Bases of Social Power", in *Studies in Social Power*, (ed.) Dorwin Cartwright, Ann Arbor, MI.: Institute for Social Research, 150-167.
- Freud, Sigmund (1961), *Beyond the Pleasure Principle*, in the Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Work of Sigmund Freud, Vol. 18, John Strachey (ed.), London: Hogarth Press.
- Garfein, Richard T. (1989), "Cross-Cultural Perspectives on the Dynamics of Prestige," *Journal of Services Marketing*, 3 (Summer), 17-24.
- Graeff, Timothy R. (1997), "Consumption Situations and the Effects of Brand Image on Consumers' Brand Evaluations," *Psychology and Marketing*, 14 (1), 49-70.
- Groth, John C. and Stephen W. McDaniel (1993), "The Exclusive Value Principle: The Basis for Prestige Pricing," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 10 (1), 10-16.
- Hirschman, Elizabeth C. and Morris B. Holbrook (1982), "Hedonic Consumption: Emerging Concepts, Methods and Propositions," *Journal of Marketing*, 46 (Summer), 92-101.
- Hirschman, Elizabeth C. (1988), "The Ideology of Consumption: A Structural-Syntactical Analysis of "Dallas" and "Dynasty", *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15 (December), 344-359.
- Holt, Douglas B. (1995), "How Consumers Consume: A Typology of Consumption Practices," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 22 (June), 1-16.
- Holt, Douglas B. (1998), "Does Cultural Capital Structure American Consumption?," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 25 (June), 1-25.
- Hong, Jae W. and George M. Zinkhan (1995), "Self-Concept and Advertising Effectiveness: The Influence of Congruency, Conspicuousness, and Response Mode," *Psychology and Marketing*, 12 (1), 53-77.
- Horiuchi, Yoshihide (1984), *A Systems Anomaly: Consumer Decision-Making Process for Luxury Goods*, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.
- Hyman, Herbert H. (1942), "Psychology of Status," *Archives of Psychology*, 269, 5-28.
- Kahle, Lynn R. (1995), "Role-Relaxed Consumers: Empirical Evidence," *Journal of Advertising Research*, 35 (2), 59-62.

- Keasbey, Lindley M. (1903), "Prestige Value," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 17 (May), 456-475.
- Kelman, Herbert C. (1961), "Processes of Opinion Change," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 25 (1), 57-78.
- Kelley, Harold H. (1952), Two Functions of Reference Groups, in *Readings in Social Psychology*, (eds.) Guy E. Swanson, Theodore M. Newcomb, and Eugene L. Hartley, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 410-414.
- Laurent, Gilles and Jean-Noel Kapferer (1985), "Measuring Consumer Involvement Profiles," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 22 (February), 41-53.
- Leibenstein, Harvey (1950), "Bandwagon, Snob, and Veblen Effects in the Theory of Consumers' Demand," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 64 (May), 183-207.
- Leibenstein, Harvey (1976), *Beyond Economic Man: A New Foundation for Microeconomics*, Cambridge, MA.: Harvard University Press.
- Lichtenstein, Donald R., Peter H. Bloch and William C. Black (1988), "Correlates of Price Acceptability," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15 (September), 243-252.
- Lichtenstein, Donald R., Nancy M. Ridgway and Richard G. Netemeyer (1993), "Price Perceptions and Consumer Shopping Behavior: A Field Study," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 30 (May), 234-245.
- Lorge, Ivan (1936), "Prestige, Suggestion, and Attitudes," *Journal of Social Psychology*, 7 (2), 386-402.
- Lynn, Michael (1991), "Scarcity Effects on Value: A Quantitative Review of the Commodity Theory Literature," *Psychology and Marketing*, 8 (1), 45-57.
- Mackay Report (1986), *Luxuries in Australia*, (ed.) Hugh Mackay, March, Sydney: Australia.
- Mason, Roger S. (1981), *Conspicuous Consumption*, New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Mason, Roger S. (1992), *Modelling the Demand for Status Goods*, Working Paper, Department of Business and Management Studies, University of Salford, UK.
- McCarthy, E. Jerome and William D. Perreault, Jr. (1987), *Basic Marketing: A Managerial Approach*, (9th ed.), Homewood, IL.: Irwin.
- McCracken, Grant (1986), "Culture and Consumption: A Theoretical Account of the Structure and Movement of the Cultural Meaning of Consumer Goods," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 13 (June), 71-84.

- McKinsey Corporation (1990), *The Luxury Industry: An Asset for France*, Paris: McKinsey.
- Merton, Robert K. (1968), *Social Theory and Social Structure*, New York: Free Press.
- Mick, David G. (1986), "Consumer Research and Semiotics: Exploring the Morphology of Signs, Symbols, and Significance," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 13 (September), 196-213.
- Midgley, David F. (1983), "Patterns of Interpersonal Information Seeking for the Purchase of a Symbolic Product," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 20 (February), 74-83.
- Miller, Christopher M., Shelby H. McIntyre and Murali K. Mantrala (1993), "Toward Formalizing Fashion Theory," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 30 (May), 142-157.
- O'Guinn, Thomas C. and L. J. Shrum (1997), "The Role of Television in the Construction of Consumer Reality," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 23 (March), 278-294.
- Pantazis, Ioannis (1995), *Exclusivity Strategies in Pricing and Brand Extension*, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Arizona.
- Patterson, Paul G. (1993), "Expectations and Product Performance as Determinants of Satisfaction for a High-Involvement Purchase," *Psychology and Marketing*, 10 (5), 449-465.
- Powderly, John and Christine MacNulty (1990), "Consumer Trends: A Turbulent Time Ahead," *Marketing*, Oct 11, 33-34.
- Puto, Christopher P. and William D. Wells (1984), "Informational and Transformational Advertising: the Differential Effects of Time," in Thomas C. Kinnear (ed.), *Advances in Consumer Research*: Vol. 11, Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research, 638-643.
- Quelch, John A. (1987), "Marketing the Premium Product," *Business Horizons*, 30 (3), 38-45.
- Rae, John (1834), *The Sociological Theory of Capital*, New York: MacMillan.
- Rao, Akshay R. and Kent B. Monroe (1989), "The Effect of Price, Brand Name, and Store Name on Buyers' Perceptions of Product Quality: An Integrative Review," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 26 (August), 351-357.
- Rees, Peter (1997), "Shopping Binge on Luxury," *The Sunday Telegraph*, September 21, 8.

- Richins, Marsha L. (1994a), "Valuing Things: The Public and Private Meanings of Possessions," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 21 (December), 504-521.
- Richins, Marsha L. (1994b), "Special Possessions and the Expression of Material Values," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 21 (December), 522-533.
- Riesman, David, Revel Denney and Nathan Glazer (1950), *The Lonely Crowd: A Study of the Changing American Character*, New Haven, CT.: Yale University Press.
- Rogers, Everett M. (1983), *Diffusion of Innovations*, New York: The Free Press.
- Rossiter, John R. and Larry Percy (1987), *Advertising and Promotion Management*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Rossiter, John R., Larry Percy and Robert J. Donovan (1991), "A Better Advertising Planning Grid," *Journal of Advertising Research*, 31(5), 11-21.
- Roux, Elyette (1991), "Comment se Positionnent les Marques de Luxe," *Revue Française du Marketing*, 132/133 (2-3), 111-118.
- Roux, Elyette (1994), "Le Luxe: Entre Prestige et Marche de Masse," *Décisions Marketing*, 1 (January-April), 15-22.
- Roux, Elyette (1995), *Consumer Evaluation of Luxury Brand Extensions*, EMAC Conference, May, ESSEC, Paris.
- Roux, Elyette and Jean-Marie Floch (1996), "Gérer L'Ingérable: La Contradiction Interne de toute Maison de Luxe," *Décisions Marketing*, 9 (September-December), 15-23.
- Sekora, John (1977), *Luxury: The Concept in Western Thought, Eden to Smollett*, Baltimore, MD.: John Hopkins University Press.
- Sheth, Jagdish N., Bruce I. Newman, and Barbara L. Gross (1991), "Why We Buy What We Buy: A Theory of Consumption Values," *Journal of Business Research*, 22 (1), 159-170.
- Sirgy, M. Joseph (1982), "Self-Concept in Consumer Behavior: A Critical Review," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9 (December), 287-300.
- Snyder, Charles R. and Howard L. Fromkin (1977), "Abnormality as a Positive Characteristic: The Development and Validation of a Scale Measuring Need for Uniqueness," *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 86 (5), 518-527.
- Solomon, Michael R. (1983), "The Role of Products as Social Stimuli: A Symbolic Interactionist Approach," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 10 (December), 319-329.

Solomon, Michael R. (1994), *Consumer Behavior*, (2nd ed.), Boston, MA.: Allyn and Bacon.

Tellis, Gerard J. and Gary J. Gaeth (1990), "Best Value, Price-Seeking, and Price Aversion: The Impact of Information and Learning on Consumer Choices," *Journal of Marketing*, 54 (April), 34-45.

Thompson, Craig J. and Diana L. Haytko (1997), "Speaking of Fashion: Consumers' Uses of Fashion Discourses and the Appropriation of Countervailing Cultural Meanings," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 23 (June), 15-42.

Veblen, Thorstein B. (1899), *The Theory of the Leisure Class*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Verhallen, Theo M. (1982), "Scarcity and Consumer Choice Behavior," *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 2 (2), 299-321.

Verhallen, Theo M. and Henry S. Robben (1994), "Scarcity and Preference: An Experiment on Unavailability and Product Evaluation," *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 15 (June), 315-331.

Wegener, Bernd (1992), "Concepts and Measurement of Prestige," *Annual Review of Sociology*, 18 (2), 253-280.

Wong, Nancy Y. and Aaron C. Ahuvia (1998), "Personal Taste and Family Face: Luxury Consumption in Confucian and Western Societies," *Psychology and Marketing*, 15 (August), 423-441.

(Copyright Franck Vigneron and Lester W. Johnson 1999