

# Vernier Analysis Update

Run 12

Mike Beaumier

UC Riverside

November 18, 2015

# Outline

- 1 The Hourglass Effect and Crossing Angle -  $\beta^*$ ,  $\theta_{XZ}$  and  $\theta_{YZ}$ 
  - Introduction to  $\beta^*$ ,  $\theta_{XZ}$  and  $\theta_{YZ}$
- 2 The Simulation
- 3 Exploring the Parameter Space
  - Hourglass Parameters
  - Modeling Bunch Z-Profile
- 4 Results

# The Hourglass Effect and Crossing Angle - $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

# Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$


The overall thrust of the Vernier Analysis is to determine the absolute luminosity delivered to PHENIX by RHIC. The vernier scan itself allows us to recover estimates for most of the parameters which are used to calculate luminosity, but the presence of the beam squeezing parameter,  $\beta^*$  and non-zero crossing angles in the X-Z plane and Y-Z plane ( $\theta_{XZ}, \theta_{YZ}$ ) introduce z-dependence into the parameters which are extracted from the vernier scan, namely the beam-widths,  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$ .

# Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

Luminosity for any colliding beam accelerator can be modeled as the convolution of the two bunch densities:

$$\mathcal{L} = 2N_{blue}N_{yellow}f_{bunch}N_{bunch} \iiint \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{blue}(x, y, z - ct_0) \rho_{yellow}(x, y, z + ct_0) dx dy dz dt \quad (1)$$

If the densities in equation 1 are simple Gaussians, the normalizations may be extracted from the integrand, and the integration can be performed analytically. This corresponds to the simple colliding bunch model:



## Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

The simple normalized Gaussian beam profile for any single dimension,  $x_i$ ,  $i = x, y, z$  may be written, and normalized as follows:

$$\rho(x_i) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_{x_i}^2}}}{\sigma_{x_i} \sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (2)$$



If all profiles are of this form, then the densities are separable, and we may perform the integration. However, higher order beam effects introduce complications which will prevent us from separating the densities, as well as performing the integration analytically.

# Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

There are three transformations which we can perform on our profile, to generate the most realistic overlap integral. Once we have a form that we are happy with, we may integrate out the  $x$  and  $y$  degrees of freedom, leaving a distribution in  $z$  and  $t$ . This distribution is sampled randomly to create a simulated  $z$ -vertex profile, which we can seed with experimentally extracted data, and tune until sufficient convergence is achieved.

# Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

The crossing angle may be applied in either the X-Z plane or Y-Z plane (or both). Schematically:



Left: rotation transformation, Right: bunches crossing at an angle,  $\Phi$  relative to each-other.



## Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

We transform our coordinate system, thus giving us shifted coordinates to account for non-zero  $\theta_{XZ}$  and  $\theta_{YZ}$ . Because crossing angles are all small, ( $-0.2rad < \theta < 0.2rad$ ), we can use the small angle approximation.

$$x_{blue} \rightarrow x \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - z \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (3)$$

$$z_{blue} \rightarrow z \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + x \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$x_{yellow} \rightarrow x \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + z \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (5)$$

$$z_{yellow} \rightarrow z \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - x \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (6)$$


$$\sin \frac{\phi}{2} \rightarrow \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (7)$$

$$\cos \frac{\phi}{2} \rightarrow 1 + \frac{\phi^2}{4} \quad (8)$$

# Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

To the left, we can see an example of a beta-function which effectively pinches the transverse profiles of the beam down to a focused point at the center of the interaction region. We must therefore transform the widths of our transverse distributions like so:

$$\sigma_{x_i} \rightarrow \sigma_{x_i} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{z}{\beta^*}\right)^2} \quad (9)$$



# Introduction to $\beta^*$ , $\theta_{XZ}$ and $\theta_{YZ}$

The correction procedure:


- Begin with model for luminosity, make reasonable assumptions
- Modify model to account for real effects
- Numerically integrate to z-t distribution
- Sample z-t distribution to obtain z-vertex profile
- **Next:** Matching simulated distribution to data using statistically significant method (i.e., not "by eye" which has been done in past analyses"
- **Next:** Correct luminosity by scaling simple model of luminosity of data to match simulated luminosity.

# The Simulation

# Simulating The Vernier Scan

- The vernier scan can be simulated with a collection of variables defining the dynamics of the scan. We simulate each scan step, model the z-vertex profile for the step, and modify the simulation until matching is good.
- The goal of the hourglass simulation is to confirm that CAD has provided the correct value for  $\beta^*$  and to correct for the presence of any crossing angle between the beams.
- The  $\beta^*$  correction was on the order of a 30% correction in 2009, whereas the crossing angle correction was a 1% correction.
- For Run 12 scans, CAD advertised the 200GeV value of  $\beta^*$  as 85cm and the 510GeV value as 65cm

# Simulation Overview



# Simulation Parameters

```
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
BETA_STAR 85
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ -0.08e-3
CROSSING_ANGLE_YZ 0.
FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.001
RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
X_OFFSET -0.1
Y_OFFSET 0
ZDC_COUNTS 592
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_0
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 2.0
```

# Free Simulation Parameters

Although any parameter can be varied, most are fixed based on input from other constraints along the analysis chain.

Free parameters are:

- $\beta^*$
- $\theta_{XZ}$  or  $\theta_{YZ}$
- Z-Profile Scale value



# Free Simulation Parameters

## Caveats:


- Using a fixed bunch z-profile which is scaled may not be the best choice, but then again, the z-vertex profile may not be too sensitive to this. More later!
- Crossing angle in XZ plane does not affect the shape of the z-vertex profile when a vertical beam displacement is simulated
- Crossing angle in the YZ plane does not affect the shape of the z-vertex profile when a horizontal displacement is simulated
- **Solution:** Simulate only one crossing angle, swap horizontal/vertical elements for vertical scans.

# Exploring the Parameter Space

# Exploring the Simulation Parameter Space

In order to tune the Hourglass Simulation, I identified how various beam parameters affected the final z-vertex profile.


- Stretching/Squeezing of distribution
- Asymmetry in peak heights
- Individual Peak Widths
- Peak Separation
- Peak Scaling



**Shown:** the zdc z-vertex histogram profile for -1000 micron beam separation, 200GeV, run 359711. Characteristic hourglass effect (peak separation) and crossing angle effect (peak asymmetry).

# Hourglass Parameters - Simulation and Data

```
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
    BETA_STAR 85
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
    CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ -0.08e-3
    CROSSING_ANGLE_YZ 0.
    FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
    MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.001
RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
    X_OFFSET -0.1
    Y_OFFSET 0
    ZDC_COUNTS 592
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_0
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 2.0
```



Here, we manually scale the simulation parameters to achieve a reasonable match for a scan step, -1000 microns (x), for 359711.

Note that we use a general model for the z-profile (triple Gaussian, more on this later), and achieve good matching through scaling this profile.




# Hourglass Parameters

Starting from a reasonably matched spectra, we can now explore how modifying the various parameters affect the output profile.

Smaller end of parameter range is shown in **green** while larger end of parameter range is shown in **pink**




# Large and Small $\beta^*$

## Z Vertex ZDC Profile Simulation






# Large and Small $\sigma_x$

## Z Vertex ZDC Profile Simulation



# Large and Small Z-bunch profile




## Z Vertex ZDC Profile Simulation








# Large and Small $\sigma_y$

## Z Vertex ZDC Profile Simulation



# Large and Small $\theta_{XZ}$

## Z Vertex ZDC Profile Simulation



# Exploring the Parameter Space

Based on our exploration, we have confirmed/discovered that the following parameters map to the following z-profile behavior:

- Z-Profile Scale  $\rightarrow$  Stretching/Squeezing of distribution
- $\theta_{XZ} \rightarrow$  Asymmetry in peak heights
- Z-Profile  $\rightarrow$  Individual Peak Widths
- Beam Displacement /  $\sigma_{x,y} \rightarrow$  Peak Separation
- ZDC yield (not shown)  $\rightarrow$  Peak Scaling

Also note that scaling the beam widths OR changing the displacement will change the total amount of overlapping beam. Note too that we cannot observe the effects of vertical-beam width scaling or YZ crossing angle during a horizontal beam displacement.

# Modeling Z-Profile

Here, I present my preliminary studies on the affect of z-profile of the bunches in simulation on the final simulated z-vertex distribution.

# Results

# Preliminary Results from Simulation

Now that we have a reasonable understanding of how each simulation configuration parameter affects the simulated z-profile, we can do two things:

- ① Establish reasonable boundaries over to generate simulation configuration files, as well as reasonable parameter step-sizes
- ② Make a good guess as to what the best set of parameters are for each scan step


## **Additionally:**

- Absence of functional form for z-profile precludes traditional regression fitting
- Refactoring hourglass simulation to accept config-file for initialization opens door for brute force regression.

359711, -1000 micron x-displacement,  $\beta^* = 85\text{cm}$ ,  
 $\theta_{xz} = -0.08 \times 10^{-3}\text{rad}$

```


AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
BETA_STAR 85
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ -0.08e-3
CROSSING_ANGLE_YZ 0.
FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.001
RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
X_OFFSET -0.1
Y_OFFSET 0
ZDC_COUNTS 592
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_0
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 2.0
  
```



**Feature:** Good agreement between **simulation** and **data**.

359711, -450 micron x-displacement,  $\beta^* = 85\text{cm}$ ,  
 $\theta_{xz} = -0.08 \times 10^{-3}\text{rad}$

```
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
BETA_STAR 85.
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ -0.08e-3
CROSSING_ANGLE_YZ 0.
FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.126
RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
X_OFFSET -0.045
Y_OFFSET 0
ZDC_COUNTS 21998
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_3
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 1.8
```




**Feature:** Closer overlap features are not captured between **simulation** and **data**.



359711, -150 micron x-displacement,  $\beta^* = 85\text{cm}$ ,  
 $\theta_{xz} = -0.08 \times 10^{-3}\text{rad}$

```

AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
      BETA STAR 85.
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
  CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ -0.08e-3
    FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
      MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.402
      RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
      X_OFFSET -0.015
      Y_OFFSET 0
      ZDC_COUNTS 66813
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_5
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 2.0
  
```




**Feature:** Good agreement between **simulation** and **data**.

359711, -750 micron y-displacement,  $\beta^* = 85\text{cm}$ ,  
 $\theta_{xz} = -0.08 \times 10^{-3}\text{rad}$

```

AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
BETA_STAR 85
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ 0
FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.001
RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
X_OFFSET 0
Y_OFFSET -0.075
ZDC_COUNTS 4116
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_14
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 2.0
  
```




**Feature:** Apparently, no  $\theta_{YZ}$ , good agreement between **simulation** and **data**.

359711, 750 micron x-displacement,  $\beta^* = 85\text{cm}$ ,  
 $\theta_{xz} = -0.08 \times 10^{-3}\text{rad}$

```

AVG_NUMBER_IONS_BLUE_BEAM 120.029e9
AVG_NUMBER_IONS_YELLOW_BEAM 88.1677e9
BBC_ZDC_Z_VERTEX_OFFSET -9.53756
BETA_STAR 85.
BUNCH_CROSSING_FREQUENCY 78213.
CROSSING_ANGLE_XZ -0.08e-3
CROSSING_ANGLE_YZ 0.
FILLED_BUNCHES 107
HORIZONTAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0245674
MAX_COLLISIONS 5
MULTIPLE_COLLISION_RATE 0.001
RUN_NUMBER 359711
VERTICAL_BEAM_WIDTH 0.0238342
X_OFFSET 0
Y_OFFSET 0.075
ZDC_COUNTS 15156
ZDC_VERTEX_DISTRIBUTION_NAME zdc_zvtx_step_24
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_CENTRAL_GAUSSIAN 55.95
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_GAUSSIAN 35.15
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_LEFT_OFFSET -70.2
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_GAUSSIAN 27.65
Z_BUNCH_WIDTH_RIGHT_OFFSET 56.7
Z_PROFILE_SCALE_VALUE 2.0
  
```



**Feature:** Data shows presence of  $\theta_{YZ}$ , makes for poor agreement between  
 simulation and data.

# Next Steps Towards More Results

- We have already shown that the bunch z-profile affects the z-vertex profile. Is it valid to simply scale this profile?
- Do we really see a changing crossing angle, or are we seeing fluctuations?

These questions can both be answered, but a few things need to happen first.

- Double check luminosity model (one day)
- Compare simple models to "real" models (one day)
- Use "real" bunch z-profile (next slides), compare to current results.

## Using Z-Bunch Profiles Directly

This would have been done sooner, but I do not have direct access to the fine-binned Wall-Current-Monitor data, and there was a 2-3 week lag time in between requesting the data, and receiving the data.


What I propose:

- Normalize each profile, to treat it as a density,  $\rho_z$ , such that
$$\rho(x, y, z, t) = \rho(x, z)\rho(y, z)\rho(z)\rho(t)$$
- Directly use this profile, storing it as a TGraph object and using spline-interpolation to approximate a continuous function


**Caveat:** Pictured is the average intensity of each filled bunch, taken in the middle of a vernier scan.

# 362492 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_362492\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_362492\_space




ywcm\_zprofile\_362492\_space




# 365866 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_365866\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_365866\_space




ywcm\_zprofile\_365866\_space




# 366605 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_366605\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_366605\_space




ywcm\_zprofile\_366605\_space






# 364636 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_364636\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_364636\_space




ywcm\_zprofile\_364636\_space




# 359711 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_359711\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_359711\_space




ywcm\_zprofile\_359711\_space




# 367138 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_367138\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_367138\_space




ywcm\_zprofile\_367138\_space




# 360879 WCM Z Bunch Profile


bwcm\_zprofile\_360879\_space



bwcm\_zprofile\_360879\_space



ywcm\_zprofile\_360879\_space



# Discussion - WCM Z Bunch Profile

It is clear that sometimes the triple-gaussian profile may be reasonable, but often it is not. It should not be any problem to substitute in the real profile into the simulation.

# The End

Thanks for your attention!