School of Physical and Chemical Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

Modern Perspectives on the Strong CP Problem

Joseph A. Wilson

Supervisor Assoc. Prof. Jenni Adams

«Compiled on 13/05/20, 15:25»



ASTR430 LITERATURE REVIEW

Abstract Literature review on something relating to axions.

Contents

1	QCD and the Strong CP Problem		1
	1.1	Overview of Classical Gauge Theory	1
	1.2	Lagrangians in QFT	4
	1.3	The QCD Lagrangian	5
	1.4	The Strong CP Problem	6
2	Solutions to the Strong CP Problem		7
	2.1	Peccei–Quinn Theory	7
Bi	Bibliography		

1 QCD and the Strong CP Problem

THE STANDARD MODEL of particle physics is a quantum field theory which describes all known fundamental particles and interactions to high precision—with notable exceptions including: the absence of gravity; dark matter; and the experimentally incorrect prediction that neutrinos are massless.

With its emergence in the «buzz of phenomenological particle physics in the early 1960s», the modern rendition of the standard model is as a Lorentz-invariant quantum gauge field theory whose Lagrangian exhibits gauge symmetry characterised by the compact connected Lie group

$$(SU(3) \oplus SU(2) \oplus U(1)) / \mathbb{Z}_6. \tag{1.1}$$

Each term in the gauge group corresponds to a fundamental force of nature. The factor $SU(2) \oplus U(1)$ corresponds to the electroweak force of quantum electrodynamics; and the factor SU(3) is the gauge group of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the sector of the standard model which describes the interactions of colour-charged quarks and gluons via the strong force.

Quantum chromodynamics, while pathologically difficult to analyse in the non-perturbative regime, is a successful and beautiful theory. Aside from technical problems concerning confinement and the non-perturbative regime, QCD exhibits a mystery of purely theoretical concern known: the *strong CP problem*, which is a problem of fine-tuning and is a smoking-gun for physics beyond the standard model.

The significance and role of the gauge group is outlined in the next section where a modern overview of gauge field theory is presented. Then, QCD is defined and the origin of the so-called *strong CP problem* is highlighted.

«Justify focus on classical gauge theory»

1.1 Overview of Classical Gauge Theory

Gauge theories are theories of fields on a spacetime manifold which consist of two mathematically distinct dynamical entities: a matter field and a gauge field. A classical gauge theory is completely specified by the prescription of three ingredients: the base manifold; the vector space in which the matter field takes its values; and a gauge group equipped with an action on the matter field. The gauge field arises as a consequence of the gauge group's action on the matter field.

```
Notations used in this chapter
      \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \dots
                              objects with manifold structure
   \rightarrow; \rightarrow; \leftrightarrow
                              injection; surjection; bijection
F \rightarrowtail \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathcal{M}
                              fibre bundle \mathcal{E} over base space \mathcal{M} with fibre F and projection \pi: \mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}
  T_n \mathcal{M}; T \mathcal{M}
                              tangent space at p \in \mathcal{M}; tangent bundle of \mathcal{M}
                              type ({r\atop s}) tensors over \mathcal{M}, equal to \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathbf{T}\mathcal{M}\right)\otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^s \mathbf{T}^*\mathcal{M}\right)
        \mathbf{T}_{s}^{r}\mathcal{M}
                              tensor bundle of \mathcal{M}, equal to \bigoplus_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}_{s}^{r} \mathcal{M}
         \mathbb{T}\mathcal{M}
                              smooth sections \mathcal{M} \to F of fibre bundle F \rightarrowtail \mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}
        \Gamma(\mathcal{E})
           A
                              spacetime tensor field; i.e., a section of \mathbb{T}\mathcal{M}
           \boldsymbol{A}
                              vector field of some abstract vector space not in \mathbb{T}\mathcal{M}
                              spacetime tensor field with values in some other abstract vector space
           \boldsymbol{A}
         \wedge^p V
                              pth exterior power of the vector space V, consisting of p-forms
      \Omega^p(\mathcal{M})
                              space of p-forms on \mathcal{M}, equal to \Gamma(\wedge^p T^* \mathcal{M})
   \Omega^p(\mathcal{M}, V)
                              space of V-valued p-forms, equal to \Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes \wedge^p T^*\mathcal{M}) where V \mapsto \mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}
```

The underlying premise of gauge theory is that there may be redundancy in the mathematical description of a physical matter field at each point in spacetime. (For example, the complex phase of a wavefunction.) This redundancy results in mathematical degrees of freedom of the matter fields which are non-physical, called *local gauge freedoms*. (Keeping with our example: local rotations of phase.) Crucially, local gauge freedoms introduce ambiguity in the notion of the physical rate of change of matter fields about a point, because of the point-wise independence of its gauge freedom. In other words, there is no preferred directional derivative of a matter field with local gauge freedom, until the choice of a *connection*. The triumph of gauge theory is that, by introducing the gauge field to act as a connection, this ambiguity is promoted to a separate set of physical degrees of freedom. The implications of this are twofold: Firstly, the gauge field yields a choice of derivative (namely, the covariant derivative with respect to the gauge field), allowing the inclusion of well-defined derivatives of matter fields in the theory's equations of motion. Secondly, the gauge field has a dynamical role in the theory, and describes a new type of matter field (e.g., after quantisation, the force carrier bosons).

In modern formalism, the matter field $\psi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is a section of a vector bundle $V \mapsto \mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, so that at any point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ in spacetime the field is a vector, $\psi|_p \cong V$. The gauge transformations of $\psi|_p$ at some point p form a group G under composition—but this group does not describe all local gauge transformations which act on the entire field ψ . Rather, the total space of local gauge transformations is a principle G-bundle $G \mapsto \mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, consisting of smooth maps $\mathcal{M} \to G$. The action of \mathcal{G} on matter fields \mathcal{E} is denoted

$$\psi \stackrel{g}{\mapsto} \psi' = g \cdot \psi$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$. This specification of an action of G on the vector space V underlying the matter field is equivalent to the global prescription of a linear representation

¹The reason many objects in gauge theory (such as fields ψ) are defined as sections of fibre bundles (and not simply as smooth maps $\psi : \mathcal{M} \to V$) is because fibre bundles are themselves smooth manifolds, allowing the construction of connections (whereas the set of smooth maps $\mathcal{M} \to V$ does not have a manifold topology).

 $\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Thus, we may write the group action as matrix product, $g \cdot \psi = \rho(g)\psi$, remembering that both ψ and g (but not ρ) vary across \mathcal{M} .

Here, it is worth noting that all gauge theories are equivalent to a gauge theory possessing only one matter field ψ_{total} with one gauge group (G, ρ) . For instance, if a theory consists of different fundamental particles and forces, represented by fields ϕ and φ with gauge groups (G_{ϕ}, ρ_{ϕ}) and $(G_{\varphi}, \rho_{\varphi})$, then we may consider the total matter field $\psi_{\text{total}} = \phi \oplus \varphi$ to be the direct sum of the fields in the theory, and similarly equip it with the gauge group $G_{\text{total}} = G_{\phi} \oplus G_{\varphi}$ with representation $\rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_{\phi} \oplus \rho_{\varphi}$. This new theory is physically identical to the original. In full generality, therefore, we treat our theory as possessing one matter and one gauge field, while freely speaking of its composite parts as separate fields where convenient.

A connection on \mathcal{E} is a derivation $\nabla: \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$ from vector fields to vectorvalued 1-forms, designed so that $(\nabla \psi)(X)$ —that is, the action of the 1-form $\nabla \psi$ on a vector $X \in T\mathcal{M}$ —gives the directional derivative of ψ along X with respect to ∇ . Employing a basis $\{e_a\}$ of \mathcal{E} and local coordinates $\{x^{\mu}\}$ of \mathcal{M} , any connection is of the form

$$\nabla \psi = \mathbf{d}\psi + \mathbf{A}\psi$$

$$= (\partial_{\mu}\psi^{a}{}_{b} + A_{\mu}{}^{a}{}_{b}\psi^{b}) \mathbf{d}x^{\mu} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{a}$$

for some matrix-valued 1-form \mathcal{A} . If the connection is required to transform like the matter field ψ under local gauge transformations, that is, as

$$\overset{g}{\nabla} \psi \overset{g}{\mapsto} g \cdot (\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \psi) = (g \cdot \overset{\circ}{\nabla}) (g_{\rho} \psi) = g_{\rho} \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \psi,$$

then ∇ is called a *covariant derivative* and the connection 1-form \boldsymbol{A} consequently obeys

$$\underbrace{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\sim} \overset{g}{\mapsto} g \cdot \underbrace{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\sim} = g_{\rho} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\sim} g_{\rho}^{-1} - \left(\operatorname*{d} g_{\rho} \right) g_{\rho}^{-1}.$$

Such a connection ∇_A is not unique; it depends on the choice of the 1-form field A. It is exactly this connection 1-form which is promoted to a dynamical object in the theory and named the gauge field. In order for the gauge field to be incorporated in the theory's equations of motion, we must have a notion of its derivative. However, $\nabla_A : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \cong \Omega^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$ is not defined on 1-forms such as A until we make the natural extension³ to a covariant exterior derivative $A : \Omega^p(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$

$$d_A = d + A$$

to allow the construction of, among other things, the curvature 2-form, or $gauge\ field\ strength$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} &:= \mathbf{d}_{A} \mathbf{A} \\ &= \mathbf{d}_{A} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{d}_{A}^{a}{}_{b} + \mathbf{A}^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \mathbf{A}^{c}{}_{b}) \mathbf{e}_{a} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{b}, \end{split}$$

²More precisely, ∇ is a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear derivation, meaning $\nabla(f\boldsymbol{u}+g\boldsymbol{v})=f\nabla\boldsymbol{u}+g\nabla\boldsymbol{v}$ for scalar fields $f,g\in\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\nabla(\boldsymbol{u}\otimes\boldsymbol{v})=\nabla(\boldsymbol{u})\otimes\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{u}\otimes\nabla(\boldsymbol{v})$.

³This is done by requiring the graded Leibniz property $d_A(\phi \otimes \psi) = d\phi \otimes \psi + (-1)^p \phi \wedge \nabla \psi$ for $\phi \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{M})$ and $\psi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, analogous to the usual exterior derivative.

where we have written the r.h.s. with respect to a basis $\{e_a\}$ and dual basis $\{e^a\}$ of \mathcal{E} . The field strength \mathbf{F} is useful because it is geometrical—that is, it transforms in the same matter as the matter fields $\mathbf{F} \mapsto g \cdot \mathbf{F} = g_{\rho} \mathbf{F} g_{\rho}^{-1}$, even though \mathbf{A} does not.

The gauge field strength also satisfies the Bianchi identity $d_A \star \mathbf{F} = 0$.

1.2 Lagrangians in QFT

We now have constructions for the matter field ψ , its derivative $\nabla_A \psi$ and the strength of the gauge field \mathbf{F} which all transform regularly under gauge transformations. All that remains to be specified in our theory are the equations of motion, which are to be expressed in terms of these three geometrical objects in a gauge invariant manner.

For classical gauge theories, the equations of motion may be specified as the Euler–Lagrange equations of a Lagrangian

$$L[\boldsymbol{\psi}, \overset{\sim}{\nabla}_{A}\boldsymbol{\psi}, \overset{\boldsymbol{F}}{\mathcal{F}}] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \overset{\mathscr{L}}{\mathscr{L}} [\boldsymbol{\psi}, \overset{\sim}{\nabla}_{A}\boldsymbol{\psi}, \overset{\boldsymbol{F}}{\mathcal{F}}],$$

where \mathscr{L} is a local Lagrangian density. In order that the equations of motion are physically well-defined, we require the Lagrangian possesses important symmetries: gauge symmetry, so that the equations of motion are gauge invariant; and Lorentz symmetry (which is automatic if \mathscr{L} is expressed as a volume form) [1, § 7.1]. We let the Lagrangian depend on derivatives only of order ≤ 1 in the fields for reasons of respecting causality and ensuring that energy has lower-bound.

We also require that the quantum field theory associated to a Lagrangian be *renor-maliseble*. This restricts the form of the Lagrangian considerably.

«transition»

In fact, the only admissible field Lagrangians which may be constructed from the gauge field \boldsymbol{A} alone are linear combinations of

$$\langle \vec{\boldsymbol{F}}, \vec{\boldsymbol{F}} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\mu\nu}, \boldsymbol{F}^{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\mathrm{Ad}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \vec{\boldsymbol{F}}, \star \vec{\boldsymbol{F}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle \boldsymbol{F}_{\mu\nu}, \boldsymbol{F}_{\rho\sigma} \rangle_{\mathrm{Ad}},$$

where \star is the Hodge dual and $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{\mathrm{Ad}}$ is an inner product on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$ of the gauge group G (recall that F is a $\mathfrak g$ -valued form). The inner product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{\mathrm{Ad}}$ on $\mathfrak g$ is not unique; it depends on a choice of coupling constants. In particular, if the gauge group G is the direct sum of n simple Lie groups, then $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{\mathrm{Ad}}$ is specified by the choice of exactly n coupling constants, one corresponding to each factor of G. Physically, the coupling constants determine the relative interaction strengths of the forces associated to each factor. (For instance, the gauge group of the standard model (1.1) has three such coupling constants for the strong, weak, and electromagnetic forces.)

The term $\langle \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F} \rangle$ is known as the Yang–Mills Lagrangian, and is used in the standard model to describe boson force carrier self-interactions (such as gluon self-interactions in QCD). It yields the equations of motion $d_A \mathbf{F} = 0$ and $d_A \star \mathbf{F} = 0$ (where the second

⁴Any compact connected Lie group is either of this form, or is a finite quotient of such a group, if U(1) is counted as 'simple'. [1, § 2.4.3].

equation, the Bianchi identity, is true independent of the Lagrangian). For an Abelian gauge group G = U(1), these are exactly Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism.

The other term $\langle \mathbf{F}, \star \mathbf{F} \rangle$, known as the θ -term for reasons that will shortly become apparent, breaks both time-reversal symmetry T and parity P (since $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mapsto -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ under T or P). It is in fact a total derivative of the Chern-Simons 3-form Ω_3 ,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\bar{F}}, \star \boldsymbol{\bar{F}} \rangle \propto \mathrm{d}\Omega_3 = \mathrm{d}\operatorname{tr}\bigg(\boldsymbol{\bar{A}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\bar{A}} + \frac{2}{3}\boldsymbol{\bar{A}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\bar{A}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\bar{A}}\bigg).$$

Thus, the θ -term contributes a boundary term $L_{\theta} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \Omega_3$ to the theory's total Lagrangian. The Chern–Simons form has an interesting kind of gauge invariance on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}$: it is *not* invariant under the full gauge group, but only under the subgroup which is connected to the identity.⁵ For Abelian gauge groups such as U(1), the topology of \mathcal{G} is trivial (meaning it is connected to the identity) and thus Ω_3 is gauge-invariant. As a consequence,

[2]

However, for simple compact gauge groups such as $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$, the fibre bundle \mathcal{G} is not identity-connected. In these cases, under a general gauge transformation $g \in \mathcal{G}$, the 3-form Ω_3 transforms such that its affect on the boundary term is

$$L_{\theta} \stackrel{g}{\mapsto} L_{\theta} + n \cdot \text{const},$$

where the winding number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ depends on g. The winding number n is a topological invariant of the gauge transformation g.

and therefore does not affect the classical equations of motion. «But it is not gauge invariant?» It is a topological term

«Tong Gauge Theory § 2.2»

1.3 The QCD Lagrangian

The Lagrangian of quantum chromodynamics describes the strong interactions between hadronic matter: its matter field describes quarks, and the gauge field describes gluons. One of the attractive qualities of pure QCD is that, given the gauge group G = SU(3) and its action on a matter field ψ , the theory is almost completely specified given reasonable physical assumptions. That is, there is not much freedom for QCD to be slightly different without being an essentially different theory.

The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{QCD}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(\quad)\boldsymbol{\psi} - \frac{1}{4}\|\bar{\boldsymbol{F}}\|^2$$

⁵The full gauge group \mathcal{G} can have disconnected components when the fibre bundle $G \rightarrowtail \mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is non-trivial. «I think.»

1.4 The Strong CP Problem

At this point, we see that which is given the name the strong CP problem offers itself as a question about the naturalness the QCD Lagrangian. The most general QCD Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{QCD}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}(\quad) \boldsymbol{\psi} + rac{?}{4} \left\langle \boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{F} \right\rangle + \theta rac{?}{4} \left\langle \boldsymbol{F}, \star \boldsymbol{F} \right\rangle,$$

where the $\langle \mathbf{F}, \star \mathbf{F} \rangle$ term manifestly violates parity. If this term has a nonzero coefficient, then the strong force is predicted to violate CP in general. A significant implication of CP violation is that the neutron is expected to possess an electric dipole moment of approximate magnitude $|d_n| \approx 10^{-18}\,e\,\mathrm{cm}$. In reality, current measurements [3] of the neutron's electric dipole moment place an upper bound of $|d_n| \lesssim 10^{-26}\,e\,\mathrm{cm}$, and this implies a stringent constraint on the responsible θ -term, $|\theta| \lesssim 10^{-10}$ [4].

However, given the fact that CP symmetry is not a feature of the standard model, there is no reason to exclude the CP-violating term $\langle \mathbf{F}, \star \mathbf{F} \rangle$ in the QCD Lagrangian.

- 2 Solutions to the Strong CP Problem
- 2.1 Peccei-Quinn Theory

Bibliography

- [1] M. Hamilton, Mathematical Gauge Theory: With Applications to the Standard Model of Particle Physics, Universitext (Springer International Publishing, 2017).
- [2] E. Witten, "Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial", Communications in Mathematical Physics 121, 351–399 (1989).
- [3] C. Abel et al., "Measurement of the Permanent Electric Dipole Moment of the Neutron", Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 081803 (2020).
- [4] M. Tanabashi et al., "Review of Particle Physics", Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018).