

# Doctor of Psychology Program in School Psychology

# Student Handbook 2017-18

Department of Psychology Graduate Division of St. John's College Arts & Sciences

### Table of Contents

I. Overvie	ew of the School Psychology Program
	A History of School Psychology at St. John's University
	B. Program Philosophy  1. Program Mission
	2. Program Goals and Objectives
	3. Professional Psychologists' Oath
C	2. Financial Support
	1. Assistantships and Fellowships
II. Policy	and Procedures.
A	A Academic Standing
В	3. Full and Part Time Study
C	2. Advisement and Registration
Г	O. Continuous Enrollment
E	Time Limit
F	. Attendance Policy
G	G. Grading
	2. Missing the Final Examination
	3. Withdrawal Grades
	4. Probation
Н	I. Final Examinations
I.	Transfer Credits
J.	Program Degree Requirements
	Student Work Policy
L	. Malpractice / Professional Liability Insurance
$\mathbf{N}$	1. Academic Integrity
N	I. University Policy on Harassment and Discrimination
	O. University Guidelines Determining Eligibility for Services for Students with Disabilities
P	International Students
Q	9. Grievance Policy
R	Remediation Policy: Handling & Remediating Student Difficulties

III. Structure of the School Psychology Program	
A. Schedule for Full-Time Students: General Track	
B. Bilingual Program	
1. Schedule for Bilingual Students	
C. Schedule for Students with Advanced Standing	
D. Registration for Doctoral Research Credits	
E. Description of Required Courses	
F. Electives	
G. Elective Concentrations.	
H. First Year Research and Community Service Commitment	
1. Research Commitment	
2. Community Service Commitment	
3. Brown Bag Research Luncheons	
I. St. John's University Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies	
J. Third Year Field Placements	
K. Fourth Year Externship	
L. Fifth-Year Doctoral Internship for Licensing	
1. Prerequisites	
2. APA Approved Internships	
3. Non APA Approved Internships	
M. Externship for Advanced Standing Students	
N. Advanced Standing Students Doctoral Internship for Licensing	
O. Annual Feedback	
P. Praxis: School Psychologist Examination	
Q. Comprehensive Examination	
1. Eligibility	
2. Failure of the Comprehensive Exam	
3. Applying to Take the Examination	
4. Completing the Examination	
5. Grading the Comprehensive Examination	
6. Instructions for the Comprehensive Examination	
R. Professional Practice Competency Examination	
1. Exam Schedule	
2. Grading of the Professional Practice Competency Examination	
3. Failing the Professional Practice Competency Examination	
4. Professional Practice Competency Examination	

S. Doctoral Dissertation	68
1. Deadlines	71
2. Internal Review Board (IRB)	72
3. FAQs	72
T. Graduation	73
U. Certification and Licensing	74
1. School Psychology Certification	74
2. Psychology License	74
3. Foreign Students	75
4. Certification and Licensure in other states	75
5. Required Workshops	75
V. Professional Organizations	75
1. NASP	75
2. APA	76
3. SASP	76
4. NYASP	76
5. NYSPA	76
W. Student Requirements for the APA Annual Report	76
Appendices	78
Appendix A	79
Statement on Plagiarism and Learning Exercises	
Appendix B	85
First Year Research and Community Service Commitment Contracts	
Appendix C	90
Third Year Field Placement Contract	
Appendix D	92
Fourth Year Externship Contract	
Appendix E	94
Fifth Year Internship Contract	
Appendix F	96
Third Year Placement Planning Form	, ,
Appendix G	102
Fourth Year Externship Planning Form	
·	107
Appendix H	

Appendix I	110
Assessment Practicum Evaluation Form	
Appendix J	114
Third Year Externship Evaluation Form	
Appendix K	119
Fourth Year Externship Evaluation Form	
Appendix L	124
Intervention Practicum Evaluation Form	
Appendix M	129
Fifth Year Internship Evaluation Form	
Appendix N	134
Annual Student Progress Report	
Appendix O	136
Philosophy of Best Practices in Conducting Psychoeducational Assessr	nents
Appendix P	139
Comprehensive Examination Scoring Rubric	
Appendix Q	149
Professional Practice Competency Exam Evaluation Form	
Appendix R	158
Dissertation Planning Forms	
Appendix S	166
Testing Kit Policy	
Appendix T	168
NASP Standards for Training and Practice	

#### I. OVERVIEW OF THE SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

#### A. History of the School Psychology Program at St. John's University

The School Psychology Program was founded in the early 1960's on the Queens campus and was the first graduate program in psychology at St. John's University. The program began as a result of an invitation from the New York State Education Department through Rev. John Flynn, C.M., and then President of St. John's University. Shortly afterwards, in 1976, the program evolved into a Ph.D. in Professional Child Psychology and a Masters/Certificate Program in School Psychology. During the 1980's, the program was moved from the School of Education to the Department of Psychology of the St. John's College of Arts and Sciences where it remains today. Currently, the Department of Psychology offers a 66-hour Masters Program in School Psychology and a Psy.D. Program in School Psychology. The School Psychology Psy.D. Program has been accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA) since April of 2007 and is also approved by the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP).

#### **B.** Program Philosophy

#### 1. Program Mission

The Psy.D. program in School Psychology at St. John's University follows a practitioner-scientist training model. The mission of this program is to train future psychologists to apply basic psychological knowledge to address the needs of children, families, and professionals in the educational system and process. Our students are trained to address these needs based on scientific review and evaluation. Specific emphasis is placed on providing students with competency to understand the unique needs of and provide services to underserved populations. The specific aims of our program are to prepare a) scientifically knowledgeable school psychologists; b) highly skilled professionals who can integrate and apply knowledge of psychological theory to educational practice in the service of promoting academic and social development of school age children; c) students to utilize educational practice to inform and extend psychological theory. The specific the goals and objectives of our Psy.D program are specified below.

#### 2. Program Goals and Objectives

Goal 1. To Foster Skills in Data-Based Decision-Making and Accountability

#### Objectives:

- Use student data to identify student's strengths and needs, and measure progress and accomplishments
- Understand theories and methods of assessment and diagnosis
- Diagnose or define problems through psychological assessment and measurement
- Formulate and implement intervention strategies, including training in empirically supported procedures

#### Goal 2. To Foster Students' Consultation and Collaboration Skills

#### Objectives:

- Understand a variety of consultation models (e.g. behavioral, mental health, collaborative)
- Collaborate effectively with others in the planning and decision-making processes at the individual (child) level
- Collaborate effectively with others in the planning and decision-making processes at the group (classroom) level
- Collaborate effectively with others in the planning and decision-making processes at the system (school or school district) level.

#### Goal 3. To Foster Students' Development of Cognitive/Academic Skills

#### Objectives:

- Develop appropriate cognitive and academic goals for students with different abilities
- Implement interventions to achieve cognitive and academic goals for the students with whom you worked
- Evaluate the effectiveness of cognitive and academic interventions

#### Goal 4. To Foster Students' Development of Socialization and Development of Life Skills

#### Objectives:

- Increase knowledge in human developmental processes
- Develop appropriate behavioral, affective, adaptive, and social goals for students of varying abilities

#### Goal 5. To Foster Student Diversity in Development and Learning

#### Objectives:

- Understand the manner in which culture influences human behavior and development
- Work with individuals of diverse characteristics (individual differences, abilities, and disabilities).

### Goal 6. To Develop Students' Understanding of School and Systems Organization, Policy Development, and Climate

#### Objectives:

- Provide educational services (e.g. general education, special education)
- Create effective learning environments for children and others
- Understand models of supervision (e.g. mental health, behavioral)
- Engage in the practice of supervision

#### Goal 7. To Develop Students' Skills in Prevention, Crisis Intervention, and Mental Health

#### Objectives:

- Understand psychopathology and associated influences on biological aspects of human behavior
- Understand psychopathology and associated influences on cognitive aspects of behavior
- Understand psychopathology and associated influences on social aspects of behavior
- Assess psychopathology
- Prevention and intervention programs that promote the mental health and physical well-being of students

#### Goal 8. To Foster Home/School/Community Collaboration

#### Objectives:

- Understand family systems and methods to involve families in education and service delivery
- Work effectively with families, educators, and others in the community to promote and provide comprehensive services to children and families

#### Goal 9. To Develop Skills in Research and Program Evaluation

#### Objectives:

- Understand research, statistics, and data analysis techniques
- Evaluate research and translate research into practice
- Understand research design and statistics in order to plan and conduct investigations and program evaluations for improvement of services

#### Goal 10. To Foster an Understanding of School Psychology Practice and Development

#### Objectives:

- Understand the history and foundations of psychology
- Understand the history and foundations of the profession of school psychology
- Understand various service models (i.e., direct, indirect) and methods in school psychology practice (i.e. assessment, consultation, intervention)
- Understand public policy development applicable to services to children and families
- Understand ethical, professional, and legal standards in the practice of school psychology
- Become a life-long learner, conduct scholarly inquiries, and solve problems professionally

#### Goal 11. To Foster Skills in Using Information Technology

#### Objective:

• Understand information sources and technology relevant to your work to enhance the quality of services delivered

Goal 12. To demonstrate professional performance and proficiency at a level that is consistent with the doctoral level degree

#### Objectives:

- Deliver psychological services that integrate the practitioner-scientist model below of the program
- Present doctoral dissertation projects that demonstrate knowledge of varied approaches to data collection, analysis, interpretation, and application

In order to meet the specified goals and objectives of the program, courses, practica, externships, and internship provide the students with the following experiences:

- 1. Conducting individual psychological assessments of preschoolers, children, adolescents, and young adults.
- 2. Implementing individual and group short-term counseling and psychotherapy with preschoolers, children, adolescents, and their families.
- 3. Assessing the needs of special populations of children, adolescents, and young adults and developing programs to meet their needs.
- 4. Consulting with teachers and educators about academic, behavioral, and social problems of individuals.
- 5. Consulting with school administrators to evaluate and implement educational policy and educational instructional methods.
- 6. Developing individual educational plans for exceptional children.
- 7. Consulting with parents about academic, behavioral, and social problems of individual children.
- 8. Performing program evaluations.
- 9. Developing preventive mental health programs for regular and special education populations.
- 10. Providing crisis intervention services.
- 11. Providing support groups for parents, students, and teachers.
- 12. Discussing the legal and ethical standards involved in the delivery of school psychology services.
- 13. Designing programs to meet the mental health needs of children, adolescents, young adults and their families.

Students become familiar with the varied roles and functions of the school psychologist. The program exposes students to professional school psychologists in a variety of educational contexts: preschool, elementary, intermediate, secondary and college settings; special educational programs; Committees for Special Education; community mental health centers; and

in residential, day, and hospital programs for exceptional children. We accomplish this through the diverse experiential learning opportunities, practica, field placements, and internships provided in the second, third, and fourth years of course work.

#### 3. Professional Psychologists' Oath

The Psy.D. Program at the Philadelphia College designed the following oath of Osteopathic Medicine. We believe it represents the values and philosophy of our program. All students take the oath before practicum and internship experiences.

I (state your name) hereby affirm that I shall discharge the responsibilities of my profession in a manner consistent with respect for the dignity and worth of the individual, and that I shall strive for the preservation and protection of fundamental human rights; That I shall seek to increase knowledge of human behavior, to evaluate the effectiveness of my professional activities, to increase self-understanding and understanding of others, and that I shall use such knowledge for the promotion of human welfare; That I shall diligently protect the welfare of those who seek my services, and that I shall use my skills to further human welfare and the integrity of the individual; And that I recognize and honor the traditions and ethics of the profession of psychology.

#### C. Financial Support

#### 1. Assistantships and Fellowships

The University awards a limited number of Graduate Assistantships and Fellowships annually to qualified graduate students. Assistants and Fellows are generally appointed to the Department of Psychology where they work 15 - 18 hours per week in support of faculty research. Additional assistantships are available in both academic and nonacademic departments throughout the University. Individual departments may have specific criteria for the consideration of assistants. The applicant should contact the Graduate Division of St. John's College for more information. The University requires recipients to maintain a fulltime course of study and work a minimum of fifteen hours per week in their respective department. The assistantships provide tuition remission for a maximum of fifteen credits and sometimes, a stipend. Recipients are prohibited from outside employment for the duration of their contract with the University. Applicants for assistantships must also complete a Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) and a New York State Tuition Assistance Program (TAP) application. Both forms are available in the Office of Financial Aid.

#### II. POLICY AND PROCEDURES

#### A. Academic Standing

All students must maintain a 3.0 grade point average to continue in the program. Students who fail to maintain a 3.0 average, either during a particular semester or in their cumulative record, are automatically placed on academic probation by the college. Once on academic probation, the Program Director, Chair, or the Dean may limit the student's program. Students on academic

probation cannot sit for the comprehensive examination or the professional practice competency examination. While on academic probation, students must meet with the Program Director to arrange an appropriate, corrective course of action. It is the student's responsibility to meet with the Director.

#### B. Full and Part Time Study

Full-time study means enrollment for at least nine (9) credits per semester, or the equivalent. Students are required to attend three (3) years of full-time enrollment or the equivalent thereof. At least two (2) of these years must be at St. John's University if the student has transferred from another graduate degree offering institution. Equivalent full-time enrollment requires that the student enrolls for a minimum of six (6) credits and is completing the third or fourth year externship, which involves three (3) days per week at a field placement. Students may complete their internship either full-time for one (1) year or half-time for two (2) years.

#### C. Advisement and Registration

Each student will receive a letter at the initial orientation identifying his or her advisor from the department. Advisors provide guidance and advocate for students throughout their time in the program. Advisors will help students choose classes, discuss any problems in meeting the program requirements, and consult with the students concerning practicum, field placement, and internship experiences. Each student must meet with his or her advisor at least once a semester during advisement periods to pre-register for the following semester's work. In addition, each student must arrange at least one meeting with his or her advisor during his or her internship.

The advisor will sign the Graduate Registration Form, and give the student his or her priority registration number. The student will give the Departmental Secretary the top two sheets of the form. Registration is completed by using St. John's University's online registration system.

A bill for tuition and fees is then sent to the student and the student can complete registration online. Please note, courses with small enrollments may be canceled. If a student is unable to meet with his or her advisor, only a core School Psychology faculty member can sign a student's registration form.

Under no circumstances will the University permit a student to enroll in a class after the late registration period ends, even if a student has been unofficially attending a class. Once a student receives a class schedule receipt, he or she is officially enrolled for the courses listed on the receipt. If a student wishes to withdraw from a course or change sections for a course, the student must obtain and complete the Change of Program form from the Graduate Division's Office. Unless a student officially withdraws from a course by following these procedures, the student will receive a final grade of "F" on his or her permanent record.

When there are two or more sections of a particular course, the department and the program reserves the right to direct students into particular sections of a course. This means a student may not get into a specific section of a course they had wanted.

If a student has any problems with his or her course work, practicum experiences, field placement, or internship experiences, or if the student has difficulty with unprofessional contact by any faculty member or supervisor, the student should first discuss such problems with their advisor. If the student believes the matter has not been resolved satisfactorily he or she should proceed up the chain of command and consult with the Program Director, the Department Chair, the Associate Dean for Graduate Studies, and, finally, the Dean of St. John's College.

#### D. Continuous Enrollment

Continuous enrollment until graduation is mandatory for all students enrolled in degree programs. Students who fail to enroll in course work MUST maintain their active status by enrolling in the appropriate "Maintaining Matriculation" course during the scheduled registration period. Student who register for Maintaining Matriculation are no longer considered full-time status and will be required to begin payments on any student loans they may have. Those students who fail to maintain continuous enrollment for one semester must:

- 1. Apply for readmission
- 2. Meet the requirements of the program in effect at the time of reapplication
- 3. Be readmitted

#### E. Time Limit

Students must fulfill all requirements within seven years. Students may request to extend the time for obtaining the Psy.D. degree if they are unable to devote their full time to graduate study. Please note that degree credit will not count for courses completed more than seven years before the granting of the degree. If a student takes longer than seven years to complete the degree, he or she may have to retake courses more than seven years old. Advanced Standing students must fulfill all requirements within five years.

#### F. Attendance Policy

Faculty expect all students to attend classes regularly. No penalty exists for absence from class, unless a professor specifies in the course materials that class participation is part of the grade. Under no circumstances will students be excused from work missed. Students will always be held responsible for all announced exams and assignments at the proper due date. Individual faculty members determine whether or not a student is to receive a make-up examination. Please note, this policy does not apply to final examinations (refer to Section H: "Final Examinations").

#### G. Grading

The letter system for assigning grades and the quality points associated with each grade are as follows:

Grade	•	Quality Points:
A		4
A-		3.7
B+		3.3
В		3
B-		2.7
C+		2.3
C		2
F		0
IN	Incomplete	0
ABF	Absent/Failure	0
WD	Withdrawal	0
P	Passing	0
AU	Audit	0

The grade points earned for a course are derived by multiplying the points corresponding to the grade as depicted above by the number of semester hours of credit attached to that course. The total grade points for all courses divided by the total number of credits yields the quality point index.

#### Students must receive a "B" or better to get academic credit in all courses.

Students who receive a grade below a "B" in any course must retake the courses until they receive a "B" or better. Students may not enroll in 614 unless they have successfully completed 608 with a grade of "B" or better. Students may not enroll in 662 unless they have earned a grade of "B" or better in 661. In addition, students may not enroll in 715 and 762 unless they have received a grade of "B" or better in 661 and 662. Students may not enroll in the internship courses (752, 752B, and 753) if they have an incomplete in the practicum courses (761, 761B, 762, 762B). A grade of "F" will result in (1) immediate academic probation and (2) review by the Graduate Education Planning Committee (GEPC) for determination of suspension or termination of the student's program. If a student wishes to formally appeal a grade, they should contact St. John's College to obtain a copy of the Academic Fairness Procedure document. This document outlines the recommended informal and formal routes for students to follow.

#### 1. Incomplete Grades

The individual professor has the discretion to decide if a student can receive an incomplete grade (INC). Students must receive formal approval from the professor to take an INC before the end of the semester. Students receiving an INC grade (e.g., failing to submit a term paper or research project) must submit the missing work to the Dean's Office, not to the professor. The due date for removing incomplete grades appears in the Academic Calendar and is approximately two months

after the start of the following semester. If a student desires a longer extension period, he or she must make a request in writing. Approval for such requests must come from the professor, Chair, and Dean's Office before the deadline. Failure to do so will result in a permanent incomplete and the student must repeat the course if it is required in the program. If a student receives an INC in his or her final semester, he or she will automatically be removed from the graduation list. Failure to take the final examination does not qualify as a reason for an incomplete grade. This action has a separate grade category with different consequences.

#### 2. Missing the Final Examination

Students who, for whatever reason, fail to take or complete the final examination in a course receive a grade of ABF. The professor must submit the make up examination to the Dean's Office. The Dean's Office will administer the examination. Students must report to the Dean's Office immediately to determine the schedule for make up examinations. The Dean's Office sets this date, not the professor. If a student fails to take the make up examination, the ABF grade will be converted to a grade of F.

#### 3. Withdrawal Grades

If a student withdraws from a course with the Dean's permission any time before the date indicated in the Academic Calendar, he or she will receive a grade of WD (Withdrawal). The date of withdrawal is the date the Dean signs the "Change of Status" form, which students can obtain in the Dean's Office. To withdraw from a course, students must have the necessary form signed by the Dean before the final five weeks of class. After that time, a student may not officially withdraw from a course.

To allow for greater precision in assigning final grades, the University has adopted the mark of UW ("unofficial withdrawal"). Typically, the mark of UW would be assigned to a student who: a) never attended class; b) attended so sporadically that there is no basis for assigning an earned grade; or c) who stopped attending without explanation and for whom there is insufficient basis for assigning an earned grade. The mark of UW does not affect a student's GPA.

This new mark is intended to provide faculty with an option to be used at their discretion and to eliminate the ambiguity that results from practices that allow the mark of WD to signify both an official and an unofficial withdrawal and the grade of F to signify both an earned failure and an unofficial withdrawal. Individual faculty are free to determine the circumstances under which the mark of UW is assigned.

#### 4. Probation

Students whose GPA falls below a 3.0 will be placed on academic probation and do not qualify to sit for the comprehensive examination or the Professional Practice Competency Exam. The Chair, Director, and Dean's Office reserve the right to limit a student's program if he or she is on academic probation.

#### H. Final Examinations

The University's Academic Calendar will stipulate the final examination schedule. Students unable to sit for a scheduled final examination must contact the Dean's Office before the final exam and provide documentation of reason for absence. The Dean may approve a request for a make-up examination if the student's academic work is acceptable and when the reason for absence is of a serious nature. If a make-up exam is permitted, the student will receive a grade of ABF for the course until the exam is made-up. The grade of ABF will be converted to an F if the student fails to sit for the make-up examination at the scheduled time. Students who choose the ABF grade will pay a fee for the make-up exam. A student who fails to show up for the final exam without prior approval may be assigned a grade of F by the course professor.

#### I. Transfer Credits

A student may transfer a maximum of twelve (12) credits from another accredited university with the approval of the Program Director and Dean. Requested transfer credits must not have fulfilled requirements for another degree. Forms are available from the Dean's Office to request transfer of credit. Catalog descriptions and an official transcript copy must be presented to the Program Director for the graduate courses for which transfer credit is requested. The Program Director will forward all information to the Dean's office for final approval. If the grade obtained was below a "B," no transfer credit will be allowed. No credit will be given for pass/fail courses. Transfer credits will not affect cumulative quality points. No degree credit will be allowed for courses completed after the stipulated time limit (see "Time Limits"). To request transfer credit, students must have already completed a minimum of twelve credits in the graduate program at St. John's. The entire review process takes one to two months. Transfer of credit must occur before the semester in which the student will be applying for the comprehensive examination.

#### J. Program Degree Requirements

The program requires the satisfactory completion of 105 credits, a comprehensive examination, a professional practice competency examination, the Praxis: School Psychologist examination, a doctoral dissertation, a yearlong internship, and two, year-long, 3 days/week field placements. Upon completion of 66 credits, the third year field placement, and the comprehensive examination, students will be awarded the M.S. in School Psychology (after the third full year). This allows the student to qualify for a New York State School Psychology Provisional Certification that authorizes practice as a school psychologist in the school system (See section R1 for additional information). Upon completion of 105 credits and all additional requirements outlined above, students will be awarded the Psy.D. in School Psychology (after the fifth year). This qualifies the student to sit for the New York State Psychology Licensure examination (see section R2 for additional information). See section R3 for foreign student information.

#### K. Student Work Policy

School psychology students may not do psychological work in an off campus facility that is not a part of their program requirement, field placement, course, or university fellowship/assistantship

without the approval of the Program Director. Violation of this policy may result in academic discipline. This policy does not apply to students who have completed their internship requirements. Any questions regarding this policy should be discussed with the Program Director.

#### L. Malpractice / Professional Liability Insurance

It is the student's responsibility to make sure they purchase some form of malpractice or professional liability insurance before they begin their assessment practicum PSY 761/761b. Policies need to be renewed each year, and updated copies of the current liability insurance certificate need to be given to the program secretary as soon as the policy is issued. Given the applied nature of the program, the students must protect themselves against any unforeseen lawsuits. The University Policy covers students if they are doing professional work that is part of their degree requirements.

Insurance packages for psychology graduate students are readily available through the National Association for School Psychologists (NASP) and the American Psychological Association (APA). Insurance packages through either organization typically cost under \$50 per 12 months of coverage. Each organization provides additional information and application packages on their respective websites.

#### M. Academic Integrity

The University's policy and administrative procedures governing scientific misconduct is outlined in its entirety in the policy document available from the Office of Grants and Sponsored Research. Below is an abbreviated version of the document and instructions for obtaining a copy of the entire policy.

Truth, integrity, and credibility are critical and distinctive principles of any educational and research institution. Adherence to these principles is essential for the efficient progress of scientific research and for the preservation of the trust of the public and the research community. The maintenance of accepted standards in research and scholarly conduct based on these principles is highly regarded by the scientific community and is a major responsibility of St. John's University. Consequently, standards and procedures governing scholarly and/or scientific misconduct exist.

Scientific misconduct is generally defined as any fabrication, falsification, omission, plagiarism, suppression, theft, misappropriation, or other practice that violates the standards commonly accepted within the scientific community for proposing, conducting, or reporting research. Honest errors or honest differences in interpretations or judgments of data are not regarded as scientific misconduct. Specific acts of scientific misconduct include, but are not limited to the following: falsification or misrepresentation of data, plagiarism, and abuse of confidentiality.

More extensive definitions of scientific misconduct and an outline of the procedures that are followed when an allegation of scientific misconduct occurs within the context of a research project are described in the University's Policy and Administrative Procedures Governing

Scientific Misconduct document. This policy document is available on the St. John's website.

In addition, to provide more specific guidance to students writing term papers, the Psychology Department has adopted a statement on plagiarism for all its programs written by Dr. M. Roig. This statement provides a definition of plagiarism, examples of the various types of plagiarism, and provides teaching exercises to help students develop proper paraphrasing skills. The department's Plagiarism Statement is included in Appendix A, as all students are responsible to have knowledge of this statement. In addition, the Liberal Arts Faculty Council's recommended procedure for handling plagiarism cases in included in Appendix A.

To further ensure the academic integrity of the School Psychology Program and to allow an opportunity for doctoral students to have their voices heard by the school psychology faculty, a full time doctoral student is elected by his or her peers early in the Fall semester to serve as a student-faculty liaison. Students generally serve a one-year term, from September to May, and are responsible for presenting the concerns of the other doctoral students during the School Psychology faculty meetings.

#### N. University Policy on Harassment and Discrimination

The University's policy against discrimination, discrimination-related harassment, sexual harassment and related complaint procedures are set forth in its entirety in the St. John's University Student Handbook. Below is an abbreviated version of the document and instructions for obtaining a copy of the entire policy.

Consistent with the University's mission as a Catholic, Vincentian, and metropolitan institution, the University abides by all applicable federal, state, and local laws which prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, religion, color, national or ethnic origin, age, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship status, disability, genetic predisposition or carrier status, and status in the uniformed services of the United States in any educational or employment program, policy, or practice of St. John's University. In accordance with these laws, the University also prohibits retaliation against anyone who has complained about discrimination, discrimination-related harassment or otherwise exercised rights guaranteed by these laws.

Harassment assumes many forms. Sexual harassment includes unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when submission to or rejection of such conduct interferes with an individual's education or employment. Additional forms of discrimination-related harassment include verbal forms (i.e., racial or sexual epithets, derogatory slurs), visual/non-verbal forms (i.e., derogatory posters, leering, or obscene gestures), physical forms (i.e., unwanted touching, patting, hugging, etc.), and making or threatening to make reprisals as a result of a negative response to harassment.

St. John's also adopts a non-fraternization policy. Specifically, amorous or sexual relationships are not to be conducted by persons in unequal positions. The University considers it inappropriate for any member of the faculty, administration, or staff to establish an intimate relationship with a student upon whose academic or work performance he or she will be required to make professional judgments.

The University considers it a violation of this policy for any member of the faculty, administration, or staff to offer or request sexual favors, make sexual advances, or engage in sexual conduct, consensual or otherwise, with a person who is:

- Enrolled in a class taught by the faculty member or administrator;
- Receiving academic advising or mentoring from the faculty member or administrator;
- Working for the faculty member, administrator or staff;
- Subject to any form of evaluation by the faculty member, administrator or staff.

Please note that the list above is not exhaustive and other situations of fraternization may also result in a violation of this policy. In all such circumstances, consent may not be considered a defense against a charge of sexual harassment in any proceeding conducted under this policy. The determination of what constitutes sexual harassment depends on the specific facts and the context within which the conduct occurs.

Teaching and research fellows, doctoral and graduate assistants, tutors, interns, and any other students who perform work-related functions for the University are also subject to this policy.

Any member of the University community who believes that he or she has been the victim of discrimination or harassment should follow the complaint procedure outlined in the St. John's University Student Handbook. Briefly, students who believe they are the victim of discrimination or harassment should promptly report the matter to their immediate supervisor, the Department Chair, the Dean of the College of Arts and Science - Graduate Division, or the Dean of Student Life. If resolution at the direct administrative level is not successful, students may contact the University's Compliance Officer. Disciplinary action will follow the procedures outlined in the Collective Bargaining Agreement and University Statutes.

Students have the option of following an informal or formal complaint procedure. The informal procedure does not require written documentation. Typically, a series of meetings are held with all appropriate officials in attendance. The purpose is to achieve a local resolution that is satisfactory to the student. Although the process is called informal, the procedure and its outcome are official.

To launch a formal complaint procedure, students are required to submit a written complaint statement to the University's Compliance Officer within 60 days of the alleged discrimination or harassment. Within 14 days, the Compliance Officer must begin to investigate the complaint. Every effort is made to resolve the complaint within 60 days.

If the matter is not resolved to the student's satisfaction by the Compliance Officer, students may request to have the complaint reviewed by a panel of members from the University Community. The panel review committee will launch its own investigation and submit their finding in writing to the Compliance Officer. This finding is final. The Compliance Officer must then communicate to the student, in writing, the finding of the panel within 7 days of receiving the finding. If the panel deems that a violation of the University's policy against discrimination, discrimination-related harassment, and sexual harassment has occurred, prompt and appropriate remedial action

will be taken by the Compliance Officer. The resolution recommended by the Compliance Officer is deemed final, binding, and cannot be appealed.

A complete copy of the University's policy against discrimination, discrimination-related harassment, sexual harassment, and related complaint procedures is available in the St. John's University Student Handbook. A copy of this handbook and the University's policy can be accessed on the St. John's website.

### O. University Guidelines Determining Eligibility for Services for Students with Disabilities

St. John's University students who are interested in requesting accommodations for a disability must present documentation of the disability to the Office of Disability Services.

Students diagnosed with physical and/or mental impairments qualify as persons with disabilities when their conditions substantially limit them in one or more major life activities. St. John's University provides reasonable accommodations to students with disabilities with consultation from their academic programs when necessary. Reasonable accommodations are adjustments to policies, practices, or procedures that facilitate equal access and opportunity for students with disabilities to the University's programs, activities and services. Services for students with a documented disability are available through the Office of Disabilities Services.

The goal of documentation is twofold: to verify and support the student's status as disabled and to address the way in which the disability impairs the student's access to education. The documentation should provide a meaningful understanding of the student's limits and, importantly, detail reasonable accommodations which will effectively equalize the student's ability to perform in the university setting.

All documentation is kept confidential and should be submitted directly to ODS. Students requesting accommodations should schedule an appointment with an ODS administrator and identify their needs as early in their tenure at St. John's as possible.

#### Guidelines Determining Eligibility for Services:

- St. John's University students requesting accommodations for a disability must present verification of eligibility through documentation of the disability and current functional limitations.
- An evaluation must have been completed by a qualified, certified and/or licensed professional (physician, health care provider, psychologist and/or psychiatrist) who has experience with an adolescent and adult population. The professional's credentials/licensing information must appear on the documentation.
- The evaluator must include in the test report evidence that the instruments selected are reliable and valid for use with an adolescent or adult. Documentation of impairment alone may not be sufficient to require that the student be provided a reasonable accommodation. It must be demonstrated that the impairment rises to the level of a

disability according to the Americans with Disabilities Act. For example, the impairment must substantially limit or restrict a major life activity (i.e., learning, reading, concentrating, and/or thinking). The documentation must provide information to support the need for all accommodations requested.

- An ODS administrator will evaluate the documentation and requested accommodations.
  When necessary, specific academic units will be consulted regarding the
  accommodations. Additional documentation may be required to support the student's
  request.
- Temporary accommodations are considered.
- A student having dissatisfaction with the decision for accommodation granted has the right to appeal the decision in accordance with the University's "Policy Against Discrimination and Sexual Harassment and Related Grievance Procedures."
- An ODS administrator may be contacted for information regarding specific documentation required or for explanation of this policy.

#### P. International Students

All foreign students whose native language is not English must take the Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL) and have the official results sent directly to St. John's (the code for St. John's, Queen's Campus is #2799). Applicants who meet other admissions criteria but have TOEFL scores that fall below the University standards may be conditionally accepted contingent upon completion of The Language Connection (TLC). Note that no course credits are awarded for TLC.

#### Q. Grievance Policy

The School Psychology Program's grievance procedures are as follows:

- 1. If a student has a grievance with faculty, staff, or another student associated with the program, the student should meet first with the person who is believed to be responsible for the grievance in an attempt to resolve the problem informally. The department believes that many student concerns can be resolved through direct and open communication between the parties concerned.
- 2. If the student is not satisfied with the results of the informal meeting, or is not comfortable communicating directly with the person with whom he or she has a grievance, then the student should arrange a meeting with and speak to his or her faculty advisor or the immediate supervisor above the level of the individual.
- 3. If the student grievant is dissatisfied with the results of Step 2 (meeting with their faculty advisor or the Program Director), he or she should request a meeting with the Chair of the

Psychology Department. The Department Chair will schedule a joint meeting with the grievant and the individual at whom the grievance is directed within ten working days.

- 4. If the student is not satisfied with the results of the meeting with the department chair, he or she may submit a written complaint to the GEPC, along with a request for a meeting with the GEPC. All students are informed as to who the faculty members are of the GEPC at the beginning of each academic year. The written grievance should be presented promptly to the GEPC and prior to the scheduled meeting. After the student meets with the GEPC, the Department Chair will return a written response to the student grievant within ten working days. If the grievance is resolved, a copy of the written resolution will be included in the student's file.
- 5. If either party is dissatisfied with the results of Step 4, he or she may appeal in writing to the Dean of St. John's College within ten working days from the date of the Step 4 response. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Dean will schedule a meeting with the grievant and the individual at whom the grievance is directed within ten working days. A written response will be issued to both parties within fifteen working days. The Step 5 decision shall be deemed final and binding. A copy of the final grievance and results will be maintained in the student's file.

#### R. Remediation Policy: Handling & Remediating Student Difficulties

The procedures for the handling and remediation of any noted difficulties in progress will also be communicated directly to the student as follows: Progress is reviewed annually at a meeting of the entire program faculty. The faculty rate each student on their academics, clinical skills, interpersonal skills and responsibility. If necessary, faculty will review students transcripts and supervisor feedback in making a determination regarding performance and overall progress in the program. The findings of the student review are documented in a letter to the student stating whether or not they are making satisfactory progress. If the student is not making progress as expected, they are instructed to meet with their advisor or Program Director to discuss methods for improvement of performance.

Faculty also monitor student progress in an ongoing manner via performance in coursework or supervision in practica during each semester. Ongoing monitoring provides an opportunity to address concerns that may arise suddenly without having to wait until the annual review. The manner in which any identified concerns or problems are managed is as follows: If an ongoing review indicates particular concerns or unsatisfactory progress in any area, it is required that the faculty advisors arrange a meeting with the student to discuss the issues that have been noted. If, in the opinion of the faculty advisor or faculty as a whole, the concerns warrant formal action or remediation, a recommended improvement plan will be developed jointly by the student and faculty advisor and, as appropriate, in consultation with any faculty members familiar with the areas in which the difficulties are noted. The plan will be given to the student in writing by the faculty advisor and offered as a recommendation for improving performance. In the event that satisfactory progress is not attained by the next scheduled review or end of the semester, the faculty will meet and develop jointly a new recommended improvement plan. The faculty advisor will again meet with the student and present the plan, which may include, but is not

limited to, repeating coursework, delays in starting intern/externships, extra-curricular training, or termination from the program.

Exceptions to these procedures are allowed in cases where students have engaged in particularly egregious conduct, for example ethical or legal misconduct, actual or threatened physical or verbal aggression, academic dishonesty, and the like, in which case a student may be referred by any faculty member directly to the appropriate administrative level to face disciplinary actions in accordance with university policies.

#### III. STRUCTURE OF THE SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

#### A. Schedule for Full-Time Students: General Track

FIRST YEAR FALL SEMESTER	
608 - Statistical Design in Research	3 credits
608L – Statistical Design in Research Lab	0 credits
661 - Psychoeducational Assessment I	3 credits
661L - Psychoeducational Assessment I	0 credits
665 - Introduction to School Psychology	3 credits
617 - Cognitive & Affective Basis of Behavior	3 credits
	(12 credits)
FIRST YEAR SPRING SEMESTER	
614 - Multivariate Analysis for Psychological Research	3 credits
614L – Multivariate Analysis for Psychological Research - Lab	0 credits
662 - Psychoeducational Assessment II	3 credits
662L - Psychoeducational Assessment II - Lab	0 credits
666 - Interviewing Skills for Counseling and Consultation	3 credits
671 - Child & Adolescent Personality Assessment I	3 credits
(Total credits after the firs	t year - 24 credits)
FIRST YEAR SUMMER SEMESTER (optional)	
761 - Psychological Assessment Practicum I <sup>1</sup>	3 credits
701 1 Sychological Assessment Fracticalli I	5 creatts
SECOND YEAR FALL SEMESTER	
715 - Assessment, Diagnosis, & Remediation of Learning Disabilities Either 726 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan I	3 credits
Or 727 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan II	3 credits
761 - Psychological Assessment Practicum I <sup>2</sup>	3 credits
749 - Behavior Therapy: Assessment, Treatment & Consultation	3 credits
722 - Social Basis of Behavior	3 credits
	(39 credits)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Students may take 761 in the summer following the completion of their first year of studies in order to assist with studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students will work in the Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

SECOND YEAR SPRING SEMESTER	
759 - Psychometrics	3 credits
648 - Physiological Psychology	3 credits
716 - Psychoeducational Consultation	3 credits
Either 726 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan I	
Or 727 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan II	3 credits
762 - Psychological Assessment Practicum II <sup>2</sup>	3 credits
	(54 credits)
SECOND YEAR SUMMER SEMESTER	
763 - School Psychology Intervention Practicum I <sup>1</sup>	3 credits
850 - Cognitive Psychotherapies	3 credits
(Total credits after the second	year - 60 credits)
THIRD YEAR FALL SEMESTER	
615 - Research Methods in School Psychology	3 credits
624 - Developmental Psychology	3 credits
752 - School Psychology Internship Seminar I: Professional Issues <sup>2</sup>	3 credits
764 - School Psychology Intervention Practicum II <sup>1</sup>	3 credits
	(72 credits)
THIRD YEAR SPRING SEMESTER	
627 - Cultural Diversity in Psychological Services	3 credits
753 - School Psychology Internship Seminar II: Psychological Interventions <sup>2</sup>	3 credits
765 - School Psychology Intervention Practicum III <sup>1</sup>	3 credits
Elective	3 credits
(Total credits after the third	year - 84 credits)

The Praxis School Psychologist Examination and the Comprehensive Examination are taken during this semester.

Students will receive the Master of Science degree in School Psychology at the end of the third year if they pass the Praxis School Psychologists Examination and the Comprehensive Examination.

#### FOURTH YEAR FALL SEMESTER

650 - History and Systems

754 - School Psychology Internship Seminar III<sup>3</sup>

Elective

3 credits
3 credits
4 credits
693 credits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Students will work in the Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a school setting working under Certified School Psychologist who is also a Licensed Psychologist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a clinical setting working under a Licensed Doctoral Level Psychologist.

Students must successfully defend their dissertation proposals by December 1<sup>st</sup> of their 4<sup>th</sup> year to qualify to go on their 5<sup>th</sup> year internship.

#### FOURTH YEAR SPRING SEMESTER

755 - School Psychology Internship Seminar IV13 creditsElective3 creditsElective3 credits950 - Doctoral Research3 credits

(Total credits after the fourth year and for completion of degree requirements - 105 credits)

#### FIFTH YEAR FALL SEMESTER

905 - Internship I 0 credits
950 - Doctoral Research<sup>2</sup> If on Internship pay 1 credit 0 credits
If NOT on Internship pay 3 credits 0 credits
(105 credits)

FIFTH YEAR SPRING SEMESTER

906 - Internship II 0 credits 950 - Doctoral Research<sup>2</sup> If on Internship pay 1 credit 0 credits If NOT on Internship pay 3 credits 0 credits

(Total credits for completion of degree requirements - 105 credits)

#### B. Bilingual Program

#### Certification as a Bilingual Psychologist

To obtain certification as a bilingual school psychologist in NY State, a student must pass the NYS bilingual tests (Language Proficiency Assessments). Both foreign and American born students must take the Target Language Proficiency Assessment (TLPA), which verifies second language competency. Foreign-born students must also take the English Language Proficiency Assessment for Nonclassroom Personnel (ELPA-N), which verifies English language competency. Both tests are administered by National Evaluation Systems under contract with the New York State Education Department.

Be aware that NYS does not have a TLPA for every language and does not offer bilingual certification for every language. Thus, students should make sure that the target language they are interested in is one for which the NYS certification is available.

More detailed information on bilingual certification and the requisite testing is available online at

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a clinical setting working under a Licensed Doctoral Level Psychologist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students must take Psy 950 each semester until they complete their degree. However, they only receive 3 credits toward the degree. They will pay 3 credits until the dissertation is completed except for semesters when they are on internship when they will pay 1 credit.

the New York State Education Department website: <a href="http://www.nysed.gov/">http://www.nysed.gov/</a>. Students can also contact the State Department directly by telephone at 518-474-3901.

#### Enrollment in the Bilingual Track

Students must obtain approval from the Program Director to enroll in the bilingual track. Enrollment in the bilingual track is not contingent upon passing the NYS bilingual certification exams. Students are expected to take the NYSTC exam if they want to receive the bilingual credential in New York State. Passing this exam is not required to enroll in the Bilingual track, such students will become certified as monolingual school psychologists and can then apply the diversity training and education received toward whatever population they choose to work with. Bilingual courses can also be taken by students not officially in the bilingual track; however, students must obtain permission from the Program Director.

The curriculum requirements for the bilingual school psychology program meet the requirements for Bilingual Certification by the NYS Education Department in the following manner:

- 1. Twelve courses (36 credits) in the general school psychology program are also required of students in the bilingual program.
- **2.** The following four courses in the program were altered to reflect material required by the New York State Department of Education for Bilingual Certification:
  - a. Developmental Psychology (PSY 624) includes extensive discussion of cross-cultural perspectives in child development.
  - b. Interviewing and Case Formulation (PSY 666) includes readings in counseling and interviewing culturally diverse children.
  - c. Child and Adolescent Personality Assessment I (PSY 671) includes instruction on the use of personality tests and their standardization with African American and Hispanic youth.
  - d. School Psychology Internship Seminar I (PSY 752B) includes instruction on the State Education Department's regulations concerning the assessment and placement of bilingual children.
- 3. Practicum I & II (PSY 761B & 762B) provides students in their second year (prior to their internship) practicum experience in serving bilingual children and their families. These courses are similar in structure to the courses taken by the regular school psychology program (PSY 761 & 762), except that students in these courses are supervised by a bilingual school psychologist and are trained to provide school psychological services to bilingual children and their families.
- **4.** Three courses (9 credits) are also required for students in the bilingual program. These include: "Psychoeducational Assessment of Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Children" (PSY 662B), "Cultural Diversity in Psychological Services" (PSY 627), and "Psycholinguistics and the Practice of School Psychology with Bilingual Populations" (PSY 729).

#### 1. Schedule for Bilingual Students

The course of study for the Bilingual Track of the Doctor of Psychology program in School Psychology appears below.

FIRST YEAR FALL SEMESTER	
608 - Statistical Design in Research	3 credits
608L – Statistical Design in Research Lab	0 credits
661 - Psychoeducational Assessment I	3 credits
661L – Psychoeducational Assessment I – Lab	0 credits
665 - Introduction to School Psychology	3 credits
617 - Cognitive & Affective Basis of Behavior	3 credits
	(12 credits)
FIRST YEAR SPRING SEMESTER	
614 - Multivariate Analysis for Psychological Research	3 credits
614L – Multivariate Analysis for Psychological Research Lab	0 credits
662B - Psychoeducational Assessment of Culturally and	
Linguistically Diverse Children	3 credits
662L - Psychoeducational Assessment of Culturally and	
Linguistically Diverse Children	0 credits
666 - Interviewing Skills for Counseling and Consultation	3 credits
671 - Childhood & Adolescent Personality Assessment I	3 credits
(Total credits after first	year - 24 credits)
FIRST YEAR SUMMER SEMESTER (optional)	
761B - Psychological Assessment Practicum I - Bilingual <sup>1</sup>	3 credits
701D 1 Sychological Assessment Pacticum 1 Diningual	5 creatts
SECOND YEAR FALL SEMESTER	
715 - Assessment, Diagnosis, & Remediation of Learning Disabilities	3 credits
Either 726 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan I	
Or 727- Psychopathology Across the Lifespan II	3 credits
761B - Psychological Assessment Practicum I - Bilingual <sup>2</sup>	3 credits
749 - Behavior Therapy: Assessment, Treatment & Consultation	3 credits
729 - Psycholinguistics & Practice of School Psychologists with	3 credits
Culturally & Linguistically Diverse Populations	
	(39 credits)
SECOND YEAR SPRING SEMESTER	
759 - Psychological Measurement & Scaling	3 credits
	3 credits 3 credits 3 credits

<sup>1</sup> Students may take 761 in the summer following the completion of their first year of studies in order to assist with studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students will work in the Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

Either 727 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan II	
Or 726 - Psychopathology Across the Lifespan I	3 credits
762B - Psychological Assessment Practicum II – Bilingual <sup>2</sup>	3 credits
	(54 credits)
SECOND YEAR SUMMER SEMESTER	
763 - School Psychology Intervention Practicum I <sup>1</sup>	3 credits
850 - Cognitive Psychotherapies	3 credits
(Total credits after the sec	ond year - 60 credits)
THIRD YEAR FALL SEMESTER	
615 - Research Methods in School Psychology	3 credits
752B - Bilingual School Psychology Internship Seminar I:	3 credits
Professional Issues <sup>2</sup>	

624 - Developmental Psychology

764 - Psychological Intervention Practicum II<sup>1</sup>

THIRD YEAR SPRING SEMESTER

627 - Cultural Diversity in Psychological Services

753 - School Psychology Internship Seminar II: Psychological
Interventions<sup>2</sup>

765 - Psychological Intervention Practicum III<sup>1</sup>

Elective

3 credits
3 credits
3 credits

(Total credits after the third year - 84 credits)

3 credits

3 credits

(72 credits)

The Praxis: School Psychologist Examination and the Comprehensive Examination are taken during this semester.

Students will receive the Master of Science degree in School Psychology at the end of the third year if they pass the Praxis: School Psychologist Examination and the Comprehensive Examination.

#### FOURTH YEAR FALL SEMESTER

722 - Social Basis of Behavior
754 - School Psychology Internship Seminar III<sup>3</sup>
3 credits
Elective
3 credits
(93 credits)

\*Students must successfully defend their dissertation proposals by December 1<sup>st</sup> of their 4<sup>th</sup> year to qualify to go on their 5<sup>th</sup> year internship.

<sup>1</sup> Students will work in the Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a school setting working under Certified School Psychologist who is also a Licensed Psychologist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a clinical setting working under a Licensed Doctoral Level Psychologist.

#### FOURTH YEAR SPRING SEMESTER

650 - History and Systems

755 - School Psychology Internship Seminar IV<sup>1</sup>

Elective

950 - Doctoral Research<sup>22</sup>

3 credits

3 credits

(Total credits after the fourth year and completion of degree requirements - 105 credits)

#### FIFTH YEAR FALL SEMESTER

905 - Internship I		0 credits
950 - Doctoral Research <sup>5</sup>	If on Internship pay 1 credit	0 credits
	If NOT on Internship pay 3 credits	0 credits

#### FIFTH YEAR SPRING SEMESTER

906 - Internship II		0 credits
950 - Doctoral Research <sup>2</sup>	If on Internship pay 1 credit	0 credits
	If NOT on Internship pay 3 credits	0 credits
	(Total credits for completion of degree requirements - 105 credit	

#### C. Schedule for Students with Advanced Standing

Students admitted with a Masters in School Psychology and a permanent or provisional certification in school psychology can be admitted with advanced standing. These students will be required to take 50 credits at a minimum. If the student's masters did not include courses that are required for licensure as a psychologist in New York State, the student will be required to substitute these courses for his or her electives. In addition, the student's elective courses may be used to take courses that the Program Director deems were deficient or absent in their School Certification education. They must take courses that exist in our program if they did not have equivalent courses in their masters/certification program. Courses required for licensure include: Social (Psy 722) or Cross-Cultural (Psy 625); Cognition (Psy 617); Physiological (Psy 648) or Biological bases; Scaling and Measurement (Psy 659); and Research Methods (Psy 615).

#### FIRST YEAR SUMMER SEMESTER

763 - School Psychology Intervention Practicum I <sup>3</sup>	3 credits
850 - Cognitive Psychotherapies	3 credits
	(6 credits)

#### FIRST YEAR FALL SEMESTER

608 - Statistical Design in Research 3 credits 624 - Developmental Psychology 3 credits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a clinical setting working under a Licensed Doctoral Level Psychologist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students must take Psy 950 each semester until they complete their degree. However, they only receive 3 credits toward the degree. They will pay 3 credits until the dissertation is completed except for semesters when they are on internship when they will pay 1 credit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Students will work in the Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and other university approved sites for external practica.

650 - History and Systems 764 - Psychological Intervention Practicum II <sup>1</sup>	3 credits 3 credits (18 credits)	
FIRST YEAR SPRING SEMESTER		
614 - Multivariate Analysis for Psychological Research	3 credits	
627 - Cultural Diversity in Psychological Services	3 credits	
765- Psychological Intervention Practicum III <sup>1</sup>	3 credits	
Elective	3 credits	
(Total credits after f	(Total credits after first year - 30 credits)	
SECOND YEAR FALL SEMESTER		
754- School Psychology Internship Seminar III <sup>1</sup>	3 credits	
Elective	3 credits	
Elective	3 credits	
	(39 credits)	
SECOND YEAR SPRING SEMESTER		
755 - School Psychology Internship Seminar IV <sup>2</sup>	3 credits	
950 - Doctoral Research <sup>3</sup>	3 credits	
Elective	3 credits	
(Total credits after second year - 48 credi		

Students must have successfully defended their dissertation proposal by December 1<sup>st</sup> of their 2<sup>nd</sup> year in the program to be permitted to go on internship the following year.

#### THIRD YEAR FALL SEMESTER

905 - School Psychology Doctoral Internship I		0 credits
950 - Doctoral Research <sup>2</sup>	If on Internship pay 1 credit	1 credits
	If NOT on Internship pay 3 credits	0 credits
		(49 credits)

#### THIRD YEAR SPRING SEMESTER

906 - School Psychology Doctoral Internship II 0 credits
950 - Doctoral Research<sup>2</sup> If on Internship pay 1 credit 1 credits
If NOT on Internship pay 3 credits 0 credits
(Total credits after third year - 50 credits)

<sup>1</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a clinical setting working under a Licensed Doctoral Level Psychologist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Students will be required to spend three days per week in a clinical setting working under a Licensed Doctoral Level Psychologist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Students must take Psy 950 each semester until they complete their degree. However, they only receive 3 credits toward the degree. They will pay 3 credits until the dissertation is completed except for semesters when they are on internship when they will pay 1 credit.

#### D. Registration for Doctoral Research Credits

Students registering for Doctoral Research (PSY 950) are required to follow the procedures listed below:

### Please note: once a student is not of full-time status their loans will enter into re-payment status.

- 1) If a student is not registered for classes, under special circumstances he or she may request to register for maintaining matriculation and pay an administrative fee. No doctoral research course is required at this time.
- 2) A student must register for doctoral research up to and including the semester he or she graduates. Prior to the semester in which the student plans to go on his/her final full-time internship experience, the student s/he must register for PSY 950 corresponding to the CRN of his/her mentor. (3 credits).
- 3) During the two semesters that a student is on 0-credit (full-time) internship (PSY 905/906) and as such, not spending as much time on dissertation matters, students must register for 1 credit doctoral research (PSY 950 the 1 vs. 3 credit is differentiated on the registration forms by the 5 digit course/CRN number).
- 4) If a student has a part-time internship, s/he must register for 2 credits of doctoral research (PSY 952) during the semesters in which the student is on internship.
- 5) Once internship is complete, students must register for 3 credit doctoral research (PSY 950) up to and including their graduation semester.

#### E. Description of Required Courses

#### 608 STATISTICAL DESIGN IN RESEARCH

This course concentrates on the use of advanced parametric techniques in the statistical design of experiments. Emphasis is placed on the different models of analysis of variance from one-way to split-plot designs. The conceptual framework of the general linear model is emphasized. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 614 MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL RESEARCH

Prerequisite: 608 with grade of "B" or higher. The purpose of this course is to present the student with a variety of multivariate research designs along with their appropriate statistical techniques which have application in experimental and clinical research settings. Topics include matrix algebra, multiple regression, factor analysis, discriminant analysis, logistic regression, introduction to multivariate analysis of variance, and introduction to path analysis and structural equation modeling. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 615 RESEARCH METHODS IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

This course is a survey of the research methods and statistical techniques used in the study of children and their development. Special emphasis will be given to the type of research questions

asked by the school psychologist (e.g., program evaluation, assessment techniques, etc.). Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 617 COGNITIVE & AFFECTIVE BASIS OF BEHAVIOR

This course will examine theoretical constructs and current research covering many sub areas of cognition including: attention, working memory, episodic and semantic long-term memory, language, and affective processes within the framework of the neural basis of cognition. We will also discuss the various ways in which these processes can be compromised by various pathologies as well as some of the neuropsychological assessments that are used to evaluate cognitive deficits. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 624 DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

An overview of the major issues of contemporary developmental psychology from a life-span perspective. Particular emphasis is placed on relevant historical antecedents, theory, core conceptual issues, emerging methodology, major research projects, and selected research findings. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 625 CROSS-CULTURAL PSYCHOLOGY

Cross-cultural perspectives on behavior and cognition, including verbal and nonverbal communication, and interpersonal and intergroup relations. Psychological variability among cultural groups in the United States, as well as differences between Americans and those of other cultures will be discussed. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 627 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES

A course in diverse cultural perspectives which explores the cultural similarities and differences between psychologist and client and the way these factors affect the delivery of psychological services. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 648 PHYSIOLOGICAL PSYCHOLOGY

Neuroanatomy plus readings and discussion of recent research with emphasis on sensory coding, neural bases of learning, and physiological bases of a variety of psychological disorders. Credit: 3 semester hours

#### 650 HISTORY AND SYSTEMS

An evaluation of modern systems of psychology and a review of historical antecedents in light of their psychological contributions. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 661 PSYCHOEDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT I

Administration, scoring, theoretical interpretation, psychometric and qualitative characteristics, and comparative analysis of contemporary scales of intelligence and cognitive ability test batteries serve as the basis for this course. Emphasis will be placed on understanding the role of theory as a foundation for conceptualizing all aspects of assessment, as well as integrating and compiling test results in a psychological report. Test Fee: \$100. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 662 PSYCHOEDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT II

Prerequisite: PSY 661 with grade of "B" or higher. Advanced issues in administration, scoring,

theoretical interpretation, psychometric and qualitative characteristics, and comparative analysis of both contemporary cognitive and academic ability test batteries form the major components of this course. Emphasis will be placed on an integrated approach to assessment, particularly, learning disability determination, report writing, and interpreting data from cognitive and achievement batteries from contemporary theoretical perspectives. Test Fee: \$100. Credit: 3 semester hours.

### 662B PSYCHOEDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF CULTURALLY AND LINGUISTICALLY DIVERSE CHILDREN

Prerequisite: PSY 661 with grade of "B" or higher. Administration, scoring, theoretical interpretation, and psychometric and qualitative comparative analysis of newer cognitive, achievement, and special purpose test batteries - including those available in languages other than English. The use of these tests with bilingual children will be emphasized. Research on the use of standardized tests with culturally and linguistically diverse children will be reviewed and nondiscriminatory assessment strategies will be discussed. Emphasis will be placed on report writing and interpreting cognitive batteries from contemporary theoretical perspectives and the child's cultural and linguistic background. Test Fee: \$100. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 665 INTRODUCTION TO SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

A general understanding of the various roles and functions of the school psychologist. Introducing the profession of school psychology from a historical, scientific, and practical perspective. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 666 INTERVIEWING AND CASE FORMULATION

An understanding of psychopathology and psychodynamics as a foundation for the psychological interview and as a basis for counseling. Following an historical perspective of the field, general principles of interviewing are considered, and practice is gained in the interview for diagnostic assessment. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 671 CHILD AND ADOLESCENT PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT

Strategies for assessing child and adolescent personality will be explored. Emphasis will be placed on those projective and objective techniques utilized in the field. A practicum (PSY 761) will accompany this course. Credit: 3 semester hours.

ASSESSMENT, DIAGNOSIS, AND REMEDIATION OF LEARNING DISABILITIES Prerequisite: PSY 661, 662 with grade of "B" or higher. A systematic study of the psychoneurology of learning disorders of children; classification of learning disorders; receptive, expressive, and central disorders of learning; and assessment of diagnostic procedures. Credit: 3 semester hours

#### 716 PSYCHOEDUCATIONAL CONSULTATION

A psychoeducational frame of reference to the study of remediating academic and cognitive deficits of exceptional children. Assessment procedures and remedial strategies are studied in relation to the child's medical, psychological, neuropsychological, social, and educational deficits. Interventions will also be discussed in terms of their relation to horizontal and vertical structure of the educational setting. A behavioral orientation is emphasized. A practicum

experience is required as part of this course. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 722 SOCIAL BASIS OF BEHAVIOR

An integration and evaluation of the contributions to psychology from psychologists, sociologists, and anthropologists. Social influences on personality development, attitudes, group behavior, and prejudices are discussed. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 726 PSYCHOPATHOLOGY ACROSS THE LIFESPAN I

An overview of the biological, developmental, sociocultural, psychodynamic development, and behavioral determinants of psychopathology in children. Special attention will be given to the relevance of the concepts presented in this course in relation to the work of the school psychologist. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 727 PSYCHOPATHOLOGY ACROSS THE LIFESPAN II

The course pursues a discussion of further diagnostic categories not included in Psychopathology of Childhood and Adolescence I, such as developmental disorders, communication and learning problems, organic disorders with a large psychological component, psychosomatic and physical handicaps, and anti-social behavior. There is some discussion of the problems of differential diagnostic assessment based on DSM IV and treatment of behavior disorders in children. Credit: 3 semester hours.

### 729 PSYCHOLINGUISTICS AND THE PRACTICE OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY WITH CULTURALLY AND LINGUISTICALLY DIVERSE POPULATIONS

This course will provide the student with an understanding of normal language development, second language acquisition, and the problems in delivering school psychological services to bilingual children. Students will be taught to differentiate between language difficulties encountered in acquiring a second language from language "deficits" or disorders. Credit: 3 semester hours.

- This course is aimed at providing a theoretical and practical understanding of the behavioral orientation to psychological assessment and intervention with school-aged children and adolescents in school settings. The course deals with the scientific principles underlying behavior therapy intervention, the clinical application of the procedures in both direct service and indirect consultation models of service delivery, and the empirical support for the efficacy of such procedures. Credit: 3 semester hours.
- 752 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERNSHIP SEMINAR I: PROFESSIONAL ISSUES Prerequisites: Completion of PSY 762 or 762B. Students with a failing grade (F) or a grade of IN (incomplete), will be dropped from the roster.

The ethical standards of the American Psychological Association, the National Association of School Psychologists, and legislative and case law effecting educationally handicapped children will be discussed in detail. Legal issues affecting and impacting the practice of psychology and standards of professional practice will also be presented. The New York State Child Abuse reporting laws and professionals' responsibility under this law will also be presented. Students are assigned to a public or private school providing services for regular education children for a

minimum of three full days/week. Students will work under the supervision of a psychologist who is licensed and certified as a school psychologist. Students will make presentations on their field placement activities and discuss the ethical implications of their cases. Credit: 3 semester hours.

### 752B BILINGUAL SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERNSHIP SEMINAR I: PROFESSIONAL ISSUES BILINGUAL

Prerequisite: PSY 761B & 762B. Students with a failing grade (F) or a grade of INC (incomplete), will be dropped from the roster.

Classroom presentations will focus on recent advances in assessment and intervention strategies for working with linguistically diverse children. Topics to be discussed include, models of school psychology service delivery, interviewing, assessment, intervention, and consultation with culturally and linguistically diverse children. Other topics to be covered include, crisis intervention strategies for school psychologists and kindergarten screening programs. The New York State Department of Social Services curriculum on Child Abuse and additional information on the assessment and treatment of abused children will be presented. Students are assigned to a public or private school providing psychological services to regular education bilingual children and/or adolescents for a minimum of three full days/week. Students will work under the supervision of a psychologist who is licensed and certified as a school psychologist. Students will make presentations on their field placement activities, discuss the roles and functions of school psychologists, and discuss any ethical and legal issues that arise in each case. Credit: 3 semester hours.

### 753 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERNSHIP SEMINAR II: PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS

Prerequisite: PSY 752. Classroom presentations will focus on recent advances in assessment and intervention strategies for school psychologists such as, models of service delivery in school psychology, crisis intervention strategies for school psychologists, kindergarten screening programs, and parent, teacher, and organizational consultation. The New York State Department of Social Services' curriculum on Child Abuse and additional information on the assessment and treatment of abused children will be presented. Students are assigned to a public or private school providing psychological services to regular education children and/or adolescents for a minimum of three full days/week. Students will work under the supervision of a psychologist who is licensed and certified as a school psychologist. Students will make presentations on their field placement activities, discuss the roles and functions of school psychologists, and discuss ethical and legal issues that arise in each case. Credit: 3 semester hours.

## 754 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY SEMINAR III: SUPERVISION AND ADVANCED CLINICAL INTERVENTIONS TO MEET THE MENTAL HEALTH NEEDS OF STUDENTS AND FIELD PLACEMENT

This course includes an in-depth review of the latest research and theory in psychological interventions. Topics to be discussed include: organizational and policy issues in providing mental health services to children; primary mental health prevention activities; prevention of substance abuse; school drop-out; suicide; and conduct problems. Advances in individual, group, and family therapies for childhood disorders will be presented. Students are assigned to a public or private school or agency providing psychological services for three days per week. This may

be in a school for exceptional children or an organization servicing exceptional children or an atypical population. Students will work under the supervision of psychologist who is licensed and if in a school setting certified as a school psychologist. Students are required to make case presentations on their field placement activities, discuss the roles and functions of school psychologists, and discuss any ethical and legal issues that arise in each case. Credit: 3 semester hours.

## 755 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERNSHIP SEMINAR IV: EXPLORATION OF CURRENT RESEARCH AND CONTROVERSIES IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY AND FIELD PLACEMENT

This course is designed to provide upper level doctoral students with a broader and more indepth knowledge of current research and controversies in the field of school psychology. Particular emphasis will be placed on current research on specific learning disability (SLD) definitions and identification methods. Controversies in interpreting the federal definition of SLD and in interpreting the federal regulations regarding the methodology for SLD identification will be discussed. Emphasis will be placed on the current neuropsychology literature regarding cognitive ability and processing test selection and interpretation as it relates to the evaluation of individuals suspected of having a learning disability. Arguments for and against different SLD definitions and SLD identification methodologies along with the available research for each viewpoint will be presented. Instruction will also be placed on linking assessment results to empirically supported interventions and evaluating the effectiveness of interventions. Case study presentations will demonstrate the issues and methods discussed. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 759 PSYCHOMETRICS

Theories of measurement, scaling, and test construction in psychology, including issues of validity and reliability. Scaling models are discussed in relation to attitudes, abilities, psychophysics, and psychometrics. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 761 PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT PRACTICUM I

Prerequisite: PSY 661 and PSY 662 with grade of "B" or higher. This course includes both classroom presentations (in a seminar style) and completion of psychological assessments of children, adolescents, and young adults. The assessments will be conducted at the University's Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and/or schools serviced by the Center's staff. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the assessment strategy and instruments to be used. For each case, students will perform an assessment, develop a case formulation, construct treatment recommendations, prepare a written report, provide a feedback conference to the appropriate person(s), and present any ethical or legal issues that arise. All of these activities are done under the supervision of the instructor who will be a licensed and certified school psychologist. Students will be graded on their diagnostic/assessment skills, interpersonal relations, and professional conduct. Students are required to maintain logs (provided by the Program) detailing their hours and client information. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 761B PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT PRACTICUM I - BILINGUAL

Prerequisite: PSY 661 and PSY 662 with grade of "B" or higher. This course includes both classroom presentations (in a seminar style) and completion of psychological assessments of

bilingual and mono-lingual children, adolescents, and young adults. The assessments will be conducted at the University's Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and/or schools serviced by the Center's staff. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the assessment strategy and instruments to be used. For each case, students will perform an assessment, develop a case formulation, construct treatment recommendations, prepare a written report, provide a feedback conference to the appropriate person(s), and present any ethical or legal issues that arise. All of these activities are done under the supervision of the instructor who will be a licensed and certified school psychologist. Students will be graded on their diagnostic/assessment skills, interpersonal relations, and professional conduct. Students are required to maintain logs (provided by the Program) detailing their hours and client information. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 762 PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT PRACTICUM II

This course is a continuation of 761. It includes both classroom presentations (in a seminar style) and completion of psychological assessments of children, adolescents, and young adults. The assessments will be conducted at the University's Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and/or schools serviced by the Center's staff. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the assessment strategy and instruments to be used. For each case, students will perform an assessment, develop a case formulation, construct treatment recommendations, prepare a written report, provide a feedback conference to the appropriate person(s), and present any ethical or legal issues that arise. All of these activities are done under the supervision of the instructor who will be a licensed and certified school psychologist. Students will be graded on their diagnostic/assessment skills, interpersonal relations, and professional conduct. Students are required to maintain logs (provided by the Program) detailing their hours and client information. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 762B PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT PRACTICUM II - BILINGUAL

This course is a continuation of 761B. It includes both classroom presentations (in a seminar style) and completion of psychological assessments of bilingual and mono-lingual children, adolescents, and young adults. The assessments will be conducted at the University's Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and/or schools serviced by the Center's staff. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the assessment strategy and instruments to be used. For each case, students will perform an assessment, develop a case formulation, construct treatment recommendations, prepare a written report, provide a feedback conference to the appropriate person(s), and present any ethical or legal issues that arise. All of these activities are done under the supervision of the instructor who will be a licensed and certified school psychologist. Students will be graded on their diagnostic/assessment skills, interpersonal relations, and professional conduct. Students are required to maintain logs (provided by the Program) detailing their hours and client information. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 763 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERVENTION PRACTICUM I

This course includes both classroom presentations (in a seminar style) and completion of psychological assessments of children, adolescents, and young adults. The assessments will be conducted at the University's Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and/or schools serviced by the Center's staff. The intervention may include individual or group therapy,

and/or behavioral or instructional consultation with teachers or parents. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the appropriate intervention strategies. For each client, students will prepare a case formulation, write a treatment plan, deliver the intervention, assess the clients' progress, and keep appropriate documentation. Students will also regularly present the case to their supervisor to discuss the integrity of the treatment plan, the clients' progress, the need for any change in the treatment plan, and to discuss any ethical or legal issues involved. Students will be graded on their intake monitoring, progress, and report keeping skills, interpersonal relations, treatment planning and case conceptualization, quality of intervention, and professional conduct. Students are required to maintain logs (provided by the Program) detailing their hours and client information. Credit: 3 semester hours.

## 764 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERVENTION PRACTICUM II

This course is a continuation of PSY 763. It includes both classroom presentations (in a seminar style) and completion of psychological assessments of children, adolescents, and young adults. The assessments will be conducted at the University's Center for Psychological Services and Clinical Studies and/or schools serviced by the Center's staff. The intervention may include individual or group therapy, and/or behavioral or instructional consultation with teachers or parents. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the appropriate intervention strategies. For each client, students will prepare a case formulation, write a treatment plan, deliver the intervention, assess the clients' progress, and keep appropriate documentation. Students will also regularly present the case to their supervisor to discuss the integrity of the treatment plan, the clients' progress, the need for any change in the treatment plan, and to discuss any ethical or legal issues involved. Students will be graded on their intake monitoring, progress, and report keeping skills, interpersonal relations, treatment planning and case conceptualization, quality of intervention, and professional conduct. Students are required to maintain logs (provided by the Program) detailing their hours and client information. Credit: 3 semester hours.

# 765 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY INTERVENTION PRACTICUM III

This course is a continuation of PSY 763 and PSY 764. Credit: 3 semester hours.

#### 905 INTERNSHIP I

Prerequisite: Completion of all course work and passing the Professional Practice Competency Examination. A one-year, full time internship in an approved setting. For doctoral students in the Doctor of Psychology in School Psychology Program only. This course is taken on a Pass-Fail basis. Students will receive an incomplete grade until the full internship (Psy 905 & 906) is completed. Credits: 0 semester hours.

#### 906 INTERNSHIP II

Prerequisite: PSY 905, completion of all course work, and passing the Professional Practice Competency Examination. A one-year, full time internship in an approved setting. For doctoral students in the Doctor of Psychology in School Psychology Program only. This course is taken on a Pass-Fail basis. The grade will be assigned when the internship is completed. Credits: 0 semester hours.

# 950 DOCTORAL RESEARCH

Original research leading to the doctoral degree. Doctoral candidates must register for this course in the spring semester of their fourth year. Once enrolled in this course, students must remain continuously enrolled in it until they graduate.

Credits: Three (3) credits except when students are on internship and enrolled in PSY 905 or 906 - when it will be 1 credit. The course identification numbers for PSY 950 are as follows: 75163 for three (3) credits and 75134 for one (1) credit.

# F. Electives

Electives in the Psychology Department include the following:

PSY 606 Perception I

PSY 607 Perception II

**PSY 619 Multivariate Statistics** 

PSY 626 Psychology of Women

PSY 631 Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy I

PSY 696 Independent Research

PSY 717 Instructional Assessment and Academic Interventions

**PSY 744** 

PSY 745 Applied Behavior Analysis

**PSY 746** 

PSY 751 Interventions with Developmental Disabilities

PSY 760 Marital and Family Therapy

PSY 835 Neuropsychological Assessment

PSY 838 Addictive Behavior

PSY 839 Forensic Psychology

PSY 845 Group Therapy

PSY 850 Cognitive Psychotherapies

PSY 861 Psychopharmacology

PSY 862 Independent Study (applicable only to concentration 6 - Psychological Research)

Students can take up to three (3) electives outside of the psychology department with the approval of the Program Director or their advisor. Any graduate course in the University can be an elective. The core School Psychology Faculty has reviewed the course offerings and recommends that electives outside the Psychology Department be chosen from the following list.

Department of Sociology & Anthropology

SOC 117 Family Violence

SOC 119 Juvenile Delinquency

SOC 131 Sociology of Youth

SOC 147 Personality, Culture and Society

SOC 150 Sociology of Illness & Health Care

SOC 227 Criminology

SOC 249 Sociological Perspectives on Education

Department of Speech, Communication Science, & Theater

SPEECH 203 Models of Language and Behavior

SPEECH 318 Developmental Language Disorders

SPEECH 320 Language Based Learning Disabilities

SPEECH 321 Speech Language Assessment & Intervention for Infants, Toddlers, and the Preschool Child

SPEECH 329 Neuroanatomy and Neuropathology of the Speech System.

School of Education & Human Services Division of Administrative & Instructional Leadership

Courses in Educational Administration

EDU 5415 Introduction to Educational Administration

EDU 5418 Administrative Theory in Education

EDU 5471 Leadership in Instructional Supervision

EDU 5571 Administrative Leadership

EDU 5791 Legal Aspects of the Administration of Schools

EDU 5795 Student & Teacher Rights in Administration of Schools

EDU 5811 Administration & Supervision of Special Education Services

EDU 7232 Individualization: Prescribing for Student Learning Styles

# Department of Human Services & Counseling

Courses in Reading

EDU 3230 Diagnosis & Recommendations for Literacy Performance

EDU 3240 Literacy and Assessment Strategies for Diverse Learners

EDU 3264 Teaching Literacy through Literature (Birth through Grade 6) in General and Inclusive Settings

EDU 3270 Theories of and Strategies for Teaching Literacy in the Content Areas

EDU 3274 Innovative Approaches to Thinking and Literacy Development for the Gifted Learner

EDU 3278 Curriculum and Instructional Design for Teaching Literacy to Individuals with Exceptionalities B-12

EDU 5420 Politics of Education

EDU 5651 School-Community Relations in Education

EDU 5741 Finance of Education

## G. Elective Concentrations

Students are strongly encouraged, but not required, to use three (3) of their electives (9 credits) to develop a concentration in an area of study.

(1) Psychological Interventions - Students who choose this concentration should select three courses from the following:

PSY 631 Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy I

PSY 717 Instructional Assessment and Academic Interventions

PSY 745 Applied Behavior Analysis

PSY 760 Marital and Family Therapy

PSY 845 Group Therapy

PSY 850 Cognitive Psychotherapies

# PSY 861 Psychopharmacology

(2) Applied Behavior Analysis Program - St. John's School Psychology Program includes a 24-credit Verified Course Sequence (VCS) approved by the Behavior Analysis Certification Board (BACB). Fifteen of these credit hours are embedded within the school psychology coursework. For students that are interested in pursuing BCBA certification in addition to School Psychology Certification, 15 credit hours required for the BACB are embedded into the school psychology coursework, and the remaining 9 required credits are offered to be taken as additional electives beyond their school (beyond the credit hours required as part of the school psychology coursework). The breakdown of how the credit hours are incorporated is illustrated in the table below:

<b>School Psychology Program Courses with Embedded BACB Content</b> – These courses are required as part of your course study in school psychology, and the BACB content hours for 15 credits hours is embedded within these courses				
Course #	Course Title			
PSY 716	Psychoeducational Consultation in the Classroom	3 cr.		
PSY 747	Introduction to the Study of Autism Spectrum Disorders	3 cr.		
PSY 615	Research Methods in School Psychology	3 cr.		
PSY 749	Behavior Therapy: Assessment, Treatment, and Consultation	3 cr.		
PSY 752	School Psychology Internship Seminar I	3 cr.		

Additional	Additional ABA courses to be taken as electives to complete the sequence – These courses					
are additional electives beyond your course study in school psychology that are required to						
complete the verified course sequence (VCS) in Applied Behavior Analysis.						
Course #	Course Title					
PSY 744	Assessment Methods for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders	3 cr.				
PSY 745	Applied Behavior Analysis	3 cr.				
PSY 746	Single Case Design for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorder	3 cr.				

Completion of these courses ensures that students meet the course requirements for BCBA certification. In order to complete ALL the requirements to become a BCBA, students must independently complete 1500 supervised hours working in the field of applied behavior analysis and pass the BCBA exam. St John's University provides the approved course sequence, but it is the student's responsibility to review the requirements for BCBA certification. Information for certification in behavior analysis can be found at <a href="https://www.bacb.com">www.bacb.com</a>.

If you have any questions regarding the VCS for Applied Behavior Analysis, contact Dr. Angela Mouzakitis at <a href="mouzakia@stjohns.edu">mouzakia@stjohns.edu</a>.

- (3) Child Language Disorders Students who choose this concentration must take all of the following courses:
- CSD 203 Models of Language and Behavior
- CSD 318 Developmental Language Disorders
- CSD 320 Language Based Learning Disabilities

- (4) Educational Administration and Law Students who choose this concentration should select three courses from the following:
- EDU 5415 Introduction to Educational Administration
- EDU 5418 Administrative Theory
- EDU 5471 Leadership in Instructional Supervision
- EDU 5571 Administrative Leadership in Schools
- EDU 5651 School Community Relations in Education
- EDU 5741 Economics and Financing of Education
- EDU 5791 Legal Aspects in Management and Administration of Schools
- EDU 5811 Administration and Supervision of Special Education Services
- (5) Literacy Students who choose this concentration should select three courses from the following:
- EDU 3200 Language Acquisition and Literacy Development for General Education/English Language Learners (ELL)
- EDU 3220 Approaches, Materials, and Performance Evaluation in Literacy Development (K-12)
- EDU 3230 Diagnosis and Case study Analysis for Literacy Performance
- EDU 3264 Teaching Literacy through Literature (Birth through Grade 6) in General and Inclusive Settings
- EDU 3270 Theories of and Strategies for Teaching Literacy Leadership
- (6) Psychological Research Students who choose this concentration will take the second research methods course in the clinical program (PSY 613). They will also register for PSY 862 for two semesters. This will yield nine credits. For the two semesters of PSY 862, the student will be required to write up a contract with a sponsoring faculty member specifying the activities which will be performed in each of the independent study courses. A paper, suitable for submission to an academic journal, must result from students who take this research concentration. The research topic investigated in this concentration must be sufficiently different from the student's dissertation topic. Students can enroll in this concentration only with the approval of the program director.
- (7) School Neuropsychology School Neuropsychology "requires the integration of neuropsychological and educational principles to the assessment and intervention processes with infants, children, and adolescents to facilitate learning and behavior within the school and family systems. School neuropsychologists also play an important role in the curriculum development, classroom design, and the integration of differential instruction that is based on brain-behavior principles in order to provide an optimal learning environment for every child" (Miller, DeFina, & Lang, 2004).

Students, with satisfactory academic standing, may enroll in this concentration only with approval of the Program Director. The successful completion of these courses will help prepare students to meet the requirements set by the American Board of School Neuropsychology (ABSNP) to achieve Diplomate status in School Neuropsychology.

The Diplomate in School Neuropsychology credential is awarded to applicants that demonstrate competency in school neuropsychology. The ABSNP, LLC was created to recognize the

competency of school neuropsychologists. The ABSNP, LLC requires documentation of one's professional skills and training, passage of a written objective examination, and an oral defense of a written case study. The ABSNP, LLC provides validation of a school neuropsychologist's professional skills and helps promote those skills to your peers and to the public. Current Diplomates in School Neuropsychology have maintained their professional skills through documented annual continuing education requirements (Retrieved from: www.absnp.com).

Students who are permitted to enroll in this concentration will be required to take the following courses:

PSY 840 School Neuropsychological Assessment I

PSY 841 School Neuropsychological Assessment II

PSY 846 Practicum in School Neuropsychological Assessment I

PSY 847 Practicum in School Neuropsychological Assessment II

(8) Neuropsychology - Neuropsychology is the study of brain-behavior relationships. Students interested in this concentration should discuss with a faculty member the requirements for diplomate certification from the American Board of professional Psychology (ABPP) in neuropsychology. Student can enroll in this concentration only with the approval of the Program Director. Students who choose this concentration must take the specific courses listed and have less choice than other concentrations. These courses help students meet the academic requirements set by the American Board of Professional Psychology for the Diplomate in Clinical Neuropsychology.

PHS 239 Functional Neuroanatomy and Neuropathology (Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences; This course has prerequisites of undergraduate courses in anatomy and physiology.)

PSY 835 Neuropsychological Assessment

PSY 840 School/Child Neuropsychology: Principles and Assessment

PSY 861 Psychopharmacology or CPP 216 Psychotherapeutics (Department of Clinical Pharmacy Practice)

# H. First Year Research and Community Service Commitments

## 1. Research Commitment

The School Psychology Program at St. John's University follows a practitioner-scientist. This model assumes that practicing psychologists must base their professional activities on a foundation of research and scientific practice. Given the importance of these skills and to further encourage students to participate in research, the graduate program in school psychology has adopted a research component for first year graduate students.

The research program prepares students to develop, understand, and apply research experiences through actual involvement in research. Specifically, students are required to complete 50 hours over the course of the 1st year (20 hours 1st semester; 30 hours 2nd semester). Part-time students may complete this requirement over 2 years time. All research is to be done with a faculty mentor and must comply with all components of the Universities Institutional Review Board (IRB). Students may volunteer to work in a faculty member's research lab or independently develop a research idea under the supervision of the faculty member. Students

may not use the hours from their graduate assistantship or doctoral fellowship towards this requirement. See Appendix B for Research Contract.

# 2. Community Service Commitment

St. John's is a Vincentian University, inspired by St. Vincent de Paul's compassion and zeal for service. In the Vincentian tradition, we seek to foster a worldview and to further efforts toward global harmony and development by creating an atmosphere in which all may imbibe and embody the spirit of compassionate concern others so characteristic of Vincent. The purpose of the community service program is to provide St. John's Graduate Students in School psychology an opportunity to connect with the local community, and provide needed volunteer service to disadvantaged communities that uses the students' strengths, academic knowledge and skills.

Students enrolled in one of the graduate programs in School psychology will complete 30 community service hours in their first year of attendance. Part-time students may do so over the course of two semesters. Community service activities may be, but are not required to be, related to the field of school psychology. Students may not use a current position through which they are employed for community service hours. Students are required to complete the Community Service Program Contract and Log forms, keep copies for themselves and turn copies in to the program secretary. See Appendix B for forms.

# 3. Brown Bag Research Luncheon

To further provide exposure and conversation about research that is relevant to the field of psychology and profession of school psychology, the faculty of the St. John's University school psychology program provide regularly scheduled research meetings that are required of all first year doctoral students. Faculty will present their research, research from some of their doctoral students, or discuss an emerging area of research in the field. Typically, one meeting per month is scheduled and doctoral candidates may bring their lunch and participate in these meetings.

# I. St. John's University Center for Psychological Services

The University's commitment to quality training in professional psychology resulted in the Center for Psychological Services. The Center is located a short distance from campus on Union Turnpike and provides training for students enrolled in both the Clinical Psychology and School Psychology programs. Students gain experience providing psychological assessments and interventions to clients from the community.

During their 2<sup>nd</sup> year in the program, School Psychology students will work very closely with their supervisors in all aspects of the assessment process, including consultation with parents and school staff. Students are to refer to their individual course syllabi (PSY 761, 762, 761B, 762B) for the details of the practicum supervision.

During their 3<sup>rd</sup> year in the program, students in the PsyD School Psychology program will enroll in the Psychological Interventions courses (PSY 763, 764, 765) at the Center. These courses include classroom presentations, completion of psychological assessments, and the determination and implementation of appropriate intervention strategies. The interventions may include

individual or group therapy, and/or behavioral or instructional consultation with parents. Prior to meeting with a parent or child, students will consult with their instructor to determine the appropriate intervention strategies. For each client, students will prepare a case formulation, write a treatment plan, deliver the intervention, assess the clients' progress, and keep appropriate documentation. Students will also regularly present the case to their supervisor to discuss the integrity of the treatment plan, the clients' progress, the need for any change in the treatment plan, and to discuss any ethical or legal issues involved. Students will be graded on their intake monitoring, progress, and report keeping skills, interpersonal relations, treatment planning and case conceptualization, quality of intervention, and professional conduct.

An important aspect of the training at the Center includes an emphasis on the professional growth of the student. Students must always conduct themselves with the utmost professionalism while at the Center and dress and behave in a way that encourages respect. The Ethical Standards for Psychologists established by the American Psychological Association and the Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Facilities are important guidelines with which to become familiar as the center upholds these principles. These guidelines are found at: www.usccb.org/bishops/directives.html

The practica supervisors, who serve as instructors for the School Psychology Assessment practicum courses have been and will continue to be school psychologists with relevant experience. The supervisors all have adjunct or assistant, associate or professor faculty appointments at St. John's. Each practicum supervisor has a doctoral degree, a State of New York psychology license, and New York State certification as a School Psychologist.

Practica supervisors who serve as instructors for the School Psychology Intervention practica courses all have adjunct or assistant, associate or professor faculty appointments at St. John's. Each practicum supervisor has a doctoral degree and a State of New York psychology license. Some will also have a New York State certification as a School Psychologist.

# J. Third Year Field Placements (School Psychology Certification Internship/Doctoral Externship)

Prior to beginning the third year field placement, students must have completed 54 course credits. Furthermore, students will not be permitted to begin internship unless they have received a passing grade in their second year practica courses (761/762).

In accordance with NYS Law, students must be fingerprinted to begin their third year field placement. It is recommended that students complete the fingerprinting process in the spring of their second full year. Students may work with the Field Placement Coordinator and their internship site to obtain information regarding fingerprinting.

Students complete their field placement, PSY 752/752B, and PSY 753, concurrently. The field placement experience in the third year is considered an internship by the New York State Teacher's Certification Office that issues the certificate in School Psychology. These experiences are considered an externship by the psychology licensing board that regulates doctoral programs

in psychology and issues the license as a psychologist. These two state agencies use different names to refer to this experience. Successful completion of this requirement does not count toward and cannot be used in lieu of the final, fifth-year internship.

To satisfy the third-year field placement requirements, students must work three full days per week, per semester, or at least 750 clock hours. The student is expected to work throughout the entire academic school year of the district, which typically begins in early September and runs through the end of June. This placement must occur in a public or private school setting. Internship sites may be in urban or suburban educational facilities or agencies encompassing either elementary (Kindergarten through 5th Grade), middle (6th through 8th Grade), or high (9th through 12th Grade) school levels. Students must have their placement approved by the Program Director or the Field Placement Coordinator. Once a student has accepted an internship position either verbally or in writing, the student may no longer apply or interview for any other internship opportunities.

Initiation or resignation of any internship/externship without the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director may result in delay of placement, the student being placed on probation, or the student being terminated from the program.

The third-year field placement provides an opportunity for students to exercise their professional skills. During this placement, students' experiences should include all of the following: 1) assessment of children including, initial referrals, screenings, and annual and triennial evaluations; 2) teacher and parent consultation; 3) direct and indirect intervention such as individual or group counseling; and 4) participation in student case presentation to the district Committee on Special Education.

Students must complete an externship activity log that documents (a) the precise amount of time spent at the internship site and (b) the types of experiences encountered each day. Currently, students use Time2Track, a web-based tracking system. Students must also submit a report showing the monthly summary for all activities along with signature from the both the supervisor and the student to the Departmental Secretary; these monthly reports can be generated using Time2Track. This is necessary to insure that the student receives full credit toward the 750 clock hour requirement. Signatures from both the supervisor and student must appear on each monthly summary record. It is the student's responsibility to keep this log and to have it signed by the supervisor. Failure to keep the log may result in the student not receiving credit for his or her time and an "incomplete" (IN) in the class. Failure to turn in logs in a timely manner may also result in your hours not counting toward the yearly requirement (this rule applies during fourth and fifth years as well). Additional time spent after school hours in such activities as report writing and attending school meetings also counts as hours toward the requirements for the field placement. When schools are closed for holidays or breaks, students may assign 7 hours of work for each of these days on their internship logs. Students must assign these hours to log categories that are not dependent upon school being open (i.e., administration, report writing).

The evaluation of internship sites by the School Psychology Graduate Program is strict and involves great scrutiny and quality control. The procedure normally begins when schools contact the Program Director with a request for interns. The department accepts internship sites based on

knowledge of the internship supervisor, his or her reputation as a competent and ethical professional among peers in the local community, and his or her holding of a valid license and certification as a School Psychologist. In addition, the school psychology faculty or the Field Placement Coordinator visits internship sites regularly and maintain telephone contact or inperson contact throughout the internship. Internship supervisors must hold a doctoral degree in psychology, a psychology license in the state in which they work, and school psychology certification in the state in which they work. At the beginning of the internship year, the department mails each field placement supervisor a contract and planning form (see Appendices). The supervisor and the student meet to outline the tasks and experiences that the student will participate in during the year. The supervisor must indicate (on the planning form) that students (a) will participate in appropriate amounts of consultation, counseling, and assessment and (b) will receive a minimum of two hours of direct supervision per week. The supervision must occur on site as the supervisor will have greater familiarity with working in an organized setting such as a school, will know the population, the setting and its policies, and most of all, have access to client records as they cannot be removed from the setting. Both the supervisor and student will sign a contract outlining their responsibilities. The contract outlines the supervisor's responsibilities to the student. At the end of the fall and spring semesters, the supervisors evaluate the students. Completion of professional responsibilities while on placement and ratings by placement supervisor will be utilized in determination of course grade. At the conclusion of the year, students complete evaluations of their internship site and supervisor. These forms can be obtained from the Departmental Secretary.

During the third year field placement, students develop their knowledge and skills in the following areas:

- 1. Students will become familiar with the various roles of practicing School Psychologists.
- 2. Students will learn the organizational functioning, administration, implementation of policies, and politics of schools and school districts.
- **3.** Students will gain experience in the process of special education referral, evaluation, placement, and remediation (e.g., developing IEPs, presenting to the district's Committee on Special Education).
- **4.** Students will become knowledgeable about the process of regular education.
- **5.** Students will become acquainted with community resources that support school psychological services.
- **6.** Students will gain experience in various assessment techniques including: initial interviews with children and parents, interviewing parents and teachers to assess the nature of the referral, intellectual assessment, academic assessment, emotional and social assessment, behavioral observation and assessment, classroom observations, and curriculum-based assessment.
- 7. The student will gain experience and develop competence in clinical diagnostic interviewing.
- **8.** The student will gain experience and develop competence in providing consultation

- services (i.e., to parents, teachers, and other school personnel).
- **9.** Students will gain experience and develop competence in both regular and crisis intervention skills and/or strategies (e.g., how policies are constructed, carried out, and evaluated).
- **10.** Students will gain experience and become proficient in writing comprehensive psychoeducational reports to articulate their assessments and recommendations.
- **11.** Students will learn to demonstrate accountability for their activities as practicing School Psychologists.
- **12.** Students will learn to evaluate their personal behavior and professional skills, and learn to set goals for self-improvement.
- **13.** Students will gain experience in providing feedback on their assessment and recommendations to children, parents, teachers, and other school personnel.
- 14. Students will gain experience in counseling school children individually and in groups.

# K. Fourth Year Externship

Students complete this field placement experience while concurrently enrolled in PSY 754 and 755. To satisfy the requirements, students must work three full days per week, per semester, or at least 750 clock hours. These hours will take place at minimum over the course of an academic year. Some agencies may require individuals to sign a 12-month contract. This field placement helps students receive experience with children, adolescents, families, or adults with behavioral, emotional, learning, or other handicapping conditions. Students are placed in a school or agency that services these clients. This can include a BOCES special education program, an agency providing day treatment or residential treatment serving children or adolescents, an inpatient hospital, a mental health clinic, or a school for children with physical disabilities. The placement can also include working closely with a school district Committee on Special Education in the evaluation, placement, planning, and monitoring of services for children with a wide range of disabilities.

At the beginning of the externship year the department mails each field placement supervisor a contract (Appendix D) and planning form (Appendix G). The supervisor and the student meet to outline the tasks and experiences that the student will participate in during the year. The supervisor must indicate (on the planning form) that students will participate in appropriate amounts of consultation, counseling and assessment, and will receive a minimum of two hours of direct supervision per week. The supervision must occur on site as the supervisor will have greater familiarity with working in an organized setting such as a school, will know the population, the setting and its policies, and most of all, have access to client records as they cannot be removed from the setting. Both the supervisor and student will sign a contract outlining their responsibilities. The contract outlines the supervisor's responsibilities to the student. At the end of the fall and spring semesters, the supervisors evaluate the student. At the conclusion of the year, students complete evaluations of their externship sites and supervisors. These forms can be obtained from the Departmental Secretary. Completion of professional responsibilities while on placement and ratings by placement supervisor will be utilized in

determination of course grade.

Once a student has accepted an externship position verbally or in writing, the student may no longer apply or interview for any other internship opportunities. Initiation or resignation of any externship without the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director may result in delay of placement, the student being placed on probation, or the student being terminated from the program.

A decision to leave an externship placement is left to the Field Placement Coordinator in consultation with the Program Director. Such a decision can be made only if an alternate placement will educationally benefit the student. Students cannot contact an alternate placement or discuss leaving their current placement without first getting the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director.

Students should not accept more than one placement. St. John's University School Psychology Program is a member of the New York/New Jersey Consortium of Training Programs. Students considering a site which participates in the consortium must abide by the policies and procedures set forth by the consortium. These rules and procedures will be distributed annually by the Field Placement Coordinator.

During the fourth year field placement, students will increase their knowledge and develop skills in the following areas:

- 1) Students will become knowledgeable about the organization, administration, policies and politics of special facilities
- 2) Students will gain experience in all types of psychological assessment with varied populations.
- 3) Students will gain experience consulting with other professionals to provide effective intervention to facilitate behavioral and/or educational change.
- 4) Students will gain experience in evaluating the behavioral, emotional, and/or educational progress of clients and revising the treatment plan based on the data.
- 5) Students will gain experience communicating the client's treatment plan and progress with the appropriate organization (e.g., Committee on Special Education in the child's home district).
- 6) Students will gain experience in individual and group therapy with clients.
- 7) Students will gain experience consulting with parents of children or working with families or partners of clients.
- 8) Students will gain experience using Systemic and Family interventions to improve the ability to support the client's progress.
- 9) Students will gain experience evaluating the client's potential to return to the least restrictive

environment where possible (e.g., home district).

- 10) Students will gain experience consulting with clients about vocational alternatives.
- 11) Students will learn to demonstrate accountability for their activities as practicing School Psychologists.
- 12) Students will learn to evaluate their personal behavior and professional skills, and learn to set goals for self-improvement.

# L. Fifth-Year Doctoral Internship for Licensing

All students will complete a full-time internship as the capstone experience of the program, necessary for licensing in the state of New York. The trainee has a title such as "intern," "resident," or other designation of trainee status.

# 1. Prerequisites

Students must complete all courses, including three credits of PSY 950; pass the Comprehensive Examination; and be in good academic standing before they can start their internship. Students must also have their dissertation proposal defended and the necessary forms signed and turned in before they will be allowed to initiate internship hours.

# 2. APA Approved Internships

Students may apply to an APA-approved (APPIC) internship, however, very few APPIC internships meet the requirements of both NASP and the Council of Directors of School Psychology Programs (CDSPP), and most of these are located out-of-state. Students may apply to APPIC internships in clinical psychology to meet this requirement. However, only clinical internships that focus primarily on providing psychological services to children, adolescents and their families will be acceptable. Also, students who choose an APA clinical internship must have had both their third and fourth year field placements in an educational setting, that meet the NASP internship requirement (minimum 600 hours of supervised internship or equivalent experience in a school setting A University-based counseling center is *not* considered an educational setting by NASP) where they experienced the full range of school psychological services. Students should consult both the Association of Psychology Postdoctoral and Internship Centers (APPIC) website at http://www.appic.org and The National Match Service Psychology Internship web site at http://www.natmatch.com/psychint/index.html for more information. If a student ranks an internship program through the APPIC system and matches with a site, the student must go on the internship and will not consider other offers or opportunities. Failure to comply with match procedures may result in the student being terminated from the program.

\* Please note that beginning Fall 2014, in order to apply for an APPIC internship, students must have successfully proposed their doctoral dissertation by Oct 1st of the year *prior* to their APPIC internship application process.

# **APPIC Internships**

Students interested in applying for an APPIC internship are doing so with the understanding that this is an entirely optional, and largely independent, endeavor.

Student responsibilities include:

- 1) Formally contacting the Field Placement Coordinator via email to express an interest in an APPIC internship.
- 2) Documenting the total number of hours that will have been accrued in the 4th year. I the student wants to apply to clinical internship sites, the 4<sup>th</sup> year externship placement, must take place in a school setting (totaling at least 600 hours). If 600 hours are NOT accrued, the student is required to apply to school sites ONLY or sites that will provide the required 600 hours of school experience.
- 3) Identify potential APPIC sites of interest and independently verify that the sites are consistent with the requirements outlined in the program handbook under "Non-APA Approved Internships."
- 4) Contact the Field Placement Coordinator to submit a formal letter outlining the total number of school hours accrued/anticipated in the 4th year, as well as sites of interest, along with a statement of verification which indicates that the sites of interest comply with program guidelines. If there is a deviation from program guidelines, the deviation must be clearly noted.

Upon receiving an expression of interest from the student, the *School Psychology Training Coordinator* will provide:

- 1) An *electronic copy of an APPIC manual* outlining the APPIC process. Please note that this manual is a guidance document only that intends to provide a general overview of the process. It is the student's responsibility to consult the official APPIC website (<a href="https://www.appic.org">https://www.appic.org</a>) for updated procedures, deadline dates, site information, and so forth.
- 2) A *general guidance/feedback document* as it relates to cover letters and essays. While the Training Coordinator will proofread cover letters and essays, this will be primarily for mechanics (e.g., spelling, grammar). As such, it is the student's responsibility to ensure that the letter complies with site guidelines and/or APPIC's guidelines/suggestions in regards to content.
- 3) *Names and contact information of previously-matched APPIC students*, if any, that are willing to provide information relating to the APPIC process. Please note that this is an optional conversation and the number of students willing to offer information may vary from year to year.

Upon receiving the student's completed APPIC application, the *Director of Clinical Training* (the Program Director) will:

- 1) Contact the Field Placement Coordinator to verify the student's logged hours.
- 2) Respond to APPIC's email to verify your standing in the program and your readiness for APPIC training.

# 3. Non-APA Approved Internships

Students who do not complete an APA approved internship must complete the application form in Appendix H and submit it to the Program Director or Field Placement Coordinator for disposition. The internship application form must be typed and submitted by August 1<sup>st</sup> for a September internship start. If students do not have an internship by this date, they will be assigned one by the field placement coordinator. The field placement coordinator will advise and help students obtain internships. However, neither the faculty, Program Director, Department Chair, Dean, nor the university assumes the responsibility to obtain the internship for the student.

When completing the application form for the fifth-year internship, the student should indicate that the placement meets all the requirements listed below. In addition, the students should indicate how the proposed internship experience provides for a different set of experiences than they had received in their third and fourth year field placements. Students must identify the goals of their internship and specify the clinical competencies that they will acquire or improve upon and specify how they will assess the achievement of their goals. This fifth-year internship proposal will form the basis for the contract between the student and the internship site. The student will type this contract and have it approved by the Program Director or Field Placement Coordinator.

The internship must meet the standards set by the Council of Directors of School Psychology Programs, the National Association of School Psychologists, the National Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology, the American Psychological Association, and the New York State Psychology Licensing Board. To do so, the following internship standards are set using the strongest standard from the internship requirements of the above five groups. Internships must meet at the minimum the NASP requirement of a minimum 600 hours of supervised internship or equivalent experience in a school setting where they experienced the full range of school psychological services.

\* Beginning in Fall 2016, students must have successfully proposed their doctoral dissertation by December 1<sup>st</sup> of the year *prior* to going on their internship application process. That is, they will need to successfully propose their dissertation by this date to go on internship the following year. For those applying to APPIC internships, the earlier Oct 1<sup>st</sup> deadline will remain.

Sequence. Internships are intensive, culminating, practical experiences related to the program training. Therefore, internships will occur after the completion of all practica and externships (third and fourth year field placements), and all relevant course work. Students cannot register for any other course work except PSY 950 Doctoral Research, while they are enrolled in the internship.

Length. The internship will consist of at least 1500 hours of a full time experience for an academic or calendar year, or a half time experience for two years. Internship hours must be

completed in no less than 9 months during which an intern cannot exceed 45 hours per week as part of the NYS licensure requirement. (See Table 1) When schools are closed for holidays or breaks, students may assign 7 hours of work for each of these days on their internship logs. Students must assign these hours to log categories that are not dependent upon face-to-face contact with students, teachers, or parents. Report writing and prep work meet this requirement. Students wishing to graduate in May must have completed all 1500 hours by May 1<sup>st</sup>.

Supervisor. A staff member of the agency or district is identified as holding the ultimate clinical responsibility for all the interns' cases that are supervised. All supervisors must hold a license as psychologists by the New York State Board of Examiners. It is preferable that they hold a certification in school psychology. The supervision must occur on site as the supervisor will have greater familiarity with working in an organized setting such as a school, will know the population, the setting and its policies, and most of all, have access to client records as they cannot be removed from the setting.

Site Staff Requirements. A licensed psychologist is responsible for the integrity of the internship program and each supervisor is responsible for no more than two interns. The internship site staff should be large enough that the loss of one staff member will not jeopardize the training program.

Supervision Requirements. At least two hours of direct supervision will occur, one hour of which will be provided by the student's designated mentor.

Scope of Activities. At least 450 hours or about 30% of the intern's time will be in direct service activities. These activities will include all aspects of psychological assessment, consultation, therapy, counseling, research, program development, and program evaluation. The intern will have the responsibility of carrying out professional activities under supervision.

Contract. The student will write a description of the internship. The contract will include the goals, content, expectations, quantity and quality of experiences, salary, benefits, and reimbursement for expenses. To satisfy the internship requirements, students must work five full days per week, per semester. However, a traditional academic year usually consists of about 181 days or 1500 clock hours.

Students must complete an internship activity log. This is necessary to insure that the student receives full credit toward the 1500 clock hour requirement. Signatures from both the supervisor and the student must be obtained with each monthly record entry. It is the student's responsibility to keep this log and to have it signed by the supervisor for verification. Failure to keep the log may result in the student not receiving credit for his or her time. Additional time spent after school hours, including such activities as report writing, may also count toward the internship hours. The activity log (monthly and yearly summary) must be turned in to the Departmental Secretary on a monthly basis and at the completion of the internship. At the conclusion of the year, students complete evaluations of their internship sites and supervisors. The Field Placement Coordinator will review internship logs for accuracy. Students may not log more than 45 hours per week during weeks that the placement is in session for five days. Students may count 7 hours for each day that a placement is closed due to a holiday or break. Completion of

professional responsibilities while on placement and ratings by placement supervisor will be utilized in determination of course grade.

Once a student has accepted an internship position either verbally or in writing, the student may no longer apply or interview for any other internship opportunities. Initiation or resignation of any internship without the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director may result in delay of placement, the student being placed on probation, or the student being terminated from the program.

A decision to leave an internship placement is left to the Field Placement Coordinator in consultation with the Program Director and/or Department Chair. Such a decision can be made only if an alternate placement will educationally benefit the student.

Please note that the licensure requirements in New York State specify that 3500 supervised hours must be completed. Of those 3500 hours, 1750 MAY be accrued during the pre-doctoral internship. If a student satisfies the 1500 hour requirement set by the program, 2000 supervised hours must be accrued at the post-doctoral level. It is the student's responsibility to know the licensure requirements in the state in which he/she wishes to be licensed. See the below websites for information on certification and licensure.

http://www.nasponline.org/certification/state info list.aspx

Licensing Information for the US States and Canadian Provinces. <a href="http://www.kspope.com/licensing/index.php">http://www.kspope.com/licensing/index.php</a>

Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards. <a href="http://www.asppb.org/">http://www.asppb.org/</a>

Information form ASPPB for Applicants to take the Examination for the Professional Practice of Psychology (EPPP).

http://www.asppb.net/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3343

Table 1

Year in	Days	Course	Clock Hours	Supervision	Requirements
Program		Parallel	Handbook		
PsyD III	3 Full Days (one school year)	752 753	750 <sup>a</sup>	2 hours	
PsyD IV	3 Full Days (2 semesters)	754 755	750ª	2 hours	Successful Completion of Comprehensive Exam
PsyD V	5 Full Days (may begin in July or Sept.)	905 906	1500	2 hours	Course Work Completed Proposal Defended

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  PsyD  $3^{\rm rd}$  and  $4^{\rm th}$  year placements must both total 1,500 clock hours

# M. Externship Placement for Advanced Standing Students

Students entering the Advanced Standing program are required to complete two field placements, which are a part-time externship placement in their first year and a full-time internship during their final year. The part-time externship placement follows the same guidelines as the Psy.D. Fourth Year Externship Placement and is often referred to as such. Advanced Standing students are not required to complete a school-based field placement, as it is assumed that a school-based field placement was completed as part of the Masters Degree leading to certification. Advanced Standing students are often employed as full-time School Psychologists in a local school district while completing the program. If this is the case, a student may use his or her job to fulfill the externship requirements if the supervision requirements can be met within the school or district and if the responsibilities of the student meet the goals and objectives for the externship experience (see below).

Students complete this field placement experience while concurrently enrolled in PSY 754 and 755. To satisfy the requirements, students must work three full days per week, per semester, or at least 750 clock hours. These hours will take place at minimum over the course of an academic year. Some agencies may require individuals to sign a 12-month contract. This field placement helps students receive experience with children, adolescents, families, or adults with behavioral, emotional, learning, or other handicapping conditions. Students are placed in a school or agency that services these clients. This can include a BOCES special education program, an agency providing day treatment or residential treatment serving children or adolescents, an inpatient hospital, a mental health clinic, or a school for children with physical disabilities. The placement can also include working closely with a school district Committee on Special Education in the evaluation, placement, planning, and monitoring of services for children with a wide range of disabilities.

At the beginning of the externship year the department mails each field placement supervisor a contract (Appendix D) and planning form (Appendix G). The supervisor and the student meet to outline the tasks and experiences that the student will participate in during the year. The supervisor must indicate (on the planning form) that students will participate in appropriate amounts of consultation, counseling and assessment, and will receive a minimum of two hours of direct supervision per week. The supervision must occur on site as the supervisor will have greater familiarity with working in an organized setting such as a school, will know the population, the setting and its policies, and most of all, have access to client records as they cannot be removed from the setting. Both the supervisor and student will sign a contract outlining their responsibilities. The contract outlines the supervisor's responsibilities to the student. At the end of the fall and spring semesters, the supervisors evaluate the student. At the conclusion of the year, students complete evaluations of their externship sites and supervisors. These forms can be obtained from the Departmental Secretary. Completion of professional responsibilities while on placement and ratings by placement supervisor will be utilized in determination of course grade.

Once a student has accepted an externship position verbally or in writing, the student may no longer apply or interview for any other internship opportunities. Initiation or resignation of any externship without the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director

may result in delay of placement, the student being placed on probation, or the student being terminated from the program.

A decision to leave an externship placement is left to the Field Placement Coordinator in consultation with the Program Director. Such a decision can be made only if an alternate placement will educationally benefit the student. Students cannot contact an alternate placement or discuss leaving their current placement without first getting the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director.

Students should not accept more than one placement. St. John's University School Psychology Program is a member of the New York/New Jersey Consortium of Training Programs. Students considering a site which participates in the consortium must abide by the policies and procedures set forth by the consortium. These rules and procedures will be distributed annually by the Field Placement Coordinator.

During the fourth year field placement, students will increase their knowledge and develop skills in the following areas:

- 1) Students will become knowledgeable about the organization, administration, policies and politics of special facilities
- 2) Students will gain experience in all types of psychological assessment with varied populations.
- 3) Students will gain experience consulting with other professionals to provide effective intervention to facilitate behavioral and/or educational change.
- 4) Students will gain experience in evaluating the behavioral, emotional, and/or educational progress of clients and revising the treatment plan based on the data.
- 5) Students will gain experience communicating the client's treatment plan and progress with the appropriate organization (e.g., Committee on Special Education in the child's home district).
- 6) Students will gain experience in individual and group therapy with clients.
- 7) Students will gain experience consulting with parents of children or working with families or partners of clients.
- 8) Students will gain experience using Systemic and Family interventions to improve the ability to support the client's progress.
- 9) Students will gain experience evaluating the client's potential to return to the least restrictive environment where possible (e.g., home district).
- 10) Students will gain experience consulting with clients about vocational alternatives.
- 11) Students will learn to demonstrate accountability for their activities as practicing School

Psychologists.

12) Students will learn to evaluate their personal behavior and professional skills, and learn to set goals for self-improvement.

# N. Advanced Standing Students Doctoral Internship for Licensing

Advanced Standing students will complete a full-time internship as the capstone experience of the program, necessary for licensing in the state of New York. The trainee has a title such as "intern," "resident," or other designation of trainee status.

# 1. Prerequisites

Students must complete all courses, including three credits of PSY 950; pass the Comprehensive Examination; and be in good academic standing before they can start their internship. Students must also have their dissertation proposal defended and the necessary forms signed and turned in before they will be allowed to initiate internship hours.

# 2. APA Approved Internships

Students may apply to an APA-approved (APPIC) internship in school psychology. However, very few APPIC internships meet the requirements of both NASP and the Council of Directors of School Psychology Programs (CDSPP), and most of these are located out-of-state. Students may apply to APPIC internships in clinical psychology to meet this requirement. However, only clinical internships that focus primarily on providing psychological services to children, adolescents and their families will be acceptable. Also, students who choose an APA clinical internship must have had both their third and fourth year field placements in an educational setting, that meet the NASP internship requirement (minimum 600 hours of supervised internship or equivalent experience in a school setting) where they experienced the full range of school psychological services. Students should consult both the Association of Psychology Postdoctoral and Internship Centers (APPIC) website at http://www.appic.org and The National Match Service Psychology Internship web site at <a href="http://www.natmatch.com/psychint/index.html">http://www.natmatch.com/psychint/index.html</a> for more information. If a student ranks an internship program through the APPIC system and matches with a site, the student must go on the internship and will not consider other offers or opportunities. Failure to comply with match procedures may result in the student being terminated from the program.

# \* Please note that beginning Fall 2014, in order to apply for an APPIC internship, students must have successfully proposed their doctoral dissertation by Oct 1st of the year *prior* to their APPIC internship application process.

# 3. Non-APA Approved Internships

Students who do not complete an APA approved internship must complete the application form in Appendix H and submit it to the Program Director or Field Placement Coordinator for disposition. The internship application form must be typed and submitted by August 1 for a September internship start. If students do not have an internship by this date, they will be assigned one by the field placement coordinator. The field placement coordinator will advise and help students obtain internships. However, neither the faculty, Program Director, Department

Chair, Dean, nor the university assumes the responsibility to obtain the internship for the student.

When completing the application form for the fifth-year internship, the student should indicate that the placement meets all the requirements listed below. In addition, the students should indicate how the proposed internship experience provides for a different set of experiences than they had received in their third and fourth year field placements. Students must identify the goals of their internship and specify the clinical competencies that they will acquire or improve upon and specify how they will assess the achievement of their goals. This fifth-year internship proposal will form the basis for the contract between the student and the internship site. The student will type this contract and have it approved by the Program Director or Field Placement Coordinator.

The internship must meet the standards set by the Council of Directors of School Psychology Programs, the National Association of School Psychologists, the National Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology, the American Psychological Association, and the New York State Psychology Licensing Board. To do so, the following internship standards are set using the strongest standard from the internship requirements of the above five groups. Internships must meet at the minimum the NASP requirement of a minimum 600 hours of supervised internship or equivalent experience in a school setting where they experienced the full range of school psychological services.

Sequence. Internships are intensive, culminating, practical experiences related to the program training. Therefore, internships will occur after the completion of all practica and externships (third and fourth year field placements), and all relevant course work. Students cannot register for any other course work except PSY 950 Doctoral Research, while they are enrolled in the internship.

Length. The internship will consist of at least 1500 hours of a full time experience for an academic or calendar year, or a half time experience for two years. Internship hours must be completed in no less than 9 months during which an intern cannot exceed 45 hours per week as part of the NYS licensure requirement. When schools are closed for holidays or breaks, students may assign 7 hours of work for each of these days on their internship logs. Students must assign these hours to log categories that are not dependent upon face-to-face contact with students, teachers, or parents. Report writing and prep work meet this requirement. Students wishing to graduate in May must have completed all 1500 hours by May 1<sup>st</sup>.

Supervisor. A staff member of the agency or district is identified as holding the ultimate clinical responsibility for all the interns' cases that are supervised. All supervisors must hold a license as psychologists by the New York State Board of Examiners. It is preferable that they hold a certification in school psychology. The supervision must occur on site as the supervisor will have greater familiarity with working in an organized setting such as a school, will know the population, the setting and its policies, and most of all, have access to client records as they cannot be removed from the setting.

Site Staff Requirements. A licensed psychologist is responsible for the integrity of the internship

program and each supervisor is responsible for no more than two interns. The internship site staff should be large enough that the loss of one staff member will not jeopardize the training program.

Supervision Requirements. At least two hours of direct supervision will occur, one hour of which will be provided by the student's designated mentor.

Scope of Activities. At least 450 hours or about 30% of the intern's time will be in direct service activities. These activities will include all aspects of psychological assessment, consultation, therapy, counseling, research, program development, and program evaluation. The intern will have the responsibility of carrying out professional activities under supervision.

Contract. The student will write a description of the internship. The contract will include the goals, content, expectations, quantity and quality of experiences, salary, benefits, and reimbursement for expenses. To satisfy the internship requirements, students must work five full days per week, per semester. However, a traditional academic year usually consists of about 181 days or 1500 clock hours.

Students must complete an internship activity log. This is necessary to insure that the student receives full credit toward the 1500 clock hour requirement. Students currently use Time2Track, a web-based tracking system. Signatures from both the supervisor and the student must be obtained with each monthly record entry. The monthly reports can be generated using Time2Track. It is the student's responsibility to keep this log and to have it signed by the supervisor for verification. Failure to keep the log may result in the student not receiving credit for his or her time. Additional time spent after school hours, including such activities as report writing, may also count toward the internship hours. The activity log (monthly and yearly summary) must be turned in to the Departmental Secretary on a monthly basis and at the completion of the internship. At the conclusion of the year, students complete evaluations of their internship sites and supervisors. The Field Placement Coordinator will review internship logs for accuracy. Students may not log more than 45 hours per week during weeks that the placement is in session for five days. Students may count 7 hours for each day that a placement is closed due to a holiday or break. Completion of professional responsibilities while on placement and ratings by placement supervisor will be utilized in determination of course grade.

Once a student has accepted an internship position either verbally or in writing, the student may no longer apply or interview for any other internship opportunities. Initiation or resignation of any internship without the approval of the Field Placement Coordinator and/or the Program Director may result in delay of placement, the student being placed on probation, or the student being terminated from the program.

A decision to leave an internship placement is left to the Field Placement Coordinator in consultation with the Program Director and/or Department Chair. Such a decision can be made only if an alternate placement will educationally benefit the student.

Please note that the licensure requirements in New York State specify that 3500 supervised hours must be completed. Of those 3500 hours, 1750 MAY be accrued during the pre-doctoral

internship. If a student satisfies the 1500 hour requirement set by the program, 2000 supervised hours must be accrued at the post-doctoral level. It is the student's responsibility to know the licensure requirements in the state in which he/she wishes to be licensed. See the below websites for information on certification and licensure.

http://www.nasponline.org/certification/state info list.aspx

Licensing Information for the US States and Canadian Provinces. <a href="http://www.kspope.com/licensing/index.php">http://www.kspope.com/licensing/index.php</a>

Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards. <a href="http://www.asppb.org/">http://www.asppb.org/</a>

Information form ASPPB for Applicants to take the Examination for the Professional Practice of Psychology (EPPP).

http://www.asppb.net/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3343

# O. Annual Feedback

Each year, the core School Psychology faculty meets to evaluate each student's progress in the program. This is an opportunity to review the students' academic standing, discuss their strengths and areas in which improvement may be required, review their evaluations from practica and externship/internship supervisors, and discuss their overall progress in the program. Students receive written feedback through the Annual Student Progress Report following this meeting. The Annual Student Progress Report is an evaluation sheet that is given to students along with copies of their practica and externship/internship supervisor ratings to provide an overall assessment of their performance. The practica and externship/internship evaluation forms give more specific information about student knowledge and professional skills as compared to the Annual Student Progress Report. Then receive the Annual Student Progress Report, which is a summary of the externship/internship supervisor ratings along with faculty opinion and observation thereby allowing the student to understand his or her overall progress in the program. The form used for this feedback appears in Appendix N.

# P. Praxis: School Psychologist Examination

The Praxis: School Psychologist test is designed for masters and specialist degree level candidates wishing to serve as school psychologists in educational settings.

The Praxis exam (which is a required part of the STJ comprehensive exam taken in the 3<sup>rd</sup> year) will be changing:

http://www.nasponline.org/certification/2014 exam faq.aspx

Educational Testing Service (ETS) recently announced the release of a new version of the Praxis School Psychologist test (5402) which will be administered beginning in September, 2014. The new test has been revised to align more closely with the NASP 2010 Standards and will be

offered exclusively as a computer-delivered exam unless accommodations for disabilities are necessary. ETS consulted with NASP during the initial stages of development to ensure that the exam reflects current national standards.

The 2-hour-and-20-minute test contains 140 selected-response items covering four main content areas of the NASP Practice Model:

- •Professional Practices, Practices that Permeate All Aspects of Service and Delivery (approximately 42 items)
- •Direct and Indirect Services for Children, Families, and Schools (Student-Level Services) (approximately 32 items)
- •System-Level Services (approximately 22 items)
- •Foundations of School Psychological Service Delivery (approximately 44 items)

Each exam will contain pretest items that do not contribute to the candidate's score.

As recommended by the standard setting panel, the passing score set by NASP for the new exam is 147 (on a 100-200 reporting scale). *This is a change from the previous passing score of 165. But it does not mean it is easier.* The content of the test has changed; therefore, scores from the previous exam should not be compared to scores from the new exam. The passing score is expressed in terms of a scaled score (on a 100-200 scale) and not in terms of the raw score (i.e., number of items answered correctly on a particular form of the test).

Entering classes starting Fall 2013 will be required to take the next exam and achieve a passing grade of 147.

Successful completion of the Comprehensive Examination for the School Psychology program requires that students pass the Praxis exam as well as the other case study portion of the exam described in Section Q. While the Graduate Division of St. John's College only allows two opportunities to pass the case study portion of the competency examination, there are no limits to how many times the student may take the Praxis examination. However, students will not be able to have a degree granted until successful completion of this requirement.

Students may only take the examination while they are on third year externship and are registered for Psy 752 or Psy 753. If they do not pass this examination while on third year externship, they will not be permitted to start fourth year externship until they pass the exam.

## Reporting of Results

It is the student's responsibility to ensure that the school psychology program receive an official complete results report of the Praxis examination. These results will be required for each time the student takes the examination.

Attending Institution Code: A2845 St Johns U NY

Designated Score Recipient Code: RA2799 U NY Marillac

NASP National Code: R1549 NASP Natl Assoc Sch Psychologists

Please note: Results of the Praxis examination typically take about 6 weeks. St. John's score recipient information is RA2799. St. John's will need the designated institution score report

**not additional score report.** Because the Department must give clearance for graduation to the Graduate Division of St. John's College by May 1, students need to have Praxis results to the program by April 15 to graduate that May.

# Q. Comprehensive Examination

Along the way towards completion of the doctorate, students are required to take and pass a comprehensive examination, as required by the New York State Education Department. The comprehensive examination has two parts. The Graduate Education Policy Committee of the Psychology Department and the Graduate Division of St. John's College of Arts & Sciences set the policy for this exam. The first part is the Praxis School Psychologist Examination (see Section P of the handbook). The second part involves three cases study reports, one each in psychological assessment, consultation, and psychological intervention that should represent the students' integration of coursework, knowledge and programmatic training and be reflective of student competency in each of these areas.

Upon passing the examination, the University will confer the Master's degree at the next graduation. The student will apply on-line to the New York State Education Department for their school psychology certification after the degree is conferred. Students who fail the comprehensive exam will not receive the M.S. degree and will not be permitted to enroll in fourth year practicum and field placement courses, but will be allowed to otherwise continue with course work. Students who fail the comprehensive exam must retake the exam a second time (see Section Q2 below, for more details).

# 1. Eligibility

Students must have completed a minimum of 60 credits by the end of the semester before their comprehensive examination and be registered to have at least 66 credits by the end of the spring of their third full year in the program. Students must be in good academic standing to take the exam (GPA of at least 3.0). Taking the comprehensive exam while having an Incomplete on their transcript will invalidate the results of the examination. It is the students' responsibility to ensure they have no Incompletes on their academic record.

# 2. Failure of the Comprehensive Exam

Students who do not pass the comprehensive examination must develop a remediation plan for a new case. They will consult with the faculty members who evaluated their case and then develop a remediation plan. It is the student's responsibility to develop the plan and they should closely link the remediation plan to the areas of the exam that they did not perform well on. The program will assist students in identifying sites where they will complete this case the following school year. Students will then submit this case the following March when all comprehensive examinations are to be submitted. Students will register for maintaining matriculation for the Fall and Spring semesters. Doctoral students will not be able to take additional coursework and will have to resign or postpone if the site allowed their 4<sup>th</sup> year externship placement.

Students have two opportunities to pass the case study portion of the comprehensive examination. If a student fails the comprehensive exam twice they will be terminated from the

program. The first deadline for the case study portion of the comprehensive examination is typically around March 15<sup>th</sup>. Students who fail the comprehensive exam must follow the steps below:

- a. Go to the Graduate Division office and request the faculty members' evaluations of the case study portion(s) of the exam that you failed.
- b. Contact the faculty members who failed you and obtain specific and targeted feedback regarding why your case study received a failing grade.
- c. Work with one (or more) of the faculty members who failed you on a plan designed to remediate your skill weaknesses. The plan may include, for example, a list of specific readings, auditing a course that was already taken, receiving supervision on a similar type of case at our Center for Psychological Services, and the like.
- d. Your plan must include a date on which you plan to submit your second case study (March 15<sup>th</sup> of the following year). The plan must be signed by you and the faculty member(s) who assisted you in devising the plan.
- e. When you submit your second case study in the area(s) in which you received a failing grade, your case study will be reviewed by members of the faculty who were not involved in the scoring of your first exam.

# 3. Applying to Take the Examination

Students must apply to the Office of the Graduate Division to submit the case study portion of the examination before the published deadline. At this point, the application will be reviewed by both the Program Director and the Dean to obtain approval. Students with any Incomplete Grade on their academic records will not be permitted to register for the comprehensive exam. If somehow the Program Director and the Dean fail to detect an incomplete grade, taking the comprehensive exam while having an Incomplete Grade on their transcript will invalidate the results of the examination. The students are responsible to ensure they have no Incompletes Grades on their academic record

## 4. Completing the Examination

Students will register for the Praxis School Psychologist Examination and have the results sent to the Program Director to complete the first part of the examination. Students should go to the Website for the examination and study all the details concerning its administration at: <a href="http://www.ets.org">http://www.ets.org</a>.

Students will independently complete the case study portion of the examination. Each student will complete a competency report in each of the following areas:

- 1. Assessment
- 2. Intervention
- 3. Consultation

Students will bring the three completed case study reports to the Graduate Division offices on the date indicated each year.

Each competency case study report should be no more than ten double –spaced pages using 10-12 point font size. They must have 1-inch margins on all sides; and must be in either Times New Roman or Ariel font.

The student's name must appear <u>only</u> on the cover <u>page</u>. All pages must be numbered and have the title of competency area on each page, and the cover page should include only the title of the competency area (i.e., Assessment, Intervention, or Consultation) and the student's name. STUDENT'S NAME SHOULD ONLY BE ON THE COVER PAGES. The cover page, references, and appendices do not count towards the total 10 pages. Students must <u>not include binders or covering material</u>. No material or wording should be used that could identify the student author of the examination except the appearance of the student's name on the cover page as mentioned above.

The Assessment case study requires an appendix of the actual report on which the case was based. Charts and graphs are the only material that will be accepted as appendices for all three sections, and appendices do not count towards the final page count.

# 5. Grading the Comprehensive Examination

The Program Director will select faculty members to score sections of the exam based on their possessing expertise in the respective area as well as familiarity with the training experiences that the students have received.

The Dean's office will remove the first page of each student's case study report and assign an identification number to that student, which will appear on the each of three reports. Thus, the faculty scoring the examination will have no knowledge of whose answers they are scoring. The exam will be scored using the St. John's College of Arts & Sciences Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Form (Appendix P) and the School Psychology Department's Comprehensive Examination Scoring Rubric (Appendix Q). Each faculty examiner will report the results of the examination to the Dean and the Dean's office will communicate the examination results to the individual students.

Faculty members will grade the exam questions either pass or fail. Any question that receives a failing grade will then be re-graded by a second, different faculty member. If the two faculty members grading an exam disagree, a third reader will be sought.

# 6. Instructions for the Comprehensive Examination

\*See Scoring Rubrics for further instructions (Appendix Q)

## **Assessment Section**

Students will select a psychoeducational assessment case that they conceptualized and managed during their third-year field placement/internship. The assessment section should explain and justify your assessment (e.g., tests used), results, and recommendations and, therefore, should not be comprised of what was written in the actual case report. Your paper must not exceed 10 double-spaced pages and should answer the following questions:

- 1. Who made the referral?
- 2. What were the referral questions or concerns?
- 3. How did you conceptualize your role in the case?
- 4. What hypotheses did you have regarding the nature of the examinee's presenting problem(s)?

- 5. How did you determine what psychological tests you would administer? If you did not decide what tests to administer because someone else did (e.g., a supervisor), then describe his or her rationale for test selection. Did you agree with the decision? If you agreed with the decision, explain why. If you did not agree with the decision, describe what you would have done differently.
- 6. Were the tests, methods, and procedures used throughout the course of the assessment reliable, valid, and based upon the best available knowledge and research? Explain.
- 7. Were the data interpreted in a psychometrically defensible manner? Explain
- 8. Were the data interpreted in a theoretically defensible manner? Explain
- 9. What were your diagnostic impressions?
- 10. What were your recommendations?
- 11. Summarize your findings, conclusions, and recommendations following the principles of empirically-based practice. If your findings, conclusions, or recommendations were not generated via empirically-based practices, explain why.

Note that a document outlining best practices in conducting psychoeducational assessments is included in Appendix O. Students must attach the actual case report to the assessment section. Any paper submitted for the assessment section that does not have the actual report attached will receive a failing grade automatically.

Note that there are often several professionals who work with the examinee throughout the course of an assessment. If you were not the only person who worked with the examinee, then it is your responsibility to gather the assessment information from other professionals and integrate it into your case report. An assessment of an individual suspected of having a learning disability always includes data from achievement tests. If you did not gather these data, then you must obtain them. Failing to do so will result in a failing grade because achievement data are critical for making a determination of specific learning disability.

#### **Consultation Section**

Select an actual consultation case that you have worked on during your internship experience. The case can be any type of consultation including, but not limited to: behavioral, instructional, parent, mental health, system-wide, or consultation to determine program development, continuation, or evaluation. In your writing, you are encouraged to be consistent with how you were trained in the program. That is, as school psychology is a science, you must use data to guide your decision-making process. Data must be used to identify and analyze the problem, and to develop an intervention plan and evaluate its effectiveness. All data and the methods used for data collection should be incorporated into the conceptualization of this case, and if applicable attached as appendixes (charts and graphs only). Describe and discuss each of the following issues in your paper:

- 1. Who made the referral for the consultation and what question or concern did they want addressed through the consultation?
- 2. What information did you collect before starting the consultation? Describe what you assessed and how you assessed it. How did you make use of this data to guide the consultation process?

- 3. What did you do to establish rapport with the consultee and to ensure that the consultative relationship was collaborative? How often did you meet with the consultee and how did you determine how often to meet?
- 4. Describe how you identified and analyzed the problem, and explain the procedures that you used.
- 5. Describe the plan development. Was the plan developed collaboratively?
- 6. Describe the plan/intervention in detail. How did you determine what intervention to use to address the "problem"? Please include relevant references that you used to select the appropriate intervention for this case (empirical support). Was there training required to implement the plan, who had to be trained? Did materials have to be purchased? Were any staff members re-assigned to help implement the plan? In the event that you did not make the decisions because someone else did, describe their rationale and whether you agreed with their decision. If you disagreed with their decision, describe what you would have done differently.
- 7. Describe in detail how the intervention's effectiveness was evaluated. Briefly describe the effectiveness of the plan. Include data to support your conclusions.
- 8. What the intervention plan implemented with integrity? How did you assess this?
- 9. Did the consultee feel that the intervention plan was acceptable? How did you assess this? If not, what could have been done differently to make it more acceptable?
- 10. Discuss any special issues that you perceive may have played a role in the process and outcome of collaboration. This may include, but is not limited to, working with individuals of diverse characteristics, system-wide strengths or barriers to consultation, school policy or legal mandates, family involvement in the child's education, or your knowledge and training in the area in which consultation was sought.
- 11. Please report if you made use of any information technology methodologies relevant to this case. That is, did you access, evaluate, and utilize information sources and technology in ways that safeguard or enhance the quality of services.
- 12. Report the outcome of the consultation. That is, both qualitatively, did it appear to be successful as a consequence of the consultation and quantitatively, do that data support or refute the success of the intervention?
- 13. What did you learn from this experience? How can you modify what was done do that consultation can be more effective in the future?

# **Psychological Intervention Section**

Select an actual psychological intervention that you have handled during your third-year field placement. It can be any type of intervention including, but not limited to, any of the following: individual counseling/therapy, group counseling or therapy, parent counseling/therapy, a mental health program, or a prevention program. Students are required to identify clear goals that can lead to outcomes assessment measures that will be collected throughout the course of the psychological interventions. Students must address how they use this data to evaluate the course of treatment and how they decide to continue with or redesign the interventions based on the progress.

Describe and discuss each of the following issues in your paper.

- 1. Who made the referral for the psychological intervention and what problem or problems did the referring agent want addressed by the intervention? For an intervention or prevention program, who made the request for the program and what did they wish the program to accomplish?
- 2. What information did you collect before starting the intervention? Describe what you assessed, who provided the information, and how you assessed it. Describe how you used this information from the assessment to assist you in making decisions about service delivery.
- 3. How did you determine which psychological interventions to use? What variables did you consider in making your clinical decision? If you did not decide which interventions or information to use because someone else made that decision (a supervisor or other team member), describe their rationale and whether you agreed with their decision or what you would have done differently if you disagreed. Describe the process of planning for goal setting along with the decision-making processes involved. Describe the methodologies put in place and provide references where appropriate that empirically support the decisions that were made.
- 4. Discuss whether you developed a good alliance with the involved parties and if not, why not. Discuss any special issues that you perceive may have played a role in the development of the alliance.
- 5. How often did you meet with the client, and how did you determine how many times to meet?
- 6. Please report if you made use of any information technology methodologies relevant to this case. That is, did you access, evaluate, and utilize information sources and technology in ways that safeguard or enhance the quality of services.
- 7. Describe the outcome of the intervention and how you assessed the outcome. Discuss any special issues that you perceive may have played a role in the outcome of the intervention. This may include, but is not limited to, working with individuals of diverse characteristics, system-wide strengths or barriers to intervention, school policy or legal mandates, teacher or family involvement in the intervention, or your knowledge and training in the area in which the intervention was developed for.

# R. Professional Practice Competency Examination

Students prepare a professional practice case study for this examination that is reflective of their school based training and practice. The case study can be (1) an assessment case; (2) a consultation case with teachers, parents or administrators concerning a classroom, a school-wide, or a district-wide consultation; (3) an individual counseling, a group counseling, or a crisis intervention case; or (4) the development of a psychoeducational intervention program, a token economy, a crisis intervention program, an on-going support group, an in-service training program for school staff, or a special education or alternative education program.

Students will prepare a professional practice case study for this examination. The case must be

based on school-based practice. While some students may have had limited exposure to school based clients during their 4th year externship, the case presentation needs to focus on practices that would be expected of a school psychologist.

#### 1. Exam Schedule

Students must submit the written case study to the Departmental Secretary at a date to be announced in the spring semester of their fourth full year in the program. The exam will take place in May following final exams. This exam in only offered once each year.

# 2. Grading of the Professional Practice Competency Examination

Candidates defend their case studies orally before a team of three members of the core School Psychology faculty or other faculty members identified by the program. You will be informed as to who your committee is upon submission of your written examination.

Candidates should make sure that (1) their work sample adequately reflects the information on which the faculty will rate them, and (2) that they are adequately prepared to discuss this information at the oral examination. Each oral defense will take forty-five minutes. Students will be given feedback from the evaluation committee after a short deliberation following completion of the presentation.

# 3. Failing the Professional Practice Competency Examination

Students who fail the exam will be given one opportunity to retake and pass the exam. Students who fail the competency exam may still go on their fifth year internship. Students have two opportunities to pass this examination. The second examination attempt will involve presentation of a new case, to a new committee, most likely in January of the following year. Students who fail the exam a second time will be terminated from the program.

# 4. Professional Practice Competency Examination

**Examples of case studies.** Case studies should reflect 1) a depth and breadth of knowledge from the full range of disciplines relevant to school psychology; 2) strategies for effectively diagnosing learning and emotional needs and facilitating growth and 3) the ability to engage in practical problem solving, applied research and expert consultation in a variety of settings.

Three types of case studies include:

- A. Those that deal primarily with assessment of individuals, such as early identification of learning and/ or behavior problems; assessment of social, cognitive, or emotional development; evaluation of cognitive strategies for learning school subjects; and development and implementation of proposals for assessing learning outcomes.
- B. Those that focus on direct intervention with individuals or groups, (e.g., developmental, psychodynamic, cognitive-behavioral, or other intervention used to facilitate social, cognitive, or emotional development); group process activities for promoting peer interactions; teacher collaboration to design applications for psychological assessment information in teaching basic school subjects;

- consultation with teachers to enhance their understanding of the needs of particular students; and parent consultation to support learning and positive behavior patterns.
- C. Those that involve activities such as school-based applied research, supervision, inservice training, administration and forensic work. Some examples include: recommending, planning, and implementing a special educational services program for teachers and other school personnel; supervision of school psychology practicum students or interns; coordination, administration and planning of a school psychological services delivery system; designing, implementing, and reporting a school-based applied research project; development of a new professional course in an education and training program; and providing psychological evaluations or expert testimony in administrative or judicial hearings involving school psychology practice issues.

Written work sample. The professional practice *case study report* should be no more than *10 double-spaced pages using 10-12 point font size*. It must have 1-inch margins on all sides and must be in either Times New Roman or Ariel font. Students may attach a written report as an appendix. The maximum length of the report is 10 pages and it must follow APA format. The cover page, references, and appendices do not count towards the total number of pages.

The written case study should include the following components: The presenting problem, the theoretical or clinical rationale for the professional activities performed, relevant case history, a brief review/understanding of the research supporting the professional activities undertaken, the assessment and intervention procedures used, the ethical, legal and professional issues involved in the professional activity, and references.

**Oral presentation**. The oral case presentation should include (but is not limited to) the following: a) identifying information and description of student, client or group population, or situation; b) dates and nature of contacts, etc. in carrying out the work; c) significant prior events which need to be known to understand the significance of the assessment, intervention, or other activity presented; d) goal(s) of the assessment, intervention, or other activity presented; e) description of and rationale for assessment, intervention, or other procedure(s) used, and for the evaluation of the effectiveness of the assessment, intervention or other activity; f) status of the situation at the time practice sample was prepared; g) assessment materials, profiles, etc., if used; and verbatim transcript(s), audiotape(s), video(s) of assessment, intervention, or other activity; h) the manner in which confidentiality was protected, and consent forms (if any) were used.

# S. Doctoral Dissertation

The Psy.D. program is not designed to train researchers. The goal of the program is to train psychologists who can deliver psychological services and review research to help guide their professional practice. The preamble to the 1989 New York State Doctoral Project indicates that a Psy.D. Program "includes training in research, but the research emphasis is on the evaluation of professional techniques and service outcomes and other applied activities." The doctoral dissertation in a Psy.D. program should reflect these goals. A wide range of acceptable standards for dissertations in Psy.D. programs is evident across the nation. While certain programs require

only a literature review, others require dissertations indistinguishable from those required by Ph.D. programs. All Psy.D. programs in New York State require some data collection as part of the doctoral dissertation (See <a href="http://www.stjohns.edu/academics/graduate/liberalarts/forms.stj">http://www.stjohns.edu/academics/graduate/liberalarts/forms.stj</a> for University Guidelines and all Required Forms).

**Dissertation proposal page length:** it is suggested to limit the proposal to 25 pages inclusive of references

Dissertations can take several different forms, including: empirical research (development of a measure, correlational design, or treatment outcome), ethnographic research, clinical case study, program evaluation, or test review. All dissertations must include an abstract, a statement of the problem, and provide implications for the practice of school psychology. Descriptions of each type of dissertation are provided below:

- a. <u>Empirical Research</u>: The empirical research dissertation for the Psy.D. in School Psychology should include the following sections:
- i. Title The recommended length for the title of your dissertation is 10-12 words.
- ii. Abstract The abstract provides a brief description of the study and results. It should be no longer than 350 words or 2450 characters, including the name of the dissertation and the candidate's name.
- iii. Literature Review. This chapter should focus on the major research findings in the literature. The research review should include: a clear statement of the area to be discussed and its relevance to school psychology; a review of the major theories in the topic area; a review of the basic and applied empirical research in the topic area; a summary of the substantive conclusions; a statement about the quality of the research in the topic area; suggestions for further research.
- iv. Practice and Professional Implications. Practical implications and applications to professional practice that follow from the literature review should be discussed in this chapter. Students must demonstrate that they can use the latest developments in theory and research to inform practice.
- v. Research Questions and Hypotheses. This chapter should include the research questions and hypotheses. Students should include a rationale for their questions and hypotheses.
- vi. Method. This chapter should include a description of research, participants, measures, procedures, research design, and data analyses.
- vii. Results. This chapter should provide a complete description of the results of the study.
- viii. Discussion. The chapter should discuss the significance of the research findings

within the context of current research.

ix. Implications of the Results for Practice. This chapter should focus specifically on how the findings of the research will inform practice. Chapter seven may not be very long but it demonstrates the candidate's ability to move between the world of research and practice. If there are negative or insignificant findings this section might just be a paragraph or two.

- b. <u>Ethnographic Research</u>: involves studying different groups of people or cultures and investigates social organization, group interactions, and behaviors. Typically, this type of study involves learning about small groups of people in their own environment through naturalistic observation over a long period. The study is descriptive in nature and the researcher is required to interpret events and their significance. An advantage to this type of study is that the research question is not easily identified by participants. A clear objective is necessary for the researcher to effectively observe and interpret the environment and social organization. Other research techniques include focus groups and interviews.
- c. <u>Clinical Case Study:</u> This type of dissertation is a case presentation of how interesting and challenging cases were assessed and conceptualized, and how treatment followed such conceptualization. A highly practical format should allow other school psychologists to replicate in their own practices.
  - Sections should include: Theoretical and research basis for treatment; Case study summary; Presenting Problem (academic or behavioral); History; Assessment (what tools you used; why?); Case Assessment (conceptualization of data); Course of treatment and assessment of progress; Complicating factors; Follow-up; Treatment implications of the case; Recommendations to school psychologists/clinicians; References
- d. <u>Program Evaluation</u>: Seeks to evaluate effectiveness or viability of existing programs or policies. The ultimate goal is to determine whether the program/policy of interest is working as intended and meeting stated goals and objective. Data can be from a variety of sources, but should be "outcome-based" in order to gauge success or failure of program. Data may be survey, numerical or archival, and may include pre- and post- data as appropriate.
  - The format of dissertation includes an introduction, description and purpose of program/policy, goals and objectives of program/policy, rationale for evaluation of program, description of methods used to evaluate, description of results and findings, conclusions and recommendations. Overall, the dissertation should determine relative success or failure of the program/policy and provide specific recommendations for improvement or alternatives.
- e. <u>Test Review</u>: By following the joint standards for test development as well as other existing and widely accepted criteria for evaluating tests, in this type of dissertation,

one would critically review a new or recently revised instrument. Joint standards focus on forms of validity evidence that are expected to be provided in all test manuals. Quality of the evidence provided in the manual must be discussed. Other criteria focus on psychometric characteristics, such as reliability, floors/ceilings, item gradients, standardization characteristics, and so forth.

The literature review should include an overview of the test, its history, how it is used; a summary of the literature available on the instrument; and a review the joint standards and present criteria that will be used to evaluate the instrument. The discussion should include information regarding whether the test is recommended to be used for its intended purpose.

## 1. Deadlines

- 1) By <u>December 15<sup>th</sup> of the third year</u>, students must submit a signed Dissertation Contract to the Program Director\* with the topic of his or her dissertation and the name of his or her mentor. The mentor must be a full-time faculty member. Students are not restricted to members of the school psychology faculty.
- 2) By <u>December 15<sup>th</sup> of their third year</u>, students must submit a signed Dissertation Planning Form to the Program Director\* with a timeline indicating the expected dates of completion of each aspect of their dissertation. Students will not be allowed to register for spring semester courses of their fourth full year of studies until the time line is signed.
- 3) Prior to submitting a Dissertation Proposal, students must have completed the IRB Certification Exam, which can be found at the following link: http://www.stjohns.edu/academics/centers/grants/policy/human/exams.stj
- 4) Students must obtain a signed/approved Dissertation Proposal from their committee, Department Chair, and Dean, <u>before they can begin the fifth-year internship</u>. In order to begin their internship in July or September the Proposal must be completed by <u>December 1st of their fourth full year of study</u>. No work will be counted towards the internship requirement until the form is signed. The form is available in the Dean's office and on the department/program website.
- 5) Students must notify the Dean's office immediately upon approval of their proposal. Students must also submit a copy of their proposal approval to the Departmental Secretary.
- 6) Please note that beginning Fall 2014, in order to apply for an APPIC internship, students must have successfully proposed their doctoral dissertation by Oct 1<sup>st</sup> of the year *prior* to their APPIC internship application process.
- 7) A manuscript based upon the dissertation and properly prepared for submission to a refereed journal must be submitted to the mentor prior to the scheduling of the oral defense

\_

<sup>\*</sup> See http://www.stjohns.edu/academics/graduate/liberalarts/forms.stj for all Required Forms.

- 8) It is the students responsibility to be aware of the Dean's office date for oral defense and determine the date that readers copies must be submitted in the future for May, September, and January graduation dates.
- 9) Students must provide the Dean's Office <u>at least two weeks notice prior to scheduling their</u> requested dissertation defense date.
- 10) Students must check the University Bulletin to determine the required deadline for their dissertation defense for graduate requirements.

The oral defense will be conducted according to procedures defined by the Graduate Council at this University for the Ph.D. and the D.A. (Doctor of Arts).

# 2. Internal Review Board (IRB)

All research projects involving the use of humans or animals as participants require special institutional review and approval. All of the necessary documents are available on the St. John's website. To access this information, follow these instructions: (1) go to <a href="https://www.stjohns.edu">www.stjohns.edu</a>, (2) highlight the Academics & Schools, (3) click on Centers and Institutes, and (4) then click on Office of Grants and Sponsored Research.

In addition, the school psychology program requires that all students obtain IRB Certification prior to conducting their dissertation research. The certification course is offered online through the National Institute of Health (NIH) at: <a href="http://phrp.nihtraining.com/users/login.php">http://phrp.nihtraining.com/users/login.php</a>. As of March 1, 2008, it is a new course with new content, and the certification does not expire.

Please read the University's Graduate Bulletin detailing additional doctoral research requirements.

## 3. FAQs

1) When are the reader's copies due to your committee? When is the manuscript ready version due? Is the reader's copy the finished version of your dissertation (no page # limit)?

READERS COPY IS DUE THE DAY SPECIFIED IN THE ST. JOHN'S COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES GRADUATE BULLETIN OF THE APPROPRIATE YEAR. NO PAGE LIMITS. BUT WHEN YOU SCHEDULE THE DEFENSE, WHICH PEOPLE USUALLY TRY TO DO WHEN THEY SUBMIT THE READER'S COPY, THEY SUBMIT FORM 4 (Formal Notice of Final Oral Doctoral Defense) AND THAT IS WHEN THE MANUSCRIPT READY VERSION IS DUE.

2) Are hard copies and electronic copies due to the committee and dean's office?

YES, GIVE BOTH HARD COPIES AND ELECTRONIC COPIES TO YOUR COMMITTEE. THE DEAN'S OFFICE ONLY WANTS A HARD COPY.

3) Do we need to provide a hard copy version of all of the forms to our committee?

#### YES AND THEY SHOULD BE COMPLETED

4) Will the committee submit forms to the Dean's office or are we responsible?

THE STUDENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SUBMITTING INDIVIDUAL FORMS. THERE ARE OTHER FORMS THAT NEED ALL COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGNATURES. IT IS THE STUDENT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO GET ALL SIGNATURES.

5) When is the manuscript copy due, what exactly does that entail, and who gets copy?

MANUSCRIPT COPY IS DUE AT YOUR ORAL DEFENSE. YOUR MENTOR GETS A COPY AND IT NEEDS TO BE SOMETHING YOU WOULD BE PREPARED TO SUBMIT TO A JOURNAL.

6) Who do I speak with regarding graduation deadlines/fees?

DR. ZHOU IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CLEARING EACH STUDENT FOR GRADUATION AND MAKING SURE THAT THEY HAVE MET ALL GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS.

#### T. Graduation

Graduate degrees are conferred by the Board of Trustees three times a year on the Jamaica Campus in May/June, January, and September. There is no graduation ceremony in January or September. Students who have their degrees conferred in September or January will participate in the following May's graduation ceremony. Students are encouraged to check the University Bulletin to be aware of dates and deadlines for graduation ceremonies.

All prospective candidates must file an application for their degrees in the Office of the Registrar and pay their graduation fee at the beginning of the semester in which they expect to complete their degree requirements. This should be done no later than the date indicated in the Academic Calendar.

Only those individuals who have completed all degree requirements, including internship hours, by the end of the spring semester will be eligible to participate in the spring commencement exercises.

If students meet their internship requirements by May 1<sup>st</sup>, they can still be conferred in May. However, the FINAL list of graduates to Marketing is due April 14<sup>th</sup>. If the students' names are not on this list they will not be included in the graduation booklet.

Hooding: If the doctoral candidate completes their hours by June 1<sup>st</sup> **and** they will have a September conferral date, they can participate in that current year's (May) hooding ceremony. If

the hours are completed **AFTER** June 1<sup>st</sup> they will have to participate in the following year's hooding ceremony.

All states require post doctoral experience in order to obtain a psychology license. This experience starts to accumulate once you complete your degree. The New York State Psychology Licensing Board specifies that the university determines the date of completion of your degree. St. John's University defines this date when a student has completed all of the following:

- 1) All coursework
- 2) Your internship
- 3) Join the alumni listserve
- 3) All changes to your dissertation recommended by your committee
- 4) Approval of all changes by your mentor or committee
- 5) The corrected dissertation has been given to the Associate Dean of the Graduate Division

Please note that students may only use the title "Doctor" before their name only after their degree has been conferred.

#### U. Certification and Licensing

#### 1. School Psychology Certification

The New York State Education Department's Office of Teacher Certification has registered and approved the School Psychology program. Students who successfully complete the program are entitled to apply for certification in School Psychology. Upon receiving the M.S. in School Psychology at the end of the third year, the University will apply to the State Education Department for your School Psychology Certificate. Instructions for how to apply for certification online can be found on the Psy.D. webpage under the "Information for Current Students" section, in the STJ Central Group, and below. The code for the STJ School Psychology Programs is 80299.

- 1. Students need to apply for certification through the TEACH website (they are applying for "Provisional Certification" through the pathway of having completed an "approved training program". Our number is 80299.
- 2. Students need to send proof of the Violence Prevention, Mandated Reporter, and DASA trainings to the state after they register.
- 3. The program sends the School of Education (SOE) a list of students who are eligible (passed comps, passed Praxis, met internship hours) to graduate in May. The SOE then recommends the students to New York State for certification.

#### 2. Psychology License

St. John's has registered with the New York State Education Department's Psychology Licensing Board, hence, this office automatically approves graduates to sit for the NYS Licensing Exam in Psychology. Upon completion of your Psy.D. degree, you must complete the application form to take the Licensing Exam. Candidates can obtain information and applications from the State Education Department Office of the Professions website at <a href="http://www.op.nysed.gov/psych.html">http://www.op.nysed.gov/psych.html</a>. The Psy.D. program at St John's is a registered program.

#### 3. Foreign Students

Foreign students cannot apply for certification as a school psychologist in New York State (and many other states) <u>unless</u> (1) the student has a Green card at the time he or she applies for the provisional certification, and (2) he or she has become a citizen by the time he or she applies for permanent certification (a maximum of five years after obtaining the provisional certification). No citizenship requirements exist for the psychology license in New York State.

#### 4. Certification and Licensure in other states

Detailed information regarding certification and licensure in other states can be found at www.APApractices.org (the APA Practice Organization Practitioner Portal).

#### 5. Required Workshops

Three workshops are required by New York State for certification as a School Psychologist. These workshops are: Child Abuse & Maltreatment Identification, Reporting, & Intervention for Mandated Professionals, School Violence: Prevention and Intervention Strategies, and the Dignity for All Students Act (DASA). Workshops are free for students and are offered at varying times throughout the year. Students are responsible for providing copies of certificates of completion for these seminars to the department, and also retain copies for the NYS licensing board.

#### V. Professional Organizations

#### 1. National Association of School Psychologists (NASP)

The National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) was founded in 1969. It is a non-profit organization and the world's largest association of school psychologists. NASP represents over 20,000 school psychologists and related professionals who are dedicated to serving the education and mental health needs of children, adolescents, young adults, and families.

#### NASP holds two main objectives:

- 1. Promoting the rights, welfare, education, and mental health of children and youth.
- 2. Advancing the profession of school psychology.

NASP accomplishes these objectives through education, service, research, and policy development. NASP has aided in setting professional and ethical standards, and provides a nationally recognized certification system. The NASP standards that most directly guide this program's practice can be found in Appendix W. Policy makers and government officials at the state and national level highly value NASP's views.

Members will receive publications of the Communiqué eight times per year. The Communiqué is a newspaper covering the latest in events, innovative practices, legislative developments, parent/teacher handouts, book and test reviews, employment notices, and more. Members will also receive the quarterly <u>School Psychologist Review</u>, the world's second largest psychology journal. Discounts and additional benefits are available for NASP convention and meeting registrations, books, and certification fees. Please note that one must renew membership annually. A special student-discounted rate is available.

2. American Psychological Association (APA)

The American Psychological Association is the national organization for psychologists. To become a member one must possess a Ph.D., Psy.D., or Ed.D.. Student memberships are available. APA is divided into approximately 54 divisions that represent the different areas within the field of psychology. School psychology is Division 16. The APA also has a student organization that is not area specific

3. Student Affiliates of School Psychology (SASP)

The Student Affiliates of School Psychology (SASP) is a student run organization, which is comprised of graduate students from all levels of the School Psychology program at St. John's University. SASP serves as a general representative body for current graduate students and is a forum where issues related to practice and training in school psychology can be discussed. Membership is open to all School Psychology students, and participation is encouraged.

4. New York Associations of School Psychologists (NYASP)

The New York Association of School Psychologists is an affiliate of the National Association of School Psychologists. It is the professional organization representing school psychologists in New York State.

#### NYASP has four main objectives:

- 1. To attend to the mental health needs of all youngsters;
- 2. To promote the welfare of all children;
- 3. To promote and further the interests and standards of school psychology; and
- 4. To inform the public about the services provided by school psychologists.
- 5. New York State Psychological Association (NYSPA)

One potential organization that full-time graduate students may join to further their involvement while in the School Psychology program and upon graduating, is the New York State Psychological Association (NYSPA) - an affiliate of the American Psychological Association (APA).

#### NYSPA has three main objectives:

- 1. To protect the interests of the profession and the public;
- 2. To develop the profession and science of psychology and promote human welfare; and
- 3. To institute and uphold standards of competency, training, service, and ethics.

#### W. Student Requirements for the APA Annual Report

In order for the Psy.D. School Psychology Program to maintain APA accreditation, the program Director must submit an annual report with information about each student enrolled for the current academic year. To obtain this information, students are required to fill out a short survey online every year they are enrolled in the program, and for two years after graduation. Students will be provided with a link to the survey in April, and are required to fill out the survey in order to receive a final grade in the following courses, register for certain classes, and graduate:

1<sup>st</sup> year: PSY 662
2<sup>nd</sup> year: PSY 716 and PSY 749
3<sup>rd</sup> year: PSY 753
4<sup>th</sup> year: PSY 755
5<sup>th</sup> year: in order to graduate
All but dissertation and students not on internship: in order to register for classes

# **Appendices**

## Appendix A

The School Psychology Department of St. John's University Statement on Plagiarism and Learning Exercises

Recommended Procedure for Handling Plagiarism Cases

#### PLAGIARISM STATEMENT Dr. M. Roig

Scholarly endeavors often rely on the ideas and findings of others. For example, the body of knowledge represented in most of the textbooks used in your classes is based on a summary and synthesis of the work of many researchers. You will notice that their contribution is acknowledged in the reference section at the end of each textbook. In a similar fashion, when you write a paper for a course, you must credit the sources you use by using acceptable documentation procedures. In our discipline we almost always use the style suggested by the American Psychological Association (APA; see <u>Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association</u>, 2001). Failing to acknowledge the contributions of others in one's work constitutes a serious type of academic dishonesty that is known as plagiarism.

Plagiarism occurs when a student leads the professor or others to believe that work submitted for a course (a term paper, an oral presentation, a homework assignment) is his/her own original work, when in fact portions of the project (even small portions), or the entire project, was produced by someone else. Most often plagiarism occurs in assigned papers when the student either fails to acknowledge the source of his/her ideas or text, or fails to properly paraphrase and/or summarize others' work even when such works are acknowledged in the paper's reference section. For guidelines for proper paraphrasing see the examples below.

Another common type of plagiarism, known as self-plagiarism, occurs when students take part of a paper, or an entire paper, that had been written for a previously taken course and submit it in a new course. Under rare circumstances this type of activity may be acceptable, if the instructors from both courses involved are aware of the extent of this type of borrowing and provide the student with written permission.

Keep in mind that there are many other forms of plagiarism. What follows are some of the most common types of plagiarism and other dishonest writing practices.

Including portions of text from a source, regardless of whether it is published, unpublished, or whether it is obtained from the Internet, without either putting such text in quotation marks or not sufficiently paraphrasing the text (see below for some guidelines):

Using a paper, or portions of a paper, that has been bought, downloaded from the Internet, or written by someone else.

Taking sentences and/or paragraphs from various published, unpublished, or Internet sources and stringing them together resulting in a coherent essay, but not representing the student's own writing.

Making up sources that either do not exist or were not consulted for the paper.

In a reference section (as opposed to a bibliography), listing works that were cited by one of the sources that was consulted for the paper but which the student did not read.

Using a paper or portions of a paper written for a previous course and submitting for another course.

#### ACCEPTABLE FORMS OF HELP WHEN WRITING PAPERS

If you have difficulties writing a paper for a course you may wish to seek the services of the Writing Center. Generally it is acceptable to have another student examine your work, point out grammatical deficiencies in your writing and make suggestions for improving your paper. In fact this type of collaboration is usually encouraged in most classes. However, such help should be acknowledged in a footnote in your paper. Remember that, ultimately, the words used in your paper must be your own.

Obviously, you may seek clarification from the instructor on matters regarding the writing of your paper. However, asking a professor to review your paper before submitting it for a grade is inappropriate if such an offer has not been extended to the other students in the class. For theses and dissertations, your primary mentor will work more closely with you and he/she may have a more active role in the writing process.

#### LEARNING EXERCISES

Avoiding plagiarism by correctly paraphrasing test

Imagine that you are in the process of writing a paper. In researching material for the topic you are writing about, you locate the following relevant paragraph in a published source: "If you have ever had your astrological chart done, you may have been impressed with its seeming accuracy. Careful reading shows many such charts to be made up of mostly flattering traits. Naturally, when your personality is described in desirable terms, it is hard to deny that the description has the 'ring of truth'" (Coon, B. (1995) Introduction to Psychology: exploration and application (7th Ed.). New York: West., p. 29.

It would be legitimate to include this portion of text in your paper by enclosing it in quotations and adding the Coon citation at the end of the paragraph just as it appears above, or in the form of a footnote, depending on the style of writing you use (e.g., MLA, APA). However, the use of direct quotes should be kept to a very minimum, perhaps one or two short quotes in a paper.

Let's assume that you want to include the information from the above paragraph in your paper but that you do not want to use a direct quote as shown above. Instead, you are considering the rewritten versions shown below. How different does the rewritten, paraphrased version have to be so as to not be classified as a case of plagiarism?

Please examine each rewritten paragraph carefully, compare it with the original version above, and circle the appropriate abbreviation to indicate whether, in your opinion, the rewritten version constitutes a case of plagiarism (P), not plagiarism (NP), that is, the paragraph has been appropriately paraphrased, or you simply cannot determine (CD) whether the rewritten version has been plagiarized or not. Please indicate the reasons for your decision in the space provided. Also, in making your decision, assume that a correct citation (e.g., a footnote; Coon, 1995) appears in the rewritten version and in the paper's reference section or bibliography in accordance with the specific writing style used in the discipline for which the paper is being written.

- 1. Naturally, when your personality is described in desirable terms, it is hard
- P to deny that the description has the 'ring of truth'. If you have ever had your
- NP astrological chart done, you may have been impressed with its seeming accuracy.
- CD Careful reading shows many such charts to be made up of mostly flattering traits.
- 2. If you ever had your astrological chart done, you may have been
- P impressed by how accurate it seemed. A careful reading indicates many
- NP such charts to be made up of mainly flattering traits. Of course, when
- CD your personality is described in desirable terms, it is hard to deny that the description has the 'ring of truth'.
- 3. If you have ever had your astrological chart done, you were probably
- P impressed by how accurate it seemed. A careful reading indicates many
- NP such charts to be made up of mainly flattering traits. Of course, it is hard to
- CD deny that the description has the 'ring of truth', when your personality is described in desirable terms.
- 4. According to Coon, if you ever have had your astrological chart done,
- P you were probably impressed by how accurate it seemed. A careful reading
- NP indicates many such charts to be made up of mainly flattering traits. Of course,
- CD it is hard to deny that the description has the 'ring of truth' when your personality is described in desirable terms.
- 5. According to Coon, individuals who have had their astrological chart
- P profiled may have been swayed by their apparent precision. If you study these
- NP charts, however, you realize that they are primarily composed of complimentary
- CD attributes. Obviously, as Coon notes, when one is described with positive, laudable traits, it is difficult to argue against such a flattering portrait of oneself.

6. Individuals who have had their astrological chart profiled may have been

- P swayed by their apparent precision. If you study these charts, however, you
- NP realize that they are primarily composed of complimentary attributes.
- CD Obviously, when one is described with positive, laudable traits, it is difficult to argue against such flattering portrait of oneself.

# Now, let's see how your answers compare to ours. Remember that we have assumed that acknowledgement has been made in either the reference section (works cited).

Paragraphs 1 and 2 are clearly plagiarized. In paragraph 1, the only modification made to the original text was changing the order of the sentences. In paragraph 2 the original order of the sentences was preserved and only a couple of words were changed. Paragraph 3 is similarly plagiarized. Although the third sentence was modestly changed, the modifications are not sufficiently substantial to be considered an appropriate paraphrase. Remember, just because you have acknowledged the original author in the bibliography or reference section, or even in the body of your paper (paragraph 4), you still need to use your own words in expressing someone else's ideas or observations. Thus paragraph 4 is also plagiarized because it still reads too close to the original.

Paragraphs 5 and 6 have been sufficiently modified to constitute correct paraphrases. Some might argue, however, that these paraphrases follow too closely the structure of the original paragraph (i.e., the order of the sentences). Therefore, ideally one should not only change the words of the original source, but also the structure.

Remember, if you still have questions about what constitutes plagiarism consult your professors.

#### REFERENCES

Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (2001). Washington, D.C. American Psychological Association.

On March 28, 2006, the Liberal Arts Faculty Council passed the following recommended procedure for handling plagiarism cases:

#### Recommended Procedure for Handling Plagiarism Cases

#### I First Instance of Plagiarism

- 1. Student given an F for the assignment.
- 2. Note sent to student's Dean with copy of plagiarized assignment and proof.
- 3. Student required to take the MITT (Multimedia Integrity Teaching Tool).
- 4. Student not permitted to complete another assignment until MITT is completed.

#### II Second Instance (in same course)

- 1. Student given an F for the course
- 2. Note sent to student's Dean with copy of plagiarized assignment and proof. Dean is notified that this is second instance of plagiarism in same course and that student received an F for the course.

#### The Multimedia Integrity Teaching Tool An Interactive, Educational Program to Promote Academic Integrity

The MITT (Multimedia Integrity Teaching Tool) is a computerized integrity seminar on CD-ROM consisting of interactive lessons designed to "catch" cheating before it becomes a habit. Decision-making, case examples, and abstract concepts come alive with video, graphics, music and animation.

Every lesson and feature of the MITT program was extensively evaluated for effectiveness, impact of content and format, comprehensibility, and ease of use. Content is adapted from the academic dishonesty research base and relevant social science literature

# Appendix B

## First Year Research and Community Service Commitment Contracts

#### Graduate Program in School Psychology St. John's University Research Contract

Student's Name:			
Mentor agrees to:			
	<ol> <li>Meet with the student to discuss the feasibility of the proposed research, appropriateness of the literature review, and progress of data collection, data analysis, and interpretation of results.</li> <li>Monitor the students hours.</li> </ol>		
Stude	nt agrees to:		
<ol> <li>Read the literature in preparation for regular meetings with his/her mentor.</li> <li>Develop a clear statement of the research topic and its relevance for school psychology.</li> <li>Review all major theories in the topic area.</li> <li>Review all basic and applied empirical research in the topic area.</li> <li>Summarize substantive conclusions from the related literature.</li> <li>Summarize the quality of research in the area, including the appropriateness of the methodology.</li> <li>Discuss the implications of the research as well as how the substantive findings from this research are applicable to the practice of school psychology.</li> <li>Provide 50 hours of research work through the 1<sup>st</sup> full-time year in the program.</li> </ol>			
Note:	This contract must be submitted to the program director during the first semester.		
Mento	r's Name: Date:		
Mentor's signature:			
Student's Name:			

Student's signature:

#### Graduate Program in School Psychology St. John's University Community Service Program Contract

**Student:** Please complete this form and return the original to the Program Director and make a copy for yourself.

STUDENT INFOR	MATION:		
Student Name:			
Mailing Address			
Phone Number			
E-Mail:			
******	********	************	*****
am confirming that I		ommunity service initiative. By signing bel encies/organizations as a part of my commit of community service.	
Student's Signature:		Date:	_
Any questions shoul	d be directed to:		
Dr. Marlene Sotelo-I Director, Graduate P St. John's University Ph: (718) 990-1545	Programs in School Psycho	ology	

e-mail: sotelodm@stjohns.edu

## Graduate Program in School Psychology St. John's University Research Log

Student's Name: Mentor's Name:		
requirements:	amed above has further an re	Scarcii
Student Objective		<u>Initial</u>
<ul> <li>Read the literature in preparation for regular meet</li> <li>Developed a clear statement of the research topic psychology.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Reviewed all major theories in the topic area.</li> <li>Reviewed all basic and applied empirical research</li> <li>Summarized substantive conclusions from the relative of research in the case in</li> </ul>	ated literature.	
<ul> <li>Summarized the quality of research in the area, in of the methodology.</li> <li>Discussed the implications of the research as well from this research are applicable to the practice of</li> </ul>	as how the substantive findings	
Please sign below to certify that the student has the requirements of the School Psychology Prog	-	research to fulfill
Mentor's signature:	Date:	
Student's signature	Date	

#### Graduate Program in School Psychology St. John's University Community Service Program Log

Student Name:			
COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY/ORGANIZATION  Agency/Organization Name  Mailing address			
Purpose of Organization (Activities Conducted/Services Provided)			
Supervisor's name:	Title:		
Phone Number: The student's start date:	E-mail: Completion date:		
Days and Hours the Student has worked:			
Dear Supervisor,			
Thank you for your participation in our community s confirming that the student named above has worked the student's commitment in working towards compl service.	with your agency/organization as a part of		
Supervisor's Signature:	Date:		
Thanks again for participating. If you have any ques	tions, feel free to contact me.		

Dr. Marlene Sotelo-Dynega Director, Graduate Programs in School Psychology St. John's University

Ph: (718) 990-1545

e-mail: sotelodm@stjohns.edu

# Appendix C

Third Year Field Placement Contract

## School Psychology Psy.D. Program Externship Contract Department of Psychology

This certifies that (supervisor)	, will
serve as a school psychology supervisor for (student)	
who is presently a student in good standing in the Psy.D. pro	gram in School Psychology at St.
John's University. The externship will take place at the (nam	e of school)
in the (name of school district)	
The student agrees to be at the in/externship site for 3	full working days for the entire
school district academic year, (from to _	) for a total of 750
hours. The student understands that no financial compensation	n will be awarded for the externship
hours. The student agrees that he or she has read the list of co	ompetencies that interns are
expected to achieve. The student agrees to cooperate fully wi	th his or her supervisor and keep
him or her fully appraised of his or her professional externsh	ip activities.
The supervisor agrees to meet with the extern for a m	inimum of 2 hours of direct, face to
face supervision each week. The supervision must occur on	site as the supervisor will have
greater familiarity with working in an organized setting such	as a school, will know the
population, the setting and its policies, and most of all, have	access to client records as they
cannot be removed from the setting. The supervisor agrees to	o inform the student of his or her
evaluation of the student's work and to provide corrective fee	edback to him or her. The supervisor
attests to the fact that they are supervising no more than 2 ex	terns during the externship period
specified above and that they are afforded an adequate amount	nt of release time to meet the
requirements and responsibilities of in/externship supervision	n. The supervisor will follow the
training objectives agreed upon in the planning form.	
The supervisor attests to the fact that: the student will	be able to participate in continuing
professional development activities when available, the stude	ent will be able to participate in
meetings attended by field psychologists employed in the dis	trict, the student will receive
adequate supplies, materials, office space, and administrative	/secretarial services consistent with
those afforded to regular staff members, and the student will $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1$	be reimbursed, in accordance with
the policies of the field site, for any travel expenses they may	incur.
The supervisor and extern attest to the fact that they a	re aware of their roles and are
committed to fulfilling their respective responsibilities.	
Supervisor's Signature	Date
Student's Signature	Data
Student's Signature	Date

# Appendix D

Fourth Year Externship Contract

## School Psychology Psy.D. Program Externship Contract Department of Psychology

This certifies that (supervisor)	, will serve as a school	
psychology supervisor for (student)	, who is presently	
a student in good standing in the Psy.D. program in School Psychology at St. John's University		
The externship will take place at the (name of site	)	
The student agrees to be at the externship site for	a total of 750 hours from	
To The student as	grees that he or she has read the list of	
competencies that externs are expected to achieve	. The student agrees to cooperate fully with his	
or her supervisor and keep him or her fully apprai activities.	sed of his or her professional externship	
The supervisor agrees to meet with the extern for supervision each week. The supervision must occ familiarity with working in this setting, will know have access to client records as they cannot be rento inform the student of his or her evaluation of th feedback to him or her. The supervisor will follow planning form.	tur on site as the supervisor will have greater the population and its policies, and most of all noved from the setting. The supervisor agrees e student's work and to provide corrective	
The supervisor attests to the fact that: the student will be able to participate in continuing professional development activities when available, the student will be able to participate in meetings attended by field psychologists employed in the district, the student will receive adequate supplies, materials, office space, and administrative/secretarial services consistent withose afforded to regular staff members, and the student will be reimbursed, in accordance with the policies of the field site, for any travel expenses they may incur.		
The supervisor and psychology extern attest to the committed to fulfilling their respective responsibilities.		
Supervisor's Signature	Date	
Student's Signature	Date	

# Appendix E

Fifth Year Internship Contract

## School Psychology Psy.D. Program Internship Contract

	, will serve as a school		
psychology supervisor for (student)			
D. program in School	Psychology at St. John's University.		
	king days for the entire academic		
) fo	r a minimum of 1500 hours. There		
on for this internship. T	The student agrees that he or she has		
rns are expected to ach	nieve. The student agrees to		
isor and keep him or h	er fully appraised of his or her		
e intern for a minimur	n of 2 hours of direct, face to face		
ion must occur on site	as the supervisor will have greater		
zed setting such as a so	chool, will know the population, the		
l, have access to client	records as they cannot be removed		
es to inform the studer	nt of his or her evaluation of the		
ive feedback to him or	her. The supervisor attests to the fact		
n 2 interns during the i	nternship period specified above and		
ount of release time to	meet the requirements and		
ion.			
the student will be ab	le to participate in continuing		
hen available, the stud	lent will be able to participate in		
ists employed in the di	strict, the student will receive		
pace, and administrative	re/secretarial services consistent with		
rs, and the student wil	l be reimbursed, in accordance with		
avel expenses they ma	y incur.		
fact that they are awa	re of their roles and are committed to		
ties.			
Date			
Date			
	D. program in School hame of school) hip site for 5 full work) fo n for this internship. The rest are expected to ach isor and keep him or he ison must occur on site sed setting such as a so l, have access to client es to inform the studer ive feedback to him or 1 2 interns during the if ount of release time to ion. the student will be able hen available, the stude ists employed in the di pace, and administrative irs, and the student will avel expenses they may fact that they are awa ies.  Date		

# Appendix F

Third Year Placement Planning Form

#### GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

## School Psychology Externship Objectives Planning Form

Student:	Beginning Date:
Placement:	Ending Date:
Field Supervisor:	Supervision Hours:
University Supervisor:	Date of Planning Session:
Below each objective is a list of activities The objectives and activities for reaching unique externship experience for each studdiffer and field supervisors will have diffe We do not expect each site to provide ever made at the beginning of the externship ex	ts to reach during their School Psychology Externship. which could fulfill the objective. the objectives will serve as a basis for planning a dent. Obviously, the experience provided at sites will brent suggestions for reaching some of these objectives. The plan may also be modified as the year must discuss and approve these modifications.
Allow student to accompany the school Allow student to become familiar with building/district.	the roles of practicing school psychologists.  ool psychologist during a typical day. th other psychologists and their duties in the  essional ethics and standards that relate to his or her or
and/or Educational Planning Committee m Ask student to inspect and report on s school.	f school settings and school districts. s of the staff and school community. f meetings and pupil personnel committee meetings neetings. student records and record keeping systems in the trict policies including policies for providing rofessionals. The description of the staff and school districts. The student records and record keeping systems in the trict policies including policies for providing rofessionals. The staff and school districts.
	process of special education referral, evaluation, loping treatment plans.

Allow student to observe and spend time in special education classrooms (e.g., self-
continued, resource room, gifted).
Allow student to present the results of a case evaluation to a committee on special
education.
Allow student to evaluate an Individual Education Plan for remediation for children with
different handicapping conditions. *
Allow student to present their assessments and recommendations to the district Committee on Special Education and to become familiar with the process of the Committee's work and the legal and regulatory issues involved in the Committee's functioning. *  Allow student to conduct triennial evaluations, and annual reviews of special education children to evaluate their progress and make recommendations. *
<ul> <li>4. The student will become knowledgeable about the process of regular education. Allow student to observe and spend time in a kindergarten or preschool classroom. Allow student to observe and spend time in an elementary level classroom. Allow student to observe and spend time in a junior high level classroom. Allow student to observe and spend time in a high school classroom.</li> </ul>
5. The student will become acquainted with community resources that support school psychological services.
Allow student to observe liaison meetings between supervisor and other agencies.  Allow student to consult with personnel from the community (e.g., medical, mental health,
child welfare).
Allow student to coordinate case services with another community agency.
Allow student to visit community agencies (e.g., child welfare agency, family service
agency, residential treatment center, juvenile court).
6. The student will gain experience and develop competence in clinical diagnostic interviewing.
Allow student to observe the supervisor interviewing students, parents, teachers, and other staff members.
Allow student to conduct diagnostic clinical interviews with students, parents, teachers,
and other staff members.
<ul> <li>7. The student will gain experience and develop competence in providing consultation servicesAllow the student to engage in a consultation case with a parent, teacher, or other staff member (e.g., behavioral, academic, mental health, organizational)Allow the student to work with the consultee in collecting data through a variety of means (e.g., interviews, observations, informal assessment, curriculum-based assessment)Ask the student to present the goals, intervention strategies, and rationale for at least one consultation case.</li> </ul>
Allow the student to use the data collected to consult with parents or staff for the purpose
of planning and implementing an intervention program.
Allow the student to systematically evaluate the consultation process by collecting process
and outcome data.
Allow student to gain experience developing recommendations for pre-referral

interventions.

8. The student will gain experience and develop competence in behavioral observation and assessment.	
Allow student to collect behavioral data by interviewing a student, parent, teacher, or other	r
staff.	
Allow student to observe children's' classroom behavior, identify, and systematically record data on target behaviors.	
Allow student to observe teachers instructional and classroom management behavior, record, and report on data.	
Allow student to conduct an environmental assessment and report on data.	
9. The student will gain experience and develop competence in assessment of emotional and	
social adjustment.	
Allow student to conduct and report results from comprehensive assessment of emotional and social adjustment of children at several grade levels.	
<ul> <li>10. The student will gain experience and develop competence in intellectual assessment.</li> <li>Allow student to observe supervisor conducting an assessment.</li> <li>Observe student giving various intelligence tests.</li> </ul>	
Allow student to conduct and report results of comprehensive intellectual evaluation at th  preschool or kindergarten level  elementary level junior high level	ie:
high school level	
Allow student to conduct and report results of at least one comprehensive intellectual evaluation of a minority student.	
11. The student will gain experience and develop competence in academic assessment.  Allow student to observe various professionals (e.g., supervisor, education evaluator, teacher) conducting an assessment.	
Observe student giving various academic tests.	1
Allow student to observe/participate in screening or readiness evaluations at the pre-school and kindergarten level.	1
Allow student to inspect and report on the curriculum materials being used with referred child as part of planning the assessment.	
Allow student to conduct and report results of comprehensive language, mathematics, and reading assessments at the:	
elementary level	
junior high level	
high school level	
Allow student to conduct and report results of at least one comprehensive academic	
evaluation of a minority student.	
Allow student to inspect and report on group tests given in the placement.	
Allow student to attend meetings of committees established to examine curriculum.	

	The student will gain experience and develop competence in both regular and crisis
inte	vention strategies.
	_Allow student to accompany the field supervisor during intervention activitiesAllow student to address referral issues raised during assessment or consultation activities with a planned program for intervention.
	Allow student to implement a remediation plan.
	Ask student to participate in providing in-service programs for teachers.
	Ask student to provide goals, interventions, and rationale for a counseling case.
	Allow student to observe/participate in providing crisis intervention. *
	Allow student to observe/participate in the development of a crisis intervention plan.
	The student will gain experience and become proficient in writing comprehensive rehoeducational reports.
	Ask student to write and submit case reports for assessment cases.
	Ask student to write and submit case reports for consultation and intervention cases.
14. psyc	The student will demonstrate accountability for activities as a practicing school hologist.
	Ask student to keep a daily log of activities. **
	Allow student to collect and share effectiveness data from intervention activities.
	Allow student to collect and share effectiveness data from consultation activities.
	Assist student in systematically evaluating his or her effectiveness through providing fairly frequent feedback sessions.
	Allow student to participate in original research or evaluation studies in the school.
	The student will evaluate his or her personal skills and abilities to assume an effective ol psychology role.
	Ask student to review and analyze his or her impact on students, parents, and various professionals in the school.
16.	Students will gain experience providing feedback on their assessment and recommendations
to so	chool children, parents, teachers, and other school personnel.
	Allow student to be present when supervisor gives feedback to school children, parents, teachers, and/or other school personnel.
	Allow student to give feedback, under supervision, to school children, parents, teachers, and/or other school personnel.
	Allow student to give feedback independent of direct supervision teachers, and/or school personnel.
17.	Students will gain experience in counseling school children individually and in groups.
	_Allow student to counsel children individually.
	_Allow student to counsel children in groups.
	Ask student to participate in providing group counseling or group discussion services for students and parents.

Other a	activities or objectives:		
provid		half of the ex	etivity. The externship site does not have to ternship, but the student must complete this
** The	e time log is a required activi	ity for the enti	re externship period.
Signed	l:		
&	Field Supervisor	Date	
	University Supervisor	Date	_
	Student	Date	

Note: (This form was adapted from CUNY Queens College) School Psychology Program

# Appendix G

Fourth Year Externship Planning Form

#### GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

### School Psychology Externship Objectives Planning Form

Student:	Beginning Date:
Placement:	Ending Date:
Field Supervisor:	Supervision Hours:
University Supervisor:	Date of Planning Session:
Below each objective is a list of activities. The objectives and activities for reaching unique internship experience for each straightfer and field supervisors will have differ do not expect each site to provide expended at the beginning of the externship	ents to reach during their School Psychology Externship. It is which could fulfill the objective. It is the objectives will serve as a basis for planning a sudent. Obviously, the experience provided at sites will different suggestions for reaching some of these objectives. It is very activity. Decisions concerning the plan should be experience. The plan may also be modified as the year id must discuss and approve these modifications.
Allow student to accompany the ps Allow student to become familiar v	with other psychologists and their duties in the setting. ofessional ethics and standards that relate to his or her or
implementation of policies, and politicsIntroduce student to various members Allow student to attend building statement of the student to inspect and report of the student to inspect and repo	ers of the staff and professional community.  aff meetings.  n relevant client records and record keeping systems.  olicies including policies for providing  professionals.  eting.  ation meeting.
development treatment plans. Allow student to attend and observeAllow student to present the results	of a case evaluation to a staff/planning committee. ent and/or education plan for remediation clients with

	ow student to present their assessments and recommendations to staff/planning mmittee. *
4. The	student will become acquainted with community resources that support psychological
services	
All	ow student to observe liaison meetings between supervisor and other agencies. ow student to consult with personnel from the community (e.g., medical, mental health, ld welfare).
All	ow student to coordinate case services with another community agency. ow student to visit community agencies (e.g., child welfare agency, family service ency, residential treatment center, juvenile court).
All	student will gain experience and develop competence in clinical diagnostic interviewing ow student to observe the supervisor interviewing students, parent and/or other relevant of staff.
All	ow student to conduct diagnostic clinical interviews with students, parents, and other evant professional staff.
All	student will gain experience and develop competence in providing consultation services ow the student to engage in a consultation case with a parent, and/or other relevant offessional staff.
All me	ow the student to work with the consultee in collecting data through a variety of ans (e.g., interviews, observations, and informal assessment)
	k the student to present the goals, intervention strategies, and rationale for at least one isultation case.
	low the student to use the data collected to consult with parents or staff for the purpose planning and implementing an intervention program.
All	ow the student to systematically evaluate the consultation process by collecting process loutcome data.
All	ow student to gain experience developing recommendations for pre-referral serventions.
7. The s	student will gain experience and develop competence in behavioral observation and
All	ow student to collect behavioral data by interviewing a student, parent and/or other evant professional staff.
All	ow student to ignore client's behavior, identify, and systematically record data on target haviors.
	ow student to conduct an environmental assessment and report on data.
social ac	student will gain experience and develop competence in assessment of emotional and ljustment.
	ow student to conduct and report results from comprehensive assessment of emotional l social adjustment of clients at varied age levels.

9. The student will gain experience and develop competence in intellectual assessment.
Allow student to observe supervisor conducting an intellectual assessment.
Observe student giving various intelligence tests.
Allow student to conduct and report results of comprehensive intellectual evaluation at the:
preschool or kindergarten level
elementary level
junior high level
high school level
adult
Allow student to observe various professionals (e.g., supervisor, education evaluator,
teacher) conducting an assessment.
Observe student giving various academic tests.
Ooserve student giving various academic tests.
10. The student will gain experience and develop competence in both regular and crisis
intervention strategies.
Allow student to accompany the field supervisor during intervention activities.
Allow student to address referral issues raised during assessment or consultation activities
with a planned program for intervention.
Allow student to implement a remediation plan.
Ask student to implement a remediation planAsk student to provide goals, interventions, and rationale for a counseling case.
Allow student to observe/participate in providing crisis intervention. *
Allow student to observe/participate in the development of a crisis intervention plan.
11. The student will gain experience and become proficient in writing comprehensive
psychoeducational reports.
Ask student to write and submit case reports for assessment cases.
Ask student to write and submit case reports for consultation and intervention cases.
Ask student to write and submit case reports for consultation and intervention cases.
12. The student will demonstrate accountability for activities as a practicing psychologist.
Ask student to keep a daily log of activities. **
Allow student to collect and share effectiveness data from intervention activities.
Allow student to collect and share effectiveness data from consultation activities.
Assist student in systematically evaluating his or her effectiveness through providing fairly
frequent feedback sessions.
Allow student to participate in original research or evaluation studies in the school.
13. The student will evaluate his or her personal skills and abilities to assume a role as an
effective psychologist.
1 , 0
Ask student to review and analyze his or her impact on clients and various professionals in
the setting.
14. Students will gain experience providing feedback on their assessment and recommendations
to clients and staff.
Allow student to be present when supervisor gives feedback to clients, parents and/or other
staff.
Allow student to give feedback, under supervision, to clients, parents and other staff.

15. Students will gain experience in courable Allow student to counsel clients ind	nseling clients individually and in group	ps.
Allow student to counsel clients in g	•	
	ig group counseling or group discussion	n services for
clients and parents.	ig group counseling or group discussion	1 SCI VICCS 101
enents and parents.		
Other a	ctivities or objectives:	
•Any item followed by an * denotes a rec provide this activity during the 1st half of activity sometime within the internship p	the internship, but the student must cor	
** The time leg is a required activity for	ha antira internahin nariad	
** The time log is a required activity for	ne entire internship period.	
S	gned:	
5.	Field Supervisor	Date
	Tiota supervisor	2
	University Supervisor	Date
	, I	
	Student	Date

\*Note: This form was adapted from the form used at the CUNY Queens College School Psychology Program

## Appendix H

Fifth-Year Internship Application Form for Non-APA Accredited Placements (Electronic version MUST be submitted)

# St. John's University, New York Psy.D. Program In School Psychology Internship Application

Student: Dates	of Internship: From	1o	_			
Date Submitted:						
Name of Internship Agency:						
Address:						
Phone Number:			-			
Specific School or Unit where Internship will Occu			.			
Address:Phone Number:						
Professional Responsible for the Services Render at this site:						
1 Totessional responsible for the Services Render at any site.						
Sup	pervision					
Name of First Supervisor:						
Supervisor's Highest Degree: Psy.D. Ph.D.	. Ed.D.	Other:				
Proposed Supervisor is a Licensed Psychologist	Yes	No				
Proposed Supervisor is Certified in School Psycho	ology Yes	No				
Name of Second						
Supervisor:						
Supervisor's Highest Degree: Psy.D. Ph.D.	. Ed.D.	Other:				
Proposed Supervisor is a Licensed Psychologist	Yes	No				
Proposed Supervisor is Certified in School Psycho	ology Yes	No				
State the Frequency of Face to Face Supervision:						
Calculate the amount of time this will be spend at	this Internship Experier	nce.				
Dates of Internship:	mis internomp Emperior					
Number of weeks:		<u> </u>				
Minus 4 weeks vacation:						
Number of hours that will be worked per week:						
Total number of hours that will be worked:						

Identify the Professional Activities In The Proposed Internship
Specify the activities, the portion of time you will perform these activities, and how these activities are different or similar to the activities that made up you third and fourth year field placements.
different of similar to the detivities that made up you thind that fourth year field placements.
Goals of the Proposed Internship:
How Will The Goals Be Assessed:
now will the Goals De Assessed.
Submitted by:
Annroyed by:

### Appendix I

#### Assessment Practicum Evaluation Form

# St. John's University Assessment Practicum Evaluation Form – Psy.D. & M.S. Level II Program in School Psychology

I rainee:			Site:						
Supervisor:			From:			To:			
Compared to or	ther trainees at a area	similar level of s through the us				rainee	in t	the follo	owing
1	2	3	4		5			N/A	Λ.
Extremely Inadequate	Somewhat Below Average	Average	Above Average	e ]		nely	N	ot App	licable
Significantly well below what one would expect at this level of training	Below what one expects at this level of training	About average for students you have supervised	for students you	u st dhav	tudent	s you ervise		Not obs	erved
		Diagnostic/Ass	sessment Skills						
Knowledge about DSM)	diagnostic catego	ories and criteria (	e.g. IDEA,	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
,	ried models and mery)	nethods of assessn	nent (e.g. CHC	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
* *	opriate assessment			1	2	3	4	5	N/A
information on de	ls to clarify the refevelopmental, medor occupational fu	lical, social/emoti		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Administration ar	nd scoring of psyc	hological tests		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Assessment of de	velopmental and l	earning history as	it is related to	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Interpretation of a	osychological tests	s and assessment of	data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Use of assessmen	t data to create co recommendations	gnitive/academic	goals and/or	1	2	3	4	5	N/A

Behavioral assessment skills including detailed behavior observations and interpretation of behavioral measures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Report writing skills including mechanics and grammar, organization, and responsiveness to edits	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall conceptualization of a case based on data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Gathers data from alternative sources (e.g., families and educators)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Knowledge of general and special education services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of diagnostic/assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Psychological tests used by the trainee under your supervision	n:					
Additional Comments:						
Interpersonal Relationships						
Develops good working alliance with client as evidenced by reports on client interactions and/or observations of client-student interactions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops good working relationships with professional Center staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops good working relationships with Center support staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Shows sensitivity to issues of diverse characteristics	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Demonstrates leadership ability such as volunteering often in class and offering helpful suggestions/resources to classmates about cases during group discussion	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Presents case information in class clearly and with good organization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of interpersonal relationships	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						
Professional Conduct						
Titanium progress notes are detailed and entered in a timely manner	2	3	4	5	]	N/A

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Responsible work habits (e.g., punctuality, class attendance, personal appearance)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Meets responsibilities on time (e.g. returning edits on reports, scheduling appointments with clients)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Protects and maintains confidentiality of case materials	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Conducts self in a manner consistent with professional and ethical standards	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Responsiveness to supervision	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Willingness to learn new test materials, methods of assessment, and about diagnostic categories and special education policies/procedures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Overall rating of professional conduct	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Additional Comments:Summary Evaluation								
Summary Evaluation								
1. Do you recommend that this student move on to a School Psychology externship/internship field placement next fall? YES NO								
Do you recommend that this student move on to a School field placement next fall?  YES  If NO, please explain why: YES						ernsnip		
field placement next fall? YES					NO			
field placement next fall? YES  If NO, please explain why:  2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how yet in the students level.	woul	d you	rate	this tr	NO ainees o	overall		
field placement next fall?YES  If NO, please explain why:  2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how ability?	woul	d you /erage	rate	this tr	ainees o	overall Average		
field placement next fall?YES  If NO, please explain why:  2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how ability?  Superior Above Average Average Below  3. What letter grade would you assign the trainee for his or	woul w Av	d you verage	rate	this tr Very	ainees of Below ance dur	overall Average		
field placement next fall?YES  If NO, please explain why:  2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how ability?  Superior Above Average Average Below  3. What letter grade would you assign the trainee for his or evaluation period?	woul w Av	d you verage overa	rate	this tr Very forma	ainees of Below Annee dur	Average ing this		
field placement next fall?YES  If NO, please explain why:  2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how ability?  Superior Above Average Average Below  3. What letter grade would you assign the trainee for his or evaluation period?  A A- B+ B B- C+ C	woul	d you verage overa	rate e	Very forma	ainees of Below Ance dur	Average ing this		
field placement next fall?YES  If NO, please explain why:  2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how ability?  Superior Above Average Average Below  3. What letter grade would you assign the trainee for his or evaluation period?  A A- B+ B B- C+ C	woul w Av	d you verage overa	rate	this tr Very forma	ainees of Below Annee dur	Average ing this		

Thank you for your cooperation in completing the evaluation form.

### Appendix J

Third Year Externship Evaluation Form

#### St. John's University Externship Evaluation Form – Psy.D. Level III Program in School Psychology

Trainee:	rainee: Site:							
Supervisor:			From:		To:			
Compared to or		similar level of as through the us			is trainee	in t	he foll	owing
1	2	3	4		5		N/A	4
Extremely Inadequate	Somewhat Below Average	3 Average	Above Average	Ex S	tremely killful	N	ot App	licable
Significantly well below what one would expect at this level of training	one expects at this level of training	for students you have supervised		stud	ents you		Not obs	erved
		Diagnostic/As	sessment Skills					
Knowledge about DSM)	t diagnostic catego	ories and criteria (	e.g. IDEA,	1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
Knowledge of var theory, cross-batt		nethods of assessn	nent (e.g. CHC	1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
	opriate assessmen stics, and test per	t tools based on re formance	eferral concern,	1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
	evelopmental, med	ferral concerns and dical, social/emoti anctioning		1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
Administration ar	nd scoring of psyc	chological tests		1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
Assessment of de the referral conce	•	learning history as	s it is related to	1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
Interpretation of p	osychological test	s and assessment	data	1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A
	nt data to create co	egnitive/academic	and/or social-	1 2	2 3	4	5	N/A

Behavioral assessment skills including detailed behavior observations and interpretation of behavioral measures	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Report writing skills including mechanics and grammar, organization, and responsiveness to edits	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall conceptualization of a case based on data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Gathers data from alternative sources (e.g., families, educators, and other in the community)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Adequacy of recommendations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Quality of feedback conferences with parents and teachers	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of diagnostic/assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Psychological tests used by the trainee under your supervision	on:					
Additional Comments:						
Psychotherapy, Counseling, Consultation and Beha	avior	Chang	ge Ski	lls		
Psychotherapy, Counseling, Consultation and Behat Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory	avior 1	Chang 2	ge Ski	lls 4	5	N/A
					5 5	N/A N/A
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory	1	2	3	4		
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory  Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning  Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory  Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning  Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development and learning	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5	N/A
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory  Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning  Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development and learning  Develops initial intervention planning	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5	N/A N/A
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory  Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning  Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development and learning  Develops initial intervention planning  Develops good working alliance with change agent  Collaborates with other professionals in planning and decision	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	N/A N/A N/A
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory  Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning  Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development and learning  Develops initial intervention planning  Develops good working alliance with change agent  Collaborates with other professionals in planning and decision making for individuals, groups and the school	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5	N/A N/A N/A N/A

Behavior therapy

1 2 3 4 5

N/A

Develops appropriate behavioral, affective, adaptive and social goals for students with different abilities and needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops appropriate cognitive and academic interventions for students with different abilities and needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Ability to re-assess progress and develop new intervention plans	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Provides prevention and intervention programming to promote mental health and students' well being	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Evaluates service outcomes and measurement of student progress	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Uses empirical research to determine appropriate interventions, programs and services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Ability to collaborate with parents and teachers	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of intervention skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						

Professional Conduct									
Develops good working relationships with professional staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Develops good working relationships with paraprofessional and support staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Shows sensitivity to issues of diverse characteristics	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Demonstrates leadership ability	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Responsiveness to supervision	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Willingness to learn	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Utilizes resources within and outside the organization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Utilizes relevant technology when delivering services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Consults with other professionals	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Responsible work habits (e.g., punctuality, personal appearance)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			

Meets respon	sibiliti	es on time				1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Protects and r	mainta	ins confide	ntiality of	f case ma	aterials	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Conducts self ethical standa		nanner cons	sistent wit	th profes	ssional and	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Has knowledg	ge of g	general edu	cation and	l special	education	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Works toward learning envir			olicies to	create ar	n effective	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Shows a desirthe literature,						:h 1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional	Comi	ments:									
								<del> </del>			
				Summ	ary Evaluati	on					
1. How like	ly wo	uld you re			is student be		for a j	positi	on if o	one bec	came open
Ver	y Like	ely		-	Unsure		Jnlik	ely		_Very	Unlikely
2. Compa	red to	other train	nees at th	nis stude	ents level, ho ability?	ow wou	ld yo	u rate	this t	rainees	overall
Superio	or	_Above A	verage _	Ave	erage B	elow A	verag	e	_Very	Below	Average
3. What let	ter gra	ade would	you assi;	_	rainee for hi ation period		over	all pe	rform	ance d	uring this
A	A-	B+	В	В-	C+	C	C-	I	)+	D	F
Supervisor's Position or T								_ Da	te:		
		Certified S Licensed S				Yes Yes			_No		
Student's Sig				-		_ 105		Date			

Thank you for your cooperation in completing the evaluation form.

### Appendix K

Fourth Year Externship Evaluation Form

## St. John's University Externship Evaluation Form – Psy.D. Level IV Program in School Psychology

Trainee:	Site:		
Supervisor:	From:	To:	

Compared to other trainees at a similar level of training, please rate this trainee in the following areas through the use if this rating scale:

1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Extremely	Somewhat	Average	Above Average	Extremely	Not Applicable
Inadequate	Below Average		Above Average	Skillful	Not Applicable
Significantly	Below what	About average	Above average	Among the top	Not observed
well below	one expects at	for students you	for students you	students you	
what one would	this level of	have supervised	have supervised	have supervised	
expect at this	training	at this level	at this level	at this level	
level of training					
Į.				ļ	

Diagnostic/Assessment Skills									
Knowledge about diagnostic categories and criteria	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Knowledge of varied models and methods of assessment	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Selection of appropriate assessment tools based on client data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Interviewing skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Administration and scoring of psychological tests	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Assessment of related developmental and learning processes	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Interpretation of psychological tests and assessment data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Use of assessment data to create cognitive/academic goals and measure progress	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Behavioral assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Session progress notes and report writing skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Overall conceptualization of a case based on data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			

Gathers data from alternative sources when necessary (e.g., families, educators, and other in the community)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Adequacy of recommendations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Quality of feedback conferences with parents and/or staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Overall rating of diagnostic/assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Psychological tests used by the trainee under your supervision:												
Additional Comments:												
Psychotherapy, Counseling, Consultation and Behavior	avior	Chang	ge Ski	lls								
Demonstrates knowledge of psychotherapeutic and consultation techniques and theory	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning processes as it applies to interventions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development, learning, and behavior	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Develops initial intervention/treatment plan	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
Develops good working alliance with change agent	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
						1 <b>N</b> / / <b>A</b>						
Collaborates with other professionals in planning and decision making for individuals, groups and the facility	1	2	3	4	5	N/A						
	1	2	3	4	5							
making for individuals, groups and the facility						N/A						

Behavior therapy

Develops appropriate behavioral, affective, adaptive and social goals for clients with different abilities and needs

2 3 4

N/A

N/A

Develops appropriate interventions for clients with different abilities and needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Demonstrates ability to re-assess progress and develop new intervention plans	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Provides prevention and intervention programming to promote mental health	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Evaluates service outcomes and measurement of client progress	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Uses empirical research to determine appropriate interventions, programs and services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Ability to collaborate with parents and staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of intervention skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						

Professional Conduct						
Develops good working relationships with professional staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops good working relationships with paraprofessional and support staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Shows sensitivity to issues of diverse characteristics	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Demonstrates leadership ability	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Responsiveness to supervision	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Willingness to learn	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Utilizes resources within and outside the organization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Utilizes relevant technology when delivering services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Consults with other professionals	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Responsible work habits (e.g., punctuality, personal appearance)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Meets responsibilities on time	1	2	3	4	5	N/A

Protects and maintains confidentiality of case materials	1	2	3	4	5	N/A					
Conducts self in a manner consistent with professional and ethical standards	1	2	3	4	5	N/A					
Has knowledge of the law as it may pertain to service provision (general education and special education law)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A					
Shows a desire to be a life-long learner (e.g., stays current with 1 the literature, expresses a desire to continue to learn)		2	3	4	5	N/A					
Additional Comments:											
Summary Evaluation											
1. How likely would you recommend that this student be hired for a position if one became open in your facility?											
Very Likely LikelyUnsure		_Unlik	kely		Vei	y Unlikely					
2. Compared to other trainees at this students level, how ability?	wo	ould yo	ou rat	e this	traine	ees overall					
Superior Above Average Average Belo	W A	Averaş	ge _	Vei	ry Bel	ow Average					
3. What letter grade would you assign the trainee for his or evaluation period?	r he	er ove	rall p	erfori	mance	during this					
A A- B+ B B- C+ C		C-		D+	D	F					
Supervisor's Signature:  Position or Title:			I	Date:							
, e <u> </u>	Zes			No							
Licensed School Psychologist:Y	Yes			No	)						
Student's Signature:			_ Da	ate: _							
Thank you for your cooperation in completing	1g 1	the eva	aluati	ion fo	rm.						

Thank you for your cooperation in completing the evaluation form.

Please mail to: Director, Graduate Program in School Psychology

St. John's University

8000 Utopia Parkway

Jamaica, NY 11439

### Appendix L

Intervention Practicum Evaluation Form

#### St. John's University Intervention Practicum Evaluation Form – Psy.D. Level III Program in School Psychology

Trainee:	Site:	
Supervisor:	From:	To:

Compared to other trainees at a similar level of training, please rate this trainee in the following areas through the use if this rating scale:

1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Extremely	Somewhat	Average	Above Average	Extremely	Not Applicable
Inadequate	Below Average		Above Average	Skillful	Not Applicable
Significantly	Below what	About average	Above average	Among the top	Not observed
well below	one expects at	for students you	for students you	students you	
what one would	this level of	have supervised	have supervised	have supervised	
expect at this	training	at this level	at this level	at this level	
level of training					
Į.				ļ	

Intake/Monitoring Progress and Record Keeping Skills										
Knowledge about diagnostic categories and criteria	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Knowledge of varied models and methods of assessment	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Selection of appropriate assessment tools	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Interviewing skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Administration and scoring of psychological tests	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Assessment of developmental and learning processes	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Interpretation of psychological tests and assessment data	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Behavioral assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
	1									
Quality of session notes	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Overall record keeping	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				
Report writing skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A				

Gathers data from alternative sources (e.g., families and educators) when necessary	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of diagnostic/assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						
Interpersonal Relationships						
Develops good working alliance with client	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops good working relationships with professional staff	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops good working relationships with paraprofessional and support staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Shows sensitivity to issues of diverse characteristics	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Demonstrates leadership ability	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Responsiveness to supervision	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Willingness to learn	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Utilizes resources within and outside the Center	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						
Treatment Planning and Case Concept	uali	zation				
Development of case conceptualization based on all available data	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Development of case conceptualization based on empirical knowledge of the presenting problem	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Development of an empirically supported treatment plan	1	. 2	3	4	5	N/A
Interventions logically follow from a theory and case conceptualization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A

Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning processes as they relate to a case		1	2	3	4	5 N/A
Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development and behavior	c,	1	2	3	4	5 N/A
Collaborates with other professionals in planning and decision making	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						
Quality of Intervention						
Development of a therapeutic alliance with the identified client and/or family	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Development of an agenda for each session and sought agreement of the client for the agenda	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Use of homework where appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Uses empirical research to determine appropriate interventions	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops appropriate interventions for clients with different abilities and needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Ability to re-assess progress and develop new intervention plans	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Evaluates service outcomes and measurement of client progress	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of intervention skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						
Professional Conduct						
Utilizes relevant technology when delivering services (e.g., QuicDoc)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Consults with other professionals/provides feedback to colleagues	1	2	3	4	5	N/A

Responsib appearance		nabits (e.g.,	punctuali	ty, perso	nal		1	2	3	4	5		N/A
Meets resp	onsibilit	ies on time					1	2	3	4	5		N/A
Protects an	nd mainta	ins confide	entiality of	f case ma	aterials		1	2	3	4	5		N/A
Conducts ethical star		nanner con	sistent wi	th profes	sional and		1	2	3	4	5		N/A
		general edu hen making				1		2	3	4	5	N/A	A
Addition	nal Comi	ments:								-			
Summary Evaluation													
	ease exp	cement nextended and the color of the color		nis stude							_	ees o	verall
Supe	erior	_Above A	verage _	Ave	erage	Belo	w A	Avera	ge _	Ve	ry Bel	ow A	Average
3. What	letter gra	ade would	you assi	-	rainee for ation peri		r he	er ove	rall p	erfor	mance	duri	ing this
A	A-	B+	В	В-	C+	C		C-		D+	Ι	)	F
Supervis	sor's Sig	nature:							]	Date:			
I have re	ad this e	valuation a	and accep	ot the fee	edback, e	valuat	tion	ı, and	grad	ing fr	om th	is ins	structor.
Student	's Signat	ure:							_ D	ate: _			
	Th	ank you fo	or your co	ooperati	on in com	pletir	ıg t	he ev	aluat	ion fo	orm.		

### Appendix M

Fifth Year Internship Evaluation Form

#### St. John's University Internship Evaluation Form – Psy.D. Level V Program in School Psychology

Trainee:			Site:									
Supervisor:			From:		To: _							
Compared to of			training, please i		trainee i	in the	follo	owing				
1	2	3	4	5	5		N/A					
Extremely Inadequate	Somewhat Below Average	Average	Above Average	se Skillful		Extremely Skillful		•		Not .	Appl	icable
Significantly well below what one would expect at this level of training	Below what one expects at this level of training	About average for students you have supervised	Above average for students you have supervised at this level	studen have sup	ts you pervised		obse	erved				
		Diagnostic/Ass	sessment Skills									
Knowledge about	diagnostic catego	ories and criteria		1 2	3	4	5	N/A				
Knowledge of var	ried models and m	nethods of assessm	nent	1 2	3	4	5	N/A				

Gathers data from alternative sources (e.g., families, educators, a other in the community)	and 1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Adequacy of recommendations	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Quality of feedback conferences with parents and teachers	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Overall rating of diagnostic/assessment skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A			
Psychological tests used by the trainee under your supervision:									
Additional Comments:									
Psychotherapy, Counseling, Consultation and	Behavio	r Cha	nge Sl	cills					

Psychotherapy, Counseling, Consultation and Behavior Change Skills								
Demonstrates knowledge of consultation techniques and theory	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Demonstrates knowledge of human development and learning processes	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Demonstrates knowledge of the influence of social, cultural, ethnic and linguistic factors on development and learning	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Develops initial intervention planning	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Develops good working alliance with change agent	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Collaborates with other professionals in planning and decision making for individuals, groups and the school	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Counseling skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Consultation skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Behavior therapy	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Develops appropriate behavioral, affective, adaptive and social goals for students with different abilities and needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Develops appropriate cognitive and academic interventions for students with different abilities and needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		
Ability to re-assess progress and develop new intervention plans	1	2	3	4	5	N/A		

Provides prevention and intervention programming to promote mental health and students' well being	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Evaluates service outcomes and measurement of student progress	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Uses empirical research to determine appropriate interventions, programs and services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Ability to collaborate with parents and teachers	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall rating of intervention skills	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Comments:						

Professional Conduct						
Develops good working relationships with professional staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Develops good working relationships with paraprofessional and support staff	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Shows sensitivity to issues of diverse characteristics	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Demonstrates leadership ability	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Responsiveness to supervision	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Willingness to learn	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Utilizes resources within and outside the organization	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Utilizes relevant technology when delivering services	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Consults with other professionals	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Responsible work habits (e.g., punctuality, personal appearance)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Meets responsibilities on time	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Protects and maintains confidentiality of case materials	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Conducts self in a manner consistent with professional and ethical standards	1	2	3	4	5	N/A

Has knowledge services	of general educ	cation and	special e	education		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Works towards ulearning environ		olicies to ci	reate an	effective		1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Shows a desire t the literature, ex		•			with	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Additional Co	omments:										
			Summa	ry Evalu	ation						
1. How likely	would you red			s student strict or			for a p	ositi	on if c	one beca	ime open
Very I	ikely	_ Likely		_Unsure		1	J <b>nlik</b> e	ely		_Very U	Jnlikely
2. Compared	l to other train	nees at thi		nts level, ability?	how v	wou	ld you	ı rate	this t	rainees	overall
Superior	Above A	verage _	Aver	age	Belov	v A	verage	e	Very	Below	Average
3. What letter	grade would	you assig		ainee for		her	overa	ıll pe	rform	ance du	ring this
A A	. В+	В	В-	C+	C		C-	Ι	)+	D	F
Supervisor's S Position or Tit								_ D	ate: _		
	Certified S Licensed S					es			_No		
Student's Sig	nature:							Dat	e:		

Thank you for your cooperation in completing the evaluation form.

### Appendix N

Annual Student Progress Report

#### **Annual Student Progress Report**

September 20, 2017
Dear:
The faculty of the Department of Psychology, including the core School Psychology Program faculty, have conducted their annual review of your progress in the program for the academic year 2009-2010. As you are aware, the faculty evaluate your performance in four major areas that include: 1) evidence of academic attainment [e.g., GPA]; 2) competency in clinical skills [i.e., in practicum/externship/internship]; 3) development of interpersonal skills [e.g., working well with clients, parents of clients, teachers, staff, peers, and instructors]; 4) professional and personal responsibility. The faculty review information which includes your academic transcripts/grades, instructor comments, supervisor evaluations, professional and interpersonal interactions with peers and faculty, and any other information that may be available regarding performance in the program.
On the basis of the data and information used to conduct this review, the faculty are happy to report that you have made <u>satisfactory</u> progress in your education and training. By all account you appear to be progressing well and are developing the appropriate levels of skill and competency that are expected of other students at the same level in the program. Please accept our congratulations regarding your efforts and achievement.
If you have any questions regarding this review, you are welcome to schedule an appointment with your academic advisor or any other member of the School Psychology faculty. Otherwise no further action is necessary on your part and we encourage you to keep up the good work.
Sincerely,
Marlene Sotelo-Dynega, PsyD Professor and Director Graduate Programs in School Psychology

#### Appendix O

A Philosophy of Best Practices in Conducting Psychoeducational Assessments

#### A Philosophy of Best Practices in Conducting Psychoeducational Assessments

- 1. The fundamental question. All efforts and activities conducted in regard to the process of assessment, including pre-referral activities, should seek to answer the fundamental question, "why is the student unable to learn normally within the context of the regular classroom?"
- 2. Hypothesis driven assessment. Assessment is driven by a priori and a posteriori hypotheses. The fundamental a priori hypothesis is that the cause of the individual's learning difficulties is due to factors external to the individual. That is, assessment is conducted with the notion that there is nothing wrong with the individual and that systemic, ecological, or environmental factors are the primary reason for the observed learning problems. This hypothesis is retained when all plausible external factors have been ruled out as the primary cause of the observed difficulties. This hypothesis is rejected when the collected data suggest that the observed difficulties are the result of intrinsic dysfunction (e.g., cognitive processing deficits).
- 3. Focused assessment. Assessments should be focused on gathering data to answer specific questions and to test a priori hypotheses. Assessment should not be exploratory in nature and needlessly broad or vague. Assessment should not be conducted in a manner that seeks to uncover whatever dysfunction might arise by chance. Assessment is a hunting trip that targets specific game, not a fishing trip that casts a wide net to see what might be pulled in. In addition, procedures such as observation and interview should be as focused as all other activities with respect to the gathering of information that is relevant to answering specific questions, testing a priori hypotheses, and addressing well defined referral concerns.
- 4. No "standard battery." Assessments should be designed to meet the particular circumstances of the referral and idiosyncrasies of the individual. The use of a "standard battery" in assessment is antithetical to this notion, represents an unacceptable method of practice, and violates the legal mandates which specify that assessments must be appropriate and individualized.
- 5. No routine testing. Testing may or may not be a part of assessment. The use of standardized tests is not always a necessary component of every assessment and should not be used on a routine basis. When standardized tests are deemed necessary, a battery should be organized and individualized to answer specific, referral-relevant questions and test a priori hypotheses. The administration of unnecessary tests or those that are superfluous to the referral is invasive and should be avoided.
- 6. Systematic assessment. Assessment should be systematic, logical, and guided by an established framework that is specifically designed to focus on the areas of functioning that are relevant to the referral. Where necessary or relevant, assessment activities should be conducted in a manner that reduces potential bias or discrimination to the maximum extent possible.
- 7. Consider all data as important. Data gathered from activities other than testing, such as from observations, interviews, record reviews, authentic assessment, work samples, and so forth, are to be accorded equal weight in the determination of the causes of an individual's presumed or observed learning difficulties.

- 8. Multiple, corroborating data sources. Decisions regarding the feasibility of and given factor or factors as the primary cause of the individual's reported difficulties must not be based on only one procedure or data source. Diagnostic decisions should always be based on corroborating evidence from multiple sources and types of data.
- 9. Link assessment to intervention. Psychoeducational assessment reports should reflect the process outlined above and must answer the fundamental question regarding the cause of the individual's reported or observed learning difficulties. The report reflects the professional opinion of the assessor and should include a clear description and summary of the findings, a diagnosis (if applicable), and specific recommendations for intervention.
- 10. Limits of competency. Students should not engage in any assessment activities for which they have no training or competency, unless such activities are conducted under the close supervision and guidance of a practitioner who possesses the necessary knowledge and expertise to guide the student and the assessment.

### Appendix P

Comprehensive Examination Scoring Rubric

#### **Assessment Comprehensive Examination Scoring Rubric**

This rubric was developed and modified from the National Certification in School Psychology (NCSP) Case Study to provide feedback on specific areas of your assessment case write-up. School psychologists are expected to have knowledge of assessment-related issues from operationalizing referral concerns and selecting assessment instruments, to designing interventions and/or offering recommendations. School psychologists collaborate effectively with others in planning and decision-making processes at the individual, group, and system levels. This sheet will be completed by the evaluator and will be attached to the final grading sheet offered by the Graduate office of St. John's College. Students must receive a minimum of 80 out of the possible 100 points to receive a passing evaluation.

Student ID#\_\_\_\_\_

Sect	tion 1: Referral Concern	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1	The student's/client's area(s) of academic difficulty or social	4	3	2	1
	emotional difficulty are clearly defined.				
2	The initial referral is operationalized through collaborative	4	3	2	1
	efforts (e.g., reading referral is operationalized via teacher				
	interview as a reading decoding issue, behavioral and emotional				
	problems are operationalized as specific behaviors and displays of emotion).				
3	Inquiry into initial referral involves a wide range of possible	4	3	2	1
	consideration of relevant social, emotional, environmental,	1	3		1
	family, and school factors (e.g., behavior, motivation) to explain				
	the problem.				
4	The evaluator collects initial pre-referral intervention	4	3	2	1
	information (e.g., teacher/parent report regarding what has been				
	tried in the past) to alleviate or correct the problem.				
	Section total score	/16			
Sec	tion 2: Assessment Planning	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
5	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s)	4	3	2	1
	were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents,				
	as well as the extant literature.				
6	Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g.,	4	3	2	1
	standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow				
	the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.				
7	Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning	4	3	2	1
′	and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social,		5	_	1
	emotional, environmental, cognitive factors).				
	Section total score	/12			
Sect	tion 3: Evaluation/Interpretation	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
8	Evaluation included instruments and procedures appropriate to	4	3	2	1
	the referral concern as well as examinee needs and factors (e.g.,				
	age, cultural/linguistic differences, rapport development, length				
	of testing considerations, specific needs of examinee).).				
9	Evaluation included standardized procedures and/or a	4	3	2	1
	systematic assessment approach (e.g., cross-battery assessment				
	principles and procedures; curriculum-based assessment				
	procedures; multi-method assessment, or integrates rating scales/measures of pathology, classroom behavioral				
	observations, and clinical interviews).				
	observacions, and enimed interviews j.			1	

Follow-up measures are administered where needed and/or additional data collection methods were utilized.   Specific task performances or from formulating a hypothesis to using data from social-mentional scales to disconfirm or corroborate the hypothesis with appropriate consideration of the impact of non-cognitive factors on test performances is considered.   2						
Interpretation of data moves from interpretable global indices to specific task performances or from formulating a hypothesis to using data from social-emotional scales to disconfirm or corroborate the hypothesis with appropriate consideration of the impact of non-cognitive factors on test performances is considered.    Interpretation of foncine the hypothesis with appropriate consideration of the impact of non-cognitive factors on test performances is considered.   Interpretation of the hypothesis with appropriate consideration of the impact of non-cognitive factors on test performances is considered.   Interpretation of the impact of non-cognitive factors on the propriate and defined in the examiner of the examiner of the examiner's identification of specific areas to assess are guided by current research or theory.   Section total score	10	,	4	3	2	1
examinee's performance varies (e.g., task stimuli, testing of limits, behavioral differences across classes, teachers, home versus school differences).  33 Areas assessed within the evaluation allow the examiner to fully address all elements of the referral and examines evidence that the examiner's identification of specific areas to assess are guided by current research or theory.  Section total score  Section 4: Diagnostic Impressions/Conclusions  4 3 2 1  4 An appropriate and defensible conceptual framework was applied in addressing the reason and purpose of the referral to generate the necessary and relevant data.  15 The assessment data and information were integrated across domains in an appropriate and defensible manner to arrive at conclusions and inferences which correctly explain the available data including contradictory or anomalous findings.  16 The diagnostic impression is consistent with a sound theoretical, legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by the available data.  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendation selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.  18 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  4 3 2 1  10 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  A 3 2 1  1 1  24 The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  1 24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of	11	Interpretation of data moves from interpretable global indices to specific task performances or from formulating a hypothesis to using data from social-emotional scales to disconfirm or corroborate the hypothesis with appropriate consideration of the impact of non-cognitive factors on test performances is	4	3	2	1
address all elements of the referral and examines evidence that the examiner's identification of specific areas to assess are guided by current research or theory.  Section total score/24  Section 4: Diagnostic Impressions/Conclusions	12	examinee's performance varies (e.g., task stimuli, testing of limits, behavioral differences across classes, teachers, home versus	4	3	2	1
Section 4: Diagnostic Impressions/Conclusions  14 An appropriate and defensible conceptual framework was applied in addressing the reason and purpose of the referral to generate the necessary and relevant data.  15 The assessment data and information were integrated across domains in an appropriate and defensible manner to arrive at conclusions and inferences which correctly explain the available data including contradictory or anomalous findings.  16 The diagnostic impression is consistent with a sound theoretical, legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by the available data.  Section total score  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations  Good Fair Poor Fail  17 Intervention/recommendations selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.  18 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  19 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  4 3 2 1  19 Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Section total score  Section 4 3 2 1  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  20 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Good Fair Poor Fail  23 The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  1 The writing is grammatically correct, free of 4 3 2 2 1	13	address all elements of the referral and examines evidence that the examiner's identification of specific areas to assess are guided	4	3	2	1
An appropriate and defensible conceptual framework was applied in addressing the reason and purpose of the referral to generate the necessary and relevant data.  15 The assessment data and information were integrated across domains in an appropriate and defensible manner to arrive at conclusions and inferences which correctly explain the available data including contradictory or anomalous findings.  16 The diagnostic impression is consistent with a sound theoretical, legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by the available data.  Section total score  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations  Section total score  J12  Section 5: Intervention/recommendation selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.  18 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  19 Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Section total score  Poor Fail  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  20 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  To the writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  The writing is grammatically correct, free of		Section total score	/24			
An appropriate and defensible conceptual framework was applied in addressing the reason and purpose of the referral to generate the necessary and relevant data.  15 The assessment data and information were integrated across domains in an appropriate and defensible manner to arrive at conclusions and inferences which correctly explain the available data including contradictory or anomalous findings.  16 The diagnostic impression is consistent with a sound theoretical, legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by the available data.  Section total score  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations  Section total score  J12  Section 5: Intervention/recommendation selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.  18 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  19 Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Section total score  Poor Fail  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  20 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  To the writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  The writing is grammatically correct, free of	Sect	tion 4: Diagnostic Impressions/Conclusions	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
domains in an appropriate and defensible manner to arrive at conclusions and inferences which correctly explain the available data including contradictory or anomalous findings.  16 The diagnostic impression is consistent with a sound theoretical, legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by the available data.  Section total score  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations  Intervention/recommendations Good Fair Poor Fail  17 Intervention/recommendation selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.  Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  4 3 2 1  19 Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  20 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  22 The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Good Fair Poor Fail  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Fair Poor Fail  The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  1 4 The writing is grammatically correct, free of	14	An appropriate and defensible conceptual framework was applied in addressing the reason and purpose of the referral to generate the necessary and relevant data.	4	3	2	1
legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by the available data.  Section total score/12  Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations		domains in an appropriate and defensible manner to arrive at conclusions and inferences which correctly explain the available data including contradictory or anomalous findings.	4			
Section 5: Intervention/Recommendations  17 Intervention/recommendation selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.  18 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  19 Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section total score  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  22 The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Good Fair Poor Fail  3 2 1  The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  1 24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of  4 3 2 1	16	legal, or other evidence-based framework and fully supported by	4	3	2	1
Intervention/recommendation selection is the result of systematic data-based decision making.   18		Section total score	/12			
systematic data-based decision making.  18 Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.  19 Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  20 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  4 3 2 1  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  4 3 2 1  The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of  4 3 2 1	Sect		Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the needs of the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section total score  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  The writing is grammatically correct, free of  4 3 2 1  4 3 2 1  4 3 2 1		systematic data-based decision making.				
the student including attention to characteristics related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic differences).  Section total score  Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  22 The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of  4 3 2 1  25 2 1	18	Interventions/recommendations are empirically supported.	4			1
Section 6: Legal/Ethical Considerations  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.  Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  The writing is grammatically correct, free of  Fail  Poor Fail  The writing is grammatically correct, free of  4 3 2 1	19	the student including attention to characteristics related to	4	3	2	1
Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable ethical and professional standards.			,			
ethical and professional standards.  21 Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  22 The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score/12  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component Good Fair Poor Fail  23 The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of 4 3 2 1			1		_	Fail
federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  22 The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score/12  Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component Good Fair Poor Fail  23 The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of 4 3 2 1	20		4	3	2	1
philosophy of the program.   Section total score  /12     Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component   Good   Fair   Poor   Fail     23   The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.   4   3   2   1     24   The writing is grammatically correct, free of   4   3   2   1						
Section 7: Evaluation of Written Component  23 The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.  4 3 2 1  24 The writing is grammatically correct, free of  4 3 2 1		Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.				
23The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.432124The writing is grammatically correct, free of4321		Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the				
23The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.432124The writing is grammatically correct, free of4321		Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.	4	3		
	22	Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score	4	3	2	1
	22 Sect	Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with applicable federal and state legal and statutory regulations.  The assessment was consistent with best practices that reflect the philosophy of the program.  Section total score tion 7: Evaluation of Written Component	4 /12 Good	3 Fair	2 Poor	1 Fail

25	The writing presents a persuasive and convincing opinion regarding the findings.	4	3	2	1	
	Section total score	/12				
	EXAM TOTAL SCORE	/10	<b>0</b> ]	PASS [	F	AIL 🗌
Evalu	nator's Signature: Date:					

#### Consultation Comprehensive Examination Scoring Rubric

This rubric was developed and modified from the National Certification in School Psychology (NCSP) Case Study to provide feedback on specific areas of your case study write-up. School psychologists are expected to have knowledge of behavioral, mental health, collaborative, and/or other consultation models and methods and of their application to particular situations. School psychologists collaborate effectively with others in planning and decision-making processes at the individual, group, and system levels. This sheet will be completed by the evaluator and will be attached to the final grading sheet offered by the Graduate Office of St. John's College. Students must receive a minimum of 80 out of the possible 100 points to receive a passing evaluation. Students are to write their papers following the structure of the rubric and have section headers reflecting each of the sections below

Student ID#\_\_\_\_\_

Sect	ion 1: Problem Identification	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1	Baseline includes the student behavior and peer/grade norms.	3	2	1	0
2	The target behavior/problem is correctly identified, prioritized, operationally defined (observable, measurable, quantifiable)	3	2	1	0
3a	The consultant and the consultee collaboratively develop a treatment goal: (s) including audience, behavior, degree/criterion, and context.	3	2	1	0
<i>3b</i>	Treatment goal includes audience, behavior, degree/criterion and context	3	2	1	0
4	Parents/guardians and teachers are involved in the problem-identification process. If parents/guardians <i>not</i> involved, explain why.	3	2	1	0
	Section total score	/1	15		
Sect	ion 2: Problem Analysis	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
5	Hypotheses are generated through collaboration with teacher and/or parent.	3	2	1	0
6	There are multiple sources of data (e.g., interviews, direct observation, questionnaires) from multiple individuals (e.g., teacher, parent, student) that converge on each proposed hypothesis. If they do not converge, explain why that might be.	3	2	1	0
7a	All relevant information is used to generate hypotheses regarding the function of the students behavior including: antecedents	3	2	1	0
7 <b>b</b>	Setting events	3	2	1	0
7c	Consequences	3	2	1	0
8	Hypotheses reflect an awareness of issues of diversity (e.g., physical, social, linguistic, cultural). Note: This item applies to all consultees and clients, regardless of their race, religion, ethnicity, nationality, etc.	3	2	1	0
	Section total score	/1	18		

Section	on 3: Intervention from Consultation	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
9	Intervention(s) selection is based on data from problem analysis and hypothesis testing (intervention must be linked to assessment!)	3	2	1	0
10a	Intervention(s) is evidence-based (e.g., research literature, functional analysis, single case design analysis) for the <i>target problem</i> and references are cited/presented	3	2	1	0
10b	Intervention(s) are selected following the model for least intrusive interventions (i.e. Level 1) prior to moving to a more intrusive interventions through the hierarchy (i.e. Level 2, 3 and 4).	3	2	1	0
11	Intervention(s) is developed collaboratively with parent/teacher and implemented by the consultee (i.e., demonstrates that this is a consultation case versus an intervention case)	3	2	1	0
12a	Intervention plan includes relevant: antecedent-based strategies (prevention),	3	2	1	0
12b	Replacement skill instruction	3	2	1	0
12c	Consequence-based strategies (reinforcement)	3	2	1	0
13	Intervention(s) reflects sensitivity to individual differences, resources, classroom practices, and other system issues. For example, logistics of setting, time, resources, and personnel are included in the intervention plan.	3	2	1	0
14	Acceptability of intervention is verified	3	2	1	0
15	Intervention selection considers unintended outcomes or limitations	3	2	1	0
16a	Treatment integrity checklist is used by the consultee for self-monitoring, and by the consultant during direct observation of plan implementation (e.g. observation occurs a minimum of 2 times).	3	2	1	0
16b	Following treatment integrity observations, consultant provides feedback to the consultee regarding implementation and recommendations for improvement.	3	2	1	0
	Section total score	/3	6		
Section	on 4: Evaluation	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
17	Single-case design was correctly specified (e.g., changing criterion, AB, multiple baseline, reversal, alternating treatment, etc.) and limitations with selected design are discussed	3	2	1	0
18	Graphing conventions are all included (e.g. axis labels, phase labels, black and white, etc.)	3	2	1	0
19	Progress monitoring data are reviewed and analyzed for effectiveness compared to baseline. If success is limited, explain why this might be.	3	2	1	0

20	Visual analysis of graphs (level, trend, immediacy, variability) is used to describe data and inform adjustments if deemed necessary.	3	2	1	0
21	Calculation of effect size demonstrating impact of intervention on client. If effect size does not demonstrate an impact, explain why there may not have been an impact (e.g., discuss variables that may have impacted treatment outcome). <i>Note:</i> Must explain how effect size was calculated.	3	2	1	0
22	Generalization strategies are incorporated in intervention plan (e.g supporting behavior across different settings, people, times). Results of generalization are reported and graphed if time allows for implementation.	3	2	1	0
23	Maintenance strategies (or modifications if necessary) are considered based upon collaborative examination of effectiveness data.	3	2	1	0
	Section total score	/2	21		
Secti	on 5: Legal/Ethical Considerations	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
24	All aspects of the case intervention plan and implementation complied with applicable ethical and professional standards and legal guidelines. Communication as to which guidelines were complied with and how so should be indicated.	3	2	1	0
	Section total score	/3	3		
Secti	on 6: Overall Evaluation of Written Component	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
25	The written component of the examination was clear and	3	2	1	0
	demonstrated that the candidate is able to write in a manner reflective of the graduate degree. (e.g grammatically correct, free of typos, spelling, APA format, etc.)				
26	reflective of the graduate degree. (e.g grammatically correct,	4	3	2	1
26	reflective of the graduate degree. (e.g grammatically correct, free of typos, spelling, APA format, etc.)  Support materials are provided with final submission as appendices (e.g., ABC data, interview data, questionnaire	4		2	1
	reflective of the graduate degree. (e.g grammatically correct, free of typos, spelling, APA format, etc.)  Support materials are provided with final submission as appendices (e.g., ABC data, interview data, questionnaire data, intervention integrity data).			2	1
XAM	reflective of the graduate degree. (e.g grammatically correct, free of typos, spelling, APA format, etc.)  Support materials are provided with final submission as appendices (e.g., ABC data, interview data, questionnaire data, intervention integrity data).  Section total score			2	1
<b>EXAM</b> Evaluat	reflective of the graduate degree. (e.g grammatically correct, free of typos, spelling, APA format, etc.)  Support materials are provided with final submission as appendices (e.g., ABC data, interview data, questionnaire data, intervention integrity data).  Section total score  TOTAL SCORE/100 PASS FAIL			2	1

# Psychological Intervention Comprehensive Examination Scoring Rubric

This rubric was developed and modified from the National Certification in School Psychology (NCSP) Case Study to provide feedback on specific areas of your written case study. School psychologists are expected to have knowledge of behavioral, mental health, collaborative, and/or other consultation models and methods and of their application to particular situations. School psychologists collaborate effectively with others in planning and decision-making processes at the individual, group, and system levels. This sheet will be completed by the faculty evaluator and will be attached to the final grading sheet offered by the Graduate Office of St. John's College. Students must receive a minimum of 80 out of the possible 100 points to receive a passing evaluation. Students are encouraged to write their papers following the structure of the rubric and have section headers reflecting each of the sections below.

Student ID#

		Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1.	The presenting problems were collaboratively identified using teacher(s), parent(s), and child reports. Explanation was provided if this criteria were not met.	4	3	2	1
2.	The problem was assessed using multiple methods of data collection (e.g., paper and pencil questionnaires, observation, interviews with multiple informants a functional behavior analysis, exploration of intervening cognitions. An explanation was provided if this criteria was not met)	4	3	2	1
3.	The target behaviors were operationally defined	4	3	2	1
4.	The target behaviors were discussed in the context of appropriate developmental expectations (ex. norms, grade level expectations, age expectations)	4	3	2	1
5.	Baseline measures of the target problem behaviors were obtained (measures should reflect current evidence-based methodology)	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/2	20		
		Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
6.	A case conceptualization was generated that integrated information collected from multiple informants and measures. Explanation was provided if this criteria was not met	4	3	2	1
7.	The case conceptualization reflected an awareness of issues of diversity (e.g., physical, social, class, linguistic, religious, cultural)	4	3	2	1
8.	The case conceptualization was based on an evidence-based theory or empirical findings and logically followed form these positions.	4	3	2	1

9.	The case conceptualization included hypothetical mediating variables proposed by theories that were clearly separated from the outcome measures.	4	3	2	1
1	The case conceptualization was re-formulated if the interventions failed to result obtain positive results	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/2	20		
		Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1	The intervention was clearly defined and followed from the case conceptualization and FBA	4	3	2	1
1.	An agreement on the goals of therapy was accomplished to form a good therapeutic alliance	4	3	2	1
1.	An explanation of the intervention was provided to the client and the client understood and consented to the intervention?	4	3	2	1
1	Interventions reflected the best evidence-based practice. Explanation was provided for why the intervention was used if this criteria was not met	4	3	2	1
1.	If multiple problems exist that required intervention, a rationale was provided concerning which order that the problems were treated.	4	3	2	1
1	Interventions were modified, adapted, or terminated as was necessary by their unacceptability to stakeholders, ruptures in the alliance or ineffectiveness (if not applicable, score as effective)	4	3	2	1
1	Plan for the continued course of therapy, termination, or follow-up is presented. Explanation was provided if not met	4	3	2	1
1.		4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/3	32		
		Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1	Progress monitoring data were present and included measures of the target outcome behavior(s)	4	3	2	1
2	Outcomes were charted included trend lines, and/or goal lines of the client's performance	4	3	2	1
2.	Effect size(s) (R, R <sup>2</sup> or d) were calculated demonstrating the impact of intervention on client	4	3	2	1
2.	effect sizes and graphic displays were discussed in text with regard to their meaning for the treatment outcome.	4	3	2	1
2.	If progress monitoring data indicated no or insufficient progress, a new case conceptualization hypothesis was developed and modified treatment was implemented (if not	4	3	2	1

applicable, score as effective)				
Section total score	/2	0		
	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
2 All aspects of the case intervention plan and implementation complied with applicable ethical and professional standards and legal guidelines	4	3	2	1
Section total score	/4			
	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
2 The paper was logically organized. The writing was grammatically correct and contained no typographical or spelling errors. Citations and references were correctly formatted in APA style.	4	3	2	1
Section total score	/4			
Evaluator's Signature:				
Julianoi di Digilanaio.				

### Appendix R

Professional Practice Competency Exam Evaluation Form

# Assessment Professional Practice Competency Examination Scoring Rubric

Students must receive a minimum of 80 out of the possible 100 points to receive a passing evaluation. Students are encouraged to write their papers following the structure of the rubric and have section headers reflecting each of the sections below.

Student ID#

Se	ction 1: Referral Concern	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1	The student's/client's area(s) of academic difficulty or	4	3	2	1
	social emotional difficulty are clearly defined.				
2	The initial referral is operationalized through	4	3	2	1
	collaborative efforts (e.g., reading referral is				
	operationalized via teacher interview as a reading				
	decoding issue, behavioral and emotional problems are				
	operationalized as specific behaviors and displays of				
	emotion).				4
3	Inquiry into initial referral involves a wide range of	4	3	2	1
	possible consideration of relevant social, emotional,				
	environmental, family, and school factors (e.g., behavior,				
4	motivation) to explain the problem.	4	3	2	1
4	The evaluator collects initial pre-referral intervention information (e.g., teacher/parent report regarding what	4	3		1
	has been tried in the past) to alleviate or correct the				
	problem.				
5	Parents/ guardians, teachers, and other relevant	4	3	2	1
	individuals were involved in the initial referral process.	-			
		/2	Λ	1	
	Section total score	12	U		
Se		Good	V Fair	Poor	Fail
Se 6	ction 2: Assessment Planning  Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral			Poor 2	<b>Fail</b>
	ction 2: Assessment Planning	Good	Fair	1	<b>Fail</b>
	ction 2: Assessment Planning Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral	Good	Fair 3	2	Fail 1
	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were	Good	Fair	1	<b>Fail</b> 1
6	Ction 2: Assessment Planning  Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work	<b>Good</b> 4	Fair 3	2	1
6	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral	<b>Good</b> 4	Fair 3	2	1
6	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to	<b>Good</b> 4	Fair 3	2	1
7	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.	4	<b>Fair</b> 3	2	1
6	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of	<b>Good</b> 4	Fair 3	2	1
7	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g.,	4	<b>Fair</b> 3	2	1
7	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social, emotional, environmental, cognitive	4	<b>Fair</b> 3	2	1
7	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social, emotional, environmental, cognitive factors).	4 4	3 3 3	2	1
8	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social, emotional, environmental, cognitive factors).  Section total score	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 2	1 1
8 Se	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social, emotional, environmental, cognitive factors).  Section total score ction 3: Evaluation/Interpretation	4 4 4 6 71 Good	3 3 3 Fair	2 2 Poor	1 1 1 Fail
8	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social, emotional, environmental, cognitive factors).  Section total score ction 3: Evaluation/Interpretation  Evaluation included instruments and procedures	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 2	1
8 Se	Hypotheses regarding the reasons for the referral concern(s) were generated through collaboration with teachers and parents, as well as the extant literature.  Multiple sources of data collection methods were considered (e.g., standardized measures, interviews, work samples, progress monitoring data, behavioral observations, etc.) that would allow the examiner to evaluate each proposed hypothesis.  Hypotheses reflect an awareness of the complexity of learning and behavioral, and emotional issues (e.g., physical, social, emotional, environmental, cognitive factors).  Section total score ction 3: Evaluation/Interpretation	4 4 4 6 71 Good	3 3 3 Fair	2 2 Poor	1 1 1 Fail

10	Evaluation included standardized procedures and/or a	4	3	2	1
	systematic assessment approach (e.g., cross-battery				
	assessment principles and procedures; curriculum-based				
	assessment procedures; multi-method assessment, or				
	integrates rating scales/measures of pathology, classroom				
	behavioral observations, and clinical interviews).				
11	Evaluation considers the needs of the examinee (e.g.,	4	3	2	1
	rapport development, length of testing considerations,				
	specific needs of examinee).				
12	Follow-up measures are administered where needed	4	3	2	1
	and/or additional data collection methods were utilized.		_	_	
13	Impact of non-cognitive factors on test performances is	4	3	2	1
	considered.		_		
14	Interpretation of data moves from interpretable global	4	3	2	1
	indices to specific task performances or from formulating				
	a hypothesis to using data from social-emotional scales to				
1.0	disconfirm or corroborate the hypothesis.	4	2	_	1
15	Examiner identifies conditions, situations or stimuli under	4	3	2	1
	which examinee's performance varies (e.g., task stimuli,				
	testing of limits, behavioral differences across classes,				
16	teachers, home versus school differences).  Areas assessed within the evaluation allow the examiner	4	3	2	1
10		4	3	2	l I
	to fully address all elements of the referral and examines evidence that the examiner's identification of specific				
	areas to assess are guided by current research or theory.				
	Section total score	/3	.2		
Se	ction 4: Intervention/Recommendations	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
17	Intervention/recommendation selection is the result of	4	3	2	1
1	systematic data-based decision making.			_	1
18	Interventions/recommendations are empirically	4	3	2	1
	supported.			_	
19	Interventions/recommendations are appropriate to the	4	3	2	1
	needs of the student including attention to characteristics				
	related to diversity (e.g., type of disability, linguistic				
	differences).				
	Section total score	/1	2		
Se	ction 5: Legal/Ethical Considerations	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
20	Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with	4	3	2	1
L	applicable ethical and professional standards.				
21	Relevant aspects of the assessment complied with	4	3	2	1
	applicable federal and state legal and statutory				
	regulations.				
22	The assessment was consistent with best practices that	4	3	2	1
	reflect the philosophy of the program.				
	Section total score	/1	2	•	
Sa	ction 6: Evaluation of Written Component	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
	celon of Evaluation of trineton component			_ 000	1 0000

23	The writing is logical, well organized, and clear.	3	2	1	0
24	The writing is grammatically correct, free of	3	2	1	0
	typographical/spelling errors, and readily				
	comprehensible.				
25	The writing presents a persuasive and convincing	3	2	1	0
	opinion regarding the findings.				
	Section total score	/9	)		
Sec	tion 7: Evaluation of Oral Component	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
26	The candidate's responses to questions by the committee	3	2	1	0
	were appropriate, well-thought out and consistent with				
	the scientific literature.				
	Section total score	/3			

	EXAM TOTAL SCO	RE/10	00 PASS	FAIL
Evaluator's Signature:				
Date:				

### Psychological Intervention Professional Practice Competency Examination Scoring Rubric

Students must receive a minimum of 80 out of the possible 100 points to receive a passing evaluation. Students are encouraged to write their papers following the structure of the rubric and have section headers reflecting each of the sections below.

Student ID#\_\_\_\_\_

		Good	Fair	Poo	Fail
1.	The presenting problems were collaboratively identified using teacher(s), parent(s), and child reports. Explanation was provided if this criteria were not met.	4	3	2	1
2.	The problem was assessed using multiple methods of data collection (e.g., paper and pencil questionnaires, observation, interviews with multiple informants a functional behavior analysis, exploration of intervening cognitions. An explanation was provided if this criteria was not met)	4	3	2	1
<i>3</i> .	The target behaviors were operationally defined	4	3	2	1
4.	The target behaviors were discussed in the context of appropriate developmental expectations (ex. norms, grade level expectations, age expectations)	4	3	2	1
5.	Baseline measures of the target problem behaviors were obtained (measures should reflect current evidence-based methodology)	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/2	0		
	Section total score	/2 Good		Poo r	Fail
6.	A case conceptualization was generated that integrated information collected from multiple informants and measures. Explanation was provided if this criteria was not met				<b>Fail</b>
6. 7.	A case conceptualization was generated that integrated information collected from multiple informants and measures. Explanation was provided if this criteria was not met  The case conceptualization reflected an awareness of issues of diversity (e.g., physical, social, class, linguistic,	Good	Fair	r	
	A case conceptualization was generated that integrated information collected from multiple informants and measures. Explanation was provided if this criteria was not met  The case conceptualization reflected an awareness of issues	Good 4	<b>Fair</b> 3	<i>r</i> 2	1
7.	A case conceptualization was generated that integrated information collected from multiple informants and measures. Explanation was provided if this criteria was not met  The case conceptualization reflected an awareness of issues of diversity (e.g., physical, social, class, linguistic, religious, cultural)  The case conceptualization was based on an evidence-based theory or empirical findings and logically followed	<b>Good</b> 4	<i>Fair</i> 3	2 2	1

	Section total score	/2	20		
		Good	Fair	Poo	Fail
11.	The intervention was clearly defined and followed from the case conceptualization and FBA	4	3	2	1
12.	An agreement on the goals of therapy was accomplished to form a good therapeutic alliance	4	3	2	1
13.	An explanation of the intervention was provided to the client and the client understood and consented to the intervention?	4	3	2	1
14.	Interventions reflected the best evidence-based practice. Explanation was provided for why the intervention was used if this criteria was not met	4	3	2	1
15.	If multiple problems exist that required intervention, a rationale was provided concerning which order that the problems were treated.	4	3	2	1
16.	Interventions were modified, adapted, or terminated as was necessary by their unacceptability to stakeholders, ruptures in the alliance or ineffectiveness (if not applicable, score as effective)	4	3	2	1
17.	Plan for the continued course of therapy, termination, or follow-up is presented. Explanation was provided if not met	4	3	2	1
18.	Strategies for maintaining effect after termination (ex. attaining transfer/generalization of outcomes to other settings) were devised and their evidence based discussed (if the case is on-going, score as effective)	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/3	32		
		Good	Fair	Poo r	Fail
19.	Progress monitoring data were present and included measures of the target outcome behavior(s)	4	3	2	1
20.	Outcomes were charted included trend lines, and/or goal lines of the client's performance	4	3	2	1
21.	Effect size(s) (R, R <sup>2</sup> or d) were calculated demonstrating the impact of intervention on client	4	3	2	1
22.	The progress monitoring and outcome data presented in effect sizes and graphic displays were discussed in text with regard to their meaning for the treatment outcome.	4	3	2	1
23.	If progress monitoring data indicated no or insufficient progress, a new case conceptualization hypothesis was developed and modified treatment was implemented (if not applicable, score as effective)	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/2	20		

		Good	Fair	Poo r	Fail
24. All aspects of the case intervention pla implementation complied with applica professional standards and legal guide	ble ethical and	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/4			
Evaluation of Writter	Component	Good	Fa	ir	Fail
25. The paper was logically organized. The grammatically correct and contained nerrors. Citations and references were capacitated APA style.	o, typos or spelling	2	1		0
	Section total score	/2			
Section 7: Evaluation of Oral Co	mponent	Good	Fa	iir	Fail
<b>26.</b> The candidate's responses to questions were appropriate, well-thought out and scientific literature.	by the committee	2	1		0
<u> </u>					

	EXAM TOTAL SCORE	/100	PASS	FAII
Evaluator's Signature:				
Date <sup>.</sup>				

### Consultation Professional Practice Competency Examination Scoring Rubric

Students must receive a minimum of 80 out of the possible 100 points to receive a passing evaluation. Students are to write their papers following the structure of the rubric and have section headers reflecting each of the sections below

Student ID#\_\_\_\_\_

Se	ction 1: Problem Identification	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
1	Baseline includes the student behavior and peer/grade norms.	4	3	2	1
2	The target behavior or problem is identified, clearly stated, operationally defined (observable, measurable, quantifiable)	4	3	2	1
3	The consultant and the consultee collaboratively develop a treatment goal (s) including audience, behavior, degree/criterion, and context.	4	3	2	1
4	Parents/guardians and teachers are involved in the problem-identification process. If parents/guardians <i>not</i> involved, explain why.	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/1	.6		
Se	ction 2: Problem Analysis	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
5	Hypotheses are generated through collaboration with teacher and/or parent.	4	3	2	1
6	There are multiple sources of data (e.g., interviews, direct observation, questionnaires) from multiple individuals (e.g., teacher, parent, student) that converge on each proposed hypothesis. If they do not converge, explain why that might be.	4	3	2	1
7	All relevant antecedents, consequences, and setting events are identified and used to generate hypotheses about the function(s) of the student's behavior	4	3	2	1
8	Hypotheses reflect an awareness of issues of diversity (e.g., physical, social, linguistic, cultural). Note: This item applies to all consultees and clients, regardless of their race, religion, ethnicity, nationality, etc.	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/1	.6		
Se	ction 3: Intervention from Consultation	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
9	Intervention(s) selection is based on data from problem analysis and hypothesis testing (intervention must be linked to assessment!)	4	3	2	1
10	Intervention(s) is evidence-based (e.g., research literature, functional analysis, single case design analysis) for the <i>target problem</i> and references are cited/presented	4	3	2	1
11	Intervention(s) is developed collaboratively with parent/teacher and implemented by the consultee (i.e.,	4	3	2	1

	demonstrates that this is a consultation case versus an				
	intervention case)				
12	Intervention plan includes relevant antecedent-based strategies (prevention), teaching replacement skills or behaviors, and/or consequence-based strategies	4	3	2	1
13	Intervention(s) reflects sensitivity to individual differences, resources, classroom practices, and other system issues. For example, logistics of setting, time, resources, and personnel are included in the intervention plan.	4	3	2	1
14	Acceptability of intervention is verified	4	3	2	1
15	Intervention selection considers unintended outcomes or limitations	4	3	2	1
16	Intervention is monitored and intervention integrity data is collected to ensure that it is implemented as designed. If intervention was not implemented with fidelity, explain why, and how you attempted to rectify this.	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/3	52		
Se	ction 4: Evaluation	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
17	Single-case design was specified (e.g., changing criterion, AB, multiple baseline, reversal, alternating treatment, etc.)	4	3	2	1
18	Charting (graph) includes student performance trend lines, and/or goal lines.	4	3	2	1
19	Progress monitoring data are demonstrated to be effective when compared to data generated from baseline data and data from multiple sources/settings. If not effective, explain why this might be.	4	3	2	1
20	Visual analysis of graphs are used to explain progress monitoring data to inform problem solving and decision making; i.e., the intervention plan was adjusted as needed (or not adjusted) based on data showing how student was responding to the plan.	4	3	2	1
21	Calculation of effect size demonstrating impact of intervention on client. If effect size does not demonstrate an impact, explain why there may not have been an impact (e.g., discuss variables that may have impacted treatment outcome). Note: Must explain how effect size was calculated.	4	3	2	1
22	Strategies for transfer/ generalizing outcomes to other settings are documented as effective. If not effective, explain why this might be.	4	3	2	1
23	Strategies for following up, including maintenance and or modifications for future interventions for this student are considered based upon collaborative examination of effectiveness data.	4	3	2	1

	Section total score	/2	8		
Se	ction 5: Legal/Ethical Considerations	Good	Fair	Poor	Fail
24	All aspects of the case intervention plan and implementation complied with applicable ethical and professional standards and legal guidelines. Communication as to which guidelines were complied with and how so should be indicated.	4	3	2	1
	Section total score	/4			
	Evaluation of Written Component	Good	Fa	ir .	Fail
25		2	1		0
	Section total score	/2			
Se	ction 7: Evaluation of Oral Component	Good	Fa	ir	Fail
26	The candidate's responses to questions by the committee were appropriate, well-thought out and consistent with the scientific literature.	2	1		0
	Section total score	/2			
Evalı	AM TOTAL SCORE/100 PASS				
Date:					

### Appendix R

Outline of the Required Sections of the Psy.D. Dissertation

The Dissertation Contract Form

The Dissertation Planning Form

### ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY DOCTOR OF PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

#### Two Sections of the Doctoral Dissertation

The doctoral dissertation for this program will consist of two parts that reflect the practitioner-scientist model of the program and the research skills mentioned in the State Education Doctoral Project preamble.

#### Section 1

Students will be required to prepare a professional practice work sample. Please see the Professional Practice Competency Exam section of this handbook for a more thorough description (section IV, "N").

#### Section 2

Students will be required to prepare an empirical data based paper. The first step in this process is submission of a research proposal. Please see the doctoral dissertation section of this handbook for a more thorough description (section IV, "O").

### Dissertation Requirement: Section 1

### Professional Practice Competency Examination

Students will prepare a professional practice case study for this examination. The case must be based on school-based practice. While some of you may have had limited exposure to school based clients during your 4th year externship, the case presentation needs to focus on practices that would be expected of a school psychologist. The case study can be of an assessment case, a consultation case with teachers, parents or administrators concerning a classroom, school wide or a district wide consultation. The work sample may also include an individual counseling, group counseling, or crisis intervention case, the development of a psychoeducational intervention program, a token economy, a crisis intervention program, an on going support group, an inservice training program for the school staff, or a special education or alternative education program.

#### Written Work Sample and Presentation Format

The School Psychology program has not officially adopted any specific format for the written work sample and presentation format. I have outlined a suggested model below, but students are not required to follow it. In the past students have varied in their presentation style (i.e., some used PowerPoint) and content. Simply, it should include: The presenting problem, the theoretical or clinical rationale for the professional activities performed, relevant case history, a brief review/understanding of the research supporting the professional activities undertaken, the assessment and intervention procedures used, and the ethical, legal and professional issues involved in the professional activity. You do not need to do a literature review, however any good practice should be supported by science and references may be applicable/necessary at some points.

#### **Suggested Practice Samples**

The practice sample should relate directly to the academic, social, and emotional development of school-aged individuals or the promotion of learning in preschool, post-secondary and/or educational and training settings. These samples should reflect 1) a depth and breadth of knowledge from the full range of disciplines relevant to school psychology; 2) strategies for effectively diagnosing learning and emotional needs and facilitating growth and 3) the ability to engage in practical problem solving, applied research and expert consultation in a variety of settings.

There are three main categories of practice samples:

- A. Those that deal primarily with assessment of individuals, such as early identification of learning and/ or behavior problems; assessment of social, cognitive, or emotional development; evaluation of cognitive strategies for learning school subjects; and development and implementation of proposals for assessing learning outcomes.
- B. Those that focus on direct intervention with individuals or groups, e.g., developmental, psychodynamic, cognitive-behavioral, or other intervention used to facilitate social, cognitive, or emotional development; group process activities for

- promoting peer interactions; teacher collaboration to design applications for psychological assessment information in teaching basic school subjects; consultation with teachers to enhance their understanding of the needs of particular students; and parent consultation to support learning and positive behavior patterns.
- C. Those that involve activities such as school-based applied research, supervision, inservice training, administration and forensic work. Some examples include: recommending, planning, and implementing a special educational services program for teachers and other school personnel; supervision of school psychology practicum students or interns; coordination, administration and planning of a school psychological services delivery system; designing, implementing, and reporting a school-based applied research project; development of a new professional course in an education and training program; and providing psychological evaluations or expert testimony in administrative or judicial hearings involving school psychology practice issues like placement or consultation to a court in relation to a school psychology matter.

In some cases, practice samples may be interrelated and interdependent, as when the candidate has made a thorough assessment of a particular student's problem (Category A) and then has engaged in a systematic and extended intervention with that student (Category B).

Category A and B practice samples, and to a lesser extent Category C practice samples, should include: a) identifying information and description of student, client or group population, or situation; b) provide dates and nature of contacts, etc. in carrying out the work; c) indicate significant prior events which need to be known to understand the significance of the assessment, intervention, or other activity presented; d) identify goal(s) of the assessment, intervention, or other activity presented; e) provide description of and rationale for assessment, intervention, or other procedure(s) used, and for the evaluation of the effectiveness of the assessment, intervention or other activity; f) indicate status of the situation at the time practice sample was prepared; g) if desired provide assessment materials, profiles, etc., if used; and verbatim transcript(s), audiotape(s), video(s) of assessment, intervention, or other activity; h) indicate how confidentiality is protected, and provide consent forms as appropriate.

### Dissertation Requirement: Section 2

#### Dissertation Research

This section will meet the following criteria:

- a) The empirical data collected shall include either correlational, experimental, or program evaluation data. Single subject research is permissible.
- b) The empirical project shall include an introduction, method, results, and discussion section.
- c) This part of the project should be as long as an average data based article in a School Psychology journal and should be prepared as a manuscript to be submitted to such a journal.
- d) A proposal for the empirical project must be approved before it is begun.
- e) There will be an oral defense of the dissertation that will include consideration of all components of the project. The oral defense will be conducted according to procedures defined by the Graduate Council at this University for the Ph.D. and the D.A. (Doctor of Arts).

The responsibility for a well-organized presentation of personal research (as well as the details involved) rests entirely with the student and is not part of the instructional responsibility of faculty members.

#### Components of the Dissertation

#### Research Review

The research review will include: (a) a clear statement of the area to be discussed and its relevance for school psychology, (b) a review of all the major theories in the topic area, (c) a review of all the basic and applied empirical research in the topic area, (d) a statement of the substantive conclusions, (e) a statement about the quality of research in the area, methodological problems in the topic area, and suggestions for further research, and (f) practical implications and application that can be drawn from the research review.

If the study is an experimental or correlational design, specific hypotheses must be presented. If the dissertation is a program review, a statement as to what you expect to examine and how you plan on presenting it must be included.

#### Methods

Procedures, participants, materials, etc.

Statistical Analyses How will you analyze the data?

#### Committee

The student and mentor work together to choose a Committee, which shall consist of the mentor and at least two other members from the department/division. One additional member of the Committee may come from outside St. John's University. The departmental/divisional chair, dean, and mentor must unanimously approve any outside member. Final approval of the Committee composition rests with the dean.

The dissertation proposal must be approved by the Committee and then forwarded to the departmental chair for his or her recommendations and then to the appropriate dean for his or her approval.

**Dissertation proposal page length:** it is suggested to limit the proposal to 25 pages inclusive of references

Disse	ertation Contract
Stude	nt's Name: Mentor's Name:
PROP	POSED TITLE OF DISSERTATION:
Mentor	agrees to:
1.	Meet with the student to discuss the feasibility of the proposed research, appropriateness of the literature review, and progress of data collection, data analysis, and interpretation of results.
2.	Read each draft of the dissertation or any chapters therein in a timely fashion (within 3 weeks).
Studen	t agrees to:
1.	Read the literature in preparation for regular meetings with his or her mentor.
2.	Develop a clear statement of the research topic and its relevance for school psychology.
3.	Review all major theories in the topic area.
4.	Review all basic and applied empirical research in the topic area.
5.	Summarize substantive conclusions from the related literature.
6.	Summarize the quality of research in the area, including the appropriateness of the methodology.
7.	Discuss the implications of the research as well as how the substantive findings from this research are applicable to the practice of school psychology.
	This contract must be submitted to the Program Director by April 15 <sup>th</sup> of the third full year. Students who do et this requirement will not be permitted to register for any future courses.
Mento	r's Signature: Date:
Studer	nt's Signature: Date:

### **Dissertation Planning Form**

Your dissertation mentor will schedule times with you to review your dissertation. It is their responsibility to return work back to you in a timely fashion (within 3 weeks). Student's Name: Dissertation Topic: Committee: Member's Names: \_\_\_\_\_ (mentor) (optional outside reader) **Projected Completion** Submission 1 Submission 2 Dissertation Proposal Literature Review Proposed Methods Proposed Statistical Analyses Proposal Meeting\* Data Collection Start Collecting Data Finish Collecting Data Dissertation Statistical Analyses Method Results Discussion Scheduling of Orals \* Must be completed by May 1st in the year prior to beginning 5th year internship Mentor Name: Student Name:

Students must submit this form to the Program Director by December 15<sup>th</sup> of the 4<sup>th</sup> full year.

Date:

Date: \_\_\_\_

# Appendix S

Testing Kit Policy

# St. John's University Department of Psychology Policy for Disposal of Outdated Testing Kits

The department of psychology is in possession of a variety of testing kits, which are measures of personality, academic achievement, and various cognitive abilities. The testing kits are kept in locked cabinets in the testing room, Marillac Hall Sub-basement 15. The School Psychology department secretary, is in possession of keys to the testing room, as do the two Teaching Assistants assigned to the testing room, who are chosen by the department with input from core faculty members. Neither professor nor student may remove testing materials from the testing room without speaking with the Teaching Assistants, who monitor the release of materials and sign them in and out of the room.

Testing kits are re-normed every few years, and publishing companies release new editions to replace outdated ones, which the Teaching Assistants are responsible for locating and purchasing with the approval of the department. The old and new testing kits contain copyrighted, sensitive information, which should only be viewed by qualified individuals, or those being trained to administer the tests. The department of psychology is aware of this fact, and makes it their policy to store or dispose of these materials properly. One copy of each outdated test is kept in the testing room for archival purposes, and all other copies are shredded or otherwise destroyed. At times, materials are donated to interested parties (i.e. professors doing research, students, etc.) in or outside of the University community.

The Teaching Assistants are not responsible for testing kits owned and used by the Center for Psychological Services. Any questions about this policy should be addressed to the Teaching Assistants, who can be reached via their e-mail addresses.

### Appendix T

# NASP Standards for Training and Practice

#### **NASP Standards**

#### STANDARD I: SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM CONTEXT/STRUCTURE

Graduate education in school psychology is delivered within the context of a comprehensive program framework based on clear goals and objectives and a sequential, integrated course of study in which human diversity is emphasized. Graduate education develops candidates' strong affiliation with school psychology, is delivered by qualified faculty, and includes substantial coursework and supervised field experiences necessary for the preparation of competent school psychologists whose services positively impact children, families, schools, and other consumers. In addition to specialist- and/or doctoral-level programs of study, a school psychology program that offers opportunities for respecialization, retraining, and other alternative approaches to credentialing as a school psychologist ensures that program requirements are consistent with NASP graduate preparation standards.

# STANDARD II: PRACTICES THAT PERMEATE ALL ASPECTS OF SERVICE DELIVERY: DATA BASED DECISION MAKING AND ACCOUNTABILITY

School psychologists have knowledge of varied methods of assessment and data collection methods for identifying strengths and needs, developing effective services and programs, and measuring progress and outcomes. As part of a systematic and comprehensive process of effective decision making and problem solving that permeates all aspects of service delivery, school psychologists demonstrate skills to use psychological and educational assessment, data collection strategies, and technology resources and apply results to design, implement, and evaluate response to services and programs.

# STANDARD III: PRACTICES THAT PERMEATE ALL ASPECTS OF SERVICE DELIVERY: CONSULTATION AND COLLABORATION:

School psychologists have knowledge of varied methods of consultation, collaboration, and communication applicable to individuals, families, groups, and systems and used to promote effective implementation of services. As part of a systematic and comprehensive process of effective decision making and problem solving that permeates all aspects of service delivery, school psychologists demonstrate skills to consult, collaborate, and communicate with others during design, implementation, and evaluation of services and programs.

#### STANDARD IV: DIRECT AND INDIRECT SERVICES: STUDENT LEVEL SERVICES

School psychologists have knowledge of direct interventions that focus on academic and social/emotional interventions for children and families. School psychologists engage multi-disciplinary teams (including children, teachers, parents, other school professionals) to develop and implement academic and mental health interventions.

Element 4.1: Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills- School psychologists have knowledge of biological, cultural, and social influences on academic skills; human learning, cognitive, and developmental processes; and evidence-based curriculum and instructional strategies. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to

use assessment and data-collection methods and to implement and evaluate services that support cognitive and academic skills.

Element 4.2: Interventions and Mental Health Services to Develop Social and Life Skills – School psychologists have knowledge of biological, cultural, developmental, and social influences on behavior and mental health; behavioral and emotional impacts on learning and life skills; and evidence-based strategies to promote social—emotional functioning and mental health. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to use assessment and data-collection methods and to implement and evaluate services that support socialization, learning, and mental health.

# STANDARD V: DIRECT AND INDIRECT SERVICES: SYSTEMS LEVEL SERVICES – SCHOOLS

School psychologists have knowledge of direct and indirect services that focus on knowledge of schools and system structures, and preventive and responsive services. School psychologists implement school-wide practices to promote learning and knowledge of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors.

Element 5.1: School-Wide Practices to Promote Learning - School psychologists have knowledge of school and systems structure, organization, and theory; general and special education; technology resources; and evidence-based school practices that promote academic outcomes, learning, social development, and mental health. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to develop and implement practices and strategies to create and maintain effective and supportive learning environments for children and others.

Element 5.2: Preventive and Responsive Services - School psychologists have knowledge of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors in learning and mental health, services in schools and communities to support multitiered prevention, and evidence-based strategies for effective crisis response. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to promote services that enhance learning, mental health, safety, and physical well-being through protective and adaptive factors and to implement effective crisis preparation, response, and recovery.

# STANDARD VI: DIRECT AND INDIRECT SERVICES: SYSTEMS LEVEL SERVICES – FAMILY-SCHOOL COLLABORATION

School psychologists have knowledge of principles and research related to family systems, strengths, needs, and culture; evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's learning, socialization, and mental health; and methods to develop collaboration between families and schools. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to design, implement, and evaluate services that respond to culture and context and facilitate family and school partnership/interactions with community agencies for enhancement of academic and social—behavioral outcomes for children.

# STANDARD VII: FOUNDATIONS OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS' SERVICE DELIVERY: DIVERSITY

School psychologists have knowledge of individual differences, abilities, disabilities, and other diverse characteristics; principles and research related to diversity factors for children, families, and schools, including factors related to culture, context, and individual and role differences; and evidence-based strategies to enhance services and address potential influences related to diversity. School psychologists demonstrate skills to provide professional services that promote effective functioning for individuals, families, and schools with diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds and across multiple contexts, with recognition that an understanding and respect for diversity in development and learning and advocacy for social justice are foundations of all aspects of service delivery.

STANDARD VIII: FOUNDATIONS OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS' SERVICE DELIVERY: RESEARCH, PROGRAM EVALUATION, LEGAL, ETHICAL, AND PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

School psychologists have core foundational knowledge and experiences and implement practices and strategies in research, program evaluation, and legal, ethical and professional practice.

Element 8.1: Research and Program Evaluation - School psychologists have knowledge of research design, statistics, measurement, varied data collection and analysis techniques, and program evaluation methods sufficient for understanding research and interpreting data in applied settings. School psychologists demonstrate skills to evaluate and apply research as a foundation for service delivery and, in collaboration with others, use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the individual, group, and/or systems levels.

Element 8.2: Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice - School psychologists have knowledge of the history and foundations of school psychology; multiple service models and methods; ethical, legal, and professional standards; and other factors related to professional identity and effective practice as school psychologists. School psychologists demonstrate skills to provide services consistent with ethical, legal, and professional standards; engage in responsive ethical and professional decision-making; collaborate with other professionals; and apply professional work characteristics needed for effective practice as school psychologists, including respect for human diversity and social justice, communication skills, effective interpersonal skills, responsibility, adaptability, initiative, dependability, and technology skills.

#### STANDARD IX: PRACTICA AND INTERNSHIPS IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

During systematic, comprehensive practica and internship experiences consistent with its goals and objectives, the school psychology program ensures that all candidates demonstrate application of knowledge and professional skills in relevant settings and under conditions of appropriate supervision, evaluation, and support. The school psychology program's practica and internship develop and enhance candidates' skills and professional characteristics needed for

effective school psychology service delivery; integration of competencies across the standards of professional preparation and practice; and direct, measurable, positive impact on children, families, schools, and other consumers.