Office of Institutional Research

Spring 2018 – Student Evaluation of Teaching

Overview

-The following are the value labels for the aggregated results pertaining to each question:

Value Labels

Question 1.1 – Course Pace

- 5 Very Fast
- 4 Fast
- 3 Just about right
- 2 Slow
- 1 Very slow

Questions 1.2 - 1.12

- 5 Strongly Agree
- 4 Agree
- 3 Somewhat Agree
- 2 Disagree
- 1 Strongly Disagree

Question 1.13 – Professor's Teaching

- 5 Excellent
- 4 **–** Good
- 3 Fair
- 2 Poor
- 1 Very poor

For any questions related to the evaluations, please contact:

Office of Institutional Research 718-990-1869 ir@stjohns.edu


Spring 2018 - GR SJU (54%)

No. of responses = 3112



Survey Results






Profile

Compilation:

Spring 2018 - GR SJU (54%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Student Evaluation of Teaching



Profile

Compilation: Spring 2018 - GR SJU (54%)

Comparative line:

Compilation: Spring 2018 - St. John's University (45%)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Student Evaluation of Teaching

Very slow Rate the pace at which the course material was Very fast taught. The course goals and grading procedures were Strongly Agree Strongly clear. Disagree Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly. Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree This course increased my understanding of the Strongly Agree subject matter. This course increased my ability to think critically. Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree Lectures and other class activities were well Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree organized. Strongly Disagree The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable. Strongly Agree The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter. Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree The instructor satisfactorily answered students' Strongly Agree questions. Strongly Disagree The instructor was accessible to students for extra Strongly Agree help. Strongly Disagree The instructor responded to students' work in a Strongly Agree reasonable amount of time. I would recommend this instructor to other students. Strongly Agree Strongly

Excellent

n=3073	av.=3.21	md=3.00	dev.=0.69
n=33950	av.=3.35	md=3.00	dev.=0.79
n=3078	av.=4.19	md=4.00	dev.=0.97
n=34058	av.=4.13	md=4.00	dev.=1.01
n=2934	av.=4.36	md=5.00	dev.=0.84
n=33743	av.=4.20	md=4.00	dev.=0.99
n=3082	av.=4.31	md=5.00	dev.=0.94
n=30852	av.=4.15	md=4.00	dev.=1.05
n=3070	av.=4.25	md=5.00	dev.=0.96
n=30597	av.=4.07	md=4.00	dev.=1.07
n=3059	av.=4.18	md=5.00	dev.=1.02
n=29712	av.=4.10	md=4.00	dev.=1.09
n=3054	av.=4.25	md=5.00	dev.=0.98
n=29510	av.=4.10	md=4.00	dev.=1.09
n=3069	av.=4.53	md=5.00	dev.=0.78
n=29636	av.=4.39	md=5.00	dev.=0.91
n=3077	av.=4.40	md=5.00	dev.=0.89
n=32832	av.=4.22	md=5.00	dev.=1.02
n=2928	av.=4.42	md=5.00	dev.=0.85
n=31437	av.=4.21	md=5.00	dev.=1.00
n=3009	av.=4.35	md=5.00	dev.=0.92
n=32365	av.=4.23	md=5.00	dev.=1.00
n=3024	av.=4.33	md=5.00	dev.=1.01
n=32504	av.=4.14	md=5.00	dev.=1.13
n=3047	av.=4.40	md=5.00	dev.=0.89
n=32921	av.=4.19	md=5.00	dev.=1.02

Rate this professor's teaching.

Disagree

Very poor