

System Verification and Validation Report for Chess Connect

Team #4,
Alexander Van Kralingen
Arshdeep Aujla
Jonathan Cels
Joshua Chapman
Rupinder Nagra

March 8, 2023

1 Revision History

| Date | Version | Notes |
|------------|-------------------------|--|
| 2023-03-04 | Arshdeep Aujla | Added Template for Nonfunctional Requirements |
| 2023-03-05 | Arshdeep Aujla | Added Table for functional requirements, traceability matrix |
| 2023-03-07 | Jonathan Cels | Added functional requirement test reports |
| 2023-03-08 | Joshua Chapman | Added changes due to testing, Trace to Modules |
| 2023-03-07 | Alexander Van Kralingen | Added Arduino unit tests example |
| 2023-03-08 | Jonathan Cels | Added nonfunctional requirement test reports |
| 2023-03-08 | Arshdeep Aujla | Added reflection appendix |
| 2023-03-08 | Rupinder Nagra | Added Unit Testing section |

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

| symbol | description |
|--------------|---|
| T | Test |
| FSM | Finite State Machine |
| TBD | To Be Determined |
| LCD | Liquid Crystal Display |
| LED | Light Emitting Diode |
| Engine Move | Good chess move, calculated by a chess engine |
| Legal Move | Chess move that is allowed according to the rules |
| Illegal Move | Chess move that is not allowed according to the rules |
| ADC | Analog to Digital Converter |

Refer to SRS Section 1 for an extensive list of used symbols, abbreviations, and acronyms.

Contents

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Revision History | i |
| 2 | Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms | ii |
| 3 | Functional Requirements Evaluation | 1 |
| 3.1 | Game Active State | 1 |
| 3.2 | Game Inactive State | 2 |
| 3.3 | Normal Mode | 3 |
| 3.4 | Engine Mode | 4 |
| 3.5 | Beginner Mode | 6 |
| 4 | Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation | 8 |
| 4.1 | Look and Feel | 8 |
| 4.2 | Usability and Humanity | 8 |
| 4.3 | Performance | 9 |
| 4.4 | Health and Safety | 12 |
| 4.5 | Precision and Accuracy | 13 |
| 4.6 | Capacity | 14 |
| 4.7 | Security | 14 |
| 5 | Unit Testing | 15 |
| 6 | Changes Due to Testing | 19 |
| 6.1 | Embedded Testing | 19 |
| 6.2 | Hardware Testing | 19 |
| 6.3 | Web Application Testing | 20 |
| 7 | Automated Testing | 20 |
| 7.1 | C++ | 20 |
| 7.2 | React Testing Library | 20 |
| 8 | Trace to Requirements & Modules | 21 |
| 9 | Trace to Modules | 22 |
| 10 | Code Coverage Metrics | 22 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1 | Active State Functional Requirements Results | 1 |
| 2 | Inactive State Functional Requirements Results | 2 |
| 3 | Normal Mode Functional Requirements Results | 3 |
| 4 | Engine Mode Functional Requirements Results | 5 |
| 5 | Beginner Mode Functional Requirements Results | 7 |
| 6 | Performance Non-Functional Requirements Results | 9 |
| 7 | Experimental Results of Performance Testing | 10 |
| 8 | Health and Safety Non-Functional Requirements Results | 12 |
| 9 | Experimental Results of Health and Safety Testing | 13 |
| 10 | Capacity Non-Functional Requirements Results | 14 |
| 11 | Security Non-Functional Requirements Results | 14 |
| 12 | Unit Test functions | 18 |
| 13 | Requirements Traceability Matrix | 22 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | Experimental Results of Performance Testing | 11 |
|---|---|----|

3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

Refer to the VnV Plan for descriptions of the tests derived to evaluate the functional requirements.

3.1 Game Active State

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|---|--|--|--|--------|
| GA-1 | Draw/resign button pressed while game active. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| GA-2 | Start game button pressed while game active. | System variable 'gameInProgress' remains true. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| GA-3 | User mode button pressed while game active. | System variable 'currMode' changed to represent the selected user mode. | User mode unchanged. | Design changed, user mode not switchable while a game is active. | Rework |
| GA-4 | Start game button pressed while game inactive. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to true, 'currFEN' variable is set to the starting FEN. | System variables configured correctly. | | Pass |
| GA-5 | Move made that results in stalemate or checkmate according to the rules of chess while game inactive. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |

Table 1: Active State Functional Requirements Results

3.2 Game Inactive State

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--------|
| GI-1 | Start game button pressed while game inactive. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to true. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| GI-2 | User mode button pressed while game inactive. | User mode unchanged. | System variable configured correctly. | Design changed, user mode is now switchable (only) while a game is inactive. | Rework |
| GI-3 | Draw/resign button pressed while game inactive. | System variable 'gameInProgress' remains false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| GI-4 | Piece moved while game inactive. | System variable 'currFEN' is unchanged. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| GI-5 | Draw/resign button pressed, or move made that results in stalemate or checkmate according to the rules of chess while game active. | Game termination and winner are displayed on LCD screen. | Display updates correctly. | | Pass |

Table 2: Inactive State Functional Requirements Results

3.3 Normal Mode

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|---|---|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| NB-1 | Piece moved while in normal mode. | Game state is updated to reflect piece movement. | Game state updated correctly. | | Pass |
| NB-2 | Resign button pressed while in normal mode. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| NB-3 | Draw button pressed while in normal mode. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| ND-1 | Game state updated while in normal mode. | Updated game state is transmitted to the web application via Bluetooth. | Game state transmitted correctly. | | Pass |
| NA-1 | Web application receives updated game state while in normal mode. | Update to game state is reflected on web application display. | Display updates correctly. | | Pass |
| NA-2 | Game termination occurs while in normal mode. | Game termination and winner are displayed on web application display. | Display updates correctly. | | Pass |

Table 3: Normal Mode Functional Requirements Results

3.4 Engine Mode

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| EB-1 | Piece moved while in engine mode. | Game state is updated to reflect piece movement. | Game state updated correctly. | | Pass |
| EB-2 | Resign button pressed while in engine mode. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| EB-3 | Draw button pressed while in engine mode. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| EB-4 | Engine moves transmitted from the web application to microcontroller. | Engine moves are displayed on the LCD screen. | Display updated correctly. | | Pass |
| ED-1 | Game state updated while in engine mode. | Updated game state is transmitted to the web application via Bluetooth. | Game state transmitted correctly. | | Pass |
| ED-2 | Engine moves are calculated by the web application. | Calculated engine moves are transmitted from the web application to the microcontroller via Bluetooth | Moves transmitted correctly. | Only one engine move currently calculated, more planned in future revisions. | Partial Pass |

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--------|
| EA-1 | Web application receives updated game state while in engine mode. | Update to game state is reflected on web application display. | Display updates correctly. | | Pass |
| EA-2 | Engine moves are calculated by the web application. | Calculated engine moves are displayed on web application display. | Engine moves are not displayed. | Not implemented, planned in future revisions. | TBD |
| EA-3 | Game termination occurs while in engine mode. | Game termination and winner are displayed on web application display. | Display updates correctly. | | Pass |

Table 4: Engine Mode Functional Requirements Results

3.5 Beginner Mode

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|--------|
| BB-1 | Piece moved while in beginner mode. | Game state is updated to reflect piece movement. | Game state updated correctly. | | Pass |
| BB-2 | Piece picked up and held while in beginner mode. | LEDs on board indicate legal moves. | Correct LEDs light up. | | Pass |
| BB-3 | Piece moved such that an illegal move is made while in beginner mode. | LEDs on board indicate illegal move. | Correct LEDs light up. | Not implemented, planned in future revisions. | TBD |
| BB-4 | Resign button is pressed while in beginner mode. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| BB-5 | Draw button is pressed while in beginner mode. | System variable 'gameInProgress' set to false. | System variable configured correctly. | | Pass |
| BD-1 | Game state is updated while in beginner mode. | Updated game state is transmitted to the web application via Bluetooth. | Game state transmitted correctly. | | Pass |

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|------|---|--|----------------------------|---|--------|
| BA-1 | User selects chess instructions in web application. | Web application displays detailed rules for how to play chess. | N/A | Not implemented, planned in future revisions. | TBD |
| BA-2 | Web application receives updated game state while in beginner mode. | Update to game state is reflected on web application display. | Display updates correctly. | | Pass |

Table 5: Beginner Mode Functional Requirements Results

4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

Many of the nonfunctional requirements outlined in the VnV plan are left for revision 1, as much of the external testing relies on the product being more completed and accessible to a wider audience. Some external user testing was done, specifically for NFT-4 and NFT-5, and is detailed in the Performance section.

4.1 Look and Feel

Look and feel testing will be performed for revision 1, as though it is functional, this part of the product is not ready for external user testing.

4.2 Usability and Humanity

Usability testing will be performed for revision 1, as though it is functional, this part of the product is not ready for external user testing.

4.3 Performance

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--------|
| NFT-4 | Experiment performed as detailed below. | Average LED response time over all trials is less than 0.5 seconds. | Average response time was 0.488 seconds. | This value is close to the 0.5 second limit, and may be subject to human error. Further testing will be done with more trials for revision 1 to ensure this requirement is met. | Pass |
| NFT-5 | Experiment performed as detailed below. | Maximum single response time of any trial is less than 1 second. | Maximum response time was 0.72 seconds. | | Pass |
| NFT-6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not tested, as the system is currently subject to a 2-second delay before refreshing. Will be tested for revision 1. | TBD |
| NFT-7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | Not tested, as the system is currently subject to a 2-second delay before refreshing. Will be tested for revision 1. | TBD |

Table 6: Performance Non-Functional Requirements Results

The following table holds data for NFT-4 and NFT-5. Three external users, all familiar with the rules of chess, were each asked to perform the following experiment in beginner mode 5 times:

Pick up an arbitrary piece and suspend it in the air. Wait until the board visually indicates the possible moves for the suspended piece. The time between the user picking up the piece and the board’s LED indicators turning on was measured and recorded.

| | User 1 | User 2 | User 3 |
|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Trial 1 | 0.49s | 0.48s | 0.36s |
| Trial 2 | 0.65s | 0.69s | 0.27s |
| Trial 3 | 0.53s | 0.33s | 0.52s |
| Trial 4 | 0.72s | 0.34s | 0.49s |
| Trial 5 | 0.42s | 0.51s | 0.52s |
| Total Average | 0.488s | | |

Table 7: Experimental Results of Performance Testing

The following scatter plot shows the experimental results visually. The average, shown in green, falls just below the required 0.5 second threshold, and all points are well below the maximum acceptable response time of 1 second.



Figure 1: Experimental Results of Performance Testing

4.4 Health and Safety

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|-------|--|---|---|---|--------|
| NFT-8 | 10 sample wires were chosen while the product was running. Their current and voltage were measured, and power was calculated using those measurements. | All power measurements below safe limits. | All power measurements below safe limits. | Measurements far below any safety thresholds. | Pass |

Table 8: Health and Safety Non-Functional Requirements Results

The following table holds data for NFT-8.

| Wire # | Wire Description | Gauge | Current (Amps) | Voltage (Volts) | Calculated Power (Watts) | Maximum power (Watts) |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Hall sensor 1 with black piece | 20 | 0.04 | 1.6 | 0.064 | 7.5 |
| 2 | Hall sensor 32 with no piece | 20 | 0.03 | 1 | 0.03 | 7.5 |
| 3 | Hall sensor 64 with white piece | 20 | 0.02 | 0.6 | 0.012 | 7.5 |
| 4 | Arduino power line | 10 | 0.5 | 5 | 2.5 | 75 |
| 5 | ADC 1 | 20 | 0.01 | 5 | 0.05 | 7.5 |
| 6 | ADC 4 | 20 | 0.02 | 5 | 0.1 | 7.5 |
| 7 | ADC clock | 20 | 0.05 | 5 | 0.25 | 7.5 |
| 8 | Wall power supply (L) | 8 | 0.75 | 110 | 47.631 | 120 |
| 9 | Wall power supply (G) | 8 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 10 | Bluetooth RX | 20 | 0.02 | 5 | 0.1 | 7.5 |

Table 9: Experimental Results of Health and Safety Testing

4.5 Precision and Accuracy

Precision and accuracy testing will be performed for revision 1, as though it is functional, this part of the product is not ready for external user testing.

4.6 Capacity

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--------|
| NFT-10 | A relatively complex chess FEN position was transmitted via Bluetooth to the web application while in engine mode. | The measured memory usage of the web application is less than 1GB. | The maximum measured memory value was 1187.4 MB, as measured by Windows task manager. | Memory usage will increase in future revisions, as more engine moves will be calculated at a higher depth. | Pass |

Table 10: Capacity Non-Functional Requirements Results

4.7 Security

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Notes | Result |
|--------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|--------|
| NFT-11 | Bluetooth connection to web application severed. | Web application alert that the connection has been lost. | N/A | Not implemented, planned in future revisions. | TBD |
| NFT-12 | Power connection to system is severed, and then restored after a short time frame. | The game state data is stored in local memory and is unchanged after power is restored. | Game state data is properly stored. | | Pass |

Table 11: Security Non-Functional Requirements Results

5 Unit Testing

Unit testing is a crucial aspect of software development that involves testing individual units or components of a software application in isolation from the rest of the system. It provides us with a way to ensure that each unit of code is functioning as intended and that it integrates seamlessly with other parts of the software application. By identifying defects and bugs early in the development cycle, it helped reduce the overall time spent on software development while also improving the quality and reliability of our final product. Additionally, our testing served as a form of documentation, helping us understand how different components of the system interact and ensuring that future modifications do not break existing functionality. We implemented unit testing to ensure our project was robust, maintainable, and of high quality. As mentioned in the VnV Plan, the React Testing Library was used for the Javascript unit tests. Additionally, with the inclusion of many hardware related components in our project, most of our testing is done manually, leaving very few tests that require being automated.

Creating unit tests for the Embedded software required several of Arduino's built in functionality to be simulated. This included serial communication functions, pin setup (input or output), reading from and writing to pins, and time delays. Additionally, binary values needed to be setup to simulate a sequence of events such as values recorded from a hall sensor, or LEDs turning on or off. All of this is handled in the [MockArduinoController.cpp](#) file, which holds the `SerialStream` and `PinSimulation` classes, as well as several functions for interacting with the hardware.

Rather than unit testing every function in normal operation, individual functions were tested to ensure correct outputs from simulated inputs. Integration with the system was completed physically with the Arduino executing the program. An example of the test for hardware reading is given below.

```

void testReadPiece()
{
    setupBoard();

    // Simulate picking a piece
    organizedHallValues[0][1] = randHall(NO_COLOUR);
    mapHallValuesToSensors();
    PinSim.reWritePin(hallRx[0]);
    writeAdcRow(hallRx[0], rawHallValues[0]);

    // NO_PIECE, NO_COLOUR
    Square expectedSquare = Square(0,1);

    // Checkpick() function inside Arduino's loop should catch this,
    // updating the pieces on the board
    loopArduino();

    // Make sure the state changes to PIECE_LIFTED ('I')
    check(assert_equal('I', gameState), __FUNCTION__, __LINE__);

    // Make sure the square in the board array is updated successfully
    check(assert_equal(expectedSquare, currentBoard[0][1]), __FUNCTION__, __LINE__)
;
}

```

The rest of the tests follow a similar format of setting up the initial state, simulating an input and comparing the expected output. Several similar tests were performed with different piece types, positions and piece colours to cover edge cases. Only one test of each type in a group testing the same function with different inputs and outputs has been included in the table below.

| Test | Input | Expected | Actual | Result |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--------|
| testReadPiece | random Hall sensor value between 140 and 310 (no piece reading) corresponding to square B1 | Square B1 resets piece value to NO_PIECE | currentBoard[0][1] holds NO_PIECE, NO_COLOUR | pass |
| testHighlightPawnValidMoves | Pawn lifted from starting position (A2) | A3, A4 light up | A3, A4 pins read output HIGH | pass |
| testHighlightKnightValidMoves | Knight lifted from starting position (B1) | A3, C3 light up | pins read output HIGH | pass |
| testHighlightBishopValidMoves | Bishop lifted from C1 after D2 Pawn to D3, and G7 Pawn to G5 | C2, E3, F4, G5 light up | C2, E3, F4, G5 pins read output HIGH | pass |
| testHighlightRookValidMoves | Rook lifted from | light up | pins read output HIGH | pass |
| testHighlightQueenValidMoves | Queen lifted from | light up | pins read output HIGH | pass |
| testHighlightKingValidMoves | King lifted from | light up | pins read output HIGH | pass |
| testPieceToChar | Piece(QUEEN, BLACK) | 'q' | 'q' | pass |
| testValidateFENString | King lifted from | rnbqkbnr/pppp1ppp/4p3/8/4P3/5N2/PPPP1PPP/RNBQKB1R w KQkq - 1 4 | rnbqkbnr/pppp1ppp/4p3/8/4P3/5N2/PPPP1PPP/RNBQKB1R w KQkq - 1 4 | pass |
| testGameStartValid | black piece on row 1 while all other pieces are white | false | false | pass |
| inStalemate | rnbqkbnr/pppppppp/8/8/8/8/PPPPPPPP/RNBQKBNR w KQkq - 0 1 | false | false | pass |
| inCheckmate | rnb1kbnr/pppp1ppp/8/4p3/5PPq/8/PPPP2P/RNBQKBNR w KQkq - 1 3 | true | true | pass |

Table 12: Unit Test functions

6 Changes Due to Testing

The results detailed in the above tests prompted a number of design changes in the hardware, embedded and web application systems. Outlined below are the changes made as result.

6.1 Embedded Testing

Testing the finite state machine located in the electrical system resulted in incorrect functionality according to the design. A finite state machine was designed to control the functionality of the electrical system dictated by the user. It allows users to change modes and have control over the performance of the board. The initial implementation of the FSM utilized a slow clock speed and certain inputs were missed as a result. The new solution is to increase the clock speed of the FSM and include buffers within the states to increase the robustness of the system.

Easy mode provides the functionality of possible moves for pieces on the board. When a piece is lifted, the available squares are highlighted. During testing of the function, there were a number of edge cases that were not covered such as castling, en passant and check scenarios. The proposed solution was to increase the robustness of the algorithm and maintain logs of the game to account for these edge cases.

6.2 Hardware Testing

Testing the hall sensor piece detection circuits at scale uncovered issues with sensitivity of the sensors. At scales of four or more sensors in series, the sensitivity of the reading was too large. Initial solutions included improvement of the hardware. This included improvement of the power supply, grounding and sensors themselves. These solutions did not solve the issue. As a result, the requirements of the sensors have been changed to sense north and south poles exclusively. The functionality of individual piece detection was not feasible.

Assembly of the revision one chess board displayed issues with robustness of the connections between mechanical and electrical assemblies. Temporary connection was established with electrical and duct tape. The electrical system suspended below the mechanical system. After testing of the board, connection points began to fail and separation occurred. The solution for revision two is assembly of the mechanical and electrical systems simultaneously. The two systems are assembled together

and the robustness of the system is increased.

6.3 Web Application Testing

Integration of the web application with the embedded system revealed an error with the implementation of polling in the web application. Polling required handling of constant data transmission between systems. Issues were clear with required data and processing dedicated to this communication. One of the proposed solutions is the implementation of a refresh to erase the stored data. This eliminates the data size issue. A second solution is the introduction of sockets which eliminates polling entirely and solves the data size and processing issues.

- Initially, the game was only allowed to start while white and black were on specific sides. Code changed to support either side as starting position.

7 Automated Testing

7.1 C++

Since Arduino files are .ino and cannot be build using a g++ compiler, a build script has been created to convert the file to a .cpp filetype, compile it with g++, execute it and watch the result. The error code is the number of errors which used by GitHub Actions to determine the success of all of the unit tests. Errors are written to a log file and recorded for future reference. Unit tests are run in this method to verify changes to the code. Tests must all pass before merging into main. Please refer to [Unit Testing](#) for details on the tests ran in build script.

7.2 React Testing Library

The React Testing Library was used for testing our React components. Its function was to assist writing tests that simulate user interactions with their application, allowing us to ensure that the components are working as intended. The library provides a set of utilities that make it easy to test React components by interacting with them as a user would, rather than relying on implementation details. This means that tests written with this library are more resilient to changes in the underlying codebase, and provide better coverage of the user experience. Overall, the React Testing Library was an important tool to ensure the quality and reliability of our front-end application.

8 Trace to Requirements & Modules

| Test | Requirement | Module |
|------|---------------|-----------------|
| GA-1 | GA1 | M2 |
| GA-2 | GA2 | M2 |
| GA-3 | GA3 | M2 |
| GA-4 | GA6 | M2 |
| GA-5 | GA7 | M2, M5, M11 |
| GI-1 | GI1 | M2 |
| GI-2 | GI2 | M2, M11 |
| GI-3 | GI3 | M2 |
| GI-4 | GI4 | M2, M4 |
| GI-5 | GI5, GI6 | M2, M5, M11 |
| NB-1 | NB1 | M2, M11 |
| NB-2 | NB2 | M2, M11 |
| NB-3 | NB3 | M2, M11 |
| ND-1 | ND1 | M2, M11 |
| NA-1 | NA1, NA2 | M2, M6, M8, M11 |
| NA-2 | NA3 | M2, M5, M11 |
| EB-1 | EB1 | M2, M5, M11 |
| EB-2 | EB2 | M2, M11 |
| EB-3 | EB3 | M2, M11 |
| EB-4 | EB4 | M10, M14 |
| ED-1 | ED1 | M5, M6, M8, M12 |
| ED-2 | ED2 | M14 |
| EA-1 | EA1, EA2 | M5, M6, M8, M11 |
| EA-2 | EA3, EA4, EA5 | M14 |
| EA-3 | EA6 | M5, M6, M8, M9 |
| BB-1 | BB1 | M4, M5, M11 |
| BB-2 | BB2 | M4, M5, M11 |

| | | |
|-------|------|-------------|
| BB-3 | BB3 | M4, M5, M11 |
| BB-4 | BB4 | M2, M5, M11 |
| BB-5 | BB5 | M2, M5, M11 |
| BD-1 | BD1 | M2, M5, M11 |
| BA-1 | BA1 | M10, M11 |
| BA-2 | BA2 | M2, M8, M9 |
| NFT1 | LF3 | |
| NFT2 | UH5 | |
| NFT3 | UH6 | |
| NFT4 | PR1 | |
| NFT5 | PR2 | |
| NFT6 | PR3 | |
| NFT7 | PR4 | |
| NFT8 | PR6 | |
| NFT9 | PR7 | |
| NFT10 | PR10 | |
| NFT11 | SR4 | |
| NFT12 | SR3 | |

Table 13: Requirements Traceability Matrix

9 Trace to Modules

10 Code Coverage Metrics

A Reflection Appendix

The majority of the tests planned out in the VnV Plan were carried out as expected and reported in the VnV Report. Some differences in the tests were due to a rework of the requirements, and modifying what is in scope for the first iteration of the project.

Two tests were not carried out as planned because the requirements changed

since the creation of the VnV Plan. These tests were GA-3 and GI-2. These tests were both related to the changing the game state. Initially, functional requirements outlined that the user should be able to change the games mode regardless if they are currently in the active state or inactive state. On a second consideration, it was decided that the mode should only be able to be changed if the user is in the inactive mode. Therefore the tests GA-3 and GI-1 were no long applicable and not reported in this report.

A few tests for functional and non-functional requirements were not completed due to the scope of the project changing. The requirements changed because they were decided that they weren't mandatory for a working product. It was also not feasible for these requirements to be met during the timeline of the course. These tests that were descoped were ED-2, EA-2, BB-3, BA-1, NFT-6, NFT-7, and NFT-11.

In a future VnV planning, emphasis will need to be made to ensure that the requirements are solidified before the tests are created, and that all of the requirements need to be feasible to be met during the project's timeline.

References

- Author Author. System requirements specification. <https://github.com/...>, 2019.
- CSA. *Canadian Electrical Code*. CSA Group, 2021.
- FEN. Fen (forsyth-edwards notation) - chess terms. <https://www.chess.com/terms/fen-chess>. Accessed: 2023-01-18.
- Interal Chess Federation FIDE. Fide laws of chess. <https://www.fide.com/FIDE/handbook/LawsOfChess.pdf>, 2018.
- Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety. Hazard and risk: Osh answers. https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/hsprograms/hazard_risk.html, 2022. Accessed: 2022-10-05.
- Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jazayeri, and Dino Mandrioli. *Fundamentals of Software Engineering*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.
- Daniel M. Hoffman and Paul A. Strooper. *Software Design, Automated Testing, and Maintenance: A Practical Approach*. International Thomson Computer Press, New York, NY, USA, 1995. URL <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/428727.html>.

- List of Chess Variants. List of chess variants, Sep 2022. URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_chess_variants.
- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- David L. Parnas and P.C. Clements. A rational design process: How and why to fake it. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 12(2):251–257, February 1986.
- D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.
- James Robertson and Suzanne Robertson. *Volere Requirements Specification Template*. Atlantic Systems Guild Limited, 16 edition, 2012.
- W. Spencer Smith. Systematic development of requirements documentation for general purpose scientific computing software. In *Proceedings of the 14th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference, RE 2006*, pages 209–218, Minneapolis / St. Paul, Minnesota, 2006. URL <http://www.ifi.unizh.ch/req/events/RE06/>.
- W. Spencer Smith and Lei Lai. A new requirements template for scientific computing. In J. Ralyté, P. Ågerfalk, and N. Kraiem, editors, *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Situational Requirements Engineering Processes – Methods, Techniques and Tools to Support Situation-Specific Requirements Engineering Processes, SREP'05*, pages 107–121, Paris, France, 2005. In conjunction with 13th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference.
- W. Spencer Smith, Lei Lai, and Ridha Khedri. Requirements analysis for engineering computation: A systematic approach for improving software reliability. *Reliable Computing, Special Issue on Reliable Engineering Computation*, 13(1): 83–107, February 2007.
- W. Spencer Smith, John McCutchan, and Jacques Carette. Commonality analysis of families of physical models for use in scientific computing. In *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Software Engineering for Computational Science*

and Engineering (SECSE 2008), Leipzig, Germany, May 2008. In conjunction with the 30th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE). URL <http://www.cse.msstate.edu/~SECSE08/schedule.htm>. 8 pp.

W. Spencer Smith, John McCutchan, and Jacques Carette. Commonality analysis for a family of material models. Technical Report CAS-17-01-SS, McMaster University, Department of Computing and Software, 2017.

Bill Wall. History of chess sets and symbols. <https://www.chesscentral.com/pages/chess-sets-pieces-boards/a-history-of-chess-pieces-and-chess-sets.html>, 2003. Accessed: 2022-10-04.

WCAG. Web content accessibility guidelines 2 overview. <https://www.w3.org/WAI/standards-guidelines/wcag/#intro>, 2018. Accessed: 2022-10-05.