

Table 1: Revision History

| Date | Developer(s) | Change |
|-------------|---------------------|--|
| April 5 | Rupinder Nagra | Wrote project overview and Key Accomplishments |
| April 5 | Jonathan Cels | Wrote Key Problem Areas |
| April 5 | Joshua Chapman | What Would you Do Differently Next Time |

Reflection Report on Chess Connect

Team #4,
Alexander Van Kralingen
Arshdeep Aujla
Jonathan Cels
Joshua Chapman
Rupinder Nagra

In this reflection report, we delve into the challenges and successes encountered while developing a solution aimed at bridging the divide between over-the-board and online chess experiences. We try to understand the critical factors that influenced the outcome and identify strategies for refining our approach to ensure the success in future endeavors.

1 Project Overview

The Chess Connect project allows two users to play a game of chess on a physical board with the information being transmitted to an online web application over Bluetooth. Currently, there is no way for players to seamlessly switch between playing on a physical board and playing online, but Chess Connect intends to change this by creating a central platform that will provide flexibility and remove barriers for new players looking to learn the game.

2 Key Accomplishments

Integrating the best of both worlds, the purpose of our project was to bridge the gap between over-the-board and online chess experiences, while overcoming challenges and celebrating our progress along the way.

2.1 Successful initial hardware testing

The fact that the hardware was functional for a short period indicates that the team was able to assemble and integrate the components successfully. This demonstrates an understanding of the hardware requirements and shows progress in the development process.

2.2 Fully functional software

The software being completely functional is a significant accomplishment. It means that the coding, design, and implementation of the software are efficient and effective. This is a critical component in creating a seamless experience for users who want to integrate their over-the-board and online play.

2.3 Identification of potential issues

Although the hardware did not function during the live demo, this failure provides valuable information on potential problems that need to be addressed. The team can now investigate the issue, identify the root cause, and implement necessary improvements to ensure a stable and reliable product.

2.4 Proof of concept

Despite the hardware not functioning at the live demo, the team has established the concept of integrating over-the-board and online play as a viable and desirable solution. This opens up opportunities for further development and refinement of the product.

2.5 Team collaboration and problem-solving

The team's ability to get the hardware working, even for a short period, and the software fully functional reflects effective collaboration and problem-solving skills. This bodes well for addressing the current issue with the hardware and for tackling future challenges in the project.

3 Key Problem Areas

Exploring the challenges encountered during the development of an innovative chess solution, we reflect on the factors that contributed to the hardware issues and examine the lessons learned to ensure future success in merging over-the-board and online chess experiences.

3.1 Insufficient testing and troubleshooting

While there were known hardware issues before the live demo, it appears that these problems were not adequately addressed, leaving the hardware vulnerable to failure when presented in a live setting. This was also the case with the software, where we did not adequately test it due to the dependency of it on the hardware, leading to inconsistent results.

3.2 Limited contingency planning

It seems there was no backup plan or alternative hardware setup available during the live demo. Having a contingency plan in place could have helped mitigate the impact of hardware failures for the live demo.

3.3 Fragile connections

The loose wires in the breadboard led to unstable connections and components, such as the LCD screen, ceasing to function. This further impacted the hardware's reliability and performance for the live demo.

4 What Would you Do Differently Next Time

In light of the challenges faced during the development of this project, here are some suggestions on what could be done differently next time to ensure a more successful outcome:

4.1 Opt for a more robust hardware setup

Replace breadboards with a more reliable solution, such as a custom-designed printed circuit board (PCB) or soldered connections, to minimize loose wires and improve the stability of the hardware components.

4.2 Conduct thorough testing and troubleshooting

Perform extensive tests and simulations under various conditions to identify potential hardware and software issues. Address these issues proactively to ensure a more reliable system during live demonstrations and real-world usage.

4.3 Implement redundancy

Introduce redundancy in critical hardware components, such as having a backup microcontroller or additional connections. This would help minimize the impact of a single component's failure on the overall system.

4.4 Seek expert advice or mentorship

Consult with experienced professionals or mentors who have successfully developed similar projects. Their insights can help guide the team in addressing challenges and identifying potential pitfalls early in the development process.

By adopting these strategies, the team can learn from the previous experience, improve the overall design and reliability of the project, and increase the likelihood of a successful outcome in future iterations.